











Melius Inquirendum.

### SOBER INQUIRY

Into the Reasonings of the

# Serious Inquiry:

WHEREIN

The Inquirers Cavils against the Principles, his Calumnies against the Preachings and Practices

OF THE PROPERTY

## NON-CONFORMIST

A EHEOLOGICAL

### Examined and Refelled,

And St. Augustine, the Synod of Dort, and the Articles of the Church of England in the Quinquarticular points, vindicated

By meent Alsop.

The third Edition, with Corrections and Additions by the Author.

Prov. 18. 17.

He that is first in his own Cause seemeth just, but his Neighbour cometh, and searcheth him out.

LONDON: Printed for Benj. Alsop, at the Angel and Bible in the Poultrey. 1681.

- 1 M. + 11 12

### To the Ever, and much Honoured S. K. Esquire.

. tvortby Sir!

Received yours, which brought along with it both its own welcome, the assurance of y ur restored health, and continued Love; and also my own entertainment. The serious and Compassionate Inquirie. I have now perused it with as much seriousness as 'twas written, and return'd it with more clemency than it deserves; and must confess my self cast down so much the lower by my disappointments upon the Reading it, by kow much the flattering title had rais'd me higher to exped from thence more healing counsels. I have read of a Polish Embaffador in Queen Elizabeths days, who at his landing whifper'd it abroad that his Embassy was Peace, but when admitted to his Audience, threatned a war: Her Majesty with invincible patience attended the winding up of his long-winded Oration, and then cries out, Heu quam decepta fui, Legatum expectavi, H. raldum accepi! I expected a Dove with an Olive-branch in his mouth, and I tread upon a snake, with a menacing sting in his Tail! Fust such another treat has your Inquirer given me. The Title raised me on tip-toes to see at length that famous weapon-salve which might consolidate the Churches bleeding wounds; but the Pook prefents me with a weapon ready drawn to render them more wide, and more incurable. You see, Real passion, will not long conceal it self under feigned compassion. Nemo diu egit Hypocritam! A feverish preternatural heat in the body, usually breaks out at the Lips! The Crocodiles tears, are but a short formal Grace over his Prey, and yet his importunate Stomack thinks his throat cuttill it be done! You are pleas'd indeed to recommend it to me, as an Irenic, and when I said, it had rather the meen of a Military Tactick. a friend of ours a little inclinable to be witty, replied, it was neither the one nor the other, but an innocent Game at Ticktack.

It's come in fashion again I perceive to Lardlean discourses with grave sentences; and therefore that you may not think I am cap't, let me remember you of Seneca. Inseliciter agrotat, cui plus periculià medicò, quam à morbo. That Patients case must needs be desperate, whose Physician

A 2

e a greater plague than his disease. And that Church must certainly languish, que nec morbum ferre potest nec temedium, that can neither endure the Remedy, nor the Malady: It's a sad choice, whether we will die of our wound, or our plaister. And therefore your great Pretende's might do well to forbear their slighter Applications, which do but exasper to the Humour; for the more we tamper with improper Means, the less success must we expect from those that are proper and proportionable.

I heseech you Sir! Answer me with more seriousness and compassion, than this gentleman makes inquiries; Can you once imagine these Dissenters so irreconcilably fallen out with themselves, as to maintain an utter Aversion to be disputed out of a Prison into Liberty? To be argued out of Poverty into Plenty? Out of imminent danger, into a safe Retreat? Can you really believe them at such deadly feud with their own ease and Repose, such sworn enemies to their own peace, as to be more ambitious of Ruin, than others are of self-preservation? That they should Court their Miseries with the same passionate Caresses, that other Inamorato's do their Mistresfes? That they should run over one anothers Heads for the first grasp of Destruction, as if they rod Post, all upon the switch and spur for a presentation to a warm Parsonage? That whatever premises of fair and honest conveniences are offered, yet they are so absurdly obstinate as to hold the Conclufion of self-created vexation? Believe it Sir! (I know you believe it!) The Non-conformists are Men as well as their Neighbours, as apprehenfive of Trouble, as desirous of tranquility. They have their Interests, and honest concerns too, on this side Another World; Their backs must be cloathed, their families must eat, or die: and as, pudicitia, & formâ, so conscientia, & integritate, in foro nil emitur. A good conscience is not current coyn in this worlds markets; It will not purehase one dish of meat, though with a good stomack it makes most Excellent fawce; and will make the foul a noble feast Alone. You ought not therefore, you cannot entertain a thought so unreasonable, so uncharitable, That any thing short of sinning against God, and thereby exposing themselves to his displeasure, any thing on this side polluting their Consciences, and so making their best friend their worst enemy, could be a temptation strong enough, to prevail with them to expose to apparent hazard whatever they enjoy of accommodation, to render their Lives desira-Bler.

You might perhaps please your self with a thought, That the Rhetorick of this Discourse would proselyte one of whose intellectuals you had just cause to think nothing but mean and contemptible. And had I found his Reasons as cogent,, at his Stile is fluent; his Arguments as hard, as his

words are soft, you could not despair of success upon Him, who is ever ready to offer himself to be practis'd upon at the satisfaction-office: But ke that would do his work throughly upon an Impartial Inquirer, must use Arguments of Steel, as well as words of Oyl: And the Main thing I complain of in his Declamations is, that whilf we surfeit upon Rhetorick, we are chap-fallen for want of Reason; and the hungry Reader fits picking his teeth like a Spanish Don, after an insipid salade, as if be had dined upon the Oxe at Bartholomew-fair. If ever you saw the sign of the Porter and Dwarf, you have seen the true scale of proportion between his Mellistuous Language, and pitisful argumentation. And I am resolved that no importunity shall prevail with me to Accept A wellmeasured sentence, or Laboured period, for a Syllogism, where two gingling words stand for the Propositions, and a decent comely Cadence for the Conclusion : But this I will freely own, that since there is a necessity (which yet we know no Reason for) that the Non-conformists be Reviled, it's some Comfort to be rail'd at in good Language, and to meet with Dirty Matter wrapt up in clean Linnen. And since you will needs kave my judgment of the stile and dress, I shall only say thus much. Cum omnis Arrogantia est molesta, illa Ingenii, & Eloquentia, est Longe molestissima. All Arrogance is indeed nauseous, but that of wit and Rhethorick in a polemical treatife is a downright Vomit.

They that talk so Confidently that there can be No Unity koped for amorg Christians, without Uniformity; nor peace maintain'd, unless all Men be of a scantling in their judgments, or at least, that (though indulged to retain their different apprehensions) they be obliged to conform to the same practise in every circumstance; do seem to me to have entertain'd notions very unworthy the Christian Religion, or perhaps to understand very little of the Nature and design of it. An Epicurean can nuzzel in the same straw with a swine of his own stye: and truly it was well thought on; savis inter se convenit ursis. But Christianity enlarges our love to a greater Latitude, it raises our affections to a Nobler pitch, cordially to embrace with the best Arms of Good-will whoever are Dignified with the Image of Christ, though not Distinguisht with our own superscription, nor express the finer stroates of our private conceptions; why can we not leve a Christian as he is such, though differing from us in Innocent Accidents, as well as a Man, because he is a Man, though his hair be of another Colour, his face of another Symmetry and complexion than our own?

It's

h's true we cannot without Abhorrence and Recoil of Spirit behold those Monstrous births, which nature (designing regularly, yet through the inepitude of her tools and matter, miscarrying in her operations) does sometimes affeight the world with; Nor can we bear those prodicious Herefies, and uncouth blasphemies, the by-blows of depraved nature, begotten by a Corrupt Head, upon a debauthed Heart; yet even towards these, we ought not to be more monstrous in our behaviour, than they are in their Nature; One sin will never heat another. Rigorous impositions, will never cure froward sentiments; It was A, B of Hereford's prescription to cut off the Head, because it Aked; As some Divisions and errors are the works of the fleth, proceeding from pride and passion, nourished by discontent or other unruly lusts, so are some magnified Remedies for those distempers, which smell as strong, and savour as rank of the flesh, as those very corruptions which they pretend to purge a-

They do but therefore delude themselves, and abuse others, whose great Ambition it is to Reduce the world into a strict and precise uniformity in every minute punciilio. God bas no where promised it, we have no Reason to expell it; All conclude it unattainable; and many judg it not defirable. The Healing Rule must be this, In necessariis unitas: in non-necessariis Libertas, in utrisque Charitas: If our judgments and prastises Center in things fundamental, let a Latitude be allowed in the Non-necessary, and a charitable temper be maintained in both, and then shall we fee the welcome Dawnings of primitive peace and glory. That Peace which fills up both pages in the gospel, is not founded upon an affent to every inconsiderable Nicetie which an idle and fruitful invention can broach, when be has little else to do; but in cherishing a quick and vigorous spirit of mutual condescention and forberrance of one another under our diffentings. No external application can possibly reach this inward grievance, purge out that Tingo Xonia, the Spirit of Malice, Envy, Hatred, Pride, Revenge, and the wound will heal of it self.

It will remain the eternal blot of Pope Victor's memory, that he embroyled both East and west with the quarto-decimane Impertinencies, when he might have allay'd those hears and ferments of Spirit, by removing the Subjest of a needless question, or leaving it at Liberty as he found it; but I much missake my self if ever he, or his successors in that usurpation, understood the things that belonged to the Churches peace, whose solemn mestat it was to fet the Earth on a flame with sparks kindled from Hell, blown up by imposing Pridei yet all along visibly justified with the specious Plea of

uniformity.

Now the Plea (in Short) is this: Without Uniformity there can be no Unity, and without Unity we must expect no inward love; Diverfity of opinions lead to a diversity of practites; and these alienate the affections, which works it felf into fictions and parties to the disquiet and rending of the Church, and hazard of the State. these reasonings lye very naked and open to the judicious and impartial Considerer. For our true Unity lies in the prosession of one God, one Lord Jesus Christ, one Spirit, and not in one Ceremony; and our love will therefore be the more eminent, because it has these differences to prove its truth, and exercise its strength: And whatever the evil may be in different apprehensions, the Remedy will never be Halters, but Humility, and Charity; for Humility will School me into this leffon, to think well of the persons, and judg modestly of the principles of those that dissent, when a Halter will fooner break the neck, than convince the judgment: It's easie to play the Hypocrite, and practife what another pleases; but impossible to believe what I please my self: Eut now, if these diversities of judgment and pra-Elice, shall boyl up into Animosities, which through their ill management shall endanger, or but seem to threaten the safety of the government: The Magistrate in this case has a proper and specifick Remedy of his own, entrusted in his hands by divine right, viz. to reduce Delinquents into the way of sobriety by due punishments, leaving the sober and peaceable Dissenters in the mean time to reap the fruits of their own innocency, with this singular advantage, that they can now learn by the just and exemplary punishments upon others, to watch against those corruptions of their hearts, lest they should break out into any disloyal practises unbecoming the Gospel which they do profess, and should adorn.

How beautiful Uniformity is in the eyes of Men, and what deformity the difference of Aodes, Rites, forms of worship, carry along with it; rendring Religion it self less pleasing and amiable in the eyes of curious and critical Spectators, is easily pretended; and with equal right and reason might they complain, that God has not made all men of one size, one stature, and thence take occasion to make goodly Declamations against the ugliness of

the Creation.

The variety which we behold in the Universe, is not its deformity, but its beauty: As the eye is more ravished with a Landscape which enriches it with the grateful interpositions of Hills and Valleys, Woods and Champian, alternately taking up the thoughts, and feeding contemplation with the natural chequer-work of light, and opake, than if it were let out to lose it self in the uniformity of a wast Horison, or empty prospect; so is the soul more surprized with the glory of the Christian Religion, when various apprehen-

A 4 fions

mage of the same Substantial boliness, and several statures bear the l-mage of the same God: one star differing from another in Glory, yet all shining with a Light borrow'd from the same fountain, the smallest eye of beaven siling up a place in the Asterisms of those of the sirst Magnitude. If indeed all men were soundly cudgell'd into one even way of profession and practice, they whose design it to steep out their days in ease, might enjoy themselves, and their Acquists over Conscience, with more soft and delicate touches of carnal contentmeet, dreaming all the while that the world is their own; yet still the minds of men would sit as uneasse under such Rigours, as he that pinches his body with too strait a suit, only to recommend himself to acceptation by the new and obtaining fashion: And as we observe an uneasse suit soon becomes an old suit; so they that sit pinch't under a straight-laced Religious form, do but grumble, and make sowr faces, waiting the good hour when they may fairly and konestly discharge themselves of an ungrateful cumber.

What advantage this Inquirer may promise to bimself from such a way of writing, I cannot divine. The best use I conceive to be made of it, is to support the evil consciences of the Ministers of their fury at such tolerable rates, that they may not tear their souls in peices: for persecution for Religion, is an impiety so abborrent to the common light of Mankind, that conscience, though for a while perhaps it may contract a Lethargick-drowsiness, yet will awake and mutter, and grumble filthily to be made a Pandar to covetousness or malice, a stalking-horse to base revenge, or to hold a candle to the Devil; and when it shall begin to lowre and scold, itns no little gain that will make a sop for that barking Cerberus, no small see will bribe it to hold its tongue. But now in comes one of these plausible Declamations, rendring the principles of the Diffenters so silly, their grounds so weak, their lives so wicked, their practises so ridiculous, and yet of such treasonable and schismatical Tendencies, which hushes the clamour of conscience, and like the jogging of the Cradle rocks the peevish thing afteep again as soon as it begins to whimper.

Really Sir! I cannot but exceedingly pity and pray for a fort of persons of your own Quality, who to their more refined Extract, having added all the ornaments of polite literature, and those more graceful accomplishments fetcht home by Travel, and a freer converse in the world, besides that Honour which they have bravely won in the Field, and creditably worn at home, have yet their judgments so far imposed upon, their spirits so imbittered by prejudices formed from mis-representations, as to become the instruments of other mens passions, in executing those severities, which their calmer thoughts, and more fedge Advisements must needs Regret; and though a true gene-

rous English temper, valiant, but not cruel, may confidently claim the Magnanimous Lyon (Cui satis est prostrasse) as the Emblem of Courage mixt with Clemency, for his Crest; yet some sew of more rigid inclinations will depopulate and lay waste many a mile about them, who when they kehold an odd kind of Peace, as the happy fruits of their cruelties, applaud themselves for persons of deep judgment, and great success, stiling Desolation,

Universal quiet.

If you ask me why I have not underwritten my Nrme? besides that you know it well enough without my subscription, you may be pleas'd to remember what you once told me, That though Truth needs no Mask, she may want a Helmet; and seeing she desires no better, do not grudg her the covert of darkness. Innocency knows no guilt that should Dye her face with shame, yet she apprehends danger, which may make her Pale with fear. Truth seeks no corners, as to the justice of her cause; and yet she may seek a corner as to the injustice of her Judg. I am not conscious to my self of any evil design; but they who will call Preaching, Prating, will hardly scruple to call my Ears Horns, and I am not to be judg in the

case.

I am confident you commiserate our hard fate, and the unequal terms our buffing Antagonists impose upon us: They challenge us to a paper-duel in the most provoking Language, such as would set an edg upon the most obtuse coward. If modesty, and ambition for peace, or love of retiredness tempe us to decline the combat, we are then posted up for cowardise; but if we awaken so much spirit as to take up the Gauntlet, and return the mildest Answer, then trusty R. gets it in the wind, and immediately summons his Hamlets, raises the whole posse Ecclesia, and Spiritual Militia vpon us, and strangles the helpless Infant in the Cradle: A wary Answer may sometimes steal off the form before it's started, then comes in Mr. Warden M. (the common Hunt) whistles out the whole pack of his infallible Beagles, pursues, runs down, catches the poor fugitive, and then you know to seize a book is the most effectual way to confure it: If one in a thousand has the happy success to escape this Inquisition, then the new Smectymnuan Divines, or Convocation of the Coffee-house will reply upon it, that they will; if it was pen'd with becoming seriousness and gravity, they have one Reply; This is nothing but whining, or Raving: if the stile be brisk't with a dash or so of facetiousness, they have one word ready to confute it, This is Drollery, Burlesque, buffoonry! A blank Imprimatur lay ready every week against poor Robin (the doughty second of the Friendly debate, and Ecclefiastical Polity) creeps abroad; and to all his blasphemies, obscenities, scurrilities, ribaldries, the priviledg underwrites, This may be printed

printed: If Mr. Sh. goes big with some of his illegitimate Socinian-fooleries, a Chaplain waits at the door to midwife the Brat into the world: But if a piece comes out with little zeal of Ceremonies, though in vindication of the old Dodrine of the Ancient Church of England, it expects nothing but Lydford Law, first to be condemn'd, and afterwards perhaps to be try'd: Against all which I see no other remedy, but silent complaints, or it may be this short Rejoinder, Tolle Legem & fiat disputatio!

But I have already given you too much trouble; what remains must be mine own, to study to be Master of a calm, serene, submissive frame of beart, which may enable me to suffer like a Christian, for doing like a Christian! And if after all, I cannot escape the lash of virulent Tongues, and violent hands, yet at least I may not fall under the severer stings of my own

conscience.

I shall not need to beg of youto give this Paper a leisurely and impartial perusual, 'tis so agreeable to your own Nature, and that strict Law which your own Wisdom has impos'd upon you, Not to pass a final judgment upon any thing, before you have duely weighed all things; that as I cannot suspect you will decline your constant and fixed method in my single case, so I can hardly prevail with my self to ask that as a favour, which you in justice must needs grant.

I shall only beg the pardon of this interruption given to your important concerns; and if you smile sometimes at my simplicity, let that be the sharp-

est correction your affection will suffer you to give to

Honoured Sir!

Tour much obliged Servant, and

most unworthy Friend.

G. W.

The Introduction Considered, and the Enquirer's expected Advantages from his Comparison between the Religion of the former and present Times, seasonably disappointed.

Rhetorical Introduction is nothing but a Politick Shooingborn to draw on an incredible discourse more smoothly over the Readers tender Belief, in case he should prove too high in the Instep: Or you may please to call it a Lesser Wedge, prudently applyed to a Knotty piece to make way for a greater; For with fuch grave Maxims, wife men arm themselves, To drive that Wedge, not which is best in it self, but which will go. The Learned Verulam observes, That they are not those stinks, which the Nostrils straight abhor and expel, which are most pernicious; but such Airs as have some similitude with mans Body, and so insinuate themselves, and betray the Spirits. Thus downright Railing Discourses are in part their own Antidotes; and we stop our Noses at those fulsom eructations of some writers, who have been certainly fed a long time with Carrion; whereas these more plausible Pests recommended to our gusto by the Vehicle of supple phrase, and glib expressions, and with all Aromatized with a whiff of pretended Charity, creep into the affections, and so with ease betray the judgment; for Perit judicium cum res transit in affectum. When a Controversy once gets fair Quarter in the affections, it will soon undermine, and blow up the understanding; so hard it is to perswade, that it can be Toyson which is sweet, or destructive to Nature which accommodates it self to the Critical Humours of the Palate.

It has pleased the Enquirer (upon Mature advice no doubt) to usher in the main Body of his Discourse, with a Pathetical comparison between the ancient state of Christianity, and the present; and he very affectionately laments the Change: wherein he imitates the vain humour of our young travellers, who at their return unmeasurably prædicate the glories of forreign Countreys; but can find nothing but mean and contemptible to bestow on their Native Soil: Whether it be that they would be thought to know something more than

than those home-bred Snails which never travell'd beyond the fight or smell of their own Chimneys; or that they presume to shelter themselves under that Protestion which all great Travellers are supposed to carry in their Pockets; Yet this is certain, that far-fetcht and dear-bought, will recommend a very trite and ordinary story, to

the Acceptation and Admiration of the Many.

The Poets are never more transported into pleasing extasse, than when they are gotten into the Lorday of the Golden Age; and then the Rivers shall flow with pure Nestar and Milk; The Trees distil Life Honey; and the Prodigal Earth, without cultivation, gladly exhaust her Spirits, and spin out her Bowels to pay Tribute to the satisfaction of Mankind; with a great many more Pedantick good-morrows; But when once that Iron Age appeared with its Harden-sace; Pandora's Box was then open'd, and whole Legions of Furies invaded the world. But above all. Navigation and Trade, those two implacable enemies to all Religion, were invented; and I cannot sufficiently admire, that amongst all the Reasons muster'd up against those Schismatical Evils, This Primitive one, That they came in with the Iron Age, escaped our Enquirers Industry,

As all good Christians are ready to give the Primitive times their due praises, and as willing to lament the Degeneracy and Apostacy of the present; so they look upon it as a piece of Incivility no modest person would be guilty of; to spend all his Frankincense in embalming the memory of the Ages Dead and gone; whilst the present lies like a rotten Carcase slinking above-ground without the curtesse of a Flannel shrowd to cover its nakedness. A vanity (to say not thou what is the cause that the former days were better than these? For thou dost

not Enquire wisely concerning this.

Had this Gentleman concern'd himself to appear a wise and impartial, as well as a compassionate Enquirer, he had never been guilty of that folly to pelt witty Sentences and apothegms at his Readers head, as Boys do Snow-balls, which with equal ease, and execution, may be retorted. For thus might a vulgar Ingeny form a Panegyrick of the Singular Piety and exemplary Holiness of those Primitive Christians: "That they imployed their Affections in keeping the old "Commandments, and never strained their Inventions to find out "new ones: They made no more Duties, nor Sins, than God had "made; and left the way to Heaven no narrower, the Gate no "straiter than they found it: They judged him a good man that figured

"fquared his Conversation, a pious man that modelled his Devotions by that of the Word, though he knew no other Rubrick: They
contented themselves with Gospel-simplicity, and durst not be wise
above what was written, lest they should prove learned fools: They
understood what a Spirit of bearing with, and forbearing of one
another signified; And the Fathers of the Church approved themselves to deserve that venerable Character, who never dasht out
their Childrens brains, because their Heads were not all of one
Block.

That little wit which there is in these popular Trappings, is only this, To single out the most eminent Inflances of Resined Sanctity in the Primitive times, and from thence to take the general measures of their Devotion; and then again to cull out the most Infamous Examples of prodigious Villanies in the present Age, and from thence to give us the Idea of our Modern Piety; that is, to make a missinappen Parallel between the Flower of those, and the Bran of these

days.

He that would make a right judgment of the Wealth of a Nation, must not visit the Hospitals only: He that would take a just Prospect of a Nations Piety, must not inform his Pencil from the Records of Newgate, or the Executions of Tyburn: Or if he would be satisfied in the sweetness of a City, I would not advise him to hang his Nofe over the Vaults and Common-shores: Or if he would take the exact Height of the Nations Glory, let him not take instructions from some depopulated Village: If we consider the best of the worst man, or the worst of the best man, If we only view the Mole or Wart upon the fairest face, and some single feature in the most deformed, we may easily betray our selves in this false judgment, that Thersines was a great Beauty, and Absolom a Gorgon: Thus if we will denominate the lapsed Ages from some eminent Rarities of Virtue; or the present, from some notorious Examples of Impiety, we shall never distinguish between the Common-wealth of Plato, and the Dregs of Romnlus.

Suppose we, that some Tribunitial Orator, to exercise the gallantry of his Pen in a Theme so common and copious, would set himself to decry the Piety of that other World, let him Copy out the Treachery of Judas, exaggerate the Apostacy of Demas, the Heretical pravity of Hymeneus and Philetus; let him enlarge upon the Ambition of Diotrephes, the Blasphemies of Cerinthus, the Debaucheries of the Nicolaitans; and above all, be sure to plie the Villanies

Villanies of the Gnosticks with warm Cloaths, and what a frightful Medusa would that Age appear, if drawn to the life by those Ex.

emplars?

Suppose once more, that our Orator had an itch to employ his mercenary Pen, to scrape acquaintance with some tempting preferment; to reconcile his lines to the Genius of the present Age, and imploy his Talents where he shall not lose his oyl and pains; Let him with Apelles, take up on trust the particular Excellencies of the most exemplary Christians; let him borrow the single Beauties of Meekness, Patience, Humility, Charity, Faith, Self-denial, Con-Rancy, that like the Sporades lie dispersed and scattered up and down the world let him Amasse all the individual worthinesses that are not yet banisht to Heaven, and unite all these in one Table. and such a draught perhaps shall not need to be ashamed to shew its face before the most exact pieces of proportion that are referved in the Archives of Antiquity. And to speak a plain truth, if one tenth part of what these men ascribe to their great Patrons in their Dedicatory Epiftles were true, I could easily evince that there are very few who have the disposal of fat advowsons, but are more Illustrious Saints, than any of the Primitive Fathers, and perhaps we shall not need to except the Twelve Apostics.

As he would feand loufly reproach the stable fixed Providence of God, that should conclude Nature to be almost worn off her legs, her Powers enseebled, her Spirits debilitated from the precotious deaths of those who dig their graves with their teeth, and with the sheers of Luxury and Riot cut the threed of their lives before Reason would say it was half spun out to its just length; so would he no less maliciously blaspheme the steady Reglement and superintendency of the only Head and Governour of the Church, with the efficacious influences of the H Spirit upon the Souls of true Christians, who from Hypocrisie the mother, and her daughter Apostacy, of those who Court Religion for her Dowry, shall conclude against the power of Godliness in those Christians, which is very conspicuous to all who are not concern'd in point of self preservation, and self-justification, to decry real Holiness according to the Primitive Pattern, whilst they would be thought the great Adorers of the Primitive

Times.

A practice well-becoming the Legions of Beelzebub, or the Trainedbands of Accaron, whose delight it is with the importunate Flie, to fix upon the galled parts, exasperating fores with their ve-

nomous probosces, which would heal of themselves; whilst prejudice will not suffer them to take notice of the entire and sounder

parts.

What Arguments our Enquirer hath furnisht Atheism with to wound Religion, which he would pretend to keal, I shall not need to observe, they are a generation quick-sighted to espie and take their advantages without a Monitor: But when I hear him lament the palpable contradiction of the lives of the Generality of Christians now, to the Rules of their own Religion; and that few take the measures of their Astions, or the Rule of their lives from the New Testament, I expect to hear others ask, why they should be more obliged to the Humilty, Selfdenial, Sobriety recommended in the Gospel, than their Teachers, who apparently conform themselves to the secular Grandeur and swelling Pomp of the most licentious times? And if a plain Truth might be spoken, without any ones taking snuff, there can be no more Reason assigned, why the People should be tyed up to the Rules of the N. T. in their lives, than Church-men are to make it the Rule and Rubrick of their worship: They who expect Primitive Submission, must give Precedents of Primitive Moderation: And if they will exact and challenge the Ancient Manners, let us fee in them the Ancient Examples: In vain shall Mother Crab command her daughter to creep forward, if the confutes her instruction by creeping backward.

If then Matters be really so Retrograde, and gone off from their true Centers; yet it cannot become them to Condemn the World for being Wrong, who resolve it shall never be Right. He that complains things are not as they were, and yet Disputes that they ought to be as they are; shall never dispute me into a Plerophory of his sincerity. They that confess a want of the Ancient Discipline, which yet they will not restore, and complain at the same time of a Defect of the Ancient Piety, which they pretend they cannot Remedy, do but weep over the Vineyard which is laid wast, whilst they either pluck up the Hedge, or resuse to repair the decayed Mounds and Fences; or deplore an Inundation of Wickedness which is broken in upon us, and yet stand by the Sluce, and will not shut it down, nor suffer others to do it, be-

cause they have no Call to the Work.

All things in this lower World infensibly contract corruption, and with a filent foot decline from their Original Integrity; so that every day furnishes us with New Reasons to scowr off the encroaching Rust, and restore them to their Primitive Brightness.

He that rows against the Stream, must incessantly ply his Arms, and Oars, and work against the pressing importunity of the Current, of else shall find himself unawares hurried down the Stream.

Sic omnia fato,
In pejus ruere, ac retro sublapsa referri. Virg.

It was a feasonable Question of a Great Person many years ago, Why the Civil State should be purged and restored by good and wholsom Laws, made every Third or Fourth Year in Parliament, providing Remedies as fast as Time breedeth Mischiefs; and contrariwise the Ecclesiastical State should still continue upon the Dregs of Time, and receive no alterations now for this five and forty years, and more? And I am sure it's another street and forty years and upwards since that Complaint was made.

It will then be very feafonable to complain of Modern Corruption, and cry up Primitive Devotion in these Men, when they shall demonstrate a real willingness to reduce what is a miss, into order, to make what is crooked straight, by the Primitive Rule of Reformation.

That the conversation of those early Christians was Commendable, I readily admit; that there is a wretched Degeneracy in our days, I sadly see; yet give me leave to Note and Detest the Hypocrisie of those who build Stately Monuments to, and bestow Ranting Epitaphs upon the Deceased Piety of the Former, and yet destroy or discourage the Remaining Piety of the present Age: That pluck down the Living Temples of the Spirit, that upon their Ruins they may build their own Palaces; who first Stigmatize Primitive Holiness with the Modern Brand of Fanaticism, and then persecute it; and the same time Canonize Primitive Superstition for the Christian Religion, and then Impose it.

But our Inquirer has mark'd out some of the peculiar Glories of those Elder Times, and perhaps it may not be unpleasant to the Rea-

der to run over with me some of their Excellencies.

1. Of old to be a christian, was to be all that's Holy, Just and Good, &cc When I read these juvenile Declamations in praise of Vertue, I am ready to snatch the Answer out of bis mouth, who replyed in a case not unlike: Quis entm unquam vituperavit? I wonder whoever spoke one word against it? But it's easie to strain a S ring till it breaks, which being screwed up to its just height, would

bear

bear its part in the Harmony. To be All that's Holy, All that's Fust's All that's Good, is the Glory of Him whom't is our Duty to imitate, our Folly to strive to equalize: In a limited sense 't is the Glory of those Blessed Ones, who are Comprehensores; to be really Holy, truly Fust, sincerely Good, is pretty fair for those that pass under the Notion of Viatores: But if this were the Character of Primitive Saintship, the Apostle Paul must not have worn a ked Letter in our Enquirers Calendar, who professes, Phil. 3.12, 13. That he had not already attained, neither was already perfect, nor counted himself to have apprehended; but yet he reached forth unto those things which were before; he press'd towards the mark for the price of the high calling of God in Christ Jesus.

2. Wherever Religion came, it was a Principle of Purity in Mene, hearts, konesty in their lives, and peace in Kingdoms, &c. Wherever Religion came! Why Religion may come, either in the Declaration of it, or in the Power, and Cordial Acceptation of it. And I presume this Enquirer will not affert, That wherever Religion came in the former, sense, it had those blessed effects in the Purest Primitive Times; and I am consident he cannot deny, that wherever it comes in the latter sense, it produces those happy Fruits even in the worst of Times. But so easie it is to render trivial and common matter plausible to the Ear, whilst we are cheated with a Charivary of sounding Brass, of the Ditty of a singling Cymbal.

3. But then the Christian Faith was not a Trick of Wit. In it self indeed it was not, nor is so now; yet crafty Knaves would venture then naturally to hope to be self, 2 Cor. 2. 17. to dilute the Pure Wire of Gods Word with the watry mixtures of their own Invention; and we have those still that will be shewing such tricks of audacious with upon it now.

What therefore he quotes from Lastantius; any man may venture to say, and never hurt is mielf, or spoil his credit. Give me a fierce and contentious Man; and if he will but apply himself to the Grace and institutions of the Gospel, he shall become as meet as a Lamb: let a covetous Person bearken to the Dostrine of the Gospe'; and he shall presently dispense his Money. Nay, for once I will say as hold a word as that comes to's Give me the most inhumane and barbarous Personator, that without scruple of Conscience edts up Gods. People like bread; and if he will but consorm to the Dostrine of the Gospet, he shall be sorced to take out a new Lesson, and earn over a new Leaf, and of a bloody Saul, become a Paul; po-

fels, or preach the same Jesus whom he has so outrageously persecuted: Give me that Church-man that seeks his Peoples goods more than their good; he that heaps Offa upon Pelion, and Olympus upon both, one Steeple upon another, and a third upon the former, as if he hoped either to Scale or Purchase Heaven, to take it by Storm or Surrender; and let him but attend, and give up himself to those documents which he either Preaches, or however Reads, and he shall presently refund the Price of Souls, and errogate upon the Members of Christ, what he had once squeezed out of Spungy Consciences.

But the Heathens could boast as much as this comes to, of their Mo-

ral Precepts.

Invidus, Iracundus, acer, vinosus Amator: Nemo adeo ferus est ut non mitescere possu, Simodo culturæ patientem commodet Aurem. Horat.

4. Then the Professors of Christianity were all of one Heart, and one Lip; there was then but one Division of Men: evoebes & doebes, were the only Selfs the World was divided by: All good Men were of one way,

and all evil Men of another.

I have feriously considered for what juncture of Time this Eloquent Period was calculated; and when those happy days did shine, that might deferve so fair a Character: And I conclude it must be some Pre-Adamitical Sate, commencing with the Fu'ian Period, or at lowest that of Paradise, where we may probably conjecture, That all good Men mere of one mind, because there was but one Man there. But if the Enquirer would acknowledg it as a favour, I would shew him how he might reconcile his Rhetorick to Truth, which is not often feazible: All good Men were of one way, the way of Holiness leading to Happiness; And all evil Men were of another way, the way of Sin and Impenitency which leads to Misery: But so it is still, and thousands of Ages will never alter the Case. But then, to be of one heart and lip in the minute Circumstances of Religion, that I never heard all Men were, nor never expect they will be fo on this side absolute perfection. The Roman Church even in the Apostolical Times, was not without its Heats and Animosities: Some there were, who being weak in the Faith, discerned not their Christien Liberty, but confined themselves to Salades, and judged others that went beyond their short Tedder, as Libertines, and Men of a Latitudinarian Conscience; others who were strong, and under-Hood that Christ had emancipated them from the Yoke of Mosaical Ceremonies.

Ceremonies, used their freedom, and these despised the rest, as a company of scrupulous Coxcombs; What sierce bandyings and jostlings there were in the Church of Corinth, whilst one Party hangs out the Ensigns of Paul, another shelters it self under the headship of Peter; and perhaps a third not asraid to entitle the Prince of Peace to their Quarrels, and draw in Christ himself to be the head of a Fastion: And yet these were all Members of the same Church; and whilst agreeing in the Substantials of Religion, the Apostle durst not strike in with one Party, to crush the other, but maintains the Flame of Charity alive, amidst the Sparks of their Contentions in things remote from the Foundation.

That great Promife that God would make his People of one Heart, and one Lip, is either not understood, or not fulfilled; or if fulfilled in some measure, yet the more gloridas Accomplishment thereof reserved for Times and Persons of a more healing Temper, and to be brought about by more proportionable means, than Gibbets, Halters, Fire and Faggot, viz. the pouring out the Spirit of Light and Love.

I think I may refer it to almost any one to judg, whether he be not most ridiculously absurd, that shall so severely Animadvert upon one present Divisions, when he may at such easie and cheap rates heal them all, and yet will not. By some Mens words you would think they based Divisions implacably; but by their assings you would think

they lov'd them as desperately.

Let the Primitive Rule of Reformation, of which the Reverend Dr. Pierce has minded the forgetful Age, be severely attended to; To set what is crooked straight, by what was from the beginning: Let all the Churches Conform to it, and Resorm by it, and then will discord be as great a stranger amongst Christians, as Peace is said to be at this day. Lay but the weight and stress of Unity upon Necessaries, in the rest exercise Charity; and then as we never had Peace about the Institutions of Men, so we shall never have Wars about the confess of Institutions of Christ.

The Christian Religion numbers it amongst its peculiar Glories, and excicest singularities, that it teaches us to maintain brotherly Love, under differing Apprehensions, and variety of Practises, in those lesser matters. Which neither weaken Holiness, nor cross the design of the Gospel.

As God in the fi-st Creation formed Men of differing Sizes, various Statures, and multiform Shapes and Complexious, and yet none

á quarrel

quarrel upon that account; none is so Apish to enact, that the Fox shall cut off his Train, because the other has none. None will impose his own height as the just standard of all others that he that is a hairs-breadth taller shall be adjudged a Monster, and he that is as much lower shall wear the reproach of a Dwarf: So in the new Creation, it's none of Christ's design to reduce all sincere believers to an uniffermity in every Punctilio in judgment and practise, but to perform a Nobler and more glorious work than this, namely to insuse such spirit of Love, and from thence such healing councels, to inspire into all his Disciples such Moderation, such Gondescention, that notwithstanding these diversities they may all love as Brethren, and keep the unity of the Spirit in the Bond of Peace; and if in any thing any one be otherwise minded, to wait till the God of Peace from the word of Peace should reveal it unto him

Nor indeed is it any credit to the Religion of our Saviour, to be represented to the World, as if it taught so narrow and restrained a Charity that would only embrace those that were cast in the Mold of our own particular perswasions: or to hang on a string only with those who jump in with our own Points to a Tag: An Excellency, if it be one, to be found more eminently amongst the Lyons in the Tower, the Turks in their Mosques, or perhaps of old in the Affrican Conventicle, (much Revised; and as much Imitated) who Monopoliz'd Salvation to them that were Exparte Do-

nati.

But that which is the most pleasant in this Period, is, To see what a world of Truth our Compassionate Enquirer has Massacred for the sake of one poor sorry Ctimars. There are now (says he) almost as many Opinions as Men, as many parties as Opinions; and as many Religions as either. That almost may, I confess, do him some service; it has in its days help'd many a lame Dog over the Stile: But surely there may be great diversities of Opinions amongst them that are of the same Religion; He might as well conclude, that the Spaniard and the French are of two Religions, because the one buttons his Doublet up wards, the other downwards. I have been much taken with a Decree that I sound in B. Jewel, made by Pope Innocent III. and might have become a far better Man.

Quoniam inplerisque partibus, intra eandem Civitatem, & Diocessim, permiti sunt populi diversarum linguarum, habentes sub una Fide, varios Ritus. & Mores, Distincte pracipimus, ut Pontifices hujusmodi Civitatum provideant viros idoneos, qui secundum diversitates Rituum, &

Linguarum.

Linguarum, divina illis officia Celebrent, & Sacramenta Admini-

strent.

"Forasmuch as in most places, in the same City and Diocess, there are people of divers Languages mingled together, who under one and the same Faith, do retain differing Ceremonies and Customs; we do therefore expressy charge and command the Bishops of the said Cities and Diocess, to provide able Fersons, who may Celebrate amongst them the Divine Offices, and Administer to them the Sacraments, according to their differing Languages and Ceremonies.

Differing Rites and Observations, whilst lest indifferent, will not make differing Religions; what they may do, when imposed as the ne-

ceffary Terms of communion, I shall not Determine.

Nay, that there are as many Parties, as Opinions, will need not only some Grains. but whole Bushels of Salt to keep it sweet: Do we not see those of the same Party indulge each other in their prviate conceptions; and none more than they who most Triumph in a pretended Unity and Uniformity, who can agree in few things amongst themselves, and yet can sweetly accord to extirpate all but themselves?

5. Time was (says our Enquirer) when Alen sacrificed their Lives in Testimony to their Faith, as frankly as since they bave done to their Passion, Revenge, and Ambition. And That is (says another) when Men will sacrifice the Lives of their Breihren, and the Peace of the Church to the same waspish Deities, and their own consciences to boot, to another Idol known of old by the Name of Mammon. Such Elegant Orations have we penn'd about Time was, and Time is, that I suspect they were indited from Frier Bacon's Brazen Head-piece. But more Anger still! Then was Charity counted as Essential a part of Keligion, as Censoriousness is now with too many. This is witty enough in all reason! And one would not stick to break a Jest now and then, though it broke anothers Head, or perhaps his own with the Splinters. But Men are bad enough, and need not be made worse than they are: Censoriousness is a Crime too Odious to be Defended, and yet too notorious to be denied; to cover a fault will make it twee but to justify it, will make it many: But yet that any should make this Cenforiousness a part, much more an Effential part of their Religion, is an Hyperbole too daring for my weak Faith to meddle with.

B 3

I have been considering into what place of Religion they can possibly crowd it, whether into their Creed or Ten Commandments: The Papists have rob'd the people of just one half of a Sacrament, and then to give them their due, to make them ample sacrament, and then to give them their due, to make them ample sacrament, they have created five entire Sacraments de Novo: They have craftily also purloyned the whole second Commandment; but then, because the Laity have an inkling that there were once Ten of them, lest they should miss one out of the Decalogue, they have very discreetly split the Tenth into a Couple: But where to wedg in this Censoriousness, was a great difficulty, and had continued so, had not some repealed the fourth Commandment, as purely Ceremonial; and therefore if any where, there it must go.

Some perhaps may Censure this Censurer as guilty of more Censoriousness than half the World besides; but such do not consider, that we must allow for shrinking in the Silk-grograin Phrase of Rhetoricians; what a flat, humble, low, jejune expression had it been to have said, Truly Men are too Censorious! But now the Stile mantles, and the Language brisles, and burnishes, it comes off with a Nobler Grace, it fills the Mouth, and sounds augustly, to say, They make it an Essential tart of their Reli-

gion.

6. Nothing was then thought too good, or costly, for the Service of God, or Religion: Men could not content themselves to serve God with that which cost them nothing. It was one, a Julian, or such another, that envied the costly Vessels wherewith Christ was served. Ay! Time was indeed, (and pity it is, so good a Time had not its Wings clip'd from flying away!) Time was, that Mens money burnt their Pocket-bottoms out. when the fire of Purgatory made it too hot for the most frozen Usurer to hold: but now alas, that Time is past.—And so the Brazen Head fell down, and dash'd out its brains! If these things be truly represented, and that the glory of the Primitive Times did consist in pompow Devotions, Polished Altars, Gilded Organs, Sumptuous Candlesticks, Embroidered Copes, Silken Cowles; much good may it do them, we neither envy, nor shall imitate their Inimitable Excellencies.

If *fulian* envied the Plate wherein Christ was served, let him grow lean with envy; but surely the Chronicles are hugely wide, if these matters be not missaid: The cost and charges at which the Primitive Christians were in the Service of their God, and Saviour, was quite another thing; they bestowed their hearts upon him, bore reproach

reproach for him, laid down their lives, and whatever was dear to them in defence of his Truth: Silver and Gold they had none, and Christ as little need of it.

However, that Age could not well upbraid the present with irreligion, if the true measure of Gods Worship be to be taken from its exterior Garb and Splendor: As we cannot mock them with their Wooden Presbyters, so I am certain they could not us with Wooden Chalices; at least in this one particular I expect he should retract, and freely own, that for costly Worship (which is the main) we have sheer out-

vy'd the primitive Times.

I shall not much concern my self to reflect upon that useful policy of those who have imposed upon the credulous World, a belief, that whatever is devoted to the priests, is therein Consecrated to God: but yet I may filently admire the easiness of those Ages that suffer'd themselves so tamely to be abus'd: And above all, I cannot but wonder at the Chaldeans, a people renowned for wisdom, that they could once be perswaded by the pricsts of Bell, that his Hungry Deity had devoured all that good Beef and Mutton, which their blind Devotion offer'd at his Altar. The Truth is, their own Belly was their God, and poor Bell bore all the blame of their gluttony, Thus what they got over their Idols back, they spend it under his, or upon their own infatiable Paunches. It's no new thing for Sacred Names to give Patronage to Avarice: Thus the Kite foars aloft, as if the defigned beaven, when her steady Eye is fix dupon the prey below: and glorious pretences to endow the Holy Mother Church, had almost reduced the Lay-world to beggery.

7. In those early days the Christian Assemblies drained the Theatres, Ay! But where's the Antithesis? But now (so it should run) the Theatres have drained the Christian Assemblies: But that had been a Repartee too close and home for one that would be kind to kimples. Had the Primitive Preachers exposed their own Religion, they had never drained the Theatres; and if our Modern Pulpits will drive that Trade, The Theatres will drain the Water, if not draw the Grist from their Mill; for they know how to expose Religion more ingeniously, and more effectually.

But what other issue must we expect, when some Clergy-men shall frequent, others plead for, and justifie the Play-houses? when the Beares, with their decent and harmonious Bagpipes; the Fencers with their rathing Drums shall find fair quarter, shall have free in-

B 4 gress,

gress, egress, and regress, when yet some Christian Assemblies are

disturbed, and broken in pieces.

We poor folk are apt to think, that we may venture a step or two nearer the brink of the Pit than our Teachers, and take a little more Latitude than our Guides; for they are well paid for their Gravity, whiless we must be forced to be sober and austere at our own proper cost and charges. It has been an old observation, If Ministers be merry, the people will be mad; if they drink, their people will be drunk; if they argue for the lamfulness of Theatres, and other such Nurseries of good Learning, the people without scruple will frequent them: And then have a care in good earnest, less the stage plunder the Pulpit, and the Theatre drain the Christian Assemblies more effectually than the Conventicles.

8. The Holy Men of those times that approach'd our Saviour, had as it were some Rays of his Divinity upon them, and their faces shone, &c. And would he indeed have these times talk of Rays, and Beams, and Shinings of face? On purpose perhaps, because they want new Matter for Ecclesistical Burlesque, and Canonical Drollery! One such expression as this dropt from the Tongue or Pen of a Dissenter, had been enough to equip out a whole Fleet of Friendly debates for a Summers expedition: But yet he has qualified it pretty well; they were but some Rays; and as it were some Rays: and that may mollifie as dangerous a word as this, and saye the Primitive Times a Satyr.

9. A Christian Church was then a Colledg of holy and good Men: Incomparable proof, that all Churches were either then Cathedrals, or at least Collegiate; and truly they might have continued so still, had not Rem Israel, of Discipline in just causes, and severity of Discipline in

flighty causes endangered to make them a Den of Theires.

It the Church-doors were strictly guarded, and the Church-mindows n rrowly watch'd, that none might come in by the one, nor climb in by the other, that are unqualified: If Simoniacal buyers and sellers were foundly whip'd out, which have bribed their Admission by the Golden Kev; and none denied entrance that claim Admission upon Christs Term; such as can produce Testimonial Letters from a sound Faith, and holy Coversation, the Church might still be a Colledg of good and holy Men: But if some must be forced in, in spight of their Teeth, though as unst as Ignorance and prophaneness can make them; if like the Americans, they must be compelled to go to Heaven upon pain of deuth; if others be excluded by the Palizado's of Ceremonies, however meet Materials for such a constitution, never hope the Church should.

should be a Holy Colledg, but a Lazarhouse; for they that are of no Religion, will be of any Religion, rather than be undone for being of none; and they that are really of any Religion, will endeavour to go to Heaven in better company.

And such were the brauties of the Primo-trimitive Confessors: but now there is a sad Degeneracy; and that the Reader may not suspect I envy our Anthors Abilities, I shall give him a taste of his Excel-

lencies in exposing the Modern Piety.

1. Now dry opinions are taken for Faith. Oh what a lucky hint had here been for one that was so inclinable to be ingenious! For dry opinions (you know) are very combustible matter, which will catch at the smallast spark, and therefore must needs set the whole World in a stame,

But 2. Men have been busie in making new Creeds, and have forgotten to prastife the old. Whence note for your Learning, and singular Edification, that though some mistake the creed for a Prayer, yet it will serve without sensible error for the Ten Commandments: And yet perhaps prastifing a Creed is not so easie a matter as he may imagine: Let Men but believe their Creedenda, and prastifing the Agenda, and they shall never be reproached by me for not prastifing their Creed, whether it be old or New.

I am very consident the innocent Reader takes it for granted, that the Enquirer has all this while been comparing the Piety of ancient days, with that of the present, as it stands at home amongst our selves: But he's meerly gulled; for all this gawdy Eloquence has been spent upon forreign Countries. Such (says he) is the condition of the Greek and Latin Churches; there 'tis that they are so busie in making New Creeds, that they have forgot to practise the Old. Just as if one of John Messers should cry out in the streets, Fire! Fire! And one startled at the Alarm, asks, Where? where? Oh at the Escurial! at the Fscurial! in Spain, near Madrid! Nay then, we are all well enough! I was afraid it had been my next Neighbour Ucalegon; and therefore, I hope, we may have time enough to remove our Goods But, Reader, be not too secure, for the sparks are already slown over into England: If we come nearer home (says he) I doubt we shall not find things much better.

There is one peice of fusice. or charity, which I must here demand, or beg of my Reader; and its This: That if the Enquirer has a priviledg to suppose his Scarefire beyond the Seas, I may be allowed the priviledg to suppose, that my poor Bucket was bestowed there also 3

· [ 26 ]

and that though the Tragedy of Mustapha was acted in London, yet

the Scene was laid at Constantinople.

That the British Churches were so famous for Religion in the first Times of their Plantation, I am right glad to hear, and hope the News is true; but the evidence and the consequence do both exceedingly trouble me: The former is flender, that if we touch it not very gingerly, like the Apples of Sodom, it will moulder into dust; and the latter is so dangerous, that it concerns him to handle it gently, lest it prick his fingers. And I. for the Evidence: If the presence of the British Bishops at the Council of Arles be his best proof, it must proceed thus: The British Bishops were present at the Council. Their presence must presume their subscription to the Articles; their subscription must imply a virtual and implicit confent of the British Clergy, and then the confent of the Clergy must involve the Approbation of all the Churches. And lastly, the Churches Approbation of the Articles must infer, that they practifed their Creed, and that their Lives were so eminent for Holinels, that they did as it were shine with some Rays or Beams of Divinity. And here is a Team of connected inferences, that if one fails, the conclusion will be left in the Mire. And therefore he has another proof to help it out at a standing pull: At the time of the Nicene Council, Britain was accounted one of the fix Diocesses of the Western Empire. And then no rational creature can desire clearer demonstration, that they were eximiously Holy; for if they were of any Diocess, first, or sixth, it makes no great matter, provided it bebut of the Western Empire, it will infallibly conclude their Piety, though it had been more clear in my mind, had it been a Diocess not of the Empire only, but the Church. And then 2. for the confequence, that seems very perilous; for if the presence of the British Bishops at the Council of Arles implies their subseription, and that subscription the consent of the Clergy, the Clergies consent, the Approbation of the People; and that infers their Holiness. Then (say some) the presence of the English Divines at the Synod of Dort, and their subscription of the Articles, will imply the consent of the Clergy, and the consent of the Clergy the Approbation of the English Church; and there's no remedy for it that I can fee. If the prefince of the one will evince the Kingdoms Sandity, the presence and subscription of the other will much stronger evince the Kingdoms Orthodoxy; For subscription is a good step beyond bare presence, and so our Premises are stronger; and Santtity is a good frep beyond Truth in the Understanding, and so our conclusion is more modest. We

We are now coming to lower times, to the Catholick times of Popery: and Religion holds very good still, and runs clear; but there's no help for it, he must tilt it, or it will run Dregs in the Reformation

The Inhabitants of this Island (Says he) have not been more famous for Martial Prowess, than for sincere Piety and Devotion: For Polydore Virgil, an Italian, and Erasmus a Dutchman, both of the Roman Communion, and (therefore be sure) competent Witnesses, afirm there was more true devotion and fincerity of Religion in this Church, than in any one place of the World besides: Auditum admissi, Risum teneatis? I have known a sober Horse break Bridle upon a far less provocation. We will for once, to gratifie this Enquirers longing, suppose that there was more true Piety and sincere Devotion amongst the English Papists, than among the Albigenses and Waldenses, than in Bokemia, or wherever else the Gospel had begun to dawn; but that Polydore Virgil, and Erasmus, should be competent witnesses, and therefore competent witnesses, because of the Roman Commion, does a little stumble me; and that it has ever been as the Interest, so the Religious practife of those in Communion with Rome, to magnifie those in Communion with her, and as much to depretiate the Holiness of all those that had once withdrawn themselves from her Corrupti-

The Argument, such as it is, proceeds thus: They that were of the Roman Communion, must needs be supposed competent Witnesses of the truth of the Devotion, and sincerity of the Religion of those of the same Communion: but such, and so qualified were this Polydore Virgil, and this Erasmus, and therefore they must needs be supposed Testes Legales, competent Witnesses of the truth of the Devotion, and fincerity of the Religion of those of the same Communion, and fuch at that time was the Church of England; and the strength of the Argument depends upon some old stable Maxims, which like the norval erverar, are never to be denied: as that, Ask his fellow whether he be a Thief? And Birds of a feather are impartial in blazoring one anothers vices. But yet if he will define Piety by Superstition, and Religion by blind Zeal, and Devotion by hoodwink'd Obedience, Charity by a Merit-mongering humour, laying out it self in uncommanded Fopperies, idle Self-Macerations, Idolatrous Masses, Fool-hardy Pilgrimages, Dirges, Trentalls. Obits, Requiems, and fuch-like Trash and Trumpery; I will not contend. Let Erasmus and his fellow Polydore pass for irrefragable Evidence, and the Piety of those days out-shine that of their Contemporaries and Successors amongst the reformed Christians, *Quantum inver ignes Luna minores*.

Well, but yet the Universal Pastor observed the sheep of Englind to bear such good Fleeces, and so patiently to submit to the Shearer, that he kept a vigilant eye over his flocks, and his Vigilancy was rewarded with the Golden Fleece. This indeed quite shames the present Age, and dazles our eyes with the lustre of those brighter times. And here we are acquainted with two notable secrets: 1. That the Piety of the English sheep then lay very much in patiently submitting to the Shearer.

And surely were men but ingenuous to confess a know truth, they could have no cause to reproach the present Piety of the English steep upon that account. What they could desire more of the poor steep than the Fleece, unless they will slea of the skin, and eat the sless. I cannot imagine, and that can be no prosound policy in the Pattor I for the Fleece of the living, will give more than the skin of the dead: It's much better husbandry to strip them yearly of their Coats, than once for all to cut their Ibroats; and it has past for wholsome Doctrine in the days of Yore, Boni pastoris est pecus Tondere, non deglubere.

But 2. Another deep point is this, That the vigilancy of the Pastor consists in looking strictly after the fleece of the flock. In which particular I know no reason why the vigilancy of former times should be

so Idolatrously predicated above that of our ow .

We are come at length to the times of the Reformation; and whilest he engages in a just and sober commendation of them, there's none shall more cheerfully keep pace with him, provided always he gallop not too fast, and ride us quite out of breath; And the Glories of our

English Reformation were as followeth.

1. It was the most orderly, not brought in with tumult and sedition, as most changes are: Let God alone have the glory of so great a mercy! And such was this: though indeed the Excellency of a Reformation, lies not only, or chiefly in the still and silent manner of its Introduction, but in its Harmony with the Primitive Rule of Reformation, which is to reduce all things to their Divine Patterns and Originals: Peace is mainly valuable for purity; and the freedom from noises of Axes and Hammers in the building of Solomons Temple, was, that they might more severely attend to their Archetype. Where God gives Reformers more peace, he expects from them more purity; and if they

may work the safer, he expects they should work the better: It were great ingratitude to God if we should account our Go'pel cheap, because it came to us so: and as much vanity to boast how our Ancestors got it, unless we can produce it as pure as they left it to us peaceable.

2. It was the most moderate and temperate. Moderation is a vertue very much commended by those who never intend to exercise it. As an old griping Usurer commends his Coin so highly, and loves it so dearly, that he will not part with one penny. The Reformation might be moderate in a twofold Acceptation; either, first, moderate in our departure from Error and Corruption; or, secondly, moderate and temperate in our approaching to the Word of God: Now to resolve to be moderately reformed either of these ways, ought not to be Recorded amongst the Glories of a Church: There are few that would be moderately rich, moderately great; they fear no excess that way: all the danger is, lest we should be too immoderate and unreasonable in obeying Christs Commandments, and conforming to the Apostolical Churches: the measure of our love to Christ, is to love him without measure; the degree of our Obedience, is to obey in the highest degree; and the bounds of our Conformity to the Gospel, to set our selves no bounds but what Christ has set us: Gods Praise can suffer no Hyperbole, his Love need fear no paroxism: As he that prefumes he has Grace enough, may do well to question whether he has any Grace: so he that is so confident he is Reformed enough, shall tempt others to suspect he is very little Reformed: There's more danger of being lubewarm in Reforming, than scalding-hot; and though it be easie to be over-righteous in imposing our own Inventions, it will be impossible to be so in imitating Gods Prescriptions: But amongst all the kinds of Moderation that were in the Reformation, one small quantity more of Moderation towards their Brethren would have sweetned all: and yet they say that wanted not at first, but is fince much decayed. But the Moderation of the first Reformer's appears,

Self. 1. In that they did not purge out the good, because it had been formerly abused, as the humour of some is. This indeed argued their singular prudence, and discerning Spirit: But yet there are some things not evil in themselves, but made so by abuse, which without imputation of Humorists they might have purged out. And this was Hezekiah's humour (if it must be so called), who made the Brazen Serpent a Nehusban; and scarcely that, when once it had

been abus'd to Idolatry, which yet had more to plead for it felf, than those good things, of which our Enquirer is so tender; I mean the Sig-

nature of an old Fus Divinum.

Whatever is good in it self, or made so by Divine positive Law, and shall afterwards be abused to superstitious ends and uses, we must take some pains to scowr off the filth, and file away the rust, and to wash away the soil that it has contracted, and to yindicate it to its Native beauty and integritty: but for the inventions of men, I know no fuch fervice we owe them, to lie always scrubbing and scowring, and rinfing; and when all's done, their obstinate and inveterate Leprose, like that of Gehazi, will never be fetch'd out: And this was the humour too of Bishop Andrews, Serm. on Phil. 2: 20. Whatsoever is taken up at the injunction of man, when it is drawn into superstition, comes under the compass of the Brazen Serpent, and is to be abolished. And the Catholick Moderator, who was a greater friend to Moderation, than Reformation, was partly of this humour too: When the occasion of a Humane Constitution ceases, and the abuses remain so great, it's no time to wink at them any longer. To stand pecking at abuses, which have eaten themselves into the substance of an old custom, is like the endless labour of weeding Ivy out of an old rotten Wall, the only way is to dig down the Wall it felf: Nay the great Legislator of the Jews, commanded them utterly to abolish all the Instruments and Utenfils of Idolatry, and not to dally in Lopping, and Pruning, but to chop them up by the Roots: Thus Lev. 18. 3. After the doing of the land of Egypt ye shall not do, and after the doings of the land of Canaan ye hall not do, neither shall ye walk after their Ordinances; ye shall do my judgments, and keep my ordinances. And whether he will call this a bumour, or no, I know not. But this I know, R. Moles, Ben. Maimon, with whom agree no small Names, assures us, that this was one reason of many Negative Precepts given to the Jews, as not to Round the corners of their Beards; not to wear a garment of Linsey-woolsey; nor to sow their ground with divers seeds; nor to eat the fruit of their trees for the three first years, &c. Namely, that they might not symbolize with the Idolatrous Nations. Nay further, if this was a humour, the Church of England is not ashamed, was not ashamed to own her self of it, in her discourse presix'd to the Liturgy: The most weighty cause of the abolishment of certain Ceremonies, was their abuse: She knew well, that what was bred in the bone, would never be got out of the flesh; that which was naught in the Egg, will never be good in the Bird: It's not washing, but burning. that must cleans

cleanse the garment spotted with the steff. And therefore she routed whole Legions of these Pompous Trinkets, and had doubtless scattered the reserves, and brought up her practise to her own rule, had not some tender-hearted moderate persons, stood by wringing their hands, and weeping for Tamnuz; Oh deal gently, deal gently with the poor distressed Ceremonies for their Fathers sake.

Sect. 2. Another Specimen of their Moderation is, That they did not abolish a venerable Order, or Office in the Church, for the ill manners of them that bore it. What venerable Order, or Office this should be, because he is not so open-hearted as to acquaint us, I have something else to do with my Conjectures, than to throw 'em away upon such desperate uncertainties: If it was an Order of Christs institution, the ill manners of those that bore it, might well warrant the thrusting them out of the Office, but not the Office out of the Church: But if it could not justly plead bis Authority, no pretence of usefulness to some imaginary ends of I know not what Unity and Order, will conciliate to it the Honourable Epithete of Venerable, or secure its station in the Church of Christ: as Christ, the only Lawgiver of his Church has made abundant provision of Offices and Ordinances in his Church, to fuit and answer all the necessities of Believers; so of Officers too, to discharge those Offices, and administer those Ordinances; and there is no need of mens over-officiousness to supply his pretended defects either in the one kind, or in the other. Indeed we pray, that it would please the Lord of the karvest to thrust in more labourers for number, but not for kind: They who shall assume to themselves a power to create new Offices, may by parity of reason claim an authority to erect new Officers: for it's a thouland pities, that any but humane Officers should be put to the toyl to celebrate humane Ordinances; or that any of Christs Ministers should be put to the drudgery to administer any but Christs own Ordinances; for indeed they have their hands full of work enjoyned them by their Lord and Master, and can neither spare time nor strength supernumerary to expend in superfluous exercises. As Christ has annex'd no promise of his presence to any but his own servants, so no promise of success to any but his own services: He that runs upon Christs errand, his Master will bear his charges: he that runs upon his own head, or the heads of others, for ought I know must bear his own: It's a scandalous impeachment of the unquestionable love Christ always bore to his Church, once to imagine that he has not either provided work enough for his Labourers. or that he has not apportioned Labourers enow for his work.

The same reproach will it be to his absolute Soveraignty over the Church, either to pretend to supply his defects and shortnesses, or to

institute new Officers, and Offices, which plainly imply it.

If it were only vitium persona, the removing the scandalous, had been a Plaister broad enough for the wound: but if it proves vitium rei, you may purge all the Officers into their Graves before you can purge away the evil of the Office, which like Tartar, is so baked and crusted to the sides of the Vessel, that till you knock off the Hoops, and take the frame in peices, no Art of Man will free the Cask from a tang, at least of the old mustiness.

Sell. 3. They were not of opinion, that the Church could not arrive at Primitive Purity, unless it were reduced to Primitive Poverty: Purity and Poverty, I must needs say, do Rhime so sweetly, that no wise Man would have lost the Melodious Chime of two such Harmonious words for a small matter: But what if the Church never propounded the Primitive Purity for her Pattern? If she did, she has run all the things in Controverse out of distance; yet this I will say, That if ever the Church be reduced to Primitive Purity, without some such humbling Providence, and refining Dispensation, which purged the Primitive Christians from their dross, or the essusion of such measures of Grace, Humility, Self-denial, Condescension, as may answer Primitive Poverty, very wife men, and her very good friends are much mighaken.

Sect. 4. Their Moderation appears in this: That though they found some Ceremonics then used that were superstitious and dangerous, and thought too many burdensome, yet concluded not all decency in the service of God was Popish. It had been a conclusion wild to Frensie, to infer that all decency was Popish, because some Ceremonies were super-Pitious: Nay, though they all were so, and had accordingly been discarded But this had been a sober and moderate conclusion, That because all Populb Ceremonies were superstitious and dangerous, the worship of God might be decent without them: Gods service was decent before they were born, and would be so again, if they were all in their Grave, as well as dead and rotten. And if those decent Cefemoni's had a decent Burial, it were an Honour as great as those of a Nobler Extract, I mean Divine Ceremonies, had bestowed on them: I would seriously enquire of our serious Enquirer: 1. If some Ceremonies were abolished, because they were superstitions, and therefore dangerous, why all the rest were not served with the same sawce, that were equally, or more superstitious, and therefore

more dangerous? I think its demonstrable. that all the superstition that ever stuck to Holy Water, Cream, Salt, Spittle, Oyl, was Innocency to that horrid abuse of the Sign of the Cross. But 2. if the Superstitions of the remaining Ceremonies were capable of separation from them, why might not a little Oyl and Elbow-grease have been bestowed on the rest, and their Lives saved? It seems most of the Ceremonies were knock'd oth' head, because they would not go to the charge of Rearing them. 3. If many Ceremonies were a burden, whether were not half of that many, half as great a burden, and so pro rata? And if so, where was the Churches Commission to impose any unnecessary burden upon the necks of the Disciples? 4. If some of the old superstitious Ceremonies (when well scraped and wiped) were left for decency and comeliness in the Worship, why were not the rest scummer'd up, that the Worship might be more decent? For if two or three innocent Ceremonies will add a Decency, two or three hundred would have burnish'd it to such a lustre, as must have either ravish'd or blinded the eyes of all Beholders? 5 Who shall infallibly assure us just how few will be no burden, and the imposing of them no sin? and yet one more shall make them all burdensome, and so the imposition of them to become sinful? or just how many to an Unite will render the Worship decent, and the adding of one more render all deformed? If the Church, then why might not the Church of Rome in her days have determined the question? Esepecially seeing that of all pretenders, she alone challenges an Infallibility, which is the most considerable thing in this case, when the Church must carry her hand even, and cut by a thred, between decency and indecency; A burden, and no burden ? 6. If the Church has a power to impose a load (though a leffer load), has she a power to communicate strength, though it be but little strength, to bear that little? Especially seeing the burden here must not lie on the back, but the heart; not on the shoulders, but the conscience: She that pleads an Authority to institute, can she produce a power to bless what she institutes to any spiritual end? This encouragement we have from Christ, whose Prerogative it is to impose; that he will give grace to bear what he imposes, and thereby make his yoke easie, and his burden light. Qui mibi est Oneris Author, idem erit Administrationis Adjutor, said Leo: And so Austin. Da quod jubes, & jube quod vis. If any Church could incline the heart towards her Testimonies, or give a heart to keep her Statutes, Judgments and Commandments, and do them, or make her commandments not greivous: Let her multiply Ceremonies till she is weary, and spare not; let her use her discretion, and we shall use nothing but submission: but this dead weight sinks our spirits quite.

7. Whether is not such an assuming-power exceeding dangerous in its consequences? for upon this Principle the Church may impose a round thousand of Ceremonies, if she will say, and think them decent, and the Crow thinks all her young ones white, and all are fond of the Brats of their brains, as well as the Issue of their bodies; yes, and ten thousand more, if she will but decree they are not burdensome: which she is the less a competent Judg of, because Superiors who command, do not feel that load which Inseriors who must obey, do groan under. So much of the Moderation of the Reformation.

3. The English Reformation was the most perfest and compleat in its kind. The perfection and compleatness of a Reformation, is to be taken from its agreement with its Rule and Idea, which (say we) is the Word of God; and to this we do unmovably adhere, till we have good security that they have found out a better: All perfestion with us, is but defestion; and all compleatness, fancy, which is not measured by that Rule: It will therefore be the great Glory of the English Reformation to acquit it self well in this point, wherein our Enquirer will

endeavour our satisfaction.

1. For Doctrine. This Church retains (says he) the most Ancient Doctrine, and soundest Confession of Faith sounded upon the H. Scripture: That the H. Scriptures are the soundation of Faith, we gladly hear some intimation of, and shall lay up the concession against another time; whether the Church has attended to this Rule in her Doctri-

nals, belongs to another Discourse.

2. For Government; He tells us, The English Reformation retains the most Primitive Church-Government. These things are wisely and warily pen'd (thought I;) A Scripture Creed, and a Primitive Church-Government! Confession founded on H. Scriptures, and Government founded on a word called Primitive; why should we not have a confession founded on something else than Scripture, as well as a Government? Or why not a Church-Government founded on the Scripture, as well as the Dostrine? So that he has provided well for the Dostrine; but for the Government, it may fink or swim for any relief it can expect from our Enquirer, except a hard word will do it, Primitive Antiquity is one of those Stulta Amuleta qua Controversia collo appenduntur ut Armilla Maleficarum; Potent Charms, and Pompous

pous Euchantments not to cure, but conjure down a Controversie for since Primitive may be taken in a Latitude of three, four, five or (for time of need) fix hundred years after Christ, it would be very hard if any Crotchet, Humour, and Fancy had not fet up fori t felf in that time, which shall be enough to entitle it to the Warran tie of the Primitive times: Let him therefore prove it Ser. ptural, and so jure Divino, and he has said more to me, than if he had run up its Pedigree through a Dozen or more Centuries: But is not this short word [the most Primitive Church-Government]. a foundation too narrow for that high Boast? p. 2. That our Church is of a sound and bealthful constitution, I think I have sufficiently, though briefly manifested in the Introduction. Briefly and sufficiently! The two most defirable qualifications in Argument and Evidence that may be! and furely it must be brief enough, which is comprehended in this one Sentence: The English Reformation retains the most Primitive Church-Government; but whether it be sufficient crno, let the Reader look to that.

3. For the Liturgy, That is (as he thinks) the best accomodate to reconcile and unite mens devotions. And how well it has answered its end, and the design of its Institution, I shall say the less, because others will say the more; some say it has distracted more devotions than ever it united: And others, That it has accommodated them as much as could be expected from a humane contrivance, that had no more of Christs Authority for its institution, and therefore could expect no more of his blessing for the success. That this, or any other Liturgy was an expedient appointed by Christ to unite mens devotions, he may explain, and attempt the proof of at his best leisure: But that Christ has not been wanting to his Church, in leaving her the proper and sufficient means for the advancing of devotions, and uniting affections, we are satisfied, and so fully contented, that we shall seek no further.

That Protestants in the days of Edw. VI. did Rejoice in the Liturgie, Dissenters will not deny: An English Liturgie lest free, was better than a Latin Mass; Half a Loaf, was much better than no Bread. To them who had been in such Darkness and bondage, any Light, any Liberty were most grateful. The first Original of Liturgies (as is expressed in the Preface to our own) was, that the whole Bible should be read over (or the greatest part of it) once every Year; intending thereby, that the Clergy, and especially such as were Ministers of the Congregation, should by often reading, and meditation of Gods Word, be stirred

C 2

up to Godline's them'elves .- And further, that the people, by daily kearing of the Holy Scriptures read in the Church, should continually profit more in the Knowledg of God; - But these many years past, this Godly and Decent Order of the Ancient Fathers hath been so alter'd, broken, neglected, by planting in uncertain Stories, Legends, Responds, Verscs, vain Repetitions, Commemorations, and Synodals, that, &c. And moreover, whereas St. Paul would have such I anguage spoken to the people in the Church, as they might understand, and have profit by hearing the same: The Service in this Church of England hath been read in Latin to the people, which they understood not, so that they have heard with their Ears only, and their Heart. Spirit, and Mind have not been edified thereby. From hence we are evidently taught, First, That the true Original of Liturgies, was only an Order for the Methodical Reading of the Scriptures for the benefit of an ignorant Clergy, and fortish People. And Secondly, That that wherein the Reformers gloried to have out-done Popery, and edified the people, was, that they had procured them their Worship in a Language understood.

When therefore I hear these popular Harangues; How happy this Church and Nation was in Edw. VI. days; in what Glory and Majesty the Prince Reigned; in what Peace and Concord the Subjects lived; how Devout and pious an Age that was; I am ready to think, that as the Graves of Patients do hide the faults of Physicians; so the follies and vanities of those days are buried in their own Graves too: For Dr. Heylin had almost perswaded me, That the death of that Prince was none of the infelicities of the Church of England! But our Enquirer has set him right again; and his days were the Golden Age of Reformation, his Reign the glorious pattern of Peace and Concord, and so shall continue, till the next occasion those men have to reproach the Reformation; and then Edw. VI. days shall be an Infelicity again, and as great a prejudice to Religion as ever. So casse it is for a cunning Orator with his orient Colours, to fill up the wrinkles of a furrow'd Face, and again to deform the most beauteous complexion, just as it

pleases Master Painter.

The total sum of all, is thus much: The Primitive times were glorious for Piety; in Polydore Virgil's days, and those of Popery, there was a great deal of sincere Devotion. In the beginning of the Reformation, affairs were in an excellent posture; but now all's degenerated, and a Lukewarm Neutrality, and Lazy Indifferency, has overspread the Face, and crept over the Heart of Religion. And what should be the matter? What is the Reason of this sad change? Why! Men

are not so fond of Ceremonies as they used to be, and people have re-

folved against the building of Churches, and endowing them.

But let us hear him lament the change: All Zeal then, All Indifferency now. Then all Harmony, now all Discord. Then the Society of the Church was so venerable, that to be cast out by Excommunication, was as dreadful as to be Ibunder-smitten: But now it's become a matter of some mens ambition to be cast out. Then few, or none, but frequented the Church; now the Church is become the Conventicle, and the Conventicle the church, as to frequency. Then the Liturgy and publick Prayers were counted a principal part of Gods Worship; now they are nothing without a Sermon. Then there were few things that were scrupled; but now it's become the great point of Sanctity to scruple every thing. It will be time for me, and the Reader, to take our leave of this learned Introduction, when we have observed and returned a few things. 1. That the true Reason why there is more discord now than at that time. is, because there are more difficult terms of Peace and Concord. Several things were then in use which were not imposed: Many were permitted to discharge their Ministerial Functions without subscription to the new terms and conditions of Communion It was pretty well in Queen Elizabeths Reign, before A. B. Whitgift could strain conformity to its height; and yet they are now screwed much higher. Restore Indifferent things to their Ancient Liberty, and we shall soon arrive at our Ancient Amity. 2. That Excommunication has so much lost its former Authority upon the Conscience, and become so like a Brutum Fulmen, is to be imputed to these Reasons, 1. Because that Thunderbolt is darted out for meer trifles; some have been delivered over to Satan for a Groat. Now it's a fure Maxime, That nothing will bring a Law sooner into disuse and contempt, than the disproportion of the penalty to the Offence. It will be difficult to perswade weak understandings, that that can be of God which has but one fort of punishment for all forts of Crimes, and the same rigour for Vertues as for Vices; to be delivered up for a penny, exposes to the same inconvenience as if it were a pound; and it shall fare as ill with him that scruples at a Ceremony, as with him that commits Whoredom; and some say, the former has more evil in it than the latter, though you throw in Drunkenness, Swearing, and half a dozen more such into the reckoning. 2. It has been made an Engine to gratifie some mens passions, and exonerate their spleen upon the Innocent; and nothing renders Jupiters Thunder more despicable, than when the wretched Salmoneus shall dare to imitate it. 3. It has been so frequently Quently practifed in pecuniary matters, that men discern it not to be a spiritual weapon: Money has been a Lock to shut men out of Heaven, and a Key to let them in again. 4. They that have been ejected by that Cherem, find no evil consequences in their ejected state. In the Primitive times it was therefore terrible, because Christ abetted his own Ordinance, administred for his own spiritual ends, in his own regul r way; but now men dare not trust Christ with his own Work, but have supplied his vengeance with a Significavit, a Writ de Excommunicato Capiendo, delivering men over to the Sheriff, whom thereby they call the Devil by craft; but otherwise the Excommunicated person eats his Bread, and Drinks his Wine with a chearful heart, because the Lord has accepted him. 3. That so few frequent the Church is, because they have either been scoffed, or railed, or beaten out of doors, or barred out by conditions not comporting with Scripture Rule or Warrant. Men know that Christ must be their Judge, to him they must give an account of their Souls, and Worship, in the great day; and therefore they are willing to worship God according to his Will revealed in his Sacred Word, unless any can give them Counter-security to save harmless and indemnifie them before his dreadful Tribunal: And if they must suffer for such resolved adherence to a Scripture Religion, they have only this humbly to reply, Da veniam Imperator, Tu Carcerem, Ille Gebennam. Christ threatens a Hell; the Law only menaces a Gaol. 4. That the Liturgy was then counted a principal part of Gods Worship, we cannot help: We judge, that none but God can make the least, much less a principal part of Gods Worship: God only knows which way he will be worshipped with acceptation: And it is our great happiness, that he has acquainted us with that Will of his in his Word, to which we apply our selves for our Directory, and are not solicitous about Apocryphal Rubricks. As to matters concerning Religion, Nature reacheth no further than the obligation to the Duty; but leaves the particular determination of the manner of obedience to Divine positive Laws: So we are instructed from the Author of Origines Sacra, p. 171. 5. That it is now become the great point of Sanstity to scruple every thing, was not spoken with that regard to Honesty and Truth, as might have been expected from a Compassionate Enquirer. They scruple being holier than Christ has commanded them; wifer in matters of Religious Worship than the Scriptures are able to make them. They scruple giving up their Consciences to those whom they see no great reason to trust, till better evidence be given how they regard their own: They scruple

all retreats in Reformation, and all retrograde motions towards Evangelical perfection and purity; and they wish our Enquirer would scruple a little more this overlashing, That it's an Essential part of some mens Religion to be censorious; and a great point of sanstity to scruple every thing. Let him then continue to lament the change, and we will pray that God will make a more through change, reducing Dockrine, Worship, Discipline, to the Word of God, the only Rule of Reformation.

## PART I.

## CHAP. I.

A Sober Enquiry into the Apocryphal Causes of Nonconformity, pretended by the serious Enquirer: St. Augustin, and the Synod of Dort vindicated; the Articles of the Church of England cleared. The Learning, Preaching, and Conversations of the N. C. modestly justified, against the scandalous Rifetions of the pretended Compassionate Enquirer; but without Recrimination.

A Fter a very short Epistle. (or to speak Canonically, that which stands instead of the Epistle) to very little, and a tedious Introduction to much less purpose, the Enquirer falls full drive upon the Causes of the separation from the English Reformed Church. In imitation of the French Embassadors Musicians, who would needs give the Grand Seignier a fit of Mirth, but were so cruelly tedious in tuning their Fiddles, that the Sultans Patience was quite worn out, and he could not be perswaded to hear the first Lesson.

Now the Causes are either Apocryphal and pretended, or Canonical and Real; and it's a wonder to me, when his Invention was once broached, that he did not feign this for another Cause of separation.

4 that

that such. Hetergoneous Causes should be bound up together in the

Same Volume and Covers

For these Apocriphal Causes, let it not beget another scruple in your captious Heads. Whether they are pretended by Dissenters, or only pretended by this Enquirer, to be amongst their pretences; for it will come all to one, there being some collateral matters, which it shall go hard but he will entice or force into the Discourse, or else the Reader might have sung whoop Barnaby! and Retreated to his

Recreations the longest Holy-day in the Year.

1. The very first of these pretended Causes, is, some Blame they lay upon the Dostrine of the Church; and the main (if not the only) thing excepted against in this kind is, That the Thirty nine Articles are not so punctual in defining the five Points debated in the Synod of Dort as they could wish. Just as your common Hackney Versisters, or Water-Poets, make one Verse for the Reason, and the other for the Rhime sake; so was this Objection mounted against the Doctrine of the Church for the sake of his precious Answers, wherein he will find or make as handsom an occasion, as impertinency will admit to vilise St. Austin,

and the Syuod of Dort.

It will be extreamly difficult to give our Enquirer a fatisfactory Answer in this Point. Shall we say, This is not the main thing in the Articles excepted against by Dissenters? He will readily reply, however then you tacitly grant, that this is one of your little Cavils. Shall we fay, This is not the only thing they scruple? he will return nimbly, Then it seems you confess this to be one, though not the only thing you boggle at. Really if I knew how to content him, I would do it; and the best expedient that offers it self at present, is this Answer: 1. That the Church has other Dollrines, not contained in the 39 Articles, imposed on the Faith of Subscribers; and perhaps the scruple may lye against them. 2. That the 39 Articles contain other Doctrines, besides those relating to the five Points debated at the Synod of Dort; as that of Art. 20, The Church bath power to decree Rites or Ceremonies. And that of Art. 34, Every Particular or National Church, hath Authority to Ordain, Change and Abolish Ceremonies or Rites of the Church, ordained only by Mans Authority. And what now if the quarrel should lie against one of those? And I am the rather induced to suspect they may hesitate in these particulars, because I have heard some of them privately Speak, and seen others publickly Print, that though they can pradife such things which being in ther own patures indifferent, remain under all their con[41]

current circumstances lawful; yet they cannot sind where the Church has any commission to impose them: They can affert, and use their Christian Liberty, and yet cannot subscribe to the Dostrine of the Churches Power to take it away. 3. That the most rigid Calvinists do not scruple Subscription to the Articles, so far as they relate to the Quinquarticular Controversies: and for a clear experiment herein, for once let the Church make those Articles only, the single Rail about the Communion-Table, and we shall soon see such multitudes of Dissenters crowd into the Constitution, that she will hardly find two Benefices a piece for them.

It's my greater admiration, that they who deny Particular Election, Original sin, the interest of Christs death in Reconciling God to us; that they who affert fustification by our own Works, Free-will, &c. can subscribe them: and indeed it seems they swallow'd them with some Reluctancy, and are now reaching and straining with many a sowre face, to disgorge, not the Bait of the Benefice, which is infinitely sweet: but the Hook of the Article, which is unmercifully

Sharp.

This pretended Pretence then might safely have been forborn, but that the Lapwing thinks it advisable to raise a huge cry, where 'tis not, that we may not search where really it is: to make a clampering about the Non-causes to divert our Enquirers from the true and proper causes of Non-conformity: Like the ingenious policy of the Thief, that being arraigned for a Horse, freely confessed the stealing of a Bridle, but prudently concealed it was upon the Horses Head.

But (fays our Enquirer) though this neither needs nor deserves an Answer, yet I shall reply two things to it: That is, he will give us two

needless Answers to one needless Objection,

1. The sum of the former needless Answer is thus much, Common Arts and Sciences, which depend upon Humvne Wit and Invention, are capable of daily improvements; but Christianity depending solely upon Divine Revelation, can admit of no new discoveries. The busic Wit es Man may perplex, but it can never bring to light any new thing; for if we admit of any New Revelations, we lose the Old, and our Religion together; we accuse our Saviour, and his Apostles, as if they had not sufficiently revealed Gods mind to the World; and we incur St. Paul's Anathema which he denounces against him, (whosover it shall be, nay if an Angel from Heaven) that shall Preach any other Dostrine than what had been received.

d'The Enquirer may call this a needless Answer (for who shall hiner him from calling bis own what he pleases) but I assure him it contains a great deal of needful Truth, which had he like a good Husband improved, the rest of his Book had been more needless than this Answer: Needless we confess it to be as to the Objection, which was it felf needless, but not so for his own Confutation; for thus the Dissenters will come over him: If neither time nor the wit of Man can make any new discoveries in Christianity; then the Pope, who like another columbus, or Americus, has made great and new discoveries in the Terra Incognita of Tradition and Ceremonies, must either be a God, or a Devil. That the Liturgy was a principal part of Gods worship, he has told us in the Introduction; that it was discovered from the baginning, and not by latter Adventurers, he will be fore put to it to prove, for all the musty Fragments of St. Jame's Liturgy: That it was not part of the Wisdom of Christ, or his Apostles, we are well enough satisfied: That there was Wit and Invention in it, we confess: all the Question is, whose Wit should have the glory of the Invention? Again! If to admit new Revelations be to lose the old, and our Religion together: Let us make a short Query upon't, whether to admit of new Ordinances and Constitutions, be not to lose the old, and our Religion together? That is, whether Gospel-Institutions be not exclusive of new ones, as well as Gospel-Revelations? And why we may not expect a new Credimus, as well as a new Mandamus? New Revelations, as well as new Injunctions? A new Prophet of the Church seems to me as necessary as a new King over the Church, and a new High-Priest as needful as either: And I proceed upon this Principle, That the Law of Christ was as perfect as his Discoveries: He has told us as fully and clearly what we should do, as what we should believe: He that may invade the Royal Office, upon petence there are not Laws enough for the Government of the Church, may with equal appearance of Reason invade the Prophetick Office too, upon pretence there are not Revelations enow for its instruction: And therefore the vigilant universal Pastor has found it as necessary to supply the defest of Revelations by bis own Traditions, as the nakedness of Worship by decent Ceremo-

As Jesus Christ vindicated the moral Law from the false glosses of the Scribes and Pharises, so he superadded a ceremonial Law, depending meerly upon his own sulness of Power and Authority; now what right any can pretend, to add new particulars to his ceremoni-

\*1 Law, which they may not also pretend to add to his Moral Law, I cannot Divine: And therefore one of our Enquirers great Friends, who had his Eyes in his Head, and saw farther into these matters than his poor Neighbours, was constrained to affert a power that had lain dormant somewhere, of adding new particulars to the Divine Law.

But further, If new Revelations do accuse our Saviour and his Aposites, as if they had not sufficiently revealed Gods mind to the World: Then new ways of teaching Gods mind, new invented Symbolical Ceremonies will accuse him and them of the same culpable failure in not discharging those Offices committed by God to a Mediator, and by

him to his Apostles.

And in short; If we incur St. Paul's Anathema, which he denounces against him that shall preach any other Dostrine than what he has received: Then they will do well to get out of the way of that Curse who Preach this Doctrine, The Church has power to decree Rites and Ceremonies; Unless they be sure they have received it from Christ: for its but ill venturing to stand in the way of an Angel with a drawn Sword; more terrible than which is one of the Scriptures Anathema's: Some will ask where, and when, and from whom the Church received that Dodrine which some Preach, viz. A Power to impose Mystical and Symbolical Ceremonies, as the Terms of communion with a Church; but I shall only say, that our compassionate Enquirer will need a most compassionate Reader, upon these two Accounts: First, that he makes an Objection for Dissenters, which is their Answer: And Secondly, that he gives an Answer to that Objection, which is their very Objection; but yet we have not heard the Conclusion.

The consequence (says he) of these premises is; That the elder any Dodrine of Christianity can be proved to be, it must needs be truer; and be that talks of a more clear Light of the latter Times, and clearer discoveries in Religion, talks as idly as he that should affirm be could discern things better at a miles distance, than a Man that bath as good an Eye as himfels, and yet stood close by the Object. This is that needless Conclusion drawn out of his needless premises; and having discovered the weakness of the former, I might leave him at his leisure to deny his own Conclusion; but yet I shall give him some Items about that also. And r. It's a crude unconcotted Notion, that the elder any Dostrine of Christianity is, the truer it is: For it was a Truth that Christ was Born, before it was that he was crucified; and yet the former Ar-

ticle, that he was born of the Virgin Mary, is no truer, than that be was Crucified, Dead, and Buried: The Truth of the Doctrine depends not upon its Antiquity or Seniority, but upon the infallibility of the Revealer, quo ad nos, and upon the close connexion of the Terms, in it felf, whether a Truth was revealed by Christ, or his Apostles immediately inspired, all are of equal Truth in themselves, and equal Authority as to us; that is, the lateness of the Revelation will breed no difference. 2. The Enquirer might have informed himself, that there is a double Light, an Objective, and Subjective Light: The former is the discovery of the Thing it self, the latter is the enlightning of the Faculty: It's true there is, there can be no new Objective Light rationally expected: In this sense all new Lights are but old Darknesses; but yet there may be more Subjective Light, or a greater discovery made to us of what God has discovered in his Word: the Papists lock'd up our Bibles in the Latin Tongue, and kept the Key of knowledg in their Poekets: God by his gracious Providence in the Reformation. has taken off the Embargo and restraint that was upon knowledg, and great Light is sprung in amongst us; we say not God has put more Books, or Chapters, or Verses into the Bibles, but that he has given us more light in our minds; he has not revealed new Truths, but given us advantage to discover the old, Thus the Learned Stillingsleet somewhere expresses himself; The common way of the Stirits illuminating the minds of Believers, is by enlightning the Faculty, not by proposition of new Objects: A Man then may talk of more Light in these latter Times, and yet not talk idly; if by more Light he intends no more than a clearer understanding of Gods mind and Will revealed in his Word; and a Man may talk of more Light in these latter Times, and talk very idly; if thereby he means, more Revelations of Gods mind and will to supply the defects of the Scripture; but yet none talk so idly, as the Rhetorical Men, whose Premises speak against new Objective Light, and their conclusion against new Subjective Light, If Subjective Light be not capable of growth, if it does not recipere magis & minus: Let him give me a Reason why the Chuche's Articles of 1571, do clear up the Doctrine more darkly and imperfectly laid down in the days of Edward VI. Refined Silver is more clear than the same Metal in the Oare, and yet there is no more Metal. But if it be capable of growth and increase, what an idle flourish is his Similitude of a Mans seeing better at a distance. than be that stands close by the Object: For if we have got no further light into the Scriptures by all the Advantages

Advantages which Merciful Providence has furnish'd us with above the darker times of Popery, they were very ill bestowed upon us; and he that would repay him in his own Coyn might tell him; That a Man may possibly stand too near the Object, as well as too far off; and a Dwarf upon a Gyants Shoulders may see further than the Gyant himself.

What he has hitherto philosophiz'd upon, has been little to our Edification; nor had we been troubled with this first Mess, but for the sake of that which is now to be served up in the second Course: and that is, a piece of Revenge that he will take upon St. Augustin,

and the Synod of Dort.

1. And first, here's a heavy charge drawn up against one Augustin, of whom I presume the Reader may have heard at one time or other some mention made. Now this Augustin (or rather Austin: for his Name, as well as his Fame suffers a Syncope) has been formerly a person in great danger of incurring that Curse denounced against those of whom all Men speak well, till of late some Charitable Divines, loath to let a poor Manlie in Purgatory from Age to Age, when a few bad words would release him, took some pity on him: And one of his best Friends in this Nation is this Compassionate Enquirer, who informs us, That no Father, or Writer, Greek or Latin, before this Austins time, agreed in Doctrine with the Synod of Dort, which is so notoriously plain that it cannot be deny'd. And if he agrees therewith, yet it's certain that in so doing be disagrees as much with himself as with us of our Church: That he was indeed a devout Man, but his Pisty was far more commendable than his Reason: and that being bard put to it by the Manichees on the one hand, and the Pelagians on the other, he was not able to extricate himself, and that he was rather forced into his opinion, than made choice of it.

He that shall thus considently dare to censure that worthy Father, must be presumed to have read over his Voluminous Writings, with all those of Ancient Writers before him, both Grek, and Latin, to a Man, to a Sentence, which might sufficiently have proclaimed his Learning, and recommended him to a Patron, (one would think) though he had never reproached that Father himself: Many a poor Hungry Man have I known in my little time, that has scribbled one piece after another, railing at the Pope, which yet never turned to such Account, as half a score Lines smartly penn'd against this great Sinner Austin. And yet for all these Insinuations of Industry in Reading, and Acuteness of piercing Wit, in hunting and tracing thepoor

Man through all the windings and turnings of his self-perplexing Contradictions; it's our meer good nature if we will believe that ever he saw any more than the back-side of St. Austins Works, for indeed all this may be no more than an ingenious Paraphrase of their great Grotius, who thus spends his Judgment upon him; Discuss. p. 97. "Ut dicam quod sentio puto Augustinum adeo non cum prioribus, ne secum quidem per omnia posse conciliari. Ita contranitendi studio, se in illas Ambages induxit ut non invenerit, quà se extricaret; Paucis Scriptura Adductus Locis, qua facilè commodam interpretationem recipiunt, aliis locis, & pluribus, & clarioribus, per qua Deus significatur omnium salutem velle, interpretationes det violentas, & nunc has, nunc illas, incertus quò se vertat; ut dicam aliquid amplius suit utilis Augustinus ad monita danda pia vita, ad interpretandas Sacras Scripturas satis in seculix.

When I first read this dismal charge against Austin, it minded me of our common forms of Indictments against Breakers of the Peace; how they did Vi & Armis, with Bills, and Staves, and Swords, and Guns, make an Assault and Battery, when it may be all the out-cry is for no

more than a Fillip, or a forry Box oth' Ear.

But what will the Reader say, if all this Bluster and Clamour which our Enquirer has borrowed upon Grotius his words, and the Publick Faith, be no more than what Grotius himself has borrowed from the Pelagians, who were bound in their own defence to reproach his Person, before whose Arguments they durst not stand; and who being the great oppugners of the grace of God, must needs hate him who was its great Propugnator. I read indeed in Marius Mercator. p. 103. That Theodorus, Bishop of Mopsuestia, the Dad of the Pelagians, did at this rate nibble at Austins Learning. "Sed nihil illorum perspicere potuit, Mirabilis peccati Originalis "Assertor, quippe qui in Divinis Scripturis nequaquam suerit ex-"ercitatus, nec ab Infantia, juxta B. Pauli vocem Sacras didicerit "Literas; sed sive de Scriptura sensibus, sive de Dogmate sapius Declamans, multa frequenter inepta, propriè communiterve de "ipsis Scripturis, Dogmatibusque plurimis impudenter depromsit. But this wonderful maintainer of Original Sin, (Austin) could see none of all these Things; being a Person not at all exercised in the H. Scriptures; Nor one that had (according to St. Pauls saying) learn'd the Scripture from his childhood; but frequently Declaiming whether of the meaning of the Scriptures, or of some Opinion, be oftentimes utters many fooleries,

at all adventures, Habnab, concerning the Scriptures, and many points of Doshine. But yet let us hear the Indictment against Austin, in its several Branches.

sell. 1. One Branch of the charge is, That he was a Novelist in the weightiest Points of Religion. No one Father, or Writer, Greek or latine, before his time agreed with him. Adeo non cum prioribus posse conciliari: And this is so plain, that it needs no proof, further than the bare say-so of Grotius; nor can it be denied. There's your Charge! There's your Evidence! These are indeed big words, utter'd with that confidence which men commonly assume that would be believed in an unproved althood; for I will suppose Vincentius Lirinensis, that Malleus Hareticorum, to have understood the Sentiments of former Ages, as well as this Enquirer, or Hugh Grotius. Now he tells us cap. 34. adversus karetic. Quis unquam ante Prophanum illum Pelagium tantam virtu:em Liberi presumpsit Arbitrii, ut hoc in bonis Rebus per Actus singulos adjuvandum, necessarium Dei gratiam non putaret? Quis ante prodigiosum discipulum ejus Cælestium, Reatu prævaricationis Adæ, omne genus Humanum adstrictum negaret? "Who ever before that proof phane wretch Pelagius, ever presumed the power of free-will to be so great, as not to judg the Grace of God necessary to help it " in all good things, even in every particular Act? And who before " his monstrus Scholar Calestius, ever denied that all mankind stood "guilty of Adams Apostacy from God? When Irenaus Bishop of Lyons had excellently discoursed of Original sin, how that we were wounded Antiqua Serpentis Plaga, with the old blow of the Devil, and how that Adstrictum est Humanum genus Morti ter Virginem, viz. Evam. That all mankind was bound over to Death by the Transgression of Eve: And Protoplasti peccato, vinculis alligati eramus. "We are all bound in " Chains of guilt by the fin of Adam the Protoplast. St. Austin made use of this Testimony, lib. 1. cap. 2. contra Jul. Videsne Antiquum Dei Hominem, quid de Antiqua Serpentis Plaga sentiat? Quid de similitudine carnis peccati, per quam sanatur plaga Serpentis in carne peccati? Quid de Protoplasti peccato, ter quod alligati eranus. "Do you not "observe (says Austin) what that ancient Man of God thinks of that " old blow given us by the Devil? What his Judgment is concerning "the likeness of sinful flesh, (Christ Incarnate) by which the Wound of the Serpent in our finful flesh is healed? And what his opinion " is touching the sin of the Protoplast, by which we are all guilty? And Origen against Celsus, Lib. 4. agrees exactly with him, in dea TE Adale ROLLH TRIVTON BG, RI TR XI THE DUNGINDES EN BGI HAB' HE

Aέγεται, The Curse of Adam is common to all men, and there's no woman of whom it may not be said what was said of the sirst woman. So Nazianzi Orat. 3 de Pace, Εχενν γάρ με όλον σώζεθαι όλον πλώσαντα, κη κατακριθέντα εκ τε πεωτοπλάς επαερακούς, και κλοπώς αντικομένε, I have need to be wholly saved, who am wholly lasped and condemned by the disobedience of Adam, and the subtilty of the Devil. And Austin quotes Chrysostom to prove both the influence of the first Adams disobedience upon our Condemnation; and that of Christs obedience upon our Acceptation with God. Ότων λέγη σοι Ιουδαίθ, πῶς ἐνδς κατοξθώσαντθ τε Κειες ἡ ὀικε μένη ἐσωθη, δύνηθης ἀυτορ λεγον, πῶς ὁ ὸς παερακόσαντθ, τε ᾿Αδαμ, ἡ ὀικεμένη κατκείθη, When a cavilling Jew shall object, how can the World be saved by the Restitude or Obedience of one Christ? Answer him again, by asking him; How came the World to be condemned by the disobedience of one Adam?

Ishall give the Reader no further trouble in this matter: The Greek and Latin Fathers were either of Austins or Pelagius his mind? if they were of Pelagius his opinion, then he has at once Canoniz'd them for Orthodox Pelagians; but if they were not of Pelagius his mind (for I know no Medium) they were of Austins, and by conse-

quence of the same Judgment with the Synod of Dort.

St. Hierom indeed says expresly, That before that Southern Devil (he means Arius) appeared in the World, the Ancients spake many things in the simplicity of their hearts, and not so advisedly, which might give some advantage to the Heretick; and Austin will confess, That Ante Mota Cértamina Pelagiana, the Fathers seemed to speak favourably of freewill: but afterwards multo diligentiores, vigilantioresque facti sunt, they began to bestir themselves, and watch over their words a little better. Now as it would be a severe charge upon all the Primo-primitive Fathers, that they were Arians, because a suspicious word at sometimes, drop'd from their Mouths, or Pens; so would it be a rash and ignorant censure of them, that they were all Pelagians, because some odd expressions fell from them, which may seem to favour Pelagianism: And therefore I am in hope, since Pelagius is risen from the dead, this sleepy Age will awake, and give him no ground by unwary Expressions; at least we expect thus much, that Nonconformists may not be ruined, because they are no wifer than Austin.

sect. 2. A fecond Branch of the charge is, That St. Austin agrees not with himself A pitiful forry self-inconsistent Scribler he was it seems, that could not make his matters hang together: One that

caper'd backwards and forwards; that it was the easiest thing in the world to trip up his heels; such a Novice was this Austin: all which I could easily believe, when it shall be proved, that he wrote the sirst and second part of the serious Enquiry. Really, that Man must have amassed a vast stock of considence, that shall hope with one pust of contemptuous breath to blow away that fair heap of Repute, that that Fathers Name has gathered in so many Centuries; and he must have an over-weening conceit of his own Rhetorick, that can presume to perswade this Learned Age, that he was so insignificant a Ceremony, so great a Trifler. The Papists with incredible zeal have struggled for him; the Protestants have tooth and nail wrestled to draw him into their Tents; all parties have ambitiously courted his suffrage; at last comes one Hugh Groot, and our Enquirer, and they cashier him as an inconsiderable sellow, not worth the whist-

ling.

But Luther had this great stone thrown at his head by Bellarmine: And the Learned Dr. Field thus puts by the blow. On the Church. Book 3. Chap. 42. "Luther (fays he) was as worthy a Divine as the "World had any in those times, or in many Ages before; and that "for clearing fundry Points of greatest moment in our Christian pro-. feffion, much obscured and entangled before with the intricate dis-"putes of the Schoolmen: all succeeding Ages shall be bound to honour his happy Memory: That herein he proceeded by degrees, " and in his latter Writings disliked that which in his former he did "approve, is not so strange a thing. Did not Austin, the greatest of "all the Fathers, and the worthiest Divine the Church of God ever " had fince the Apostles time, write a whole Book of Retractations? "Did we not carefully observe what things he wrote whilest a Pres-"byter, and what when made a Bishop? What before he enter'd in-" to the Conflict with Pelagius, and what afterwards? Did he not of formerly attribute the Election of those that were chosen to Eter-" nal Life, to the forefight of Faith, which afterwards he disclaimed ss as a meer Pelagian conceit? And would it not vex a man of our Enquirers humour, that Austin the Presbyter should be more Orthodox than Austin the Bishop.

The truth is, St. Austin disagrees no more with himself, than it became a wise man, who by long studying the Scriptures, and the Primitive Fathers, had gained a more concocted and well-digested knowledg of Religion; his Retractations were never laid in his dish, but interwoven amongst those Excellencies which Crowned his Learn-

D

[50]

ed Head, before now. A peice of such self-denial it was, that a proud heart could not bear, unless more politick Considerations turned the scale; this left Age has few instances of such an ingenuity as will confess it self Truths Prisoner, though it abounds with too many that surrender themselves Captives to base lusts, and worldly interest: Their own Grotius professes he was progressive and very prone to dislike what a little before he was well pleased with, and the Reasons of his Change were evident to all the World.

Sest. 3. A third Branch of this charge is, That St. Austin disagrees with the Church of England. There are indeed a knot of Gentlemen, that in fpight of Kight and Truth, are resolved to be the Church of England, and with these St. Austin, and the Ancient Fathers have no very good correspondence, nor are they ambitious of it: But that the Ancient Church of England had very high thoughts of Austins Judgment, is from hence evident, that she quotes his opinion for one at least of the Articles of her Faith, and justifies her Authority from his Doctrine, Art. 29 But yet if the Church should be a weary of him, (as I am consident she never will) and has no surther service to command him, 'tis but transmitting him with Letters of safe Conduct into Holland. Where the Divines of the Synod of Dort's perswasion will give him better Quarter, and a most Cordial welcome; and there's no harm done.

Sect. 4 Another Branch of this tedious charge is, That he was a Devout good Man, but whose Piety was far more commendable than his Reason. Fuit utilis ad monita danda piæ vitæ, ad Scripturas interpretandas satis infoelix; That is, The Man was a well-meaning Zealot? One that according to his dim-light meant honestly, but he never had wit enough to write Obscane Annotations upon the Camicles; he, poor Man, was little versed in Anacreons Ribaldry, nor had much studied ovid de Arte Amandi; he was a meer stranger to Catullus and Martial; and therefore must needs be Satis, nay Nimis ad interpretendus Scripturas insalix. The most wretched unhappy creature that ever bungled at a Text of Scripture. It was never my unhappiness but once to hear the learned A. B. Osher reproach'd, and it was by a Grave Divine of the same temper, and upon the same account; That the Primate was indeed an honest Man, but one of no depth of Judgment.

We need not search far for a Reason, why these men cry down Austins Reason: In short, 'tis but to be reveng'd on him for crying down theirs; for there's a certain Malepert sawcy thing, as blind

as a Beetle, and as giddy as a Goose, which they have Nick-named Reason, and this Austin decries with some severity. Thus the Learned Jewell against Harding, Art. 4. Divis. 17. observes, That Austin speaking of the Scripture, judging Mysteries by Reason, saith thus, "Hex consuetudo periculosa est, per Scripturas Divinas enim "multo tutius Ambulatur: And again, Si Ratio contra Divinarum "Scripturarum authoritatem redditur, quamvis accuta sit, fallit verisimilitudine, vera enim este non potest. If Reason be brought against the Authority of the Scriptures, though it may seem accute, and witty, yet 'tis but fallacious under the shadow of Truth, for 'tis impossible it should be True. And for this he quotes, Ad Marcellinum Ep. 7. And let the Reader have a special care of the Quotation, for the Ec.

clesiastical Polititians sake.

But that our Austin was no such Skallow-brain'd fellow, no such balfwitted piece as those Divines judg it their interest to represent him, I shall call in the Testimony of Jerome, one whose Learning and judgment may at least counterballance those of the Enquirer, I have always (fays he to Austin) reverenced thy boliness, increase in Vertue: Thou art famous through the world: Catholicks reverence thee as the Rebuilder of the Ancient Faith. And I promise you he must be no Block-head that shall be able to Redintegrate the ruinous Doctrine of the Christian Church: But I shall knock all dead with an infallible, therefore irrefragable Testimony; 'tis no less, I assure you, than that of Calestinus Bishop of Rome: "We have always accounted Austin a man of holy memory for his Life, and merits, of our Communion, "whom we have long fince remembred to have been of so great "knowledg, that he was amongst the best Masters. It would be impertinent to tell you, how Paulinus Bilhop of Nola, calls him The great Light fet upon the Candlestick of the Church; or how Prosper gives him the Character of a very sharp Wit, clear in his Disputations, Catholick in his Expositions of the Faith: But to what purpose should we controul him with inferior Evidences after that of a Pope? or to what end Subpæna our little Witnesses after these Grandees? For furely he that will break Austins Pate, will not fear to dash out Profe pers Brains.

Sect. 5. Another Branch of this endless Indictment is, That being hard put to it by the Manichees on the one hand, and the Pelagians on the other, he was not able to extricate himself. Se in illas Ambages induxit, ut non invenerit qua se extricaret. You see, I hope, that if ever we should want an able Head to translate Grotius into English, our

ž Esz

Enquirer is the man: Never was poor man so bewildred, so sadly intangled in the Bryers, as this Austin, between the Manick can fatal Necessity, and the Pelagian Contingency, one while he's just a splitting upon the Scylla of Free-will; and whilst be goes a Point or two too near the wind, he's ready to be swallowed up of the desperate Gulf of Stoical Necessity. I shall say no more; let the Reader seriously peruse St. Austins Works, and when he has done, study this Enquirers Volumes, and by that time he may be satisfied, whether all his shetorick and Considence will make him a competent judg of St. Austins

Learning. Sell. 6. His conclusion of his Charge is, That he was rather forced into his Opinions, than made choice of them. He whose Tongue is his own, may employ it how he pleases, but this slander carries its confutation, as well as its confidence in its Forehead. 'Tis as if we should conclude, That men become enemies, because they have shed one anothers blood; whereas most think they wound and shed one anothers blood, because they were first enemies. It was the zeal of this Learned and Holy person for the Cause of God, that put him upon Study, that drew him out in the open Field, against the open Enemies of the Grace of God, who might otherwise have slept secure in a whole skin: Dispute cleared up Truths to him, but he was not forced from any, or into any. I shall conclude this Head, with that of Bradwardine, another samous Champion in the same Cause with Austin, Ecce enim quod non nisi tallus dolore Cordis refero, sicut olim contra unum Dei Prophetani, ochingenti, & quinquaginta Prophetæ Baal, & similes reperti sunt, quibus & innumerabilis populus adbarebat; Ita & bodie in kac causa, Quot O Domine, bodie cum Pelagio, pro libero Arbitrio contra gratuitam gratiam tuam pugnant, & contra Paulum Pugilom gratia specialem? Exurge ergo, Domine, sustine, protege robora, consolure; scis enim quod nusquam virtute mei, sed tua confisu, tantillus aggredior tantam causam. "Behold! (which I cannot mention without grief of heart) as of old against one Prophet of God, Eight "hundred and fifty of the Prophets of Baal, and fuch like, were "found, to whom a great multitude of people did adhere; fo in "this Cause, How many, O Lord, at this day contend for Free-will " with Pelagius against thy free Grace, and against St. Paul that fa-"mous Champion of Grace? Arise therefere, O Lord, uphold, de-"fend, flrengthen, comfort me; for thou knowest that not trusting to my own strength but thine, so weak a Combatant has engaged in " fo great a Cause.

9 2. His

¶ 2. His second assault is against the Synod of Dart: A tisk as needtess as the Answer it self, and such as will not quit for cost; for having already routed Austin, this poor Synod must fall in course with him, and be buried under his Ruines.

That it was a Dutch Synod I cannot deny: Dort is, and always was in the Province of Holland; and therefore to pare off as much needless Controversie as may be, let him triumph in our Concession, and make

his best on't: The Synod of Dort was a Dutch Synod.

That England was not within the jurisdiction of Dort. I shall easily admit: Nay, I can be contented that it be exempted from the Popes Western Patriarchate, if Grotius, B. Bramhal, and some others would agree to it. The Question then is, How sur the Church of England was, or is concerned in, at Agreement with, or obliged by the Decrees

thereof?

That King James sent thither several of his most Learned and Eminent Divines, premunited with an Instrument, and thereby impowred to sit, hear, debate, conclude upon those Arduous Points that should be brought before them, I think is not denied; but by those who deny there ever was any such Synod. That they did according to their Instructions, go thither, sit there, debate upon, and at last subscribe to the determinations of that Convention, is also out of dispute: If their subscription did not formally oblige the Nation, yet it evidently proves what was the judgment of the Nation: Nor do I think it had been for the Honour of this Church to have been of that Religion, because those delegates had subscribed; hut they therefore subscribed, because they were in their own judgment of the Council.

There bad been formerly one Baro in the University of Cambridge, who delivered himself somewhat broadly in savour of the Arminian Novelties: Hereupon the Heads of that University, sent up Dr. Whitaker, and Dr. Tyndall, to A. B. Whitzift, that by the interposition of his Authority those errors might be crush'd in the Egg, which were but Now Laid as yet, and not hatch'd in the bosom of this Church. The zeasous Presate presently convenes some of the most Judicious Divines of his Province; and Novemb. 10, 1595, by their advice, draws up the Lambeth Articles, coming up to, if not going beyond the Dordre San Creed: Forthwith he transmits these Articles to his Brother of the other Province, the A. B. of Tork, who seceives and approves them: So that now we have the Primate of England,

D 3

and the Primate of All England owning more than virtually the Decrees of that Synod; and surely two such persons, so learned, as having been both of them Professors of Divinity in the University, and of so great Power in the Church, must be presumed, if any, to understand the true meaning of the 39 Articles in the Five Controverted Points. After all this, King James allows the inferting them into the Articles of the Church of Ireland; and it were somewhat difficult to believe, that a Prince so wise and learned would allow that Doctrine for Orthodox in one of his Kingdoms, which was reputed Heretical in the other; unless we will say, they were erroneous at home, but purged themselves like French-Wines at Sea, by crossing St. Georges Channel; or that the malignity, or latent poison of them, was suck'd out by the sanative Complexion of the Irish Air and Soyl: If then the . Substance of the Articles was owned, it's no matter whether the Jurisdiction of the Synod was owned: for I rather think, that the Synod of Dort owned the Doctrine of the Church of England, than that the Church of England owned that Synods Jurisdiction.

I must here remember him of his own discourse in the Introduction, and desire to know whether he abide by that Doctrine he once Preached to us: That the Presence of the British Bishops in the Council of Arles, was good proof of the Nations piety. Let him show how that Proof proceeds, and its very probable we shall be in a fair way to show him how the presence of the English Delegates at the Synod of Dort, might imply, that the Church of England did compromise with it in the

Points now in question.

I confess I do not well understand the Mystery of one company of mens making a Faith for another; but yet I may plead from an equality of Reason, that if the Non-conformitts are bound up by the Decrees of a Convocation at London, where they have no representatives, the Church of England may be as well bound up by the Decrees of Dort, where she had her Representatives. If it be said that this Church had no equal Number at Dort to make a full representation of her Body; it may be answer'd, that in the Convocation 1571, there was no fuch equal repelentation of the Clergy, nor any at all of the people, who have Souls to fave, and Consciences to account for, and ought notio be concluded in matters of Faith, by what a couple of Clerks shall agree to, who are only chosen by the Parochial Ministers: I never saw a good Argument to this day, to prove, that the people ought to believe all that their Ministers believe, or that the Ministers are bound to hold all that their Representatives shall

shall subscribe, seeing it cannot be supposed that they give them so large a Commission; and if they should, it were actually void, because they give away their Consciences, which are none of their own.

How things are now, I know not well; but in former times a Convocation has been judged no equal representation, either of the inferior Clergy, or the Body of the People. In the lower house of Convocation, there have been in some Diocesses, one Dean. one Clerk for the Cathedral, three or sour Archdeacons; and for the inferior Clergy of the whole Diocess, only Two Clerks to Counterballance all the rest; So that all things must of necessity be concluded according to the temper and interest of the Cathedrals, and that I think was no equal Representation; but these things are inconsiderable. He comes now to draw up a Charge against, not the Jurisdicti-

on, but the Doctrines of that Synod.

1. They were such as knew not how God could be just, unless he was cruel; nor great, unless he decreed to damn the far greater part of Mankind. A company of filly Souls I perceive they were, and their Heads just of the same size with St. Austins: But in my poor judgment they took the wrong end of the Staff; for it had been much the harder task to make him fult, if he were first supposed Cruel: but this is one of those Chymerical Consequences, which the persons of this distemper and prejudice use when their blood is up, to fasten upon the Principles of the Calvinists. It was an ingenious Observation of the Author of Orig. Sacr. p. 10, where he assigns this as one cause of errour. "To question the soundness of Foundations, for the Appa-" rent Rottenness of the Superstructures: For (says he), There is no-"thing more usual, than for men who exceedingly detest some ab-"furd Consequence they see may be drawn from a Principle suppo-" fed, to reject the Principle it felf for the fake of that Consequence, "which it may be doth not necessarily flow from it, but from the " shortness of their own Reason doth only appear so to do. And if it were possible to perswade these Censurers to so much humility, as to suspect they may possibly not be infallible, in drawing Conclusions from other mens Principles, all this heat might be over: What the Synod of Dort afferts in this matter is thus much. Art. 15. Deus Homines quosdam ex liberrimo, justissimo, & immutabili bene placito decrevit in Communi Miseria, in quam se sua Culpa præcipitarunt, relinquere, nec salvifica fide, & conversione donare, sed in viis suis, & sub justo judicio relictos, tandem, non tantum propter infidelitatem, sed etiam

Catera peccata omnia, ad declarationem Justitia damnare, & aternum punire: In which, as there is nothing but what is Fult, fo there's nothing at all that is Cruel. 1. That Act of God which our Enquirer, for the greater Grace, will call a Decree to damn the far greatest part of mankind, the Synods calls a Rejellion of some men, or a Decree to pass by some men. Quoslam Homines decrevit Relinquere. 2. They say not that God Decrees to damn Men absolutely; but, Propter infidelitatem, & cætera omnia peccata damnare, to damn men for their Infidelity, and all their other sins; which is neither injustice, nor cruelty. 3. They say indeed that God Decrees to leave some men in the common Misery; but withall, 'tis such as whereinto they have thrown themselves through their own fault. In communi miseria, in quam se sua Culpa pracipitarunt. 4. They say, this is an All of Justice in God to leave them to lye in that common misery, into which they had plunged themselves; it is Justissimo Beneplacito. So that all the difficulty will be to resolve, 1. Whether it be an Ast of Cruelty in God to leave man as he found him in Massa corrupta; & damnabili? And 2. Whether it be an Ast of Injustice in God to damn men for their unbelief, and other sins. If neither of these, it will be no difficult province to make it out, How God may be just in damning men for their sin, and yet not cruel in leaving them in their fi

I am aware that this whole Controversic at last must empty it self into that of Original sin. And a difficulty it is that may require strong Heads to prove, that will not bring humble Faith to believe, how men have plunged themselves into the common Alisery, wherein God leaves those some, by their own default, Culpå suå: But the Church of Englad will be responsible for this difficulty, who determines in her Ninth Article, That in every Person born into the World it deserveth

Gods wrat b and damnation.

The pretence for this odious Imputation, is nothing but a Fancy, which for footh these great Misters of Wit have agreed to call Reafon, That that which would be cruelty and injustice in Man, must prefently be so in Gol: As thus. Because it would be cruelty and inhumanity in me to see my Enemy (or if it were bur his Oxe or his Asi)
lye in a Ditch ready to perish, and not to put forth a helping hand
to pluck him out, that therefore it must be cruelty in God to
see a sinner lye under sin and its present Consequents, and not to
deliver him from that state: Whereas we might consider that God
has tyed us by the Laws of Charity and Interest to such Assistance, because we may possibly call for the same Assistance from
others

Others in our Miseries; but God is not so bound up, having once set his Creature on his legs, to raise him up as oft as he shall please to

The vanity of this Reasoning will more easily appear, if we take the pains (a little pains will do it) to put, and consider this Case. Scelus qui non probibet cum potest jubet; 'tis Seneca's Maxime, and owned by all, That every man is bound to prevent and hinder all the wickedness he possibly and lawfully can: now, if we will measure God by this Rule, we must conclude, that God is unjust and cruel. if he hinders not all the evil in the World, which he can possibly and lawfully prevent; Nay, put the case as favourably as you can, That God is bound to prevent all the wickedness of mankind, as far as he can by moral means, not exerting any Physical Influence upon his Creature, to impede its vitious propenfities; yet this will not excuse him from apparent injustice and cruelty, if our Obligations must be made the Rule and Measure of his: For he has not in many places of the World fent them so much as the Preaching of the Gospel, nor help'd them to the best Arguments against disobedience, nor propounded to them the

Hrongest Motives to obedience.

The Remonstrants I perceive would gladly fasten this upon the Contra Remonstrants, that there is the same Reason and Proportion between foreseen Faith and Election, and foreseen infidelity and disobelience, and Reprobation. So Ames Coron p. 27. "Paril tatem quan-"dam inferre conantur inter electionem, & reprobationem To which he thus returns, "Sed fine Ratione, privilegium enim exempti-"onis, & liberationis à pæna merita, benè potest aliquibus conce-"di, sine ulla ratione Conditionis antecedentis, & impellentis; & e pæna tamen cæteris infligi ratione sui meriti, i. e. The priviledg f of Exemption and Deliverance from deserved punishment, may " safely be granted to some without any respect had to an Antece-"dent and moving condition, and yet the punishment be inflicted "upon the rest, on the account of their own demerits: So that he seems to have cleared what he said, p. 14. Interim adver ere debent aliam effe Rationem infidelitatis ad Rejestionem: aliam vero fid i ad Eledionem: To shut up this discourse: If by reprodution this Enquirer will needs understand a peremptory Decree of God to damn any Man, without respect had to the violation of his Law, the Synod is a perfect stranger to it: But if by Reprobation, they may have liberty to understand no more than they have a mind to. viz. Gods purtose not to give Grace to some to whom he ons it not: As it spe ks no injustice in him, because he was not their Debtor; so neither does it imply any cruelty, if as a Righteom, and just fudge, he punish them for those sins which they commit for want of such special and undeserved Grace.

2. A second Charge against the Doctrine of that Synod is; That they could not tell how man should be kept humble, unless they made him not a man, but a stock, or a stone. And yet the harder task had been to make him Humble, if they had made him either a stock or a stone, for it would have puzled them to Preach a Sermon of Humility with any considerable success to such an Auditory: though the Legend would perfyade us, that Venerable Bede had once, and but once, that Happiness.

But let the Reader hear what they say for themselves, Art. 3,4. Selt 13, 16. "Sicuti post lapsum, Homo non desinit esse Homo, in-"tellectu, & voluntate præditus, nec peccatum quod universum ge-" nus humanum pervasit, naturam generis humani sustulit, sed spiritu-"aliter occidit; Ita etiam hæc Divina Regenerationis gratia, non agit "in hominibus, tanquam truncis, & stipitibus, nec voluntatem, ejusque proprietates tollit, aut in vitam violenter cogit, sed spirituali-"ter vivificat, sanat, corrigit, suaviter simulac potenter flectit. As after the Fall, man ceased not to be a man, endowed with an understanding, and will; nor hath fin, which overspread all mankind, taken away the nature of mankind, but only spiritually stain it: So also that Divine Regenerating Grace, works not in men, as if they were stocks, or blocks, nortakes away the will, and its' Esential Properties; or compels it by force against its will, but spiritually quickens it, heals it, corrects it, and gently, but yet powerfully inclines it. Hence it is clear, that this Synod were satisfied that God in the work of Conversion deals not with Men as if they were Stocks, (and the same you may imagine of Stones) but the Question then will be, whether upon this Hypothesis, they knew how to keep Man humble: Man indeed is a very proud Creature, and it may exercise the skill of the wisest how to keep him bumble upon the best Principles; but of all that have undertaken this hard task, I most admire at their want of skill, that know not kow to keep Man humble unless they knock him oth Head. The knot of the Controversie among the contending-parties lies here.

The Contra Remonstrants affert: Post Dei operationem, non manet in H. migis fotestate Regenerari, vel non Regenerari: So Ames Coron, p. 227. After the Work of God (i. e. his utmost Work that he designs in Conversion) it remains not in mans power to be Regenerated. or not Regenerated; for they suppose that God does not leave his Work in the halves, but that before that effectual grace which proceeds from his purpole of Regenerating, does cease to operate, the person is actually Regenerated; yet still that in all the process of this glorious Work, he offers no violence to, commits no Rape upon the Natural Faculties of the Soul: The Remonstrants on the other hand affert, That "Positis omnibus operationibus, quibus ad Conversionem, in "nobis efficiendam, Deus utitur, manet tamen ipsa Conversio, ità "in nostra potestate, ut possimus non Converti. Supposing the whole Work of God, which be uses to work Conversion in us, to be finished; yet still Conversion it self remains so far in our power, that we may not be converted. Which Principle seems clearly to ascribe Converfion not to Gods grace, but to Mans will and strength; it's the Man that makes himself differ from another: It's Man that Regenerates himself, when all is done that God does; and perhaps our Enquirer may have something to do to keep Man humble upon this Hypothesis. But whether of these two Principles makes the nearer approach to the Church of England, I mean that Doctrine which is express'd in the thirty nine Articles, let the 10 Art. judge. The condition of Man is such after the Fall, that he cannot turn nor prepare himself by his own Natural strength to Faith and calling upon God; wherefore we have no power to do good Works pleasant and acceptable to God, without the grace of God preventing us, that we may have a good will, and working with us, when we have this good will. Our Enquirer will tell us by and by, to 9. That there has been little or no alteration made in the Dollrine of this Church, since the beginning of the Reformation. And therefore I conclude, that there has been no alteration made from an Anti-Arminian to an Arminian sense: for that cannot be called little or no alteration. Now that this 10. Art. in the beginning of the Reformation, in Edward VI. Reign, had an Anti-Arminian sense, will be out of Question to him that remembers what Addition there was then made to it. The grace of Christ, or the H. Ghost by him given, doth take away the stony Heart, and giveth an Heart of Flesh: and although those that have no will to good things, he maketh them to will, and those that would evil things, he maketh them not to will, yet nevertheless he forceth not the will. Articles Printed by J. Day. Anno 1553. Cum Privilegio: If this then be the sense of the Article, let him go praaise at home, and turn his Brains, how to keep Man bumble. and yetneither mike him stock nor stone: and when he has found out the Mystery, fend word to the Synod, who I am assured never afferted higher than this amounts to: But if this be not the fense of the Article at present, though it was once so, then it must follow that the Church has more than a little alter'd ber Doctrine since the Reformation: And then a worse thing than all this will follow: for p. 8. He allows, That if this Church did approach too near Popery, it would serve to instific a Secession from it. But says another, if it approaches too near Arminianism, it approaches too near Popery: and therefore our Enquirer will warrant any Mans Secession from the Church, without the least imputation of Schism: What a close connection there is between those two errours we shall hear e're long, and thither we refer the Reader, when we have told him, that the Church of England is certainly free from any Tincture of Arminianism, and so far free from any spot of Popery: only it concern'd the Enquirer to understand the consequences of his own scandalous Reflections. I have done with his first Answer

2. I come now to his second: The Articles of the Dostrine of this Church do with such admirable prudence and wariness handle these Points, as if particular respect was had to these Men. and care taken that they might

Abundare sensu suo.

I cannot imagine what greater Reproach he could throw upon these famous Articles, and their worthy Compilers, than to suggest that they were calculated for all Meridians and Latitudes: As if the Church did imitate λοξίας, the Delphian Apollo, whose Oracles were two faces under one Hood, and were penn'd like those Amphilogies that cheated Cræsus and Pyrrhus into their destruction: Or as if like Janus. they looked πρόων η δπίωω, backwards and forwards; and like the untouch'd Needle, stood indifferently to be interpreted through the two and thirty Points of the Compass. The Papists do never more maliciously reproach the Scriptures, than when they call it a Lesbian Rule, a Nose of Wax, a Leaden Dagger, a pair of Seamans Trowges; a movible Dyal. you may make it what a Clock you please: And yet they never arriv'd at that height of Blasphemy, as to say it was Industriously so penn'd by the Amanuenses of the Hoty Ghost.

I dare not entertain so little Charity for an Assembly of Holy and Learned men convened upon so solemn an occasion, that they would play Legerde-main, and contrive us a S steme of Divinity, which should be Instrumentum pacis non veritatis: The Conventicle of Trent

indeed acted like themselves, (that is, a pack of Juglers) who when they were gravelled and knew not how to hush the noise and importunate Clamour of the bickering Factions, the crassive leading Men found out a Temper (as they call'd it) to skin over that Wound which they could not heal, and durst not fearch; And what was the success of these Carnal Policies? Only this! Both parties retained their differing opinions, believed just as they did before; and when they sound how they had been cajouled, the Controverses which for a while had been smothered under the Ashes of a blind Subscription, broke out into a more violent slame.

The crast of this Politick Juncto, that impartial Historian Pietro Polano has opened to the World: Hist. Counc. of Trent. p. 216. In the Year 1546. (says he) In the end of the Session Dominicus a Soto, principal of the Dominicans, wrote three Books of Nature and Grace, wherein all his old Opinions were found; Then comes Andreas Vega, a great Man amongst the Franciscans, and he write no less than sifteen Books upon the 16 Points of the Decree that passed that Session, and expounded all according to his own Opinions: And yet their opinions were directly contrary to one another, though both supposed to agree with the Decree of the Council. So righteous it is with God, that they who design not their Consessions for an Instrument of Truth, which is Gods End, should not find them an Instrument of Peace, which is all their End: They that will separate Truth from Peace, shall certainly miss both of Peace and Truth.

The Title prefix'd to the Book of Articles does abundantly secure us of their Honesty, The Catholick Dostrine believed and professed in the Church of England. Now how shall we at all believe, if we know not what to believe? And if the Trumpet gives an uncertain Sound, 'tis all one as if it were not sounded: That which is every thing, and every where, is nothing, and no where. That which has no determinate Sense, has no Sense, and that's very near akin to Non-sense: The fews indeed have a Tradition, that the Manna was what every Mans appetite could relish; and such a Religion would these Men invent as should be most slexible. Where it ought not to bend; and where it should yield, there to be insexible. Strange it is, that Religion of all things in the World should be unsix'd, and like Delos, or O-Brazile, stoat up and down in various and uncertain Conjectures: What Arist. us'd to say of one of his Books, that it was Editus, & non Editus; and what was the just reproach of the Rhemists Testament, that it came forth (as some report of a great Princes Sword)

with a Fadlock upon't, so stuffed with Pen and Ink-horn Terms, that it was almost as unintelligible as Latin; the same contumely does our Enquirer pour out upon the Articles of the Church, which were the most famous Testimony that then for many Years, nay Ages, had been given to the Truth of the Gospel I conclude then, that he must be very immodest, that can entertain a thought so unworthy the Learning, Religion, and sincerity of our first Resormers, which were their greatest Ornaments, as they were of their Times, and the Articles

the greatest glory of them both.

I know it's an easie matter to draw up a Proposition so dubiously, that the greatest Dissenters may subscribe it; but what is the advantage of such dawbing Policy? Peace or Unity of Judgment? Some Men indeed have got a Worm in their Pates, and they fancy this an expedient for these ends, but there's no such matter; for the Subscribers in this Case do not bow their judgments to the Articles, but gently bend the Articles to their judgment. It's not the Bank that moves to the Boat, but the Boat that moves to the Bank, and each Party thinks it self the stronger, because it can draw in the obsequious Articles to abet their opinions.

When therefore he infinuates, that they of the Calvinistical perfwasion, in subscribing the Articles, are forced to use scholastick subtleties to reconcile their opinions to them; we entreat them to use Scholastick Subtleties, who are of the other judgment, to reconcile the Articles to their opinions, and they will find all too little, unless they borrow a Point or two of Conscience, first to refolve to subscribe, and then defend it afterwards as well as they

can.

And when he intimates that they were only some sew Divines of this Church that used this expedient; we know well, that till the appearance of the late Archbishop Laud, the generality of this Church were of the Dort perswasion: Arminianism has been openly declared schism; Arminian himself an Enemy to the grace of God, by our greatest and most Learned Princes; and the greatest of our Church-Men have declared against it, as a stranger and enemy to our Church. But all this, as I observed, was brought in to vilifie the Synod of Dort, and that eminently Learned and Holy Person St. Austin, whose Credit whilst the Enquirer would wound, he shall but like the Viper in the Fable, break his own Testh, and never burt the impregnable Steel.

2. A second pretended Objection against the Church is, That it is

not sufficiently purged from the dross of Romish Superstitions.

It's a marvelous advantage to him that challenges another to fight if he may prescribe and impose the Weapon; this Authority has our Enquirer and some of his Camerades arrogated as peculiar to themselves, that they may put what objections they please into the mouths of Diffenters.

For though they cannot in the largest Charity acquit a Party, (neither considerable for Number or solid Learning) which yet by noise and Pragmaticalness, and some other Artifices, have vested themselves with the Name of the Church; yet they are ready to clear the Articles of the Church from Popery and Arminianism.

I intend those alone, who would obtrude a meaning upon the Doctrine, as if it impugned particular Election, Original Sin, and afferted Free will, Justification by our own Works, and the rest of those

Points whereof some mention has been made.

In the first of Car. I. The House of Commons exhibited Articles against one Mr. Richard Mountague: the fifth of which was thus: And whereas in the 17th. of the said Articles, it is Resolved. [ That God hath certainly decreed by his Counsel secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom he hath chosen out of Mankind in Christ, and to bring them by Christ to Everlasting Salva. tion; wherefore they which be endued with so excellent a Benefit, be called according to Gods purpose working in due time, they through grace obey that calling, they be justified freely, walk Religiously in good works, and at last by Gods mercy attain to everlasting Felicity]; He, the said Richard Mountague, in the said Book called The Appeal, doth affirm and maintain, That men justified may fall away from that state which once they had. Thereby laying a most malicious scandal upon the Church of England, as if she did differ herein from the Reformed Churches in England, and the Reformed churches beyond the Seas, and did consent unto those pernicious Errours commonly called Arminianism, which the late famous Q. Eliz. and K. James of happy memory did so piously and Religiously labour to suppress. And farther they charge him, That the scope and end of his Book, was to give encouragement to Popery, and to withdraw his Majesties Subjects from the True Religion establisht; From whence we have gained this Point, that that Do-Etrine which denies Perseverance in them that were once Justified, doth abet Arminianism, and therein draw near Popery: But if these men might expound the Articles, they would deny the one, and abet the other; and therefore do draw too near Popery: Hereupon Dissenters have a warrant under his own hand to withdraw from the Church, for (says he) p. 8. If the charge (of drawing too near the Church of Rome) were true, or if it were probable, it would justifie their separation from it.

In 5. Caroli I. The House of Commons made this protestation, Whosever shall bring in Innovation of Religion, or by Favour or Countenance seek to extend Popery or Arminianism, or other Opinion disagreeing from the truth, or Orthodox Church, shall be Reputed a Capital Enemy to

this Kingdom and Commonwealth.

And so close has the connexion between Popery and Arminianism ever been adjudged, that the Jesuits, who throughly understand their Interest, and the most proper and suitable means to promote it, have pitcht upon This as the best expedient to introduce That; for thus in that Triumphant Letter of theirs to their Rector at Brussels, they express themselves, Now we have planted that Soveraign Drug of Arminianism, which will purge the Protestants of their Heresse, and it slourishes, and brings forth fruit in due season. Whence we are taught both our Disease, and our Remedy: The disease under which poor England laboured was Protestancy, the Remedy was the Jesuits powder, or a round Dose of Arminianism, which is it seems a specifick purger of that Humor.

That the Divines of this Church did formerly maintain a just sufpicion, that the Opinions of Conditional Election, and falling away totally from grace, were an In-let to Popery, we need ho other evidence than that Letter written by the University of Cambridge to their Chancellor, upon the occasion of Barrets and Baro's preaching up such like novelties: It was dated March 8. 1595. If (say they) passage be admitted to these Errors, the whole Body of Popery will break in upon us by little and little, to the overthrow of all Religion. And therefore they humbly befeech his Lordships good aid and assistance, for the surpressing those Errors in time; and not only of those Errors. but of gross Popery, like by such means in time to creep in amongst them, as they found by late experience it dangerously begun.

Ifay, not that the Articles of the Church encline to Popery, nay they detest it; but this I say, that if they did incline to Arminianism, they must to Popery; If they do not, why are they with allowance so misconstrued? If they do, then the section of the Non-conformists

is thereby justified.

Having therefore made this Objection for the Dissenters, he will give them their Answer, and prove the unreasonableness of this suggestion, That the Church of England approaches too near the Super-

fitions of Rome.

1. It's certain (says he) there hath been little or no Alteration made; either in the Dostrine, Discipline, or Littingy, since the first Reformation. Little or none? Does he thean for the better, or the worse? To say, there has little or none been made for the better, is a Commendation so cold, that silence had been more an Honour than such praise. The Reformation was begun as the times would bear; A sair Copy was set for posterity to imitate, never dreaming that their Rudiments should have been our utmost perfession; That their first step should have been our Hercules Pillars, and a Ne plus ultra to all suture endeavours. To say, there has been little or no alteration made for the worse, is a more modest way of defamation; but Dissenters have many things

to fay to this.

Sect. 1. That there have been considerable alterations made in the Articles themselves, if not as they remain in Scriptis, yet as they are publickly interpreted; for we subscribe not to a heap of Letters and Syllables, but to the sense and meaning of certain propositions, as they are owned by the Church. What the Church owns (fay they) we can no otherwise understand, than by those writings which appear every day Licensed and approved by those of greatest Authority in the Church: Now if we may judg of the meaning of the Articles by those writings, They are as much Altered, as if Negatives had been changed into Affirmatives, or Affirmatives into Negatives. In former times they were generally subscribed, because the most scrupulous were generally informed by those of most eminent place in the Church, that the meaning was found; but now (fay they) we are informed otherwise, we see our mistake, the words have a different and contrary meaning; and therefore we must be excused in subscription. 2. They will fay, That what the Enquirer calls little or nothing, is a very great something: for it concerns us not so much what is put into the Liturgy or Rituals; as what is made a Condition of Communion with the Church: Now in the beginning of the Reformation, though many things were in use, yet few imposed as the necessary Terms of enjoying a station in that Society; Things supposed indifferent were used as indifferent. In the 13th of Q. Elizabeth, subscription is only required to Dollrinals, and such Subscribers, though not ordained by Prelates, were admitted to officiate as Ministers of the

the Church of England. But now subscription is peremptorily required to all and every thing contained in the Book of Common-prayer, The Book of ordering Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, wherein are considerable Doctrinal additions and alterations; such as the different Orders of Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, supposed to be distinct jure Divino: A.Doctrine which Archbishop Cranmer understood not, as is evident from his M. S. exemplified in Dr. Stil. his Irenicum.

In the beginning of the Reformation, Ceremonies were retained to win upon the people who were then generally Papists, and doted upon old usages, and not as the necessary conditions of Communion; They were retained, not to shut out of doors the Protestants, which is their present use, but to invite in the Romanists, which was their Original end; but there's nothing more common, than for Institutions to degenerate, and be perverted from the first Reasons of their usage, and yet still to plead the Credit of their Originals: Thus Indulgences, and Remission of sins, were first granted to all that would engage in the Holy War, to recover the Sepulchre of Christ out of the hands of the Saracens, but in process of time they were dispenfed to them who would massacre the Waldenses, and Albigenses, and fuch as could not obey the Tyranny of the Romish faction: Thus was the Inquisition first set up to discover the Hypocritical Moors in Spain; but the edge of it fince turned against the Protestants And thus were the Ceremonies perverted, at first made a Key to let in the Papists, and now made a Lock to shut out Protestants. What a glorious work must it then be to abolish those Engines, that seeing they are become weak to do Good, they may be rendred as impotent to do mischief: Imitating herein the Apostle, who once circumcifed Timothy to gain the weak fews, yet stouchy refused to Circumcise Titus, lest he should stumble the weak Gentiles. 3. The Ceremonies it's true crept into the Church pretty early, yet they laid no weight, no stress upon them; .It was decreed by the Council of Sardica, that none should be made a Bishop, but he that had passed the Inferior Orders. and continued in them for some time; and yet we see they insisted not upon fuch a Canon, when it might prejudice the Church, and exclude useful persons from the Ministry: and therefore Nestarius was chosen Patriarch of Constantinople, not only being a Layman, but unbaptized. As our Enquirer commends and admires the Churches wifdom in forming her Doctrinal Articles, that men of various perswasions might subscribe them; so her tenderness and wisdom had been

been no less admirable, had she recommended Ceremonies with fuch an Indifferency, that they who were pathonately fond of them might be humoured, and they that protest they scruple them in Conscience towards God, might fairly let them alone: for it can be no. dishonour to a Church to be as Lax in Ceremonies of bumane constitution, as in Dodrines of Divine Revelation. 4. Dissenters say from good grounds, that that which makes all an insupportable burden, viz. That we must subscribe according to the clause of the zoth Article; that the Church bas power to decree Rites and Ceremonies, is added fince the beginning of the Reformation. . And this they think heavier than all the Ceremonies put together; many could pra-Stice a thing; supposing it indifferent in it self, and having a real tendency to a greater good, who can by no means subscribe, that the Church has such a power to take away my liberty: I have taken notice, that in the Ancient Bibles of this Church, the Contents of Pfalm 149. ran thus; The Prop'et exhorteth to praise God for bis Love to his Church, and for his benefits. But in the latter days we had got high ranting Language, The Propher enborteth to praise God for his Love to his Church, and for that power that he bath given to his Church over the Consciences of Men: This is no little Addition. 7. They will tell him, that the number of Nonconformists was confiderable from the very Infancy of the Reformation, though it could not be expected that their names should be inserted in the Church Calender amongst the Confessors; and that Nonconformity has run a line parallel with the National Reformation to this dav.

But (lays our Enquirer) The main quarrel is, that we are not always Reforming. No, that's not the main, nor any Quarrel that Diffenters have with them: Let but Reformation be made in what is necessary, and as often as is necessary, and I know none disposed to quarrel; it were better never to be sick, than to have a Remedy; yet upon supposition of a Disease, in my mind there's nothing like an approved Medicine. It's more desirable not to make Shipwrack, than to escape by a Plank; yet when a wrack is made, he deserves to sink that despites a subsidiary Plank: If it were possible for Churches not to contract corruption, I know no need, because no use of Reformation.

Some men hate Reformation, as the Bear hates the Stake. They pretend, that the Reformation of the Church will discompose the State: But the best way to preserve the Iron, is to scowr away the Rust: A dirty Face may be wash'd, and yet the skin never rub'd off; and the

House

House swept, and never thrown out of the windows: They pleate again, That no Reformation can be made, but what will notably diminish the Revenues, Grandeur, and Credit of the Church. And this Objection has more real weight in it, than all the rest. This is the Capital grievance, Hinc illa Lachrima! But does it not argue a Saleable and Mercenary Soul, that would Barter away Purity for Pluralities? The most severe Reformation would leave too much, if any thing, for fuch an Objector; whatever have been the specious Pretences, this has been the real obstruction of an effectual Reformation; Kings and Parliaments have always been inclinable towards a Redress of Exorbitances; but the covetousness and pride of Church-men have ever impeded their Pious endeavours. A Parliament in Qeen Eliz. Reign, as we read in Dr. Fullers Ch. History, was bringing in a Bill against Pluralities, and Archbishop Whingift sends a Letter to Her Majesty, signifying they were all undone Horse and Foot if it passed : ' Observe how he deplores the miserable state of the Church, The woful and distressed estate whereinto we are like to fall, forceth us, with grief of beart, in most Humble manner to crave your Majesties most Soveraign Protection \_\_\_\_ Why, what is the matter ? Were they making a Law against Preaching? No! or against Common-Prayer? By no means! what ailes then the diffressed Man? \_\_\_\_ why, we therefore not as Directors, but as Humble Remembrancers, befeech your Highnesses favourable beholding of our present state, and what it will be in time, if the Bill against Pluralities should take place. No question it must be utter extirpation of the Christian Religion. Thus in another Letter to the same Queen he complains with Lamentations that would foften a heart of Marble. That they have brought in a Bill giving liberty to marry at all times of the year, without restraint: well, but if men be obnoxious to the evil all times of the year, why should they not use the Remedy that God has appointed all times of the year? The Apostle who tells us, It's better to marry than burn, did not except any time of the year. But why may not a Parliament make a Law, as well as the Ecclefiastical Court give a License, that it shall be Lawful to marry at any time of the year? Ay but the Parliament will make the Law for nothing, whereas those other will have Money for their Licenses. But he proceeds, \_\_\_\_\_\_It's Contrary to the old Canons, continually observed by us. Why, but is it not contrary to the old Canons to take Money for a License? Yes! but \_\_\_\_ It tendeth to the Clander of the Church, as having hitherto maintained an Error. And now you have the bottom of the Bag: All Reformation must touch the

the Clergy either in their Credits or Profits; and it were better neve to put a kand to that work, than to touch either af those with a little

inger.

2. His second Answer is, All is not to be esteemed Popery that is held by the Church of Rome; we are not to depart further from her, than the has departed from the Truth; and those things wherein they agree, are such (and no other) as were generally received by all Christian Churches, and by the Roman before it lay under any ill Character. Many things might be returned, but I shall say little; only 1. As all is not to be accounted Popery which is held by the Church of Rome; so neither is all to be accounted Schism which hot men in their passions and prejudices will call fo. Let that be now accounted Popery, which in the beginning of the Reformation, by the most eminent Divines of this Nation, was so accounted, and he will hear no more I presume of that Argument. 2. I would be satisfied whether Rome departed from the Truth, simplicity, and complexion of the Evangelical worship, when she loaded the Church with such multitudes of unnecessary Ceremonies, and Superstitions; If not, why did the Church of England depart from her in Any? if so, why did she not depart in All? 3. Why should we be so tender of departing from an abominable Strumpet? Were it not more Christian to say, we will depart from the Reformed Churches abroad, no further than they have departed from the Truth, and then the Argument will be ingenuoully strong, rather to part with Ceremonies that we may Syncretize with Protestants, than retain them, that we may hold fair Quarter with Papists. 4. It cannot be made appear, that those things wherein the Agreement yet abides, were generally received by all Christian Churches: Kneeling at the Sacrament was not received in the Church, till Rome came under an ill and most odious Charaster; many Centuries after the Apostles knew it not; and when it was first entertain'd, it was accommodated to the grand Idol of Transubstantiation.

But our Enquirer has a mind to be Resolved in a sew Questions for

his own private satisfaction.

1. Qu. If there be such a dangerous affinity between the Church of England, and the Romith, how came it to pass that the blessed Instruments of our Reformation, Archbishop Cranmer, and others, laid down their lives in Testimony to this against that? I meddle not with his dangerous Affinities, nor Consanguinities, nor whether they come within the Prohibited Degrees or no; what I am concern'd in, is his Argument.

E 3 which

which may receive this short Answer. They laid down their lives in testimony against those Errors wherein they differ'd, and not against those wherein they might be agreed: They might possibly agree in many, and yet differ in so many as might cost them their Lives: There was difference enough to justifie their opposition; and yet there might be agreement endugh to justifie a modest complaint.

I once heard a person upon his Arraignment for Burglary plead strongly, That he had served his Majesty faithfully in his Wars; the Judg I remember took him up somewh .t too short: Friend! you are not Indicted for your Loyalty. but for breaking a House: The Nonconformists agree with the Church of England in more, and more material points, than England can be supposed to agree with Rome; and yet all his smooth and oyly Oratory, will not perswade the Diffenters that they suffer not from their Brethren.

The difference between the Church of England, and Rome, is very considerable, it is Essential, it constitutes them two distinct Societies, and such as cannot Coalesce without fundamental alterations in the one; and yet there might possibly remain some things, which might

speak too near an Approach.

I should be loath to be misunderstood; and do question more my own infelicity to cloath my Conceptions with apposite expressions, than the accuteness of the Reader; and therefore I shall give him this general Advertisement to prevent mistakes. I am not concerned to affert, that this Church Approaches too near that of Rome; but modestly examining whether the Enquirer has proved his Negative, that the does not; and therefore does not, because Cranmer, Ridley, &c. laid down their lives in Testimony against Romish Corruptions. I deny not the consequent, but the consequence; Not that this Church maintains a due distance from Rome; but that it appears true upon this Reason, because the bloody Papists put many of her Ancient Fathers, and zealous Children to death, of whose weakness I am the more confident, being affured by good History, That they have most barbaroully perfecuted and murdered those who differ'd from them in some single Point, whilst they held communion with them in all the relt.

The Church of England (I fay it again) is departed from Rome, but yet it may be true, sett. 1. That some amongst us have laid fuch foundations, as being regularly and proportionably advanc'd in their superstructures, will either re-introduce that Abomination,

or condemn Cranmers separation. In the grand Debate, p. 92, 93. The Reverend and Learned Divines lay down these Rules. 1. That God has given not only a Power, but a Command also of Imposing whatsoever shall be truly decent, and becoming his Worship. 2. That not Inferiours, but superiours must judg what is truly convenient and decent: Now allow but the Pope, and his Confistory, these favourable concessions, (and it cannot reasonably be deny'd them by those who claim them) and all their Injunctions will be justified, and Cranmer with his Brethren found will-sufferers, who charg'd their persecutors to be willworshippers: The Pope commands us to worship an Image, not terminating our Adoration therein, but letting it flide nimbly through that Medium to the adorable Object which it represents; and all this as A.gust, and Decent, and a great exciter of Devotion, a mighty mover of Pious affections: I suppose my self to be one of those Inferiours, who scruple the lawfulness of this practise: He who is my supposed superiour asks me, whether I do not own it my duty to wor-(hip God? I plainly own the Affirmative, but I am not satisfied in the Mode of Adoration. He answers readily, The Modes of Worship are but indifferent circumstances, in their use very decent, and commended too by those who have power to Impose and Judg what is truly decent: I rejoyn again, This is very strange Doctrine, I have drunk in other apprehensions from my Mothers Milk: but he stops my mouth, and turns me to the very Page, where some of our most eminent Divines of late years do plead on their behalf, what he pleads on

But further, he commands Holy Oyl, Holy Water, Confecrated Salt, Cream. Spittle, Infuffiations, Exorcifms, with abundance of fine Devices to be used in or with, or in order to Baptism. The inferiour scruples these as meer sooleries, too childish and light to be used in Gods Worship. But the Superiour takes you up: You are not Competent Judges; it belongs to him to impose, and judg what is decent; and such he has judged these, and as such imposed them, and your work is not Disputation, but Obedience.

When B. Bonner heard, that in our Reformation we had referred fome of the old Ceremonies, he answered with a smile, They have begun to Tast of our Broth, and in time they't ext of our Beef: The old crasty Fox knew well, that where there was a Nest-Egg lest, the Priess and Friers would Lay to it the whole Racemation of their Supersti-

tions,

They that take away a practife, and do not renounce the Principle upon which 'tis built, do but lop off some of the more Luxuriant Branches, whilst the Tree is alive, or turn his Holiness out of doors, and yet give him the Key in's Pocket to return at his leasure, or pleafure: And he might be too hasty that said, The English forced the Pope out of doers so hastily, that he had not time to take his Garments with

I confess. I have been puzled what Answer to give to a Cavilling Popish Priest; when he asks so pertly, why the Priest may not put his Fingers in the Childs Ears, in token that it shall hearken diligently to the word of God; why not put Salt upon the Childs Tongue, (methinks I see how the poor wretch screws and twists up its mouth) in token that its Speech shall be seasoned with Salt, as well as make an Airy cross over its fore head, in token that it shall confess a crucified Christ: If we will give scope to our wanton extravagant fancies, and set our pregnant inventions on work, we might easily excogitate a thousand such pretty ingenious knacks, as might bear some Imaginary Allusion to some Spiritual grace or duty; but amongst them all I wonder no lucky fancy never stumbled upon't, to put a decent Banner, with St. Georges Cross upon't, into the Childs Hand, in token that it shall manfully (and not like a Child) fight under Christs Banner.

2. Qu. How comes it to pass, that all those of the Roman Communion withdraw themselves from ours, and all true Protestants think it their duty

to absent themselves from their Worship?

bim.

Physicians do carefully observe the Indications of Nature; and therefore observing that our Enquirers mind stands strongly inclined to a little pleasantness, why should we check the Humour? How comes it to pass? Truly I neither know that it does come to pass, nor why it comes to pass. I am certain I have read or heard, that for the sirst 12 years of Queen Eliz, the Papists came to Church; and if they have knock'd off since, and why they have knock'd off, I wait for an Answer from this Enquirer. King Edw. VI. in his Proclamation to the Devonshire Rebels, tells them; That if the Mass were good in Latin, it could not be bad by being Translated into English. It could not be objected, as 'twas against the Commedian, Ex Gracis Bonis, fecit Latinas non Bonzs; This could not be the Reason to be sure. No, no, (says he) They are commanded so to do by the Head of their Church: There's the Reason; then what needed all this stir? The command of Superiour will ballow, or at least excuse an erroneous Astjon, as a Transcenders

cendent in our Church speaks! and if this Doctrine would but pals, we should have a sweet time on't: Our Superiours must impose, and judg what's indifferent and decent; and we have the easiest life in the world, nothing but to wink hard, and lift up our legs high enough, and

there's no danger.

And yet the Papists learn'd not possibly all this lesson of withdrawing from the Church of England, from their own Superiours; they might be taught the Doctrine nearer home: A. B. Laud being ask'd by a Lady, Whether she might be saved in the Romish Communion? Answered readily, Madam: 10u may; and the good Lady took his word, and ventured it. It's possible it might be the same Lady that Dr. Fuller, Ch. Hist. B. 11. p. 217. tells us of: She being ask'd by the same Prelate, Why she had changed her Religion? Answered, Because I ever hated a Crowd. And being desired to explain her meaning herein, she replyed, I perceived your Lordship (it should have been Grace by her Ladiships sayour) and many others are hastning thither as fish as you can; and therefore to prevent a press, I went before you.

What design of Reconciliation with Rome, and upon what terms Grotius carried it on, is pretty well known by this time of day: that he had a Party here in England, or expectations of one, his own words testisse. Aquis multis non displicuisse Grotii propace Labores, Norunt Lutetiae, & in omni Gallia multi, multi in Polonia, & Germania, in Anglia non pauci, placidi, pacis Amantes. Discuse p. 16. There were, I see by this, a company of loving, sweet-natur'd, tractable Souls here in England, that would have step'd half way over the Ditch to meet his Holiness: especially since Mr. Mountagues time, who informs us, That the controverted Points (between England and Rome) are of a lower and inferiour Nature, which a Man may be ignorant of, without peril of his Soul, and may resolve to oppose this or that without peril of perishing for ever. That Images may be used for instruction of the ignorant, and excitation of Devotion: And that the Church of Rome has ever continued firm upon the same foundations of Sacraments and Dor Arine instituted by God.

They are not fingle Instances of those who have not abhorred the Communion of Rome, which I could give, but I will spare the living,

and cover the dead.

Nor will I say, that these, or such as these were Papists; yet methinks they did incline, and warp desperately towards it: there's an add distinction we often meet with, of a Sensus Composita, and a Sensus Divi-

Divisus, which may a little illuminate us: Now because my Readers are not like to be any of the more deadly learned fort, I will a little explain the Distinction to their Capacities, by a very familiar, though I confess a very homely comparison: It's impossible (say I) that a Maggot should ever be a Fly; that is, in your senfus Compositue, or so long as it continues to be a Maggot, because these have two distinct forms, and the one keeps the other out of possession, whilest it hath a Nail or Tooth to scratch or bite: But now its not only possible, but easie for this Fly to become a Maggot, in Sensu Diviso; that is, for the Maggot to strip her self of her old shape, and appear in another likeness: I shall be modest in the Application, and hope the Reader will not be immodest: Such persons as I have mention'd could never be Papists, whilest they adhered to the Doctrine of the Church of England: but yet fuch were their disposednesses that way, that the transition was easie, to slide from such loose Principles into Popery, and yet the Church the mean time might be innocent.

3. Quest. Whence comes it to pass, that the Romish Church have more spight against our Church, than against any Sest or Party whatsoever? When it is once well proved that they have so, it will be time enough to enquire why they have so; but we must suppose one half of his discourse to be true, that we may have leave to answer the

other.

The spight of the Roman Faction against Protestancy as such, has so eminently discovered it self, under whatever denominations they have been differenced, that none of them have cause to boast of it, or be ambitious to taste further of it: It were well improved, if they who are Objects of their implacable spight, could learn to love more, and agree better amongst themselves: The Papists think themselves excusable in persecuting all; when one Protestant so suriously persecutes another, they know no reason why they should love no better than we love our selves; and trucly against whom their spight is hottest, is hard to judg. If we compare the Cruelties of the Parisian Massacre, with the butcheries of the Irish Rebellion, we shall find the true Reason why they slew more in Ireland than Paris, was, because there was more to be sain: The fire may go out for want of matter, but I dare say, never for want of a good stomack to its food: In short, their spight is there the greatest, where they can shew it most; as to one that's very hungry, the biggest Dish is ever the best: The Papists judg of the Object of their hatred, as one did of Tullies Oracions, the longest is to be sure the most excellent: and yet I conceive

ceive the Enquirer to be quite out in this matter. The Papilts may spight the Church of England upon the account of its fair and vast Revenues, great Dignities, marvellous Honours, Wealth, Splendour, and whatever is desirable to the eye, because hereby the Church is able to vye with her; and yet their malice upon the pure account of Religion, may be greater against other lesser, weaker parties, whose Principles stand more directly in opposition to those of Rome: I do not doubt but our Enquirer could bring better Arguments than these to prove the distance of Religion between the Two Societies; for this I am sure is too weak, unless it may appear, that their spight is levelled against the Church, meerly on account of those Principles wherein

She differs from Dissenters.

4. Quest. From comes it to pass, that they of all men most zealously stand in the gap to oppose the return of Popery? That gap at which Popery must enter, if ever it enters into England, is the Division between Protestants; and if that Gap were well stop'd, Popery might look over, but would never leap over, or break through the Hedge: This Gap of Divisions is made by the imposition of such things, which in the judgment of the Imposers are indifferent in their own nature; but in the judgment of the Dissenters, sinful in their use and exercise. The Dissenters say, they cannot stop the breach, unless they make a wider in their own consciences: If now they who seem so zealously to stand in the Gap to keep out Popery, would come out of the Gap, and make it up, which else will let in Popery; we shall think them more for making up one, than standing in, and keeping open a thou.

I know very well, that many men do oppose the Introduction of Popery, and there's cause for't: There's a Popery that would take away their Wives, and some fear it, and others hope it upon that account: There is a Popery that would subject them to a forreign Metropolitan, and make them trot to Rome upon every sleeveless errand; that would let strangers like Locusts and Caterpillars into the Land to eat up every green Herb; that would drain the Thames into Tyber, and derive all the Blood and Spirits of the Nation into another Channel. Cesar had rather be Primus in Villa, quam secundus in Roma. Every one may sooner hope to learn ABC, than to arrive so far as P: A distance then there is, and yet the opposition against Popery may not prove the distance so great, but there may be too great an agreement: The State of Venice did once expel the Jesuits, and yet they expelled net Papery; the French were long before they

entertained the Decrees of Trent, yet still they were Popish: I quote them for no more than this, that it's evident there may be fierce opposition upon some some particular accounts, when yet there may be a great Harmony upon other accounts: Many oppose a Popery coming Top and Top-Gallant, that yet would compound for a Popery upon handsome terms.

This last Question has strangely transported him into a most passionate Panegyrick in commendation of some Church-men, for some rare exploit or other, which by all the circumstances, must be the procuring the late Proclamation against Dissenters: An Act so glorious, that no Age shall ever wear out its memory: This is it which challenges from posterity, Statutes of Corinthian-Brass, and will embalm their memories to all succeeding Generations: He had lately exposed the Ignorance of those simple men of Dort, that they know not know to make God just, unless they made him cruel too; and what was their reproach, must now be these mens glory; that they know not how to make the Magistrate great, unless they make him cruel; nor glorious, unless they destroy a considerable part of mankind: Methinks Church-men should not be so ambitious to survive their own Funerals by such Epitaphs, Here by they who sirst taught Religion to be unmerciful.

This high Encomium is attended in the rear with a fevere charge against the Dissenters, That they were instrumental in procuring a toleration and suspension of the laws for Popery: It is true, Conscientious Dissenters desire not the execution of Sanguinary Laws upon Papists meerly for their Religion; there are proper ways enow to obviate the cursed tendencies of their principles, without dishonouring our own: Nor ought the modest desire of a sober liberty for the exercise of their own Consciences, to be interpreted an endeavour for a Toleration of Popery; they ever hoped that Governours know the difference between Dissenting Protestants, who agree with the Church of England in the Essentials of Christianity, and Recusants who substantially differ; and the Wisdom of his Gracious Majesty in his Declaration for Indulgence, made a clear distinction between them, though some

wise Church-men could not see it.

Protestants however differing in some things from the present establishment, yet have no forreign Interest, no transmarine dependences: they own no Exotick Head that may alienate their affections from their natural Prince and Leige Lord; their private peace and happiness is wrapt up in the general happiness of the King and King-

dom:

dom; any eye but that of Envy might have discovered a sensible dif-

ference between these parties.

That Protestant Dissenters were instrumen al to procure such a toleration for Popery, is therefore a slander so unworthy, that none would have forged, but they who never baulk an officious untruth, when it may subserve their main design, to render the Nonconformists odious, that so the instruments of their destruction may not be setter'd with the reluctancy of their ressis Consciences.

But we envy not them the Glory, fince they afpire after it, of reducing their Brethren to Primitive poverty, because they endeavour Primitive purity; let them enjoy the Glory, that no humble underwoods can grow under the shadow of their spreading Branches; let them take the glory of the Gardiners shears, which snips off every aspiring twig that would mount towards Gospel-simplicity above its fellows: such is the glory of an old aking tooth, that is in insupportable pain, till it may either chew the flesh of the Conscientious, or come ander the Discipline of De la Rocke's instrument. For when His Majesties Grace had for a while tyed them to the Rack-staves, they were ready to break the Bridle till they could come at the Manger. Let it be a Quære then, whether it be more probable to cure, or encrease the old suspicions of some mens inclinations to Popery, That they could never be at ease, whilest their Protestant Brethren had any in their Native Countrey.

I shall not need to add to all this (lays he) That there are as understanding men in Religion, persons of as holy Lives, and of as comfortable consciences of this Churches Education, as are any where to be found in the world

besides.

They who are Masters, or however owners of such comfortable confciences, do surely know what it costs to get, what care it requires to keep, and what torment it is to lose a comfortable conscience. He that shall swear a thousand times by the Great Osyris, that he has a comfortable conscience, and yet shall thrust other men upon the turn-pikes of sin, and force them to act against their light; that shall rail at, or persecute them for worshipping God according to their convictions of Duty from the Word of God, must give me leave to be incredulous; and earnestly desire him to find out some Solisidian. that can be lieve the Moon to be made of a green Cheese. Let him farther confider.

sell. 1. That is not the main considerable in Conscience, that it be confortable, but that it be so upon solid grounds. The Apostle Peter,

I Ep. 3.15. commands us to be ready to render a reason of the hope that is in w. If the reason of our hope, comfort, and confidence, be not as strong, as the building is high, the Towring Edifice will tumble down upon our own heads: It behoves us to be as solicitous about the spring of our comforts, as the sweetness of the streams: And I am the more earnest with this Enquirer to look after the comfortableness of his own conscience, for as for the Religious and Orthodox Divines of this Church, I doubt not both of the purity and peace of their Consciences, because i. he allows no other I Lection, than Gods determining absolutely of temporal 1 tessings, p. 74. But the Church of England Art. 17. having described a particular Election to everlassing life, from Gods everlasting purpose; tells us. That the godly consideration of Predestination, and our Election in Christ, is full of sweet, plea. sant, and unspeakable comfort to godly persons, and such as feel in themfelves the working of the Spirit of Christ. He then that disowns this Doctrine, must needs want one main ground of a pure and comfortable conscience. 2. They who own Justification by Works, want another bottom of a comfortable consciente. So the Church of England, Art. II. Wherefore that we are justified by faith only, is a most wholesome Do-Brine, and full of comfort: All peace then is founded in Grace: In Gods Grace as the Fountain whence it springs, and in the Operations of Grace upon the Soul, as the Evidences of that Grace in God; and though men may bless themselves in Evil, and flatter themselves when they find prosperous Iniquity: yet if any one be a lyar, a persecutor, a hater of Godliness, and Godly Men, a slanderer, &c. God speaks no peace to him; and therefore it's more adviseable to boast less of a comfortable conscience, and mind the things that belong to a comfortable importance.

3. The last pretended cause of the Dissenters withdrawing from the Church of England, is, A charge against the sufficiency, but especially the

fanctity of the Clergy.

The Diffenters do gladly acknowledg, that the Learning and Piety of very many of the Ministers of the Church of England, is such as deserves an honourable place in their hearts: that they have not such a valuation for some of our Enquirers co-partners, they beg his excuse, till they may see more cogent Reasons to alter their Judgments; when they are in the humour to take a few forry Sophisms candied over with Rhetorick, to be Learning; or uncharitable consoriousness, crusted over with smooth Hypocrisie, to be Piety, they see nothing to the contrary, but they may enlarge their Charities.

That there are many of the present establishment, eminent for sound learning, and exemplary Holiness, who exercise Christian tenderness towards those, who dissenting in conscience, do suffer for concience, is the rejoycing of their Souls under their great pressures; and they know that the more Learned and Godly any person is, the more humble he must needs be: A little knowledg ferments an impotent heart, and makes it intolerably arrogant: but he that knows much, amongst other things must needs know, that he stands in need of mercy from God, and therefore will more readily shew fity to Man: He that knows what a tender conscience is at home, will pity and indulge it, where-ever he meets with it abroad. He that knows much, cannot presume all the World enjoys his measures of Light.

The Enquirer might therefore well have spared this odious and invidious discourse, had he not sound it necessary, first to make a Man of Clouts, and then execute it: and yet his Victory cannot be great, in trampling on those that lye on the ground, and can be laid no lower, but in their Graves, for to Hell he cannot send them. Two needless things he will say to this Objection, for he is full, Et si non

aliqua nocuisset mertum esset.

1. Supposing this Objection had been true, yet it could not be made by any Protestant, without contradicting his principles. No, why not? Oh, for the Papists are taught, that the efficacy of all Divine Offices depends upon the intention and condition of him that administers: but Protestants are taught it seems otherwise, that the efficacy of all Divine Ordinances depends upon the Divine Institution, and the concurence of Gods Grace

with my use of them

The Reader must give meleave to repeat my former caution, which is always understood, though not express, that I deny not the sanctity of the English Clergy; my only task is, to examine the strength of his Arguments, which are sometimes so weak, as would tempt the less considerate to conclude, that cannot be true, which so bold an undertaker cannot make out. His Answer to the Objection is cer-

tainly more weak than the Objection it felf: For,

Seit. 1. When he slanders the Church of Rome, sure the Protestant Dissenters must expect no Quarter: The Papists do indeed hold. That the efficacy of Sacraments depends upon the Intention of the Priest: but that it depends on the condition of the Priest as to Holiness, they affert not. I shall produce one evidence of many. Tolet de instructione succeedots, lib. 1. cap. 92. propounds this Question, Quando licet à ministrie malis accipere Sacramenta? When, or in what case is it lawful

to receive the Sacraments from wicked Ministers? And the very moving of the Question implies, that at least at sometimes, and in some cases it's lawful: but this will more fully appear from his Answers, which he gives, I. Negatively, A non-toleratis ab Ecclesia non licet ullum Sacramentum accipere etiam necessitatis tempore: "It's not lawful " to receive any Sacrament from those who are not tolerated by the "Church, no not in case of necessity. Here is Doctrine to his own hearts content, and wherein the Jefuit may assure himself of our Enquirers suffrage. A Nonconformist among them may not baptize, or Administer the Supper, though the Salvation or Damnation of never so many depended on it. And yet when the Casuist thinks better on't, he will except Baptism, and perhaps the other Sacraments in the Article and point af death. 2. Affirmatively, Amalis ministris, dum non sequatur aliquod grave scandalum possumus sacra recipere, Nam Ecclesia ipsos tolerat, & ipsi talia administrantes sibi solis nocent. "We may re-" ceive Sacraments from wicked Ministers (such as he there describes) reprovided no grievous scandal follow upon it; for the Church "tolerates such as these; and when they administer the Ordinances, "they hurt none but themselves. Nay he quotes. Pope Nick to back him, Isti sunt sicut fax accensa que alios illuminat, & se consumit : & unde aliis commodum exhibent, sibi dispendium prabent mortie. "These "evil Ministers are like a burning Torch, which enlightens others, though it waste it felf, and destroy thmselves by that very means " whereby they advantage others: but at last he comes to this, Ab his quibus ex officio incumbit, sive sint parati, sive non, licet petere, & accipere Sacramenta, sive ex necessitate sive non, quia ille ex officio tenetur quandocunque petiero ministrare, nec ego jus meum à mitto ex illius "We may demand and receive Sacraments from those, "whose duty it is to administer, whether they be prepared or not; "whether it be in a case of necessity, or not: because such a one is "bound by virtue of his office to minister when I demand it, and "I cannot lose my right through his malice. Navar indeed tells us, That Mortale est peccatum Audire Missam, aut Recipere Sacramenta, à notorio Concubinario. That it's a mortal sin to hear Mass from a notorious Whore-masterly Priest: but honest Suarez corrects that preciseness, and clears it up, that That Prohibition is repealed by the Council of Constance, So that in this one point, the Papists are as Orthodox as our Enquirer can reasonably defire; and have laid no stone of offence at which any one might stumble into separation. They do indeed hold, That Holiness is necessary in a Priest necessitate pracepti, and I hope even he will not

mot deny that, but that they held it not absolutely necessary, necessare medit, so as that the absence thereof will make a nullity in all Ministerial Acts, and render them utterly wold, and of none effect; and that's as much as he can prove. The Papists then are taught no such matter.

sell. 2. Protestants may without contractiction to their principles, separate from a person who by Law is vested with all the Tythes, Profits, Perquisites, Emoluments of a Parish whatsoever, whether he be called Parson, Vicar, Curate, if withal he be ignorant, and not apt to teach; Erronius, and does worse than not teach; and scandalously prophane, and so does unteach all he taught before. He must have a good stomach that can receive the Sacramental bread from him, out of whose nasty hands I could not take my Corporal Bread without a Vomit.

If a Preacher shall constantly Preach Heresses and damnable Do-Arines, such as the entertainment of them would destroy my Soul, must I venture the ruine of it out of civility, and run the risque of being damned for fear of one of those Theological Scarecrows, which men have set up to fright us into compliance? Can I in Faith expe I that God will delive me from Evil, when I lead my self into Temp at 01? Can I hope that he will preserve my sudgment untainted, when I expose my self to be practised upon by the cunning infinuations of a fly deceiver, and set my self as a mark for Satan to shoot at? Mr. Harding, I remember, presses the Reverend Juel with this, Be the Bishop of Rome's life never so wicked, yet we may not sever our selves from the Church of Rome. The Learned man Answers from Cyprian, "Plebs obsequens præceptis Dominicis, & Deum metuens. 2 re peccatore præposito separare se debet. A People that obey Gods commands, and fear him (not only may, but) ought to set a are from a wicked Minister, Art. 4. And yet he understood the Principles of Protestants as well as our Enquirer. But let Cyprianus Africanus go which way he pleases, we have a greater than he, Cyprianus Anglicus, who in his discourse with Fisher, from that Text, Rom. 16. 20. Mark them which cause divisions amongst you; Observes to us, That not the Divider, but the causer of the division is the Schismatich.

The prudence which we use in flying the Contagion of a scandalous Minister, does not imply, that all his Ministerial As are meer Nullities, nor that God may not possibly concur with his Ministry to advance my Spiritual welfare; but that a Soul is a Being so precious, bought with a Price so precious, the loss of it so irrecoverable, and my whole concerns embaraued in the boitom; that I ought not to expose

F

is to apparent danger, upon presumption of what God can or may do; for ordinarily we know that God delights to serve himself of the labours of those Instruments, who having dedicated themselves inwardly to the Service of God in the Gospel, do sincerely design, and zealously pursue the glorifying of his Name, in the turning Sinners from their evil ways to God; which we may reasonably suspect of them, whose lives witness, that they have no concern for others Salvation, who have so little for their own.

The Aposse Paul commands his Son Timothy, 1 Ep 4. 16. To take beed to himself, and to the Dostrine; for in so doing, he should toth sive himself, and those that heard him. More than implying, that the Soul of another will never be dear to him, to whom his own Soul is cheap: As my running from a Pest-kouse does not suppose that all must necessarily die that come within its walls; but that it becomes a prudent Man to dwell, not where he may possibly escape death, but where he may most probably secure his life: So my withdrawing from an heretical and scandalous Minister, does not imply a necessity of damnation by attending upon his Ministry; but that a Soul is too

precious a concern to be put to that adventure.

In all matters of lesser moment we exercise our wisdom freely, without the least scruple in our selves, or rebuke from others. If an Act of Parliament were made by advice of the Convocation it self, that no sick person should consult any other Physician, but only him that dwells in the Parish; nor any one to take advice of other Councellor, than him that dwells in the Vicinage; he that knows how dissicult it is to keep and preserve health and estate, how much more difficult to recover them when lost, would without any Presides of modesty, take leave to seek out the most experienced in their faculties, and to become a civil Nonconformist to those Injunctions; There's no man but will tell Money after his own Father. and think s it no incivility that he will see with his own eyes, and not anothers: and why my Soul must be hazarded in a Complement, as if it were the most inconsiderable trisse in the world, I cannot once imagine.

And the rather, because, if by my imprudent choice I should destroy my Soul, the sin and guilt will lye upon my self alone; but if I should ruine it by the neglect of timely escape, none can give me security that he will answer for my folly before the Judge of all the Earth.

Nor can it be imagined, that I should be such an Enemy to my own Soul, as to destroy it wilfully; or that any other should have a greater kindness for it than my self; and when I find them not over

tender of my Body. Estate, Liberty, Good Name, Life or Lively-hood, which they have seen, they have cautioned me into a jealousie that they can have no such miraculous good will to my better part

which they have not feen.

I have heard of a Gentleman, who having a Son fitted by Academick Learning for some serviceableness and employment, was much perplext within himself, upon what particular Calling to fix for a future livelyhood; he consults his Friends, and with them thus debates the Case: If I design him a Physician, he must study long, and gain good experience, before any judicious person will put his Life into his hand, which he values to dear. If I should educate him towards the Law, he must wear out many a year before the wary World will trust an Estate under his management: The only way therefore will be to make him a Minister; for such are the low thoughts men have of their Souls, that they will intrust them with the most raw and unexperienc'd Novice.

Hitherto his dicourse has proceeded upon a supposition, that had the Charge been true, yet the Inference he thinks woulld have been false; but now he comes roundly to the denial of the Charge, and a

laborious consutation of it to no purpose.

2. Combined wit and malice (lays our Enquirer) shall not be able to fix any scandal upon the Body of the English Clergy. I hope they never shall! Nor have I met with any so absurd and disingenuous, as for the sake of some, though many individuals, to cast an aspersion upon a whole society, excepting those who have least Reason. If the Body of the Clergy be Innocent, all the Combinations of wit and malice shall not be able to eclipse their unspotted Innocency, that it shall break more gloriously through those envious Clouds which had obscured its brightness: and if they be Peccant, all the combined Wit and Rhetorick in the World will not wipe away the guilt and silth; it must be Repentance and Reformation that can only be their Compurgators.

1. First then concerning their Learning: a thing that has been hitherto indisputable, and may continue so still, if the weakness of this Gentlemans proofs do not render the truth of the proposition

fuspected. But hear his Arguments.

I. If the Preaching of the present Age be not better than that of the former, I would fain know the Reason why the Homilies are in no greater Reputation? And so would I too! In those Ancient Sermons these are two things especially remarkable, the Phrase or Cloathing, and the

F 2' mat-

matter or substance of them. 'Tis true, Time and the growing-refinings of the English Language have superannuated the former; but why the latter should also become obsolete, I would as sain know a Reason w himself; and that from himself, who is best able to account for his own Actions: I assure him I would not exchange the Old Truth for New Phroses, and Modern Elegancy: I had rather see Plain Truth in her sober homely garb, than gawdy error spruced up with all the sineries of the Scene and Stage.

The weakness of the former Clergy, was the great Reason that introduced both Liturgies and Homilies. And if the present Clergy are grown so strong that they can despise one of their Crutches, perhaps in time they may go alone without both. Those Cogent Reasons pretended for the necessity of the one, will hold as strongly for the other; 'tis full as easie to disseminate Heresses, to yent crude, raw, undigested

Non-sense in the Pulpit, as the Desk.

When I hear any of our Enquirers Sermons. I shall summon up my best Reason to make a judgment, whether he has so infinitely out-done the Ancient Homilies as he pretends: In the mean time I fear the Language is not so much polished and tricked up, as the Doctrine is defi-Ied; nor have they shamed the Homilies so much in the briskness of Fancy, quaintness of Words, and smoothness of Cadencies, as the Homilies have shamed them in plainess and soundness of Truth. I would mind our Author of the last words of the second part of the Homily of Salvation, and though he may mend the Phrase, I doubt he will hardly mend the Doctrine: So that our Faith in Christ (as it were) faith thus unto us: It is not I that take away your fins, but it is Christ only, and to kim I send you for that purpose; for saking, therein, all your good Vertues, Words. Thoughts and Works, and only putting your trust in Christ. In the Homily of the Place and time of Prayer, the Church praises God, for purging our Churches from Piping, Chanting, as wherewith God, is so sore displeased, and the House of Prayer defiled. Hence perhaps fome would conclude, that the true Reafon why we have forfaken the use, is, because we have forsaken the Dostrine of the Homilies.

2. Arg. All Protestants abroad admire the English way of Preaching, insomuch as some forreign Congregations, as I am credibly informed, (that was wisely inserted) defray the charges of the Travels of their Pastors into England, that they may return to them instructed in the Method of the English Preaching. For the Logick of this Paragraph I shall not so much as examine it: All Protestants admire English Preaching, for some Congregations send to be instructed in t. There's the all and some

of this Argument. Again, Prorestants admire English Preaching; Ergo, they admire the Conformists Preaching; for all Diffenters Preach in an unknown tongue. Again, they fend them hither to be instructed in the method of English Preaching; all the excellency then lies in the method, which is to Preach without Dollrine, Reason, and Use: And now methinks I hear a Pastor of a Congregation in Holland, returning home with a flea in his Ear, and giving an account of the expence of his time and charges: Beloved! we have been fadly mistaken all this while, for our Synod of Dort was a pack of filly ignorant fellows, that knew not how to make God Just, unless they made him cruel; or man bumble, un'ess they made him a Stock or a Stone: As for us, we are informed, that we are not true Ministers of Fesus Christ, as wanting athing, I think they call it Episcopal Ordination; and if any of us should become Ministers there, we must be re-ordained, though a I riest from Rome shall not need it: and therefore by consequence your Baptism is a nullity, all our Ministerial Alts void and of none effect, your Churches are not true Churches, your Reformation was begun in Rebellion, continued in Schifm, and thus I have got my labour for my pains, and naught for my labour.

3. Arg. The Preaching of the Church of England is beyond that of Rome. Yes so it may be, and yet none of the best neither; What sleighty Topicks are these from whence to evince the excellency of English Preaching? Commend me to read one Sermon in the works of the Learned Bp. Reynolds, and it storms the incredulous sooner than a Hundred of these Ridicules put together. But how does it appear that the English transcends the Romish Preaching? Pray mark the proof: Why Erasmus wrote a Book of the Art of Preaching, and full of the follies and ridiculous passages in English Sermons? Most Meridian Conviction! Has not J. E. written a Book also full of the follies and ridiculous passages in English Sermons? Pray then set the Bares-head against the Goose-gibles. Ah! but Erasmus his Book is as full as his: very good, and so is his as sull as Frasmus's: Really when the Act comes out against Metaphors, I hope there will be a clause in't, that no Rhetorician shall ever again use an Argument.

As he would be injurious to the Truth that thould take the follies gathered up in this modern Author for the measure of present Preaching; so shall he be equally vain, that shall make those impertinencies gleaned up by Erasmus, to be the true measure of the Preaching of those times: And why may we not charitably suppose that the Romanists have surbisht up their rusty Preaching since the days of

Ziasmus,

Framus, as well as we have fcowred up ours fince the days of the

4. Arg. His fourth Argument is none of the strongest, and yet worth all the rest put together; which were but the vaunt-Couriers to wher in this main one with more solemnity. Compare (says he) but the Preachings generally in our Churches, with those ordinarily in conventi-

cles, you will find them unequally matcht.

Though we could be content they were modestly compared, yet we can by no means allow this Enquirer to use his own sales weights of comparing, and generally such comparisons are odious: Nonconformists do not affect strong lines, nor are ambitious of the Gigantick Wein and Stile; they study not measured sentences, nor use the Compasses to every decent period; they had rather with their Austin, have A wooden Key that will open the Lock, than one of Gold, which makes a sidling din in the Wards, and yet consounds them.

None of them but do praise God for the Learning, sound Judgment, solid Preaching, hely Lives, which are to be sound among the Conformable Clergy; but can be rear his Triumphant Arches to their praises upon no other foundations, than the ruines of other

mens credits?

For my part, I am always apt to suspect that persons credibility, who thinks more to confirm it by two or three rathing Oaths: And I never received it as an argument of her honesty, that carries her tongue so loosely hung, that she deals about most liberally Strumper

and Whore. But I see he is impatient till he compares them.

On the one side you have sound Theology, strength of Argument, gravity of Expression, distinsiness of Method; on the other side, nothing more frequent, than puerile, and slat, oftentimes rude, and sometimes blasphemous expressions, similitudes instead of arguments, and either Apish gestures, or Trazical vociferations instead of Eloquence. Reader, this Language is pure Cicero, I assure thee, Exhac enim parte Pudor pugnat, illine pe-

tulantia, binc pieras, illinc stupram; binc fides, illinc fraudatio.

I am forry our Enquirer dwells by so very bad Neighbours, that his own mouth must be the very Trumpeter of his praises: If the common Cryer could have been engaged for love or money to proclaim them, no modest man would have done the drudgery. But nemo patriam suam amazit quid magna est sed quia sua. 'Tis propriety that renders all things sweet and beautiful: All this had been pardonable, but I see some that love to be Ingeriosi in alient sand, huge facetious aport other mens sames, and perhaps never witty in a Twelve-month, but what they write Satyr.

As all impartial Readers know one last of his Oratory to be false; fo it's to be feared they may suspect the other moyely not to be very true: That's all an honest man shall get by being in a Knaves company: Truth has sometimes been set in the Stocks, because it has been sound under the same Roof with Falshood. He that wishes well to his own due praises, let him never desire they should be yoaked with anothers unjust reproach; less the hearer knowing the one unrightcours standard, conclude that the other is as unjustly stattered. For it's an unquestionable maxime, He that will be a Sycophant against one, will be a Parassite to another.

Let our Enquirer then sweetly enjoy the ravishments of his pleasing. Dreams, I shall not awaken him with loud recriminations, only soft-

ly whisper that of the Poet.

Bella es; novimus! & Puella; verum est!

E: Dives; Luis enim potest negare?

Sed dum te nimiùm, Fabulla, laudos

Nec Dives, nec Bella, nec Puella es. Mart. Ep. l. 1. 165.

Yet there is one Salvo for their credits, with which all the Fraternity of Gentlemen-Raylers do use to bring themselves off, and heal all again, when at any time they have most unconscionably overlash'd: and that is, when they have pour'd out all the contempt and scorn, have heap'd up all the slanders and reproaches that they can make or rake together. then to make an Honourable Retreat, and tell you, they do consess there may be one or two that may be innocent.

God forbid (fays our Gentleman) that I should charge all the Nonconformists with such Indecencies. Nay I can tell him more than that: God forbids him to charge any one with such Indecencies, unless he had better proof of them: And had he known any individual guilty of these crimes, he should have personally charged that one, that he might be brought to Repentance for his prophanation of Gods holy Name, and not involve a whole party under the scandalous suspition: All the charity that these words necessarily contain is, that they are all such save one.

Suppose another as charitable as himself should write after his Copy, and when he had with much pleasant Scurrility and Drollery made the Devil sport with the Indecencies of Church-men, should come off at last with this Epanorthosis, God forbid I should charge all

the

rhe Conformists with the extravagancies; What would it argue, but a shore crafty and safe way of Hypocritical Calumny? Thus I remember a Gentleman once in a frolick, told his Companions, They were all Fools but one; and when a young Gallant of the knot, more tender of his Reputation than it deserved, and willing to venture more for it than 'twas worth, began'to draw, The other takes him asside, and whispers him in the Ear, How do you know but that I insended your self by that single exception? And this little dust parted the fray.

Well, I fee he is fick till he comes to particulars: Afabel would not take Abners civil warning; some men feek mischief to themfelves, and all the Friends they have cannot stave them off from the Duel: the more you hold a Coward, the more eager he is to engage: let the man alone, pray let him alone, and in the mean time, I will fortishe my self with patience, that no provocation of his may tempt me to a back blow under the fiftherib; for how then should I lift up my

face to my dear Brethren?

1. Their Sermons are generally about Predestination. About it? noiov mator & oras what a word was that for a wife man? The Church of England in her 17th Article, propounds the Doctrine of Predestination to be believed by us, according to the Scriptures; that which is the matter of Faith, ought to be the subject of our Preachings, 1 Cor. 4. 13. we believe, and therefore we speak. And yet I am confident that our Enquirer, and his like, Preach more, Write more, and make more noise about that serious point than the Nonconformists; I presume I may have heard my share of their Sermons; and yet I can assure the Reader, I never heard that Doctrine professedly handled in my life: I speak not this in their excuse or commendation; no, 'tis their just Reproof; and were I meet to give them their due, I would speedily come out of their debt; What shall the great Truths of the Gospel, the Articles of the Church of England, the famous St. Austin be trampled in the Dirt by the Foot of Insolence? and shall a pretence of I know not what modesty, unwillingness to offend them that are resolved to be offended, stop your mouths? Reverend Sirs! It's high time to awake, and plead the Cause of God, lest he give you up to reproach and contumely, because you have left his Truth fo.

If the Reader be so curious, as to enquire wherein lies the great evil of Preaching about Predestination? he may know, that there is a Preaching about, id. est, Pro; and there is a Preaching about, id. est,

Con; To Preach about it, when is fignifies for it, that indeed is sear-datous and dangerous; but to Preach about it, when it fignifies against it, that indeed is laudible; for it's well known, that when the Arminian faction got a little heart in the rifing-days of A. B. Laud, the Abettors of those novelties procured a Proclamation, that none should meddle about those controversies, pretending they were nice School-points, unsit for vulgar Ears; but presently the Arminians fell pell-mell upon siery Declamations against them.

doubt not but this Gentleman could be willing the Diffenters had free liberty to Preach again, provided they Preach'd about just nothing. If the Church-doors were unlock'd, the Pulpit-doors fet wide open, and their moPths sealed up, and a Padlock hung upon their Bibles, the case would be much the same; But to speak freely, if they did Preach about it, at the rates that many Write about it, reducing all to a Politick Union, e'ne Nail up their Pulpits, and set the Arrow-head upon the doors of their Meetings: 'Tis then no such heinous crime to Preach about it, you may Preach about, and about, and about the Bush again, provided you never come near it, or doss it off with an Airy nothing.

Ay, but the N. C confess this Union to be unintelligible, and they help to make it so. There are many great Truths in the Gospel, which in their heights and depths. in their utmost improvements, we cannot comprehend; yet such a knowledg of them is attainable, as may whet the edge of our Appetite after more, and in the mean time direct us in our Duties towards God and Man, the perfect opening of which mysteries is reserved for Heaven, when we shall see face to face, and know as we are also known. He that will throw away ever object of Knowledg which he cannot fadom, must throw away they two great. Volumes of Scripture and Nature: The Doctrine of the Trinity is certainly owned in the 39 Articles, and yet whatever key the great engrossers of reason have got to that Tremendous Mystery, in my judgment there's an unintelligible depth in it, for which Faith must lye at the stake, and the veracity of God be responsible.

Our Union with God and Christ have been cleared up from the Scriptures, to stay the Stomacks, and give some present satisfaction to the Holy Ardency of True Believers; and yet though the thing in its own Nature be cognoscible, yet so glorious are the Priviledges that flow from it, such the Mercies which issue out in Eternal

Life, that we freely confess our Ignorance; Eye has not seen, nor exteard, nor has it enter'd into the heart of man, (such poor men as we are) to conceive all those Comforts which lie in the bosom of that Relation, and which God has laid up in storesor those that are in Covenant with him. Whatever is received, must be so according to the capacity of the Recipient; we do not think that the Ocean can be put into narrow-mouth'd Vessels; when God shall raise our Faculties, and enlarge our Capacities that we can hold more, he will give more; and therefore leaving the sublime speculative Gnosticks to their own fancies, we shall bless God for what we know, and humbly aspire after greater Measures of Divine Light.

But I suppose we shall hear no more of this Cavil, when they shall please a little to remember themselves of what they have subscribed to, and do daily practise (that is whenever they have customers) in the way of their trade of Matrimony; for there we are told, That Matrimony is an honourable state, instituted by Christ in their ime of mans innocency, signifying the Doublest Antion that is between Christ and his Church: And if they will not be concluded by their own Liturgs, they must rail on their own pace, and when they are

weary, perhaps they may be more temperate.

3. They Preach of the sweetness, beauty, and loveliness of Christs Person. They do so! and are willing to be Criminal, if this be a Crime; our Enquirer bewails the want of love amongst Christians; and if he were as folicitous to enquire into the Reasons of that defect, he would find this to be a main one, That the loveliness of Christ is so much depreciated; he that cannot love Christ, cannot possibly love a Christian; fince that for which every Christian as such is Amiable, must be that he partakes of those Graces for which Christ is Amiable: It's an humane love that only eyes our own Image, but a Divine Grace that loves the Image of a Saviour: But these things were not reproach in those days, when Ignatius upon every mention of Christ stiled him bis Love, 'O epos us esaveora My Love is Crucified! But that the Preaching of christ's Loveliness stirs up sensual Passions, is a note of blasphemy above Ela, not to be parallel'd but by the Friendly Debate, whose Dunghill our Enquirer has first Raked, and then Epitomiz'd.

And thus much shall suffice at present to have descanted upon the Ignorance of the Nonconformists Preaching: Now wipe your eyes, and

you shall read a Specimen of bis own.

I have seen a Picture of such artificial contrivance, that as we

enter'd

enter'd the Room, it presented to us the ridiculous prospect of the Cat and the Fiddle; we had not traversed a sew paces to the other side, but it was by a strange Metamorphosis become a very Beautiful Lasy: Curiosity drew me nearer to view the Mystery of this dubious Piece, and it soon discovered it self a trivial Essay of Mechanism: Thus when we enter'd upon this Subject, the Enquirer gave us the Nonconformist, and his Preaching in Ridicule; now turn you twice abour, and in a trice the Scene is changed, and you shall have the lively Pourtaiture of a Rational Divine in all his Pontificalibus.

And here first we meet with Discourses of God, bis Nature and Attributes: Which if some of them found not so, they have left unintelligible: Such a Nature as they have contrived, fuch Attributes as they are graciously pleased to assign to him; so that Tertullians complaint is not more frequently than justly repeated. God shall not be God, but upon such terms as man shall prescribe. And then of the Reason of Religion. Yes, no doubt you are the men, and all wisdom shall dye with you. What a sad Case had Religion been in, if these eminent men had not been raised up to set Religion upon its proper Basis, and unsettle it from the seeble foundations upon which former Ages had erected it? But then they give us Arguments for continuent, under perfecution perhaps, such as would make a man weary of his life, and almost Petition to be hang'd And of Reverence too; confisting in uncommanded postures of bowing at the Naming of Jesus, worthipping towards the East, and Altar; and of Submission, viz. Of Private Reafon and Conscience to the Publick; But of all, and of all they excel when they Treat of the Eternal Reasons of Good and Evil; A Point admirably fitted to the Capacities of the vulgar, which nothing but unskilfulness could make a man bold enough to undertake the unfolding of: An dantur . Aterna Rationes Boni & Mali, in mente Divina indispensabiles? Oh how the Ladies sit and admire this profound Theology! Notions which cannot be Characteriz'd better than in Gondikerts Phrase, To charm the Weak, and pose the Wise. But then they Treat of the nature of Faith; And how there's nothing a justifying and saving Faith as such, but what the Devils can shew for it: And to conclude, of Charity too, to all of their own Cue, to a hairs breadth, with denunciation of Fire and Faggot to all that come not up to their Apices furis, and jump not in with every lota and Tittle of Conformity: I had almost forgot their discourses of obedience to Magistrates: I suppose this Enquirer has not very long Treated of that Subject; the meaning of it is, so long as they are well paid for their pains: O Emperour (said one) Defend me with thy Smord, and I'le defend thee with my Quill: Could you blame him? It will cost more to maintain an Army, than a Penny-worth of Quills. Notwithstanding all these Bravadoes, the World must see, if their eyes be not out, that Dissenters give more real obedience to Magistrates for bare life, and uncertain liberty, than some do for all these Revenues which publick Indulgence has loaded them withal; for when the Finger of the Magistrate is put forth to touch them, not in skin or bone, but some sorry Accident, they are ready to make good the Devils charge, and curse bim to bis face.

2. He has done with the Learning, and will now come to the Lives of the Clergy: Whatever good he shall speak of the Clergy, I can heartily agree with him in it, provided he could sorbear the disparagement of others: Let it be accorded that the Lives of the generality of Church-men are unblamcable; yet that they need no foul to set them off, is such a childish way of wheedling our belief of it, as if a Jeweller should shew you a Stone with its foot, and then protest it needs

none.

Here then needed no Quarrel; we can rejoyce in, and Bless God for the Graces, as well as lifts; the Piety, as well as the Learning of our Brethren who differ from us. Nay we can pray to God to double bis Spirit upon them in both respects; and for those who deride the Grace and Spirit of God, we can pray that God would give them a sounder mind: We pray that there may be such burning and shining I ghts found amongstall them that profess the Reformed Religion, however in small matters varying, as may consound Atheism and Popery, with whatsoever is contrary to sound Doctrine and Godliness; but yet this Compassionate Enquirer, that he may not be uncivil, nor pass by the door of a Nonconformist without a Salute, will call in, and say a word or two to them, when he has sirst given us a Reason why he will Treat them like Christians.

1. R. Because he will not render Evil for Evil, nor Retaliate the Reproaches cast upon the Sons of the Church; for ke has not so learn'd Christ. And doubtless he that has learn'd Christ to any purpose, either in his Example or Dostrine, must learn quite another Lesson than foul-Language, and black mouth'd Barking, or he has gone to School to no purpose, and must come back to his Horn-book, and begin at Christs-

Cross.

2. R. Such unchiritable Recriminations have not only made an Afology for Atheilm, and the prophanels of the Age, but they afford a pleasant spe-

Stacle to all wicked men.

3. R. Is taken from the example of Constantine the Great, who when the Bishops and Clergy at the Nicene council had exhibited a hundle of Libels one against another, burnt them all before their faces, protesting that if he should see one of them in the most scandalous Commission, he would cover his shame with his own Purple.

Being therefore convinced, that all Recriminations are unbecoming the Gospel, and that from the nervousness of our Enquirers Arguments. I will make a reasonable motion, That all the Friendly Debates, the Ecclesiastical Polities, with the foul-mouth'd Cobler of Glocesters Canto's, be condemned to the next solemn Bonfire. Unless you will make the Compassionate Enquiry the Protomarty: And add the Porter, who when he should have covered the scandalous Commission with his

Frock, openly exposed it upon his Back.

These Massie Reasons (one would think) might have sunk any ordinary mans railing inclinations into a modest sience, and suspended his proclivity to reviling, ab officio for three years; and yet (like a compassionate, self-consistent, or self-condemned Enquirer) he will say these two things further in this case. Nay, I did look for it every moment, and that all those Reasons against flander were but a fost to set off Hypocrisie, pophaning of Scripture, and the debauching of his conscience, with a greater Grace, when he shall come to blazon the scandalous Impieties of the Nonconformist Ministers.

And here I first got a satisfactory in-sight into one truth more, That there is the same proportion between the Title and the Book, that there is between his Rhetorick and his Reason. The Title calls the Book. A serious and compassionate Enquirer: but the Book ingeniously calls to self, The ridiculous and passionate Enquiry. And if they thus revile and seed at one another, we must not admire it both joyn in reviling the

Diffenters.

1. Two things then he has to fay; And the first of them is Three

things a'one.

Selt. i. If a man be ma'e-content with the Government, and forsaking the Church, resort to private Assemblies, such a man may debauch his life too, and yet have a very charitable construction among the generality of Dissenters. That is, if a man will be a Rascal in one particular, the may be a villain in all the rest, provided he become a Nonconformist: Ay! Sit Sacrilegus, sit fur, sit vitiorum omnium stagitiorum que

princeps—At est Bonus Fanaticus! Come but over to their way, and you may Lye, and Steal, and Whore, and Drink, and be Drunk! this was spoken without all peradventure out of tenderness of conscience, to sulfil that Royal command, of not rendring evil for evil; That it might appear how well he had learned Christ. But let him know, that they allow no Conformity, to compound for severe Piety; nor dissent from Ceremonies, to substitute assent to the substantials of Christianity. He that is not brought over to the Obedience of the Gospel, is with them no Christian, by what Name or Title sover be be dignified or dissinguished; and I teriously desire, that this over-charged slander may not recoil, and hurt the Enquirer.

But though he be very uncharitable, I shall endeavour to give the most charitable construction of his words that they will bear: And therefore observe, That though he be engaged not to render evil for

evil, yet he never promised not to render cuil for good.

Sect. 2. If being a Ciergy-man (fays he) and continuing in the Church, he shall debauch his Office, and undermine the Church which he should uphold, such a man (also) may then debauch hi life too, and yet have a very charitable construction among the generality of Dissenters.

What must Enemies expect from this Man, who has no mercy on his Friends? There are many Holy and Learned persons, now within the Bosom of the Church, who having considered the terms of enjoying the more publick exercise of their Ministry, have overcome the difficulties of Subscription; and do yet retain their former Orthodoxy, and sobriety of Conversation; These persons knowing what conscience is, do exercise great tenderness towards it in their Brethren, who cannot get over their rubs and obstacles; and these, if I mistake not, are the Glory of the Church of England, for purity of Dollrine, and piety of conversation, for all true Learning, and useful knowledg; Against these persons, the Enquirer has a desperate shirth, as those that undermine the Church which they should uphold: that is, if they condescend never so little to a tender conscience, in one of those little institutions which themselves call indifferent, the whole Church must presently fall about their Ears: but if the Church were built upon Christ the Rock, and not upon the Wool-packs of Ceremonies, such condescension would never undermine it. These are taxed also with debauching their office. And indeed if the office of Ministers be to become Informers, If Preaching the Gospel be nothing but to make a pother about Ceremonies, I hope they will debauch it still; but tha

any of these do debauch their Lives, and are thereupon more acceptable to Diffenters, is a loud fallbood, only to let us understand how

well he has learned christ.

self. 3. If a man (fays he) be of the most Holy Conversation, but Zealous for the interest of the Church, this man shall have worse Quarter from the fiery Zealois of other parties, than one of a more loose life, and meaner abilities. Let no man reply, If a man be of a loose Conversation, and but Zealous of the Grandeur, and for the Ceremonies of the Church, this man shall have fairer quarter, and more encouraging preferments, from the fiery Bigots of Conformity, than one of a severe life, and greater ministerial abilities. To interpret this mystery, we must inform our felves, what is the Churches true interest as it is a Church: It's very easie to mistake in stating the True interest of any Society; and if we mistake there, it's impossible we should be regular in the means of pursuing it. An error in the first concostion is never restified in the second: The true Interest of every true Church of Christ, is to promote Holiness and conformity to his commands, engaging thereby his presence and protection; and a Spirit of Love and Peace among its members, though under some variety of apprehension in Adiaphorous matters: The mistake is to advance a Churches fecular Grandeur, external splendor, and worldly comp, which every true Christian in his Baptism has renounced, together with all the works of the Devil, and the lufts of the flesh: If ever a Church shall be so far mistaken as to judg worldly Glory, its true interest, I know not why it may not also mistake the works of the Devil, and the lusts of the stesh to be its true interest also: A conforming Minister, who despising that false, understands and pursues this true Interest, is truly dear to all the Nonconformists; but for those who are so deluded as to think, it lies in destroying and ruining all that are not satisfied with their Canons and Constitutions, however aliene and forreign to the temper of the Gospel, they confess they are no great admirers of them, whatever appearance of Holiness they may mike: If the Interest of a Faction shall lie in sending poor Christians to the Alms-house of Newgate, and the Hospital of Bedlam, and will give no Quarter to the most Holy and Religious, if they fail in two or three Niceties; I must needs say I see no reason why such should adoru themselves with the plumes of Gravity and Devotion, to render their inhumanity more plaufible.

2. But he has somewhat further to say than all this: If impersinent and faniastical talking of Religion, endless scrupulosities, conforious and rash judging our Sureriours, Melancholy sizhing, going from Sermon so Sermon, without allowing our selves time to meditate on what we hear, or to instruct our Families, be the main Points of Religion, then the Non-conformists are Holy men. And now I hope the Reader is abundantly satisfied, that the Enquirer has otherwise learned Christ, than to render evil for evil: That he dares not furnish Atheism and Prophaness with an apology: That he makes a conscience of affording a spectacle to evil men: That he dares not (for a World) dress Religion in a I hantastical Habits that Boys may laugh at it: This is his Constantines Robe which he casts over scandalous Commissions.

Scrious discourses about the concerns of the World to come, about our own death, and the day of Judgment, is Phantastical talking: Tenderness of Conscience, Holy fear of sinning against God, is endless scrupulosity; Modest refusal to practise every thing commanded, though Reason, Judgment, Scripture Reclaim, is censuring and rash fudgment of Superiours. Godly serrow must be melancholly sighing; attending upon Gods Word Preached, shall be running from sermon to Sermon: And a downright falshood added to close up the whole: That they neither allow themselves time to meditate of what they have heard, nor to instruct their Families: And yet if they shall dare to practise this last, with a few of their weaker Neighbours that drop in to hear a Sermon repeated, they shall be lyable to the Law, and punished as Seditious Conventiclers, and railed at as Schismaticks.

When all is said and done, Machiavils old Rule is a Sacred Maxime with these fort of Men; Fortiter calumniare, aliquid adhærebit: Throw Dirt enough, and some on't will stick: Wild-fire slies surther than the Water that should quench it: A reproach will run, where a just Vindication will not creep. Had the Providence of God allotted the Nonconformists their abode any where but amongst those whose Interest it is to render them Odious, they might have pass'd for good Christians: It would be difficult to hire Men to be Instruments of cruelty, if they were not first perswaded, that they are Ministers of fusice; and the only way to perswade that, is to represent Dissenters as the off-scowing of all things, not sit to live a day. The best way to take away the life, is to render it abominable. None can handsomly be destroyed, till they be dress'd up in a Malesastors Cloaths. And it seems as much for their Enemies Advantage to make them seem wicked, as 'tis for theirs to be really Holy.

## CHAP. II.

Of the more Remote causes of the infelicities of this Church: The Persecution under Q. Mary: The bad provisions for Ministers in Corporations: Frequent Wars: The mischiefs of Trade and Travel: The Designs of Atheists and Papists enquired into, with what influence they may have had up in the present separation from the Church of England!

When Adrian VI was pressed by the clamorous Importunity of the German Frinces to reform the Clergy, he answered very gravely. That a Resormation was necessary, yet the danger of Resorming all at once was so dreadful, that he resolved to proceeds see by step. Some wise Men smiled at the cautious advisement of his Holiness, and said, They hoped he would not break his shins for hast, but deliberately make a hundered years at least between every step.

The same prudence which this politick Pope used in his advance towards a Reformation, our wary Enquirer uses in his approaches towards the causes of Seperation. Hitherto we have been entertained with certain Romantick Imaginary causes, and now he will

give us a gentile Treat with the Real ones.

But of these some are more remote, others near hand; these come by the running Post, those by Tom Long the Carrier. Thus your Poching Fellows, when they have found the Hare sitting, go round about and about the Bush, till they have screwed themselves into a convenient Distance, and then give poor Puss Club-Law, and knock her dead upon the Form.

1. Now the first of these Remote causes is; That it was the misfortune, and is the great disadvantage of this Church, that it was not well confirmed, and swadled in its Insancy, it consided with Ser-

pents in its Cradle, and underwent a severe persecution.

What he understands by that old blind heathenish Beldame Fortune, I cannot tell. The Scriptures have taught us to believe, That the Hairs of our Head are all numbred; and therefore much more the Heads of the Mactyrs: That a Sparrow falls not to the ground without the Providence of our Headenly Father: Much less the blood.

of the Saints, which is more precious in his fight than many Sparrows. But this is only a Shibboleth, which serves for a Certificate

that he is no friend to the immutable Counsels of God.

However this early Perfecution must needshave a considerable influence upon the Churches present weakness; for thus Mephibosheths Nurse making more hast than good speed, in her fright and slight threw down ber Nursery, and he became lame to his dying day.

It was therefore politically done of Licurgus (thinks the Enquirer) when he had framed the body of the Spartan Laws, to pretend an occasion to Travel; and having first taken an Oath of the people, that they should make no alteration in that Government (either in the Church or State) till his return, he resolvedly never returns again.

If the old Masters of Ceremonies could have perswaded the people to some such subscription that they would never alter their Inventions till their return, and then had sentenced themselves to a voluntary perpetual Exile, it had been a successful piece of self-denial to cheat a Nation into Uniformity, no less honourable to themselves than grateful to thousands.

But thus the case stood with the Church in its Insancy. King Edward VI. dying Immaturely, (too soon says the Enquirer, too late says Dr. Heylin) Q. Mary succeeded him in the Throne, and so the Church was put upon difficulties and trials, before its Limbs

and Joints were settled and confirmed.

water annual

Persecution has hitherto been esteemed one of the Churches best friends, whereof it has been often afraid, but never hurt: Such was the conftant experience of the Primitive Christians: Exquisitior quaque crudelitas illecebra magis est secta, plures efficimur quoties metimur, sanguis Martyrum est Semen Ecclesia. The cruelties of Enemies does but more encrease the number; the oftner the Church is mowed down, the thicker it comes up, and ther's no Seed thrives so well as that which is steeped in the blood of Martyres. That which Christians lose by the mind of persecution, is only their chaff, that which the fire of Tribulation preys upon, is only their Drofs. The Marian Fires did the Church this one good turn, that it melted down much of that imposing Spirit and Lordly Temper, which reigned in fome Church men over their Diffenting Brethren, which Bishop Ridley confessed at the Stake: That Tree which is of Gods Planting, takes deeper Root by stakeing; and if it loses any Ceremonious Leaves, ler them go, the Tree will bear better and sweeter Could Fruit without them.

Could Perfections have feen how much good the Wife God would extract out of their evil, they would never have aggravated their own damnation, to be the instruments of the Christians Salvaton: But malice is so quicksighted to do mischief, that it's blind in the reasons of doing it; and makes such hast to her end, that she stumbles in the means; Thus Nero's singers itcht to the burning of Rome; but that he knew it would arise a more glorious Phanix out of its own Ashes; which could the Devil himself considers he would never be content Tribulos metere, dum nobis spinas serit,

to fow us Thorns, and reap himself a crop of Thistles.

All this while we are waiting to fee how he will make it out; that This early Perfection did any real burt to our Infant Church? And after some Preambles and Introductions, he will doubtless come home to the point: And first, By reason of this Persecution (you must understand) a great number of the Ministers, and other Members of this Church, were driven into other Countries for refuge and shelter from the Storm. I hope their sinding refuge and shelter was no part of their misery: but it was seasonably remembred, for if the Ministers and other Consessor of this Church, sound such Condial Entertainment amongst the Reformed Churches beyond the Sea, if ever the like sad providence should send them hither; they may expect to meet with proportionable welcome; and not be remitted with their Beards half shaved, and their Coats dock't, with a Paper pinned at their Backs, intimating that they are Fugitives, Schismaticks, and Rebels.

But still, Quid boc ad Iphicli Boves? What is all this to the matter? Oh now it comes! There they were tempted with Novelty, and distracted with variety of Customes and Rites, before they were well instructed in the reasons, or babituated in the practice of their own. And bereupon they brought home with them foreign fashions: The meaning is this, Reader! Religion travelled too young and raw, and drunk in the Ceremonies of the Resormed Churches, and she cannot be distinfected to this day: A little matter will blow this dust out of the Readers Eyes, and let him see the egregious

trifling of this Harangue.

or Barter one Ceremony for another; as suppose they carried our with them The Cross, they exchanged it for Cream, or if they went out in the Surplice, they returned home in the Friers Coule; As if the Competition had been between two Ceremonies, Which as Can-

G 2 didates

didates vyed which should be most for Edification, and the adorning Religion: whereas they who improved their afflicted state to the best advantage, left their Ceremonies behind them, and brought no other home in their rooms: Alas! to what end should they bring more to England? This had been to carry Coals to New-Castle; or to what end steal from the Reformed Churches;

which had been meerly to rob the Spittle.

§. 2. Those Holy Men made not the Forreign Churches the Rule or Reason of their Reformation in worship; but their help to lead them to the common Rule of Reformation; They that were reduced to Primitive poverty, might be allowed to emulate Primitive purity. It was no wanton humour, but a sence of Duty, begorten by awaken'd Conscience, rouz'd up by their affliction, which brought them to a self-denying compliance with the Institutions of Christ: It would break the proud heart of them that live in Ease and Triumph, to imitate their patience and resolution, whose return to Gospel simplicity, they can so pleasantly deride.

§. 3. It's a scandalous reproach which he throws upon the English Reformation, as if it lay in Rites and Ceremonies, and such kind of inventions. Fefore (says he) they were well inured to the English Resormation, they became enamoured of the Rites of other Churches: The Reformation lay not in preserving Rites and Ceremonies, but in purging them away: so far purged so far resormed; you may call the Scar upon the Face, its Healing, if you please: but I will rather chuse to call it, a soot-step or relique of the old former wound: nor will I call the dust behind the door part of the Houses cleanliness; and yet I dare not impose, let others call them as they please: this is evident that those things wherein the Reformation consisted, were opposed by the Papists, but the Ceremonies were not opposed by the Papists, therefore our Reformation consisted not in Ceremonies.

that they were enamoured of the Rites of other Churches; we read indeed, 2 Kings 16. 10, 11. That King Abaz in his Journey to Damascus, saw on Altar there, which pleased his Humor, and he sent to Urijah the Priest, the sashion of the Altar, and the pattern of it, according to all the workmanship of it, and he like a tractable goodra ur'd man, that would not offend the King for a small matter, built an Altar according to all that King Ahaz had sent from Damascus: but our banished worthies imitated not the Example; it was

not the opposing one Ceremony to another, but the opposition

of all Ceremonies, which was their glory.

But our Enquirer is resolved they shall be in an Errour; and which looks something like Charity, he will convince them of it

1, Their first weakness was, That they considered not whether those other Rites were better, so long as they were newer and fresher. A groundless charge! New or old, fresh or stale, was nothing to them, it was Ceremonies as such which they rejected and if he can evince, that the present Dissenters have derived from the Transsmarine Churches any Rite or Ceremony, which is not of Divine Institution, they are not so inamoured of it, but they will presently deliver it up into his hands to be dealt with at discretion.

2. Another failing was, that they observed not, That there are oftentimes reasons to make one form necessary in one place or people, and not in another, when its possible they may be both indifferent.

They observed without his information, that the circumstances of time and place in general, were indifferent; but they observed not, that new invented Rites were necessary in any time or place, to represent the grace and duty of the Gospel; they knew well, that all Christs Ordinances were decently to be administred: and they knew as well that there was no need to institute new Rites and Ceremonies to create a decency, to conciliate a respect or reverence to any of Christs Ordinances: If we must have new Rites, to render Christs ceremonies decent and comely, then we must have new Rites also invented to render those bumane Ceremonies decent and comely; and so infinitely forwards: others to m ke those latter decent and comely: because we cannot imagine the wifest man on earth able to contrive a more perfect Ceremony than Christ has done: And thus we must have a Lace to make the Garment decent, and then an edging to make the Lace decent; and then we shall make Religion a Suit, as fantastick as that Prince's, who fitted it with Loop holes, like Argus his Eyes, and then hung a Needle at every Loophole to make all decent.

I have heard of a Taylor (from France no doubt) that was fent for to take measure of, and make a Corderobe for the Moon; she was then very slender, as being in conjunction with the Sun; and when at the fortnights end he brought her home her Gown, she was grown so corpulent, that it would not meet by a third part of

G 3 her

her circumference; the poor man was fadly ashamed, blamed his Spectacles, and with more circumspection takes measure of her Ladyship, and when he came to try her Stays, she was grown so slender, that she looked like a shrimp in a Lobsters Symar: Now the Moon is the Church, which according to the measures which our modish Fashion-mongers take of her, must at every change and Full, appear in new accourtements: And as Nations have differing Attires each from other and the Winters freeze differs from the Summers Stuffe; so the same Church must have a Mourning Worship and a Wedding Worship, accommodated to her outward accessions or declensions in wealth and Riches.

The external Condition of the Church does vary, but her God is the same; her joy may be turned into sorrow, her sorrow into joy; the has her fasting, as well as her feasting dayes; solemn Humiliations solemn Thanksgivings, but her Worship continues still the same; Though the Worshippers may alter their garb, yet the worship which respects the immutable God is immutable like himself: If new things pleased our God, it were our duty to study new things. If he shall declare for Summer-Ceremonies and Winter-Ceremonies, we must provide accordingly; but if with him there be no variable-ness nor shadow of turning, that worship and service which we offer

to him, ought to observe the same fixedness and stability,

, 3. Another of their follies was, that they could not hit upon the right Rule of Reformation. It was their unhappines, that this Enquirer was not then born to direct them to it, which advantage he present age may enjoy, if we be not wanting to our selves; And it is this: That those differing forms be fitted to the Humour, and uftom of the People, and made confonant to the civil Conftitutions: A Rule had need be strait, or else what ever is framed by it will be crocked: Now 1. For the Humor of the People: This has sometimes the fad fate to be decryed as the cause of Deformation and now the bappiness again to be as much cryed up for the Rule of Reformation; which if it be varied according to the ebbing and flowing of this Euripus, must needs be changed half a score times in four and twenty hours. 2. Custom has I confels a fairer ple a, some persons of no finall learning pretending it to bethe onely rule of decency, in things indifferent. In p. 31. our Enquirer intimates. That the Constitutions (of a Church) by time are digested into Customes, and made natural. Now if Custome be the only rule of decency, then the Church sconditutions ought to be of no things but what are naturalized to a People by Custom, and thereby made decent also, unless he has a power also to command all things to be done undecently, and then leave them to be made comely by long usage and continued Custom but if the Churches Constitutions, her power to impose and judg what is decent be the Rule of Decency, and the Reason of our Obe-

dience, then Custom is not the only Rule of Decency.

How much better were it that the peoples fluctuating Humors, odd Customs, and the Churches Constitutions, were all regulated by the Word of God; which is Equal, Infallible, and Impartial? Equal, and therefore we shall not be to seek of our worship upon every accident; Infallible, and therefore we may safely conside in its direction; and Impartial and so abets no Party, savours no Faction or worldly Interest, but faithfully and fully delivers out to us the mind and will of God: Whereas our Enquirers rule, must prove the Author of all miss rule and consuson amongst the Churches of Christ, when Humours shall eross Customs, Customs thwart Humors, and perhaps civil Constitutions shall retrench both Customs and Humors.

One instance will a little enlighten us in his design and meaning. Where (says he) the Reformation had not at the first the Countenance of the Civil Government, there the Reformers were constrained to enter into particular consederacies with one another, from whence Presbyterian Government seems to have taken its rise. Say you so? Then I know who will assume; but for the first three hundred years after Christ all along through the purest Primitive times, the Christian Reformation had not the countenance of the Civil Government, and therefore they enter'd into particular consederacies; from whence the Presbyterian Government had its rise, I cannot certainly tell, and therefore dare not conjecture, whether these particular confederacies, be not a kind of new Latin for the solemn League and Covernment; but this I will say, that the thanks of the Classis are odered to be returned to this Gentleman for his learned Argument to prove the Presbyterian to have been the Primitive Church Government

All this while it remains a great mystery, how these poor Exiles prejudiced the English Resormation; And therefore in the first place he will give us some light into it by a plain and familiar illustration. As the Childre not Israel, even when they had bread from Heaven, Angels Food, longed for the Onyons and Garlick of Egypt, remembring how sweet they were to them, under their bitter bondage; so these Men

retained as long as they lived a lingring after those entertainments which they found then very pleasant, when the other was denied them. The foundation of this ingenious illustration lies in these two things, First, That the Rites and Ceremonies of England were Manna, Bread from Heaven, Angels Food: And Secondly, That the worship of the Reformed Churches without these Ceremonies were Onyons and Garlick; he must have an Ostrich stomach that could concoct them, unless they were first macerated, and dissolved in a Menstruum of Ceremonies: Now the parallel is to felfevident, that we shall only need to gather what drops of it self. and not to shake the Tree; For 1. As the Manna was of Gods own appointment, so we must not question but the Geremonies also were. 2. The Manna was Angels food, and as whenever Angels will cloath themselves with a Garment, they cannot assume one of more congruity than light; fo whenever they shall please to condescend to a Treat from Men, they cannot be served up with a more agreeable Dish than Ceremonies. And then 3. As the Manna was despised and accounted dry meat, so are these Ceremonics too; and therefore as wife Fathers take away the Childrens Mear, if they will not eat their Bread, fo the Fathers of the Church think it wisdom to deny their Children Spiritual Food, if they will not down with the Ceremonies or let them fast till they have a better Stomach: But the parallel comes off very lamely at last: For the Manna lasted only while the Church was in the Wilderness, and ceased, as soon as they entred the Land of Canaan; whereas the pour afflicted and persecuted Churches knew none of those Rites, but furfeited of them in the day of her Rest and Prosperity: And therefore to have carried on the humour, he might have feigned one thing more as well as all the reft; That those exiled Worthies lived all the forty years of their Banishment upon nothing but Rites and Ceremonies, but when they came to the Land of Bromife, the Mannaceased.

We have heard a long Narrative of the cause of Nonconformity from the Exiles in Queen Maries dayes: which had it been delivered without colour or fraud, had amounted to no more than this naked little. When the Righteous God saware necessary to unchain the Devil, and to let him loose upon the English Protestants, to exercise their Graces and correst their Follies; he gave some of them Christian courage to abide by the try als, to others of them Christian position to secure themselves by flight: Had all fled, the

Truta

Truth had wanted Witnesses at home for the present; had allstay'd, the Truth had wanted Successors for the suture; they that sted, found the care of God attending them, and the Mercy of God as a harbinger going before them, to provide them first a Room in the bearts, and then in the Houses of their Brethren: Where being emancipated from the prejudices of inveterate custom, got from under the duress of imposing power: humbled by afflictions, and made more willing to bear the Yoke of Christ, and finding the Reforming Churches a tolerable Counterpane of the New-Testament Worship, many of them not consulting with sless and blood, came off from Ceremonies, content to worship God with the same measure of Decency prescribed and practised by Christ and his Apostles.

When therefore he tells us, that those Exiles received a tin-Aure of those other Rites, before they had well imbibed, or sufficiently understood the Reasons of the church of England: He says no more, then that the Rationale of the Lyturgy, and the Compassionate Enquiry, were not then written: for where else to find the Reasons of

the Church for imposing Ceremonies, I am yet to seek.

2. A second cause of this evil effect is, the bad and incompetent provision made for a learned and able Ministry in the Corporations.

and generality of the great Parishes in England.

But before this Incompetency can possibly be remedied, it must be known what is a competency for a learned Minister? for some that are learned enough, are also able to spend five times more than the people are worth, or can spare.

Two things are here confiderable, which have exercised our

Enquirers Politick Head-peice.

The Grievance, and the Redress of the Grievance.

1. For the Grievance. The multitude of Opinions that deform and trouble the Church are generally batch'd and nursed in the Corporations and Market Towns. Nay not only the dissatisfaction with the rites and ceremonies, but the convulsions and confusions of the State, took their Origin from the bad burners of those greater Societies. But how easily might all this mischest have been remedied, had he pursued his own Primitive Rule of Reformation, viz, Modelled the Rites and Government of the Church to the humors and customs of the People. But his mean ng was, That Reformation should be accommodated to the humors of the Villages, where the People mind nothing of Religion (as he thinks): but not of the Market-Towns, where they are intent uponnew Fashions: But the Reader must look on these

as the lesser sports of his wit, and the dilatations of a pregnant Fancy; for the true Reason of all the distatisfaction about those Rites, has been the want of good ground for them in the Word of God; and the main cause of the troubles that have ensued thereupon, has been the unreasonable and unseasonable imposition of them upon the Consciences of Men.

But our Enquirer is otherwise minded, and he imputes these

convulsions and confusions,

S. I. To the Fulness and Luxury of these great Towns. Well! have a little patience till he can procure his Proclamation against Trade, and to shut up the Shops, and that will most effectually take down their Greafe, and humble their haughty stomachs, and they will grow tame and manageable: But then another difficulty will arife, how they should maintain a learned and able Ministry, and allow him fuch a Revenue, as he shall confess to be a competency:but is not this inconvenience to be found in the Country Towns and Villages? No! They are for the most part quiet, and peaceably comply with establish'd Orders; for they are tired with hard labour, and never trouble themselves nor others, but apply themselves to till the ground, and earn their bread with the sweat of their Brows. Let them have liberty to be poor and pay their Tythes, and they concern themselve little in Religion or the saving of their Souls: they go by the old Rule. Si Mundus vult vadere sicut vult, 'Mundus debet vadere sicut vult: These Creatures indeed will make fit materials for Uniformity to work upon: you may put the bridle in their mouths, and clap the Saddle on their backs, and ride them till they are broken winded and foundred, and they will neither wince nor complain: and yet there are fome fowr Lads and knotty peices among these too, that will not budge a foot, nor yield an Ace further than Conscience informed from the Word of God shall command them.

S. 2. In these great Towns they have leasure to excogitate Novelties, and spirit and considence to abet them: and here there is great concourse of People, where Notions are more easily started, and Parties samer formed for the desence of them: Where the dividing Notions have been most started, I cannot infallibly tell; but I am sure the richest Corporations find themselves something else to do than to excogitate Ceremonies, or other Novelties; and whether Convocations have alwayes sat in the great Towns or little

Villages, is eafily determined.

§. ?. The misery of all is, That in these great Towns where was most need of the most liberal maintenance, so pitiful a pitance is left to the Curate or Minister, that he can scarce afford himself Books to study, nor perhaps Bread to eat, without too servile a dependence upon the benevolence of his richer Neighbours, by which means either his Spirit is broken with adversity, or the dignity of his Office obscured, or he tempted to a sordid connivence at, or compliance with their sollies,

and so like Esau sells bis birthright for a Mess of Pottage.

The bottom of the grievance in plain terms, is this: If the Clergy could but once procure a Revenue settled hard and fast upon them to their minds, (which what it is, neither we, nor perhaps themselves ever knew) had they but more wealth to support their Grandeur out of the hard labour of the poor drudging Moyls, that tug hard night and day to get bread; had they but Midas his Option or Fortunatus his wishing-Cap, that every thing they touch d might be gold; they would then make the Blew-aprons lacquey it, and trot to the Courts by their Horse sides, and it does them good but to imagine, how they would firk their lazy Hides, and curry the scabbed humour of Nonconformity out of them: Thus much of the Malady; the Remedy follows.

2. The Remedy of this insupportable Grievance, in short, is this: That a Law be made that all Corporations, Market Towns, and great Parishes provide a maintenance for the Vicars, in proportion to London; for till some such course be taken, it will be in vain to expect, that the Church of England, or the best Laws of Religion, should

either obtain just veneration, or due effect.

So far am I from envying them their Honors. Revenues, and deficed Affluences, that I could be content they had the nine parts, and the poor tenth only left to the Landlord, as a small quit-rent in memory that the whole was once his own: But what fecurity can we have, that that also will not be demanded in time to make up a Competency? for Trade is too great, Corporations too rich, every one has too much, only the Clergy have just nothing till they have got their Competency, which is nothing left than the whole.

This was the glorious defign managed by the Council of Trent, when the Church was to unmeasurably rich, that it maintained abundance of Cardinals, every one carrying the Port and State of a King; so many Arch Bishops, Bishops, Priests, besides the infinite numbers and swarms of Religious Persons, all endowed with imple Revenues, and yet they made a begging Decree; much would

have

have more; Cujus avaritie totus non sufficit Orbis, That all the faithful should be exhorted to give largely to the Bishops and Priests to maintain their dignities. But the Parliament of Paris, a wife and foreteeting Assembly suphorring the Mendicant Trade, and knowing well, that your counterfeit Beggers hide luxury under the covert of Rags, and remembring possibly that of Solomon, Prov 13.

7. There is that maketh himself poor, and yet there is no end of his sub-

stance: gave this centure of it.

'That this had been good indeed, if they did serve the people 'as they ought, and were really in need; for fo St. Paul exhorts. That he that is instructed should give some part of his goods to ' him that instructs him: but when he that bears the name of a Paftor, does intend any thing rather than to instruct the People, the "Exhortation is not proper; and the rather because Ecclesiasti-'cal goods formerly were for maintaining the poor, and re. 'deeming Slaves; for which causes not only the immovables, but 'even the Ornaments of Churches and holy Vessels were sold. In the Mofaical Law God gave the Tenth to the Levites, who were but the 13th, part of the people: but the Clergy now, who ' are not a 15th, part have gotten already the 4th, part, and doth ftill proceed to gain, using many Artifices therein. Moses having invited the people to offer for the service of the Tabernacle, when as much was offer'd as did fuffice, forbade them in the 'name of God to offer any more; but here will be no end found 'till they have all, if men will continue. still in the Lethargy: If fome Priests and Clergy men be poor, it's because others are exceffively rich, and an equal distribution would make them all Frich abundantly, Hift. Trent. Courc. p. 821. Again Ib. p. 540. For 'a Synod to put their hands into mens purses to maintain Cutrates, seemed strange, both for the matter and manner; for the 'matter because the Clergy was super sluously rich, and rather in-'debted to the Laity; for the manner, because neither Christ nor his A postles didever compel men to make Contributions, but only gave power to receive them that were voluntary; and he that reads S. Paul to the Corinthians & Galatians shall see the 'Masters treatment of the Ox, that treadeth out the Corn; and 'the duty of the Catechifed, towards him that Catechifeth; yer ' fo that those Labour ers have no Action by rigour of Law, nor any "Chancery to relieve them.

It was a notable Constitution of the wife and Potent Prince

Carolus M. constitut fol, 73. Ut decime Populi dividantur in quature partes, id est una pars Episcopo, alia Clericis, tertia pauperibus, quarta Ecclesia in fabricis applicetur, ut in Decretis Gelasii P. contineture. That the Peoples Tythes should be divided into four Parts one whereof should maintain the Bishops, a second the Ciergy-men, a third should maintain the Poor, and a fourth should go to the repair of Churches. Now if the Church wardens and Overseers of the Poor should have all their Levies raised out of heir Tythes, which was the first and best use of them, what a peal of lacriledge should we have ringing about our ears continually? Let me soberly propound a few Queries.

on, a mediocrity in coming up to the Primitive purity; ought not to be as real for a moderation and a mediocrity in maintenance? It feems to be very disproportionable, to cry out for a mean in Trading, a moderation in Preaching, moderation in Reforming, and yet to be immoderate for Revenues. A little 1 fee will ferve of any thing but Riches. Let men have enough of Wages, and

they can be content with little enough of work.

2. Whether it be rational to proceed in this matter ascendendo, to bring up the lean Vicarages to the corpulency of fat Parsonages. or descendendo, to reduce the gouty Benefices to the modicum of the meagre Vicarages, and not rather to make an equality, that they

may both meet in the half way? .

3. When a Market Town or Corporation is low, and not able to maintain its poor, the Law inables the Juft ces of the Peace to bring the Neighbouring Villages under contribution; and they who understand what Charity is in a mean estate, are glad since there is so sad occasion to demonstrate their charity to lay hold on it: Let it therefore be enquired, why the poor Corporation-Vicars ought not to be augmented out of the richer Parsonages of the Neighbourhood? but many will cut a large Thong out of anothers. Hide, who will be sure to spare his own Skin; and they whose tails sweep the ground, will not lend an Inch to him, that is docked close by the Buttocks.

4. Whether the poor Vicar ought not rather to be relieved out of the rich Clergy mans Excrements, than out of the Life blood of the Laity? if the Revenues of Pluralifts, and Prebends with other fuch weles Creatures, were annext to the ill-provided places, all would be well: but the Daughters of the Horseleach.

crystill, Give, give, and yet they are ready to burst with blood.

5. Whether it be not more agreeable to the Primitive times,

and the nature of the Christian Religion, that the Clergy should have some dependance on the people as to Temporals, who depend so much on their Clergy in Spirituals? we consider the Inconveniencies of a depending Clergy, but not the greater ones of having them absolute and independent; who having got a fetled Maintenance, desie their Benefactors, contemn those that drudg to maintain their splendid Equipage, and torment their Consciences, who keep the wolf from their doors: The middle way is therefore best, that so much be setled as is absolutely necessary, and leave them to stand upon their good behaviour for superfluities: since he that is rich, and able to contribute liberally this year, may become poor, and need Contribution the next; and it's not equal to be compelled to Charity, when he cannot discharge his Debts.

6. Whether it be not a most scandalous reflection upon the English Clergy, which he intimates p. 39. That the motives and invitations of the most judicious Clergy, to undertake the work (viz. the charge of the flock) is from the most liberal maintenance?

7. Whether the healing of the Clergies poverty, will not cure them of their Laboriousness in Preaching? and whether doubling the Revenues will not single the Sermons? I have read of a poor Vicar, that being taken notice of by the Bishop for an industrious Preacher, to encourage him in his work, he gave him a good bulkieParsonage; but observing that he began presently to stacken his pace, and come to once a day, he sends for him, expostulates the Case with him, why he should work less now he had more mages? to whom he answered ingeniously, Parva loquuntur Cura, ingentes stupent.

8. Whether it was advisedly spoken by our Enquirer, to compare a Ministers condescention to his scrupulous people in the matter of Ceremonies, to Esau's selling his Birthright for a Mess of Pottage? for if the Minister should happen to cut short his Common Service to gratise his Patron in hopes of a Dinnér, the worst he can make of it is, that he fells a Mess of Pottage for a Sundays Pudding. And if a Ministers Birth-right consist in Rites and Ceremonies, he that gives a Mess of Pottage for it, will certainly buy it too dear.

a. The third cause is the late Wars: And for proof hereof he will defire the reader to look no further back, than the late Wars he ween this Kingdom, and the States of the Low Countries. But why

mo further back? We used to be led back as far as the late Civit-Wars; but our Enquirer was better advised than his Reader perhaps is aware of: It had not been safe to follow truth too

near the Heels, lest it should have dasht out his Teeth.

But into what a perplexed Dilemma has he brought the Church of England? If we have peace with Holland, and therewith Trade and Commerce, then comes in all the new-fangled commodities, Ceremonies and Rites of forreign growth, exotick Customes, Jackin-a-boxes; If we have War with them, then the Reins of Government are remiss, and Noncenformity grows apace : for that (fays he) the contempt of Religion is greater, and the state of the Church worse at the end, than the begining of those Wars: Could but we understand the mistery that lurks under that word Religion, and that Jurgon and Cypher [the state of the Church] we might easily return an answer. By Religion then understand Ceremony; by the state of the Church understand its power to Crush and ruin all that comply not with those Ceremonies; and then it's very true, that Wars are a great enemy to Religion: Every thing is fo far to be reputed evil as it crosses, and so far good as it advances the Trade of Ceremonies and Impositions. If Navigation and Marchandise be Essential to the slourishing state of the Nation, yet if they stand in the way of Ceremonies, dam them as Schismatical; and Wars and Blood-shed, and the beggering of the Nation, if they would but promote Ceremonies, were amongst the choicest desirables: However the remedy is cheap and easie; 'Tis but parting with the Flag, the Soveraignty of the Sea, (which our enemies would have perswaded us were but a Ceremony) the Fishery, the East India Trade, and perhaps two or three more such inconsiderable necessaries, and we might have fecured our Innocent Ceremonies, and the Church-men swaggered over the Consciences of Diffenters.

He that has a mind to interpose in a discourse of Wars, may possibly get a broken Pate for his pains; otherwise the valour of the English Nation, has so justified it selse in our Naval Engagements, that it needs not be assumed to look back upon its behaviour;

but I shall only observe as I pass along these few things.

I. That the Ecclefiastical Histories observe to our hand that the Wars between the Emperour and the Persians, proved a means to check those persecutions which the Arians raised against the Orthodox: and if the great Governour of the World will over-rule publick Calamities, to render the condition of persecuted Christian

ans tolerable, we have the more reason to admire his powerful wildom, who out of so great an Evil could extract so great a Good.

2. I must call to mind one of our Enquirers grave sentences; ubi solitudinem fecerunt, pacem vocant: That which some men count Peace is nothing but havock and defolation. Like some great Enclosers, who having depopulated all about them, and left nothing but the bareRibs, and naked Skeleton, of sometimes flourishing Farms, bless themselves that they are at peace from the noise of the obstreperous Carters. Thus when our Ecclesiastical Projectors, shall have ruined Trade; routed the Conscientious, and forced peaceable Diffenters into deferts; beggered Corporations, those Nests of Schism, they may applaud themselves for profound States men, that they have wrought out their own eare, with the miseries of the People.

3. Wars may reasonably contribute something to a just and well bounded Liberty of Conscience; for how could a Prince expect his Subjects should hazard their lives in his righteous cause and quarrel, and open their Purfes wide to maintain the War, when either they must lose them in his Service, or if they return, having furvived apparent dangers, be trample I upon at home, by those who have all the while fat still at ease, wrapt up in warm Fur and fecurity? There's no great difference, whether a man be killed by chain-shot or a single bullet; And yet a generous Spirit would accept it as more Eligible to meet a noble death in the field, fighting for his Prince and Country, than to languish and pine away an inglorious Life in servitude, under Ecclesiastical Impositions.

4. If the effects of War were lamented as letting in Debauchery and prophaness, tolerating immoralities, antiquating the pra-Etice of Religion, we should mourne with him that thus mourns : But when we shall have an Oration of the Evils of the War, and at last the great one is, that it makes people not so fond of Ceremonies, whereas Peace and Prosperity multiplies them, it's enough to make a people entertain thoughts less evil of the one, and less honourable of the other: for thus the Spartans made the lives of the People so intolerable in Peace, that they might more readily engage in Wars abroad. And indeed fuch mifrepresentations of the reafon of things, have made the World defire, like the Salamander, War for its Element, that they might not dwell in the hotter fire of Fersecution in a more moderate Climate, called Peace; for a Person of Honour that in defence of his Country has come up to the

provite of a Cannon, and come off with renown, to be flain by an Ecclesiastical Canon, would make him refent his fall with regret,

and dying, bite the ground.

4. The fourth, and indeed the greatest cause of all these mischiefs, is a pestilent evil, known by the name of Trade: This Kingdom of great Britain is an Island, which as by its situation it has the greatest need of, so the greatest advantage by Commerce. That which Nature made a Necessity, Art and Industry have turn'd into a Virtue: That, as we cannot live comfortably mathout it, so we are capacitated to live Gloriously by it: To speak of its usefulness to English men, were to be as impertinently absurd, as that Soldier who would needs De re Militari coram Hanibale differere, read a Lecture of Tactics, to one of the bravest Captains of his time for Courage and Conduct, and he may seem almost as vain that should commend it, as he would be impious that should disparage it.

Before Trade be irreversibly doomed for an intolerable evil, may we ask with Pilate, pray what evil bas it done? has it introduced the sins of the torrid Zone? or made those wickednesses. Denizens, that were peculiar to hotter Climates? has it impoverish the Subject, or lessen'd the Revenues of the Crown? not nothing of all these; but it has seloniously, and of its malice forethought, brought down the price of Ceremonies, which are the staple commodities of the Kingdom: But did not the dear Ceremonies come over by Shipping too? they are not drugs of our own growth, and therefore in Civility, we are obliged to carry a decent respect towards Navigation, that brought us home so rich a Cargo: But consider, Shipping was useful till it had la ided this fraught, and then like Julius Casar, burn them all, that they may never export so precious a Commodity.

In drawing up a charge against this Trade, our Enquirer looks like the very Picture of Modesty: He seems to say, Outinam nefirem Literas! He could almost wish (had it not been too great an injury to the World) that he had not been so Eloquent: if he might do it without offence, he would take the boldness to say; that is, if the Merchants would not be angry to have an Embargo laid upon their Ships, and their Goods seized, He would say something. Nay pray Sir, speak out; the Merchants will exercise so much self denial, sure for once, as to be beggered. Why then this is the something he would say, That the vast increase of Trade

11

does usualy reflect some inconveniences upon Ecclesiastical Affairs: The most favourable Indictment surely that ever was drawn up against an evil of so pernicious consequence, in all the world. 1. They are but inconveniencies; and what commodity is there but is attended by fome finall inconveniencies? 2. They are but fome inconveniencies neither, as perhaps, that one of the wealthy ungovernable Fanaricks cannot be called to account in the Ecclesiastical Courts, because he is extra quatuor Maria, or so. 3. It's not always neither, though usualy, that these fatal effects follow it; for fometimes an Eclipse, or Quartile Aspect may be disappointed of its malignant influence, by the interpolition of a more benign Planet. 4. Nor has Trade a direct spleen against the Church: it does but reflect its malevolent Beams; Now ye know that Ralius reflexus languet. 5. Nor is Trade quâ Trade, init self considered, but the increase and the vast increase of Trade that has all the guilt upon it; so that if we could perswade Merchants and Tradesmen either not to Trade, or not to thrive of their Trades, it would preventall this mischief; and I durst undertake to perswade one half of them at least to this latter, if that would reconcile them to him, 6. And these inconveniencies are but to Ecclesiastical Affairs. Religion will not be prejudiced, nor Holiness suffer, I hope; but there are some Affairs, what ever they are, that some Ecclefiafticks are abrewing, will not work fo well: In one word, it's all but Ceremonies.

The most Christian King is all this while endeavouring to settle Factories and Plantations for Trade abroad, and to give it all poflible encouragement at home And indeed they fay, he has a very wife Council about him; who verifie the old Proverb, That the French are wifer than they feem; and they tell him, that whatever Quarter he carries with these Church-men, who have ever private defigns of their own diftinct from the general interest of that monarchy; yet Navigation and Trade must be encouraged, and let the Priests fume and fret, or whine, and put the finger in the eye, all's one, he will fettle commerce for all the idle chat of Kirk-sta esmen: But to speak truth, I do not hear that the Clergy there, whether Regular or Secular, have these dreadful apprehensions, That the Rise of Trade will be the Fall of the Church; and perhaps the Reasons of things, may differ: They have Legions of Ceremonies: and may well spare some tattered Companies; their Commons are stock'd fans Number; and if they

they were a little *flinted*, the rest would thrive the better; but should we lose a Brace, or a Least, we were half undone: and yet I am consident that Wise and Valiant Prince would sell us a dozen of the best Ceremonies he has in his Empire, for one half

of our Trade, and thank us into the bargain.

We should smile at the tenderness of the Gentleman that would throw himself, and hopes away, to saisse the impotent longing of a Green-sickness Girl; and others would as much smile at us, should we facrisce the Wealth and Strength of a Nation to the humour of those, who for a sew Ceremonies, which apprized by indifferent persons, are not worth one of our Plantations, would loose both: But if the Trade of a Nation be of no more concernment than to be cast away for such trisses, all we shall gain by the hand, is an Additional Reason why they are called Beggarly Rudiments.

You are therefore satisfied that it was mannerly done to scrape a Leg to the Merchants before he would propound so harsh and displeasing a business: He would do it without offence, if he might be so bold! He envies no mans wealth, Its sar from his thoughts to wish the Tide of Trade dammed up: offenced! I wonder who could find in his heart to be offended at such civility! such potent charms of Rhetorick are able to per swade them to Moor up their Ships for ever, rather than import any of those Helland

prohibited Commodities.

Readers, you are now to give your Attendance to a most eloquent Oration, which is the Embalming of Trade, before

it be converted to Mummy: for thus the Orator:

Trade is bugely advantageous to the publick, as well as private persons, in many respects: It much raises the parts, and sharpers the Wits of a Nation by soreign Conversations; it opens a passage to the discovery of other Countries, and of the works of God and Man, of Art and Nature; it's the great Incentive, and Instrument of humane Society: It makes all mankind of one Body, and by mutual intercourse to serve the occasions, supply the needs, and minister to the delight and entertainment one of another. It enlarges the mind of men, as well as their fortunes; insomuch that any Nation is unpolite, unbred, and half barbarous, without it; It inverse mento hardship and danger, and instructs them in subtilty, and all the arts of living and self-security; it adds much to the Beauty, power and strength of a Nation, and to the Riches and Revenues of the Prince----Dixi!

 $H_{12}$ 

And yet all this notwitstanding .- Ay! there's one evil in't which we little dream of, which out-weighs all those Conveniencies: Inlargement of Trade bath usualy been attended (he must crave leave to fay it) with as much latitude of Conscience, (then some mens Consciences have above Seventy degrees of South and North Latitude.) And the heat of that, with as much coldness and indifferency in Religion. It's commonly observed to introduce great diversity of Opinions, and consequently to abate of mens zeal for, and reverence of an uniformity in what was before established. And therefore better the publick were undone, Mens Parts and Wits made as dull as a Beerle. foreign discoveries left to the pragmatical Dutch, all Commerce with other Worlds interrupted, and the Britains once again excommunicated from the rest of Mankind: Better a thousand times Mens Fortunes and Hearts were broken, the Glory of the Nation stained, its strength shattered, the Exchequer exhausted, than Uniformity in some little things endangered, one Ceremony disparaged. the wills of some Clergy-men croffed, wich might shorten their days. or one pair of Organs put out of Tune, wich would make them grumble like a pair of Scotch Bag-pipes-

And to speak the truth, all the great ends of Trade might be secured by Ceremonies, and an exact uniformity in them; for it will Wonderfully sharpen Mens wits, and make them both as keen and blew as a Razor, to find out every day some happy new conceit: Pope Vitalian was the man that glories first to have taught man. kind the Art of worthipping God with a box of Whiftles. Society will be maintained by being all of apiece at home; and instead of Foreign discoveries, an Inquisition will better search out the Terra incognita of Conscience, and let this Enquirer be one of the Lords Inquisitors of that Holy House; and the strength of the Nation would be better fecured, if the train'd Bands were untaught all their old Postures, to the right and the left, and knew nothing but face about to the East. The Beauty of the Nation will be so enhanced, that we shall shine with a painted face of Religion: and the old controversie between Mare Liberum, and Mare Clausum, will be for ever determined, and that on the right side: which out of Zeal to the Honour of the incomparable Grotius, every devout person is bound to pray for; nor shall the Seas any more be proud of their blood, which have fought to affert their Right

to that rolling Empire.

It was then feafonably, and well thought on, to propound to

us the wisdom of the Lacedemonians, who that the Laws and Govern. ment might not be disturbed with Novelty, absolutely forbad Trade or Traffick, or fo much as Travelling into other Countries, lest the Citizens should barter away their own Laws and Customs, for those of other Cities. But then I doubt their Laws were about the great thing; that concerned the Nations being. They did not make Laws. That every mans hair should be of a length, and then swear the people to observe them, and forbid Trade, lest they should bring in the Geneva Cut, and destroy Perimigs: And besides all this, they prohibited Travell as well as Trade; as good leave all the doors of a House open, as one: and stop never a leak, as not all, for one will fink the Vessel. Now how to restrain Travel, deserves more confideration; and therfore let it be remembred, that Trade and Travel are no otherwise evil, than in their mischievous consequences; and could we separate the grand inconveniences from them, they would not be mortal; feeing then we Trade more into Holland, and Travel more into Italy, simple Travel cannot be so destructive as compounded Trade.

These Arguments Cook'd up in good Language, may persivade all Men to step out of the way, and throw themselves over the Bridge in convenient stason; for what can be impossible to these Arts? But he had told us, p. 35. That there are oftentimes Reasons that make one form necessary in one place and to one people, and not another. And therefore his Reasonings from Sparta will hardly go current in England: Some say Sparta was a free State, and therefore it may not hold in a Monarchy; and others say, these were the fundamental Laws of their Magna Charta, which they would secure by abridging Trade and Travel: and not some odd Articuli Cleri, what Garments their Priests should wear on Holy-days.

But never was Man in such a distraction between his remaining pity to the distressed Merchants; and his yearning Bowels to the precious Ceremonies; so has the Merchant himself been distracted in an Aporetick Debite between his Lading, and his Life, till at lat dear Life overcame the stickle of combating. Objections, and ever board went all his Merchandise: Thus this Compassionate Person would not have Trade die, but yet he must have Impositions live: and where are those grave Head-pieces that can reconcile these differing Interests? Why, yet he hopes that the English Resormation is such, that it may rather gain than less Proselytes, by being confronted with any other Institution: And

H 3

rhere

there is no question but under its present Advantages it would do so, did not the severity of Impositions, and rigorous exacting of things, which at best are but indifferent, at most, doubtful, and to many, sinful, against which standing Caveats have been entred from the beginning of the Resonation, a little marr the sweet air of her truly beateous face, and thereby render kernot alto-

But as the Case stands, from whence should we hope for this numerous Off-spring of Proselytes? from amongst the Papists? Alas, they have conceived greater hopes of us, That their Tyber shall swallow up our Thames, before our London shall Proselyte their Rabylon: And they are encouraged in their hopes, because they say our Ceremonies are a Bridge over the Narrow Seas, not to let all the Women of Europe into England, as we fance; but to admit Rome amongst us with all its Retinue of Pompous nothings. From the Reformed Churches then? Alas they are satisfied in their Primitive simplicity, they content themselves that their Churches are True Churches, their Ministers Gospel Ministers; and though they may perhaps make a Journey now and then to learn English Prearbing, they think it not worth the while to fetch Fire. The Expedients propounded to reconcile

Trade and Uniformity, are as follow.

1. That there may be such Laws provided, and such care taken that the one (I suppose he means Trade) be not discouraged, nor the other (D.scipline no doubt) corrupted. I have been studying what further Acts of Uniformity this Gentleman would have enacted, whether with the grave Recorder he would introduce the Spanish Inquisition, or revive the Act for Banishment, or extend the Starute of Premunire to every one that shall keck at a Ceremony: I hope God will pour out the Spirit of wisdom and understanding, of Council and the fear of the Lord upon our Legislators: we may make Rods to whip our selves upon our Childrens backs: and the Teeth of Posterity may be set on edge with those sowr Grapes, which though the Fathers did not ear, yet they Planted the Vines that bore them. But what would he have? Why he would have a more simple way of Agriculture attended to, as it was amongst the Sparrans, and this Nation formerly: Really if it had not been for these Spartans, I cannot tell what we should have done: Butits always thus when Divines will be Statefinen, and dictating to their Superiors: Scholars fit up late at their Studies, till the Cocks ani

and their brains begin to Crow: and what then uncouth whimfeys, breed in their heads? there was once amongft us an odd Generation of Folk, we call'd'em Adamites, and they would level all things, reduce all things to the mode of Paradife; fuch another Capricio is our Enquirer, who though he will not reduce Religious affairs as high as the Apostle, yet Trade must be carried higher, and new modeled Secundum usum Spartæ. I am a thinking what we should do with our Wool, which was once the staple-commodity of the Nation till the Ceremonies carried it: when we have spunit, woven it, and worn as much as we need, what must we do with the rest? I should never have guessed, but that there's an old stuff set off with a new Name, they call it Episcopacy revived, and that must employ the remainder.

I have heard of a supercilious Spanish Don, who being asked by his Friend, How the English men lived? Answered, Ob they live by selling Ale to one another. The Answer was unpardonably scandalous, yet agreeable to the Morese Humour of that people: But to this very pass must we come, when the design against Trade takes, to Barter Food for Raiment; and both for Geremonies

2. His next expedient is; That every one have so much Charity towards the Governours of his own Countrey, and this Church, as to think them both as wise and honest as in other places. And let me add: Agreat dealhonester and wiser too. We hope our Governours are so wise, and tender of their Subjects, as to allow them their Confeiences, the only thing God has reserved to himself; and that they are ambitious to preserve intire for him; which will sweeten all that cost and pains they are at in the service of him, whom Divine Grace has server them: But the highest opinion we can possibly entertain of the Wisdom and Sincere Piety of our Governours, may well consist with an Humble Petition, to be excused in that one thing, the Immediate Worship of God.

As it does not imply that I am wifer or better than every man whose Religion I cannot own in every particular; so neither does it suppose that I entertain low thoughts of the Legislators wisdom, because I cannot subscribe to his Tendries, while I patiently submit to his penalties; for it must needs be supposed that I judge him vested with Authority from God to Govern me, and wife in annexing a san stion to his Law so equal, that I submit

to it, whose preceptive part I cannot discern is to be.

I have heard fome plead in justification of the Severities in-H 4 flicted on the Jesuits in Q. Elizabeth and K. James his Reign that they suffered not for Keligion, but disturbing the Government, we humbly beg the same favour; Let not our Worship be accounted a Breach of the Peace, if so fails, but if the matter be disloyal, or the Consequences turbulent and tumultuous, we have

no farther to plead in our own behalf.

3. A third expedient is, That we impute not all the distractions of mens minds, and the quarrels against the Church, to the badness of its Constitution, fince this point of Trade bath such an influence as we see both in the nature of the thing, and in the effects of it. I have no power to compound for the Trading part, and prefume he has as little to treat on the behalf of the other part. The blame of our Distractions, Divisions and Quarrels, will lie where they ought, let him or I lay them where we please; If Trade brings in multitudes of Opinions, yes that those Opinions make quarels, is because perhaps one needless Opinion is made Cock of the Dungbill, and Crows over all the rest its equals, and may be its betters. I think imparrialy, there's blame on all hands; and if we could wave that forry way of excusing our selves, by accusing others, we were certainly in a fair way of Healing: yet one point he has lest upproved to the Charity of the well-disposed Reader, viz. That Irade in its own Nature bas such an influence upon our Dift: actions

4. His last remote Cause is from the Papists and Atheists, who both, though upon several grounds, combine their malice against the

Church.

J. And first for the Papifts, concerning whom, he will treat of two things: first, why they are fuch enemies to our Church;

and then wherin the Enmity discovers it self.

§. 1. What is the reaf in that these Papists should be such implacable enemies to this Church? Did we ever go about to blow up the Pape and his Consistory with Gun powder? Or ever Massacre a Fundred Thousand of his Catholicks in Ireland? Oh no! It was a higher, or a deeper cause, no matter which, whilst our Enquirers penetrating Head can reach it.

1. The decent order of our Church shames their Pageantry: Rome has a Brazen-face of her own; and I assure this Gentleman for all his confidence, it's not a little matter will setch the blood into her Check. She has cause enough to blush, but she wants a Fore-lead; though the blood of Thousands of Protestants lies uponber

Con

Conscience, yet it appears not in her looks: But before our Enquirer upbraid them with their Pageantry, it will be necessary that
he gives us the Nice critical difference between Ceremonies, Decency, and Pageantry; for if the definitions of both be not fixed
to a hairs breadth, either the Papills will prove their Theatrical
pomp to be Decency, or our Ceremonies to be Pageantry; If all
mystical Rites be Decent, they will shew us Twenty for One; and
will hardly be made to blush for their penury, or to envy our greater plenty: But if they should be found a piece of Pageantry, they
have infinitly out-done us: but withall, it's no great commendation to have but little Pageantry in Gods service.

2. The Dignity of the Church sh imes theirs: Dignity is a Term of Art, and capable of feveral meanings: If by Dignity we flould (as we ought) to understand, A real effential worthiness, arising from Something escellent in the account of God; then this Church has fo out stript her, that she ought not to be named in the faire day and year. But if we speak with the Vulgar, and take this Dignity for some external glory shining out in secular Lustice, which is that current fignification, which Cuftom the Mafter of the mint, has stampt upon it, I doubt she will hold up her Head, and not be dasot out of Countenance; she can produce her purpuratos patres. her Cardinals, (Princes fellows) her Dignitaries: she can produce you her Acolytes, dancing attendance upon her Deacons; her Deacons footing it after her Priests, her inferior Clergy bowing before her mitred Prelates, and a'l these orderly Reverencing their Metropolitan: but then she boasts unmeasurcably, that she has an Ecclefiastical Head to be the Center of Union to all those; fo that whether you run up the scale from the poor Osliary to the Exercift, and fo upwards, or down the scale from the supream infallible Noddle, moving all the inferior Wyers, the will brazen it out, and rever hang down her Head.

3. The Ancient Gravity of our Church reproves theirs: I am forry for the Honour of our Church, which I truly Reverence, that this Gentleman in vying with Rome, should pitch upon those particulars, wherein if we do excel, and carry the day, it will be no such Victory as to challenge a Triumph; and yet such is the dubie outsels of the case, that perhaps we may less the day: I do not yet hear that Rome has disclaimed Antiquity to be one of the marks of the true Church: and know something of her presumption in applying it to her self: Let any Antiquity short of Scripture

Epocha

Epocha, be fixt upon, and she will make a forry shift to scramble through many a tiresome Century, and sousse to come as near the Apostolical days as some others: Both sides I think have play'd at the game of Drop-sather, so long till they are weary, and forced to confess, that somethings now in usage, were unknown to the Fathers, and many things practiced by the Fathers, which we have silently suffered to grow obsolete by desuctive.

I look upon these things as matters of course and form, to look big, and set the best foot before: for if ever we consute Rome with an Army of hard words, Decency, Order, Antiquity, Gravity, they must be such as the word of God has made so. It must be a Decency warranted by God himself either from the light of nature, or Scripture; an Order of Christs Establishment; a Gravity exemplished from the Apostles; and an Antiquity which was from the beginning; and when Scripture is once made sole Umpire in the Quarrel; as the Church of England will certainly run the Papist out of all distance, so the Nonconformust will begin to put in his stake, and perhaps win the Plate.

S. 2. If you ask how the Church of Rome undermines our

Church, he answers.

much easier to evince, that the Enquirer has rather borrowed his Arguments from Rome, than Rome lent one to the Nonconformists: I think there's not one Arrow he can shoot against them, but I can shew him where it was borrowed, or shotten from a Jesuits Quiver: where was the Argument taken from Axes, Halters, Pillories, Galleys, Prisons, Confications, as some express it, or as he more concisely, Executing the Laws, borrow'd, but from Rome? The Scripture knows it not, the better fort of Heathens abnor'd it, Protestants disown it, Papists only glory in it,

Utere jure tuo Cæsar, sectamque Lutheri, Ense, Rota, Ponto, Funibus, Igne Neca.

And whence was that argument for Active unlimited Obedience to all things commanded by the Church, borrowed? for though it becomes no mouth so well as his that can boath of Infallibility, yet still we are pressed with the same Argument, and in the last resort Publick Conscience must carry it. I am sorry this imprudent person should give any one occasion to say surther, that some of us at home have furnisht Rome with Arguments against the Reformation, Arguments from the Scripture, Rome has none; from the nature of the thing, not one; but some have put into their Hands a left-handed Dagger, which does mischief enough, it's called Argumentum ad Hominem. Thus when we are earnest with them to throw away their Oil and Cream; they bid us, throw away our Cross; If we desire her to reform her Cowles and Copes, she calls to us to reform our Surplice. When we in a friendly way caution them not to feed upon the Devils sless, they answer, As good eat his sless as the Broth he was boiled in.

2. She is all for blind Obedience at home; but preaches up tenderness of Conscience abroad. And what the difference is between blind Obedience, and Obedience meerly on the account of the Command, I would willingly learn: and if any can shew us a better reason for the things commanded and injoyned than that, we shall

return him thanks.

If I might now borrow the Enquirers place so long as, whilf I propound a few Enquiries, I would immediatly resign to him his Province.

S. I. If the enmity between the two Churches be so great as is pretended, what was the reason that so many Stars of the first magnitude in this Orb, were in Conjunction with the Dragons Tail? Why were they so ready to yeild him his Western Patriarchate, and all within the first four bundred years? Which will at once bring England under his Subjection: though I much question whether the Grand Seignior will have so much good nature as to

resign him the Eastern Patriarchate so easily.

§.2. If the Church of Rome be this Churches Enemy, is the not then concerned to get more Churches to be her Friends? It's a wild Humour of some Church-men, that they will disabline all the world, provoking every ones hand against themselves, whilst their hand is against every one; If Rome be an Enemy, she is a potent, malicious, subtil, and United Enemy, and it concerns a Church not to be divided at home, when her Enemies are United abroad: and to Combine with the foreign Protestants in Love, were an excellent way to prevent the Combinations of Romes hatred.

§. 3. It would be enquired, if Rome be such an Enemy, what should be that which prookes her wrath and indignation? What that should be that makes the envious Snakes, wherewith Anti-Christian

Antichrifts head is Periwigg'd, to hifs and spit out their Venom? Does the from and rage because we have retained two or three of her fine Ceremonies? That cannot be the Origin of her spight! They are those things wherein the Church of England, and Nonconformists are mutually agreed, that Rome opposes this Church in; and they are those things wherein this Church Symbolizes with Rome, wherein the differs most from the Nonconformists.

When the Heathens triumphed in the great feats of their Maximus Tyrius, and Apollonius Tyanœus, the Christians answered; That whatever good effect their Religion ever had upon the Lives of Men, was owing to those Principles and Truths, which it had in Common with Christianity. Thus will Dessenter plead: That whatever success this Church has had in its Ministery upon the Souls of Men, is due to those fundamental Truths and Doctrines of the Christian Faith, which she obtains in Common with the Reformed Churches: On the other side; The Roman Fastion persecutes and undermines this Church upon grounds equal to all the Reformed Churches; and this Church is angry (at least) with Dessenters for those matters wherein she seems to approach too near Roman corruption.

2. We come now to the Atheists; A Generation so abominable, of whom we may yet say as was said of the Astrologers in old Rome, the genus hominum semper vetabitur, & semper in urbe nostrate retinebitur; A people always hanished, yet never departed from the City: such a Tribe are these Atheists. Every one has a hard word for them, yet many entertain them: you shall not meet with a Man in a Thousand, but will liberally rail at damned Machiavillian policy, which yet according to the proportion of their little wit, they strive to imitate: wich tempts me to think, that they have not so much his Knavery, as they repine at their own

they cannot equalize him; That they Nibble at his principles, because they cannot reach his mit.

It is but a stender evidence that another is in the right, because Atheists are so grossy wrong; And yet to declaim against Atheism, has these considerable advantages: First, some think they may be securely Atheistical themselves, if they can but flourish with a few ingenious Sentences against them: and a witty Libel against such, is a sufficient Purgation for him that has a Talent to expose

folly; and judge not his politicks foevil, as they are vext that

the reft of Religion. Secondly, it's a plausible Argument, that that Religion must needs be excellent, that has the worst of Men for its Enemies, and they must certainly be adjudged worthy perfons who are so Zealous against such Impiety; what Man of Charity would suspect Irreligion to wear the Cloak of servency against Atheism? And yet it's common to hear it hotly prosecuted in the Pulpit, by some who come warm from that Service to the practice of it. I dare refer it to the judgment of the impartial world, whether he be not a kin to a practical one, who disputes for aGod, and then tears Men in pieces for worshipping him according to the best Light they can get from Scripture and Nature? And in such a manner, as wherewith they can find no fault, but that 'tis not their own? and possibly was their own too not many years since, and probably had been so still, had they not been purchased into a better?

There are three Questions here to be resolved. What Atheism is? Whence it comes? And wherein does it oppose the Church,

and contribute to a separation from it.

1. What Atheism is, and who is the Atheist? And this is as needfull an enquiry, as any of those needless ones, wherewith he tormented us in the last Chapter: I assure the Reader, it is a word of a Volatile Nature, and Versatile signification, as any that gives us trouble with its double meaning. In Germany an Atheist once signified a Person that medled with the Popes Miter, or the Monks sat Bellies: Epicurus of old some think was branded with Atheism, because he could not swallow Polytheism: At home some conclude he must be an Atheist that scruples the Jus Divinum of Tyths: and if he shall detain a Tyth Pig, he is a Sacrilegious Atheist to boot: Formerly it border'd upon Atheism to have denied the Divine Right of Episcopacy; but I see that one may Question that now, and yet be a Christian: What then an Atheist is, I shall leave to the Industry of this Enquirer.

2. But from whence this Atheisian should proceed, is a Question that has been so fully Answered by a Learned and Honourable Pen of late, I shall not need to repeat any thing: Yet this is obvious, That when Preachers Preach against Preaching, their Auditors may easily stumble into a belief, that what they Preach is not much material to be believed, when they had rather it should not be preached at all, than not under their Formalities: If ever I should hear a Tradesman bitterly inveigh against Trading; that

it never was a good World fince there was so much Trading; that we never had peace fince we had Markets twice a week; that there can be no peace or fettlement expected, folong as Men may lay out their Money, and buy their Goods where they pleased: let such a one be dealt with as severely as the Enemies of Trade can wish, I shall not plead his cause: To this if we shall add, that when the World takes notice, that they who are called the men of God, and are therefore supposed to know most of him, to be most like him, and to represent him in their lives as a Holy, Merciful, Tender, and Gracious God, as they present him in their Dostrine, shall yet with unwearied fury profecute Men to Poverty, Prison and Grave, meerly for non-complyance in those things which themselves have invented: they give great occasion to Atheistical inclinations to fay in their Hearts, As good beleive no God, as one so cruel and unmerciful, as his own Servants repre-

fent him to us.

3. But the last is the most important Question: How, or where. in does Atheism undermine the Church? Or contribute to separation from it? That Atheisin does oppose all Religion as such, was never doubted, in that it takes away the great Principle pre-fup. posed to all Religion, That there is a God; but how it does particularly oppose the Church of England, so far as the differs from others, is I conceive the present Question. It is somewhat difficult to imagine, that they who have put off Humanity, should scruple to put on any garb of obtaining Conformity. They who have renounced one God, will eafily own a thousand Ceremonies; what were it to them if all the Numerous Rites of Rome were introduced, could they but get the sence of a Diety obliterated our of their Consciences, that they might sin without the stings and twinges of an approaching Judgment, which is the perfection they aim at? Their Heaven has no God in it, their Hell no Devil in it; It must be a strange Imposition which an Atheistical Throat cannot swallow; he that is of no Religion (as I said) can subscribe to any Religion, to which those Principles are very cognate, which are contrived to avoid perfecution under all Forms and Constitutions: How therefore they should be such grand Enemies to Conformity, I wait to be refolved. 1. The Atheists (fays he) will not fet their wit against a Fanatick, they must have higher Game: by this Argument our Enquirer has demonstrated himself to be no Atheift; yet I would not have him trust much to it, I suppose

too, they have found higher Game than Ceremonies, when they open their black mouths against God himself, 2. They inflame the Causes of Divisions, provoke Mens Passions, and exasperate Mens minds one against another. He has spoken more truth than perhaps he is aware of in these few words: I have ever suspected. and now have warrant to utter my fulpicions: that it is a fpice of Atheism, that exasperates Men against those who quietly and peaceably worship God blessed for ever. 3. They scurrilously tratraduce all that's serious; and what they cannot do by Manly discourse. they indeavour by Buffoonry: Thus these blind Beetles that rose out of filth and excrement Buz about the world. And now I am fure where to find the whole Club of Atheifts: Amongst those Churchmen who blaspheme the Office of the Divine Spirit as a Noise and Buz: Amongst those who openly scoff at the Beauty, Loveliness, and Preciousness of a Redeemer: Amongst them who have no better way to confute the satisfactoriness of Christs death, than to make God like an angry Man when his passion's over, and has glutted bimself with revenge: amongst them who can no otherwise describe the Zeal of Christ for his Fathers House, than by the furies of a Fewish Zealot.

He has now dispatched the remote Causes of separation; and if the Reader complains, that amongst all these Causes he hears not a Syllable of that grand Cause of all Divisions, the needless imposing of things doubtful or sinfull, as the Terms of Union and Communion with the Church: Let him have a little patience, he may find it in its proper place, viz. amongst the nearer, immediate, direct, and proper Causes of separation, whither we now follow our Enquirer.

## CHAP. III.

Where the more immediate Causes of Distractions, viz. Rashness of Popular Judgment, Judaism, Prjudice, want of true Zeal, are considered and the Enquirer manifested to have been something ridiculous.

H Itherto our Author has acted with good Applause the part of a Compassionate Enquirer; he will now alter his Properties, and play the other part of the Passionate Enquirer. He has worn

the Person of a Friend long enough; and will now put on the severer Habit of a Judge, and then he is resolved some body or other shall smart for it, though that belongs properly to the Lictor's or Beadle's Office.

There is only one small matter, which he would bespeak, and if he could procure it too of his Reader, he need not doubt the happy office and success of this Discourse; and that is a certain Commodity which Men call Candour; a very scarce and dear Commodity it is grown, since the Writers of this Age Appealed from the Tribunal of their Judicious and Learned, to the Chancery of

their courteous and candid Readers.

If any should be so Critical as to enquire, what this Candour is s he may understand that it is a native Whiteness of Judgment, that has not yet received the Prejudicate Tincture of any Colour, but retains its Indifferency and Neutrality to every Customer, Such a mind the Reader is defired to bring to the Perufing of this Chapter; that he be neither Black nor Blew, his affections devirginated neither with Aff. nor Diff. but a meer Rasa Tabula. But how much of this Candour might pleasure him, is a great Question; for if a small Quantity would ferve his occasions, no more than may incline one to think he never expected a Bishoprick, or more than a first rate Benefice, for writing this elaborate work; I have jux such a parcel of Candour lying by me, that will exactly fit his turn. But this will not do! He has bespoke so much of his Reader, That he will believe, it is not any delight he takes to rake in the Wounds of his Brethren and fellow-Christians, that prompts him to this undertaking: A Candour to believe all this! It must be aftretching white-leather Card ur, that will reach to the beleif of fuch Incredibles. That he that makes Wounds does not delight to rake in them: that he that forges Crimes, takes no pleafure in divulging them: that he who reproaches his Brethren most passionately ten. ders their repute; That he who would ruin Mens bedies, has fuch a compassion for their Souls: I confess I cannot furn. In him with such a Lot of Candour: but if I meet with Apellathe Jew, or any other Candid Wife acres, that have enough to spare, he may possibly hear further.

Proceed we therefore to the next and immediate Caufes of

the Distractions of the Church of Ergland.

1. The first assigned Cause, is popular rashness and injudiciousness. Whom he should intend by the people, that are so rash and injudici-

ous I'am at a great loss in my Consectures One division of a Kingdom, is into the Soveraign, and his Leige-people. Now it must not be the people in this Notion, that are to hair brain'd, for that would include the Clergy, Again, the Subjects of a Kingdom may be divided into the Nobility, and the common people: but neither under this notion must rashness and injudiciousness be charged upon the people; for besides that, this would still restect upon the Inferiour Clergy, it would a fo cast reproach upon the Peoples Representatives. There is therefore another distinction of us all. we are all either of the Clergy, or the Lairy, that is in plain English. the Populace or Volge: and there is good ground for this classical distinction, not only because we hear of Sermons ad Clerum, that is, to those who are Gods Lot, Portion, and Inheritance; and others ad Populum, the common Herd and Drove of Animals: But because we read of old, such a division made by the Learned and 7udicious Pharisees, Joh. 7. 49. Have any of the Rulers or Pharisees believed on him, but this People, that knows not the Law, is accursed? And yet it will be thought scandalously harsh to fix the guilt of popular rashness and injudiciousness upon the people in this acceptation on; for under this denomination will come not only the Nobility and Gentry of a Nation, but the Prince himself, unless he should take on him the Office of the Priesthood. We must therefore find our another fort of people that must bear the burden of this reproach: that which comes next to my thoughts, and offers faired to affoil the difficulty, is the distinction between the Conformists and the Non. conformifts: and thus we shall need to feek no further for this grand Cause of Nonconformity. The Nonconformists are a Rablerout of rash and injudicious people; and there needed not balf so many words to affert it, though twice as many will not prove it.

This Cause of Popular rashness, is like the Chameleon, which they say accommodates it self to the nearest Subject, and will retemble all colours save one, only it's not susceptible of that which our Enquirer, wants most, Candour: For the Dissenters complain of the injudiciousness of the people, the rashness of their Censures, how little they understand their Principles, how wrongfully they interpret their practices; and thus at last

it wheels about to be a reason of Conformity.

There is no Theme upon which School-boys are more frank in their Satyrical Invectives, than of the common people, that is wearesand Bestia multiceps, a Hydra with many heads;

and

and yet in none of them, vel Confilium, vel Ratio; vel Discrimen, vel Diligentia; so saith his Compere the great Roman Orator.

But I admire how these Men of wit and Judgment would live, if the people whom they so undervalue as not worthy to wipe their shooes, did not Moil and Toil, and Plow and Sow, and Spin, that they might lie at ease, arrayed like Solomon in all his Glory.

The method of our Enquirerin managing this business, is this, First, he will speak to the purpose, then a little nearer to the pur-

pofe, and last of all close home to the purpose.

1. That which he fays to the purpose, is this: when weak perfons judge of the Determinations and Counsels of wifer men: and those that pierce no further than the meer surface of things, pass a Verdist upon those whose Judgments are prosound and deep; there can no good

issue be expected.

The Vulgar indeed do not wear the Head pieces of States-men, nor the Helmets of Commanders: they have no need for, and therefore no use of them: they pretend to no Authority to inspect the secrets of State, to dive into the Intrigues and Mysteries of Government; but yet under correction, they are a degree removed from Beasts, and pretend and plead a right to judg of their own Astions, as they are accountable to the Divine Majesty. They are not concern'd to enquire upon what reasons the Legislators shall bring in a Law? but they are concerned to enquire into the lawfulness of the thing, that they may give a more humane, chearful, and rational Obedience. Understand me in things especially relating to the immediate Service of God, and their Acceptance with him therein: In other things, they can part with their own Right; and though the Command should prove unrighteous, they may righteously submit; but in matters of Religion they ought to be conducted by more manly Arguments.

Gods Worthip is a Service reasonable, and if I must not use my Reason there to judge of its lawfulness, it had been an advantage to be made, what some would make us, Brutes: for as he that has lost his smell, has this to comfort himself withal, that as he enjoys not the satisfaction of the worlds persumes, so he is not tormented with its stinks. Thus though the Beasts have not the contentment of enfranchised reason, they are discharged the cumber and torment which necessarily arises some restraint put upon the distates of Right Reason. I shall never therefore reconcile the contradictions of those who cry up a Rational Clergy,

and

and yet at the fame time revile a Rational Laity.

There was once one Virgilius Bishop of Salizburgh, that held an old Opinion, that there were Antipodes: the ope it feems suspecting some dreadful Heretical pravity to lurk under that uncouth Opinion, convenes, condemns, executes him for a downrghtHeretick: Our Enquirer, protests He can by no means commend the Zeal of the Bishop. Its like there was some of that popular rashnes and injudiciousnes in it: But what would he have had the poorhonest man have done? Subscribe that twice two make five and twenty? or against Mathematical Demonstration swear, and declare, that the Globe of the earth has no Diameter? I grant that weak person; (and we are all so weak) ought to suspect themselves. and give very much to the Councels and Reasons of wifer men: But to deny our Reason in its most easie velitations, and familiar instances, for fear of being Schismaticks, or causing Distractions. is but a Whimfey, or a Wind-mill got into some mens heads; and as it came in, so let it come ont again at its leifure...

But this example of Virgilius was untowardly applied; for if the good Bishop had on his side Demonstration against Papal Determination, as it proves that the private reason may be more Orthodox than the publick; so I dare refer it to any ordinary body to judg whether in case any Distractions or separations had followed in the Church thereon, the Pope or the Bishop had been the Culpable cause of them? The latter for afferting that which was simply impossible, should be otherwise; or the former, for executing him as

an Heretick for not denying a demonstrable verity?

2. But now he will come nearer to the purpose. I assure him he had need; for hitherto we have been a filthy way off: Its an Observation not more ancient than true, That the same thing seldom pleases the many and the sew. And a wise Observation it was, whoever first observed it to the World! I once heard a Grave Divine in the Pulpit, after all the Civil Presaces of the Learning, Piety, and Orthodoxie of that Father, quote St. Austin for such another Observation, not more Ancient then true, Omnes homines sunt peccatores! All the Question here will be, whether the many, or the sew are more probable to be in the right? Oh no doubt the sew: for the many are the people, the Vulgar: why then I refer it again, whether popular Judgment can be the Cause or Reason of Nonconformity, when the many are infallibly of that Religion which the Law allows and encourages, and the sew ever of that way which is discouraged and persecuted? I 2

But (fays he) wife men generally take middle Counfels: That was indeed a little nearer the purpose, if not too near: for hence the World will discern, that many Church-men are none of the wisest, who are all for high flying, or high trotting Councels: But what are those middle Counsels? He tells us in the instance of Erasinus, who was the glory of his time and Countrey, for the sagacity of his Wit, and simplicity of his Temper; and he indeed hung in the middle between Popery and Protestantism; or as some say, between Heaven and Hell: so that hence we learn another secret, what are those middle Counsels which wise men would take, if occasion served; but then I doubt the many, and not the few would entertain those middle Counsels.

I meet with this moderation the word at every corner, but moderation the thing is as great a rarity as Candour; moderation in Ceremonies, that's a Vice: hence we hear of these famous Sermons: Conformity according to Canon justified, and the new way of moderation reproyed. A Sermon preached at Exon, in the Cathederal of St. Peter: At the Visitation of the Right Reverend Father in God Anthony — This is that moderation our Enquirer attacques so briskly, p. 25, charging the best of Clergy-men with debauching their Office, and undermining the Church: but now to be moderate like Erasmus, between Canterbury and Rome that's your commendable

remper

And such a Religion did Calvin sear, like the Interim of Germany, the Articles of Hen, 8. Evotinor of Zeno, the Experis, of Heraclius, the Tino of Constance. Such a one as was too high for this world, and too low for the next: such a one as whether or no it provided a Heaven in the other world, would make a Purgarory of this: Calvin was taught when to be Zealous, and when Remis: to be Zealous in Gods cause, and Remiss in his own, which seems somewhat a better frame then theirs who are fire and too for their own inventions, but as cool as patience it self in the concerning. Truths of the Gospel.

To prove the moderation of our Church, and that she cuts by a Thred (or by Threds) between both these extreams; he produces an Argument both from Papists and Protestants; Those of the Church of Rome cannot but confess all is good in our Liturgy: Protestants on the other hand generally acknowledg the main to be good; and so between them both give a glorious testimony to this Church as quilty of neither extream. There is nothing more childish than to the

an Argument, which with the same ease may be retorted as used; for those of the Roman Church condemn the Liturgy as desease in necessaries and sundamentals, and Protestants complain of many Redundancies and Superfluities; and so between them both,

they charge her as guilty of both the extreams.

But I am afraid he has promifed himself more respect from Rome, than they will allow her. If they will confess that all is good in the Liturgy now, I am sure they would not have confest so much, when it pray'd to be delivered from the Tyranny of the Bishop of Rome, and all his detestable Enormities. But if it hath been so well amended to gratise the Papists, give it one amendment more to gratise the Protestants, that they also may say, There is nothing but

what is good in the Liturgy.

I have read, that when the Embassador of the Duke of Brandenburgh presented his Mandate in the Council of Trent, he shewed his Masters good affection to, and Reverence of the Fathers of that Synod; They answered very discreetly, 'That the Council had heard his discourse with great content, especialy that part of ir, wherein the Elector doth, submit himself to the Council, and spromiseth to observe the Decrees of ir, hoping that his deeds 'will be answerable to his word. But here (as the Historian obferves) 'the Council pretended a promise of Ten thousand, when the bargain was but for Ten. The Embassador proffered Reverence, and they, accept of Obedience. And thus the Fathers of the Council of Carthage, giving an account to Innocent I. that they had condemned Calestius and Pelagius, desired him to conform himfelf to their Declaration: He commends them in his Answers that 'remembring the old Tradition, and Ecclefiastical Discipline, 't hey had referred all to his Judgment, whence all ought to learn 'whom to abfolve, and whom to condemn. An usual and pious 'allurement of the Church of Rome, which yielding to the Infirmity of her Children, maketh shew to believe, that they have performed their Duty. By the same Artifice would our Enquirer wheadle the Nonconformists into a good mood, to acknowledg the Li. turgy to be good in the main, and that there are only som? Redundancies which they would have taken away

3. And now at last he will come home, and close to the purpose. That which I chiefly intend (says he) is that a great part of men have not their minds Elevated above the Horizon of their Bodies, nor take an estimate of any thing, but by its Impression

3

upon their fenses: from whence (say I) it must needs follow, That most men judge of the Excellency of a Religion, as it approves it self to their carnal interests, and ambitious Expectancies; and if that will make to the purpose to prove, that popular injudiciousness is a cause of separation from the Church, let him make his best of it: some think it proves the contrary.

Two things he will fpend his Rhetorick upon as he goes along, the Excellency of the Liturgy, and the Excellency of his own. Preaching; which last we have had enough of to satiety, if not to

nauseousness, very larely.

The Excellency of the Lirurgy lies, in being composed plainly, gravely, and modestly, no turgid or swelling words, no novelty of Phrase or Method, no Luxuriancy of wit or Fancy; And might not this have passed for proof of the Excellency of the Homilies? If the plain Composition, the Gravity, the Modesty of the Homilies, innocent of all turgid or swelling expressions, free from nowelty of phrase. or curiofity of method, could not procure a reprieve, but they are condemned to filence, and instead of them, we are all for Artificial Composures, sugared phrase that will melt in the mouth, And method, fuch as brings Forreigners to England to be instructed in it; Quaintness of Expression, and Luxuriancy of Wit and Fancy; why then was not the Liturgy a little lickt over, and trimmed up more fprucely? But if those Characters of plainess, gravity, modesty, humble Expressions, ordinary Language, be the Glory of the prayers, why not of the Preaching also? the old Homilies were too course spun for modern Ears to hear, the phrase too heavy and common, the method cryptic and obscure; but Preaching is now more finical. and accommodated to the Itching ears of well bred Christians; We are got into the mode of Lovedays Letters, and Cassandra and Cleopatra; as if God did not understandsstrong lines, as well as the Ladies; and as if we were not as much obliged to tell the People their duty, as God our wants in small English.

Popular rathness and Injudiciousness are great evils, as it appears, but how to apply a proper and suitable Remedy to the evil, is all the Skill. And first, the Church of Rome (says he) have a Cure for this; they appropriate all Judgment to the Clergy, and deal with the rest of mankind as Sots and Ideots. But the Church of England makes not her self the Mistress of Mens saith, or imposes upon their understandings; she teaches that our Saviour hath desilvered the mind of God touching the points of Necessary belief

'plainly

plainly, and in other leffer matters she allows a Judgment of Discretion. And will not this Judgment of Discretion, or Indiscreti-'on, become a cause of all those Divisions, Separations and Schissms of which fo loud a peal has been rung in our Ears? And is not this 'a new Name for popular rashness and injudiciousness? 'Oh (says he) 'fince the peac of the Church often depends upon fuch points as 'Salvation does not; and fince in many of these, every Man is not 'a competent Judge, but must either be in danger of being deceived himself, or deceiving others, or of necessity must trust some body wifer than himfelf, the recommends as the fafer way for fuch 'private persons to comply with publick determinations; and in fo advising the joyntly consults the peace of the Church, and the gniet of Mens Consciences. These matters seem very Artificially put together, and the taking them afunder will discover their weakness. Let me have a folid Reason given, why the peace of the Church should be laid upon those things which Salvation depends not upon? Is the peace of the Church grown so cheap and vile that it should be fold for things unnecessary? One while he cries up peace so high, p. 180. 'That he protests, if a Man must suffer Mar-'tyrdome, he thinks it equally acceptable to God to lay down a 'Mans life for preservation of the peace and unity of the Church, 'as in Testimony against slat Idolatry: Are they not to be admired that value peace more than their Lives, and yet will venture it upon indifferent things? Are they not more to be admired, that extol peace so highly, and yet facriefice it to their own meer wills. and pleasures? But is not this yet the greatest wonder, that peace should depend on that which Salvation does not; and yet he will facrifice his Live for it, as foon as against that, upon which his Eternal Damnation depends? 2. If Men be not competent Judges of their own Astions, what is become of that Judgment of Discretion, wherewith we were even now gratified? Is this the Judgment of Discretion, to surrender our Consciences upon Discretion? The Ro. manists, who appropriate all Judgment to the Clergy, and deal with the rest of Mankind as Ideots and Sots, could have said no more, than that Men are not competent Judges of their own good: And if we may notbe allowed a liberty to judg for our felves in those lesser maters debatable amongst Christians, much less in those great matters which they fay admit of no debate: And how much our Authors Cure isbetter than that of the Romanists, I know not; I think they are both worse then the Disease. 3. Why is not the danger of trusting o-

thers, as great as trufting to the word of God? Mine Eyes may be presumed to see for my conduct as faithfully as another Mans; and my own Consciene will probably be as faithfull to my Etern. al concerns as any ones I could find. And I have tried it, that its inu ch casier to obtain a moral certainty that I have the mind and will of God, than that I have grasped the mind of any Church from their most Authentick Articles, or Confesions of Faith. 4 Why thould others be troubled, that I am not fo wife as they? It's none of my trouble that they use their liberty without despising, whilst I exercise that which God hath given me without judging. If we must trust others in composing worship and Divine service for us, Terms of Communion of Christians, where is then the difference between That, and the Popish Implicit Faith ? This will make the People Sheep indeed, but filly ones, I amfure; fuch is my weakness. I can see no difference between blind Obedience and trusting others with the determination of it; or between implicit Faith, and trusting others as the Reafon of my Belief; either then here's no Remedy, or one worse than the Disease: The disease at worst is but to enjoy a liberty in those things Christ left free; nor is there any necessity that freedom should be abridged; and the Remedy to trust others blindfold with our Confeiences, whom we have no affurance will be over tender of them; and if we had, have no Commission from Christ to introst them any where but in his own hands.

But what now if the people be foolish, proud, and contentious; what remedy has the Church then? Way she only declares them guilty of sin and contumacy, and casts them out of Communion. But what if they be humble, and meek, and peaceable, only cannot by fearching, studying, praying, discoursing, see the lawfulness of the imposed Terms of Communion? Must the Church declare them contumacious, and cast them out of Communion? It may tempt us to think that is no remedy of Gods prescribing, that deals alike with humble and proud, the peaceable and contentious. But for all this demureness, I doubt there are other Remedies besides a Declaration, other Weapons besides Paper-Fellets. There is a Significavir, a Writ de Excommunicato capiendo, de Hieretico comburendo. An Oath of Abjuration, a Warrant of Distress, if they submit not to those impositions, upon which Salvation depends not; and in their judgments, such as are sinful, and then damnation is hazarded by them.

I have often admired the modesty of the Church of Rome: She never put any man to death: She never burnmany at a Stake: Its not

for Holy Men, Men of Peace to shed blood, to be Instruments of Cruelty; No, the Church only delivers them over to the fecular Power, and what he does with them, how he treats them, the knows nothing: Thus having drawn in the Magistrate to do her Drudgery, the wipes her mouth, washes her hands, and protests theis Innotent of the blood of these men,

An Objection was time y foreseen that might be made against his discourse, and like a person that knew how to be friendly to

himself, he has put it in favourable and gentle Terms

'This will equally extend to all other Reformed Churches, as (well as our own; and might have brought forth all the evil we complain of, and impute to it, in former Ages as well as now; for the generality of the People were not much wifer than now.

That is the Protestant Churches have their Members as lyable to mistake beyond Sea, as ours on this side; they have private Reason as well as we, and a Judgment of discretion too, and so had the Primitive Times too; Christians then were equally in danger of being feduced by their own injudiciousness, and yet the one confinued in much peace, and the other still continues so, without the Remedy of imposing mystical Ceremonies: Nay, to speak properly, without the disease of Impositions: The not imposing doubtful things as the terms of Communion, were with them the Prophylacticks of Schilins and Divisions, and the imposing of them (which is strange) is the Therapeutick of Schisms and Divisions; to which he anfwers two things.

5 1. 'That other Churches found the effects of Ignorance and 'Arrogance more or less, as well as we: To which might be returned that they found it not in those things which they left free; but if at any time they laid the weight of the Churches peace upon unnecessaries, they found in proportion the same effects of the same cause, which we have found: But (says he) that was to be ascribed not to the happiness of their Constitutions, but to the unhappiness of their Conditions. I confess I am not altogether of his mind; it was mainly due to the happiness of their Constitutions; there were fewer contentions, because fewer bones of contention; and less of Divisions, because they united upon a

Scriptural, and therefore fecure bottom.

That the Church of Corinth needed a check for her Divisions, is very true, and a finart one she deserved: And ris as true too. I hat the Apostle had not recourse to our modern Remedies, to ex-111

ert his Apostolical power, to filence the clamour by darting the Thunderbolt of Excommunication against the weaker Party: and yet he had a far more specious pretence, than any Church-Gover-. nours can now justly claim: His Apostolical Commission to plant and water Churches, which would have commanded Reverence to his Person, and conciliated Authority to his Determinations: and yet he either had no fuch power, or durst not use it, but took the Healing way, tolerating things tolerable, and pressing them mutualy to Love and peace under their various apprehensions abour Mint, Annise and Commin. But yet he thinks, That the Reason why Primitive Christians, whilst under persecution, had one heart and mind, was because they submitted their private Fancies to publick Safety: Which is only the affigning of an Imaginary cause for a Real one. Primitive Christians, whilst surrounded with Adverfaries, were of one beart and mind in the main, and the true Reason was, because their dangers and pressing-fears had not yet let in that Prelatical Imposing Spirit into the Guides of the Church, which ease and Liberty afterwards produced. And though we dare not charge our Divisions upon Peace, Plenty and Liberty, which are great mercies to a finful people: yet we would lay the Saddle upon the right Horfe, the blame at the right door: Tis not the injudiciousness of the People, who are willing to be quiet, and accept of rest upon tolerable terms: but the obstinacy of Clergymen, who make their own Wills the reason of their Injunctions, not confidering that all mens Intellectuals are not of one fize and height: and yet as if Consciences were to be sooled with, Mens Souls sported with, they necessitate the People either to act against their Light, or fall under the severe lash of a Pænal Statute.

§ 2. 'That these evils broke out no sooner (says be) is due to the contentment generally took in their first Emerging out of the darkness and superstitions of Popery: Very true! they were so full of admiration at what God had done for them: that they considered not what further to ask God to do for them: so transported that they were out of Egypt, that they never considered how short the Wilderness was of the promised Land: And hence he might have answered himself, p. 13. If there be such a dangerous Affinity between the Church of England and Rome, how came it to pass that Cranmer and Ridley, &c. laid down their lives in testimony to this against that ? Rome was not built, nor will it be destroyed in one day. Our first Martyrs laid down their lives in Testimony,

that Rome was guilty of dangerous Doarines, but not that we

had nothing remaining, that needed a Reformation.

2. 'Asecond cause is, That a great Part of this Nation having 'been levened with Jewish Superstitions or Traditions, hath thereby been in hisposed to an Uniform reception of, and Perseverence in the Reformation of Religion held forth by this Church.

When I first read the charge of Judaism brought in against the Diffenters. I remembred what I had met with in the virulent Titles of some Lutheran Books: Calvinus Judaizans, Calvinianorum Nestorianismus, Calvino papismus, Novus Calvinistarum Deus: to which we may add, Calvino-Turcifmus, and fome others. I began to cast about in my thoughts for the reason of such an Impuration: have they fet up an Image of Auronical Priesthood? Have they their High-Priest, their Inferior Priests and Levites, artired in the Linen Ephod? With all the Accourrements of the Aaronical Wardrobe? And that they may more exactly symbolize therewith, have they provided for their Priests an Altar? Settled upon them a Levitical maintenance? And to carry on the parallel, have they ere fed Temples distinguisht by facred Apartments? Havethey their Holy and most Holy place, Chancelled in for the greater Reverence of the facred Mystries, to secure them from the Approaches of the prophane and injudicious Rabble? and have they all these enclofed within Holy Ground; And therather because Dionysius affures us. That the Christians in his time, had solemn Temples like the lews; and the Chancel severed asith special Sanctifications from the rest of the Church: whereas (fays he) the Chaistians of the first age made thiir assemblies both in such private places, and in such simplicity as the Apostles did. I considered again, whether the Nonconformists had not introduced a pompous padagogie of Ceremonies, and imposed them upon the People? Whether they might not perhaps have instituted some Feasts and Holy-days, upon an old Judaical account, as of the Circumcition, Purification? Or whether they had not appointed some Office, or solemn special Service for Lustration of Women after Childbirth, in correspondence with the Jewish Purification of Women after their uncleanes? Whether they observed any facred time Analogical to the Passover, or had any Foot-steps of the ancient distinction of Meats into clean and unclean? Or any thing that might give cause of suspition, that they had by a nano(naja revived Mofes, his extraordinary Quadragefimal Abstinence? Or whether they introduced Temple instru-. mental

mental Mufick? whether loud founding Cymbals or Organs, having fuch good proof in Durane a his Rationale, from that Text, Let everything that hath breath praise the Lord! And when I could find no tract of reason for the charge upon these accounts,

I went to engiure of the Enquirer

And it does appear (by his talk) that a more fecret and mysterious Judaisin than all this, has of old been rooted in this Nation, that no Ecclesiastical Pick axes have been able to extirpate it; 'for(says he) the greatest difficulty that Austin the Monk found here, was to bring the Inhabitants from the observation of Easter, and fome other Rires, according to the manner of the Jewish and Eastern Churches, to that of the Roman and Western: and the doing it, cost the lives of twelve hundred Monks, who stubbornly

opposed his innovations.

This Austin was certainly as Formal a Fop as ever this poor Nation was haraffed with. Two third parts of his whole Ministerial or Apostolical work, was Ceremony; for upon these conditions he propounded Peace to the Britains. 'If you will in these three things obey me, in celebrating Easter indue time: in Bapti-'zing according to the maner of the Roman Church, and in Prea-'ching the Word to the Nation; all other Ceremonies, Fashions and Customs, though they be contrary to ours, yet we will will-'ingly bear with them. Was not this a person of great moderation? But why not condescend in those two, as well as all the rest? Oh, its the Religious policy of Rome, to referve as much of Ceremony, as, like a Quit-rent, will ferve to Recognize the Papal Soveraignty; and that point of Soveraignty alone will in due time fetch in the other." To own that Churches power to impose, its furifdiction, to award terms of Communion, though but in one fingle instance, is the delivery of a Twig and a Turf, which give her Livery and Seifin of the Conscience in the name of the whole Man. But if Austins Reformation was so Ceremonious in it self, and To bloody in its effects, which are, if not inseparably, yet commonfinked together: If he could have spared their blood, they could well have spared his Ceremonies.

But was this Austin so great a Saint, that he must be quoted for the samous Resormer of Judaism? Or were those Martyres of Bingor such wicked Jewe, that the Norconformists should be their Spawn? No This Austin made our Ancestors only Romasaists, he sound them Christians before, and perhaps of a better, and [ 141 ]

'more generous race of Religion, than that he engrafted upon the 'old Stock. Then it feems that Christians, however Judaizing in one instance, may be of a Nobler temperature than an old doting Ceremony-monger, that for a meer Caprice, would mingle their blood with their Sacrifices: But how does the Example come bonde to the purpose? Austin was mad upon his Ceremonies: The Britains were tenacious of their Easter? Wherein are Dissenters concerned in their quarrels, who neither dogmatize with the Quarto decimanes or Quinto-decimanes? Let the one plead Traditions from Papias and St. John, with the Eastern, the other pretend the Pope and St. Peter, with the Western Christians, we can be content they should scusse it out, about Goats wool, or Moon shine in the water. Our Enquirer nevertheless, will give us an Anatomy of Noncon-

formity, and lay open their principles to view, that it shall appear

that a vein of Judaism runs through the whole Body of Diffenters. 1. The first Vein is: Their great Hypothesis is, That nothing is law. ful in the Service of God, but what is expresty prescribed in the Scripture. Which proposition needs many limitations, before the Diffenters will Father it, and when it is fo limited, they will challenge him to prove, that there's the least Capillary of Judaism in it: And 1. If by Nothing he understand no meer Circumstance, as of general time, place, he may know what they have told the World a thousand times, they hold many such things lawful, which are not prescribed particularly in the Scripture: but if by Nothing he will understand no Ceremony, being an outward and visible sign of inward and invisible Grace; they do affert, that no such thing is lawful in worship, but what is prescribed in the Scripture. 2. If by in the fervice of God he mean, only an action accompanying Gods Worship, not of Religious Application, but such as is common to civil and ordinary affairs, they deny it any principle of theirs, that nothing may be done in time of Worship, by the Worshippers, that is not commanded by the Scriptures. But if by in the service of God, be meant, so in it, that it is part of it, they own it to be their avowed

Judgment, that nothing is lawful in the service of God, as a part of worship, which is not commanded by God himself. 3. If by expressly commanded, be intended, what is literally and Syllabically called so; they disown it as any Hypothesis of theirs: But if by expressly commanded, be intended what is either x31 enlby, or by just consequence derived thence, they are ready to justifie it without sear of Judaizing, That nothing, no outward visible sign of inward and invisible Grace, is lawful in the service of God, as a part of that ser-

vice, which is not expresly, or by just and clear consequence pre-scribed in the Scripture, not excluding whatever help from the Light of Nature, to give us a fuller prospect into the mind of God in his word. Nor ought this to be frigmatized as a principle peculiar to the Jews, but common to them, with all other true worshippers of God, from the begining of the World. To impose a part of worship, is not only an Imposition upon Man, but upon God. The Imposer does not only compel Man to offer, but God to accept what is offered : for feeing the End of all worship is Acceptation with him whom we worship, this End must influence our whole worthip. And this is supposed by the Church of England, who prays(or invites to pray) for true Repentance and the Holy Spirit, that those things may please him which we do at this present: Now its neither our own fancies, nor the will of Men, but the word of God, that is a competent Declaration of what will please our Creator. Dissenters plead further: That the same God, that jealous God who commanded the Fews not to add to Gods commands, commanded it up; on Reafons common to all mankind. It was well urged against the Fews by the learned Author of Orig. Sacr. p, 214. 'That the meaning of that strict Prohibition, Deut. 12' 32. was no other than that Men should not of their own Heads offer to find out new ways of worship, as Jerohoam did; but that Gods Revelation of 'his own will, in all its different degrees, was to be the adequate Rule of the way and parts of his own worship. And I would fain know of the Jews, whether their own severe and strict prohibition of things, not at all forbidden in the Law of God, came not near the adding to Gods Law; Again, God having given no rule to direct us in excogitating and imposing new worship, it's. impossible but we should mistake; or if we should hit now and then upon some happy contrivance, we must thank his blind goddess Fortune, rather than the fruitfulness of our own understandings: And this loofe principle would make the World a Pantheon. or encumber it with a mayor Gera, and would multiply Religions according to the multitudes of the Churches, as they of old multiplied their Idols, according to the number of their Cities. They do alf. still believe from Heb. a. That Christ was faithful to bim that appointed bim the Lord of the Church, in making all necessary provisions for the encreasing, confirming, and perfecting their graces; for their comfort, edification, and all spiritual necessities, without a nynew Additional contrivances for those ends. Nay they say yet further; That as these new ways and parts of worship, do im-

peach Christs faithfulness in discharge of his trust, so they do invade his Regal Office too, in making new Laws to bind the Confeience; An usurpation which no Earthly Prince would endure; for when a Prince has establisht his Laws, though he supposes that the Inferior Magistrates must have time and place to execute them in. which are left at liberty according as emergency in particular cafes shall invite to determine them, yet he allows them to make no new Laws, upon pretence of necessity to execute the old ones, nor to institute new Observances, under colour of more effectual managing old Customs: And it seems reasonable, that the People should not practife what Ministers may not Preach; nor the Church impose what it cannot command in Christs name; but it can only use Christs name to urge whatsoever he has commanded them; nay the Enquirer, when perhaps he did not think of after mischief, told us, p. 4. That 'we incur St. Pauls Anathema, which he denoun-'ces against him (whosoever he be, nay if an Angel from Heaven) that shall Preach any other Doctrine, than what hath been recei-'ved: And if the Church has received any fuch command to invent and impose Ceremonies, she can tell us where others may read it as well as her felf. And to conclude at prefent, they fay, That this one Principle granted, That the Church may impose upon her Members whatever is not expresly forbidden, does either put the Body of Christians under a more heavy Yoke than that of the Fews or elfe torment them with fears, that they may be so: And indeed supposing this exorbitant power to impose parts of worship or Ceremonies, or any of these things in Debate, the condition of the Jews was much more desirable in this respect than that of Christians. For,

§ 1. Their Law-giver was Jehova, who had an absolute and unlimited power over them, and they that are Gods creatures will not grudg to be his Servitors: He was Lord paramount of Worship and Conscience, and might be not be allowed to do what be would with his own? He is the God of the Spirits of all flesh, and shall they not live in subjection to him, who expect to live in a Kingdom with him? Since there is a necessity of obedience, it sweetens it unspeakably, that it's both Interest and Priviledge to obey; and that he who requires obedience is their God, a God whose Will is the rule of Righteousness; and therefore the most satisfactory Reason of his commands, and his Creatures Duty; an implicit obedience is then Ho-

nourable, when God calls for it.

\$ 2. As their Law giver had egeoiar, authority to command To he had Iwayuv, a power to influence the weakest Elements. He was αυλοκεάτως, and had absolute Sovereignty, and πανλοκεάτως, one of Almighty power, which was a double encouragement to the observers of his precepts: For 1. He was hereby able to secure the obedient in his Service; upon which account Christ claims the Legislative power over Conscience . Jam. 4. 12. There is one Lawgiver, who is able to fave and to destroy. 2 By this Power he could render efficacious these Rudiments, which in themselves were but beggerly Ordinances: and produce by them Spiritual and Supernatural effects. And I am enclined the rather to think that God has not committed the Moral Power of instituting, much less the SovereignPower of Imposing religious Ceremonies and observances, because he has not communicated that other Power to blefs their own are pointments, nor invigorate them with fuccels: God may well be allowed to command what he pleases, seeing he can and will bless what soever be commands.

§ 3. Their Law- giver was Faithful, one to whom they might fecurely committheir Consciences; one with whom they might with the greatest satisfaction of Heart commit their Souls; He that has a sole right to any thing, will be saithful in keeping it, because its his own; and who may better be intrusted with the Guardianship of Worship and all Religion, than their Owner? But though we ought not to be Censorious, yet we may, and ought to exercise some prudence and caution to whom we resign our selves in matters of Religion, though the best of Men, not knowing how they may use us, but well knowing, that we may more easily Captivare our selves to the Will of an Imposer, than being once enthralled, vindicate our selves into our Christian Liberty: Or if for no other Reason, yet for this, because they are but Men.

S 4. The Jewish Yoke was a determinate Yoke: It was Onus, but Determinatum. A Burden, but a stinted Burden, It's no small alleviation to the Labourers toyl, when he knows his work: to the Travelter, that he knows his Journies end: The Jews had their work before them but upon the Modern Principle: The burden of the Christians is Indefinite, which is but a better word for Infinite: The Truth is, in these humane impositions we see the beginning, but no Man knows the end of them; it's a Nemo seit. Our load must be bounded with no other Limits than a Churches Will, and that Will perhaps bounded with no other than its Power, since it's canoniz'd for

good Divinity, That the Church may impose whatever is Decent, and that the Church is Judge of what is Decent, tho who the Church is, is

not so certain.

§. 5. Their Law-giver was one of known and approved Tenderness, who either apportioned his work to their strength, or their strength to his work; he fitted the Yoke to their Neck, and their Neck to the Yoke. The main thing that renders Christs own Yoke so easie, his Burden so light, is, that as his Authority imposes, so his Strength supports. Men may lay heavy burdens on our Shoulders; but where there is most need, cannot touch them

with one of their Fingers.

s. 6. Their Law-giver was one, who in all his Impositions consulted their own good and benefit, as well as exercised his own Authority: The Jews wrought hard indeed, but their work had much of wages in t. The defign of their Mystical Rites and Ceremonies directed them to a Saviour: Legal Administrations well ordered, were Gospel Priviledges: Before Christ, came, Ceremonies were Illustrantia, such as discovered the Person, Nature, Office and Grace of the Messiah; a Candle is better than not Light; but to us they are all Obscurantia, such as darken the state of Christianity. As before the Sun rising, the Prodromous Clouds, whose edges are fringed with Gold, comfort us with the hopes of an approaching greater Light, which when the Sun is up, do but darken the Horizon. Thus did Ceremonies Illustrate Christ at the Annuntiation, but obscure him at his Advent.

It will be needless further to Vindicate the Dissenters: I shall leave the n to the Enquirers. Patronage; who by the same Reason, that he justifies the Church of England from Popery, will I hope clear the Nonconformists from Judaism, p. 12. Al (says he) is not to be accounted Popert which is held or practiced by the Church of Rome: Nor (say I) is all to be accounted Judaism which was either the principle or the practice of the Jewish Church: p.13, Nor is it Reasonable to say such a thing is received from the Church of Rome, because it is there, to be found, unless the to be found no where else

And as little Reason to say the Diffenters have received this Principle from the Jews, [That no worship is lawful (for that is their Principle) but what is prescribed by the Scripture J unless it were found no where else. But this was a Principle so clear in the Light of Nature, that Numa the great Ritualist of Heathen Rome, durity that hope that ever his Ceremonies would ever obtain among that

1

people that had eyes in their Heads, unsess he had, or pretended to have, a Conference with his Goddess Ægeria. Thus the Palladium of Troy, that Mystick Ceremony, in which the fate of their City was wrap'd up, is supposed to have come down from Minerwa; the samous Image in Diana's Temple, Als 19.35, is supposed to be Διοπετες, fallen from Jupiter; and whilst the World was silly enough to be imposed upon by those little Artifices, we had scarce a New Shrine, Altar, Place of Pilgrimage erected, but upon pretence of some rising from the Dead, or an Angel from Heaven, or a Letter from the Virgin Mary, or some such Pious Frauds and Religious Cheats, which the Priests had at their Fingers ends.

Let us now confider the Enquirers Discourse upon this Principle, That all absolutely necessaries are so determined, we readily grant, (fays be) and that all those Rites prescribed by our Savisur ' are necessary to be observed, we will yield them, but that nothing. ' is lawful, but what is to be found fo prescribed, we utterly leny. And so do we! Let that end the Controverse: When Rheton sin ans have flanted it out in fine Language, and Ruffled a little in Phrase, apposite words and expressions, they have satisfied their Office, and are not obliged by the Rules of their Art, either to state the Question, or speak to it: something may be practised which is not prescribed, that we grant; but from something to every thing is too great a Leap for Bucephalus: From practifing to prescribing is another large stride; from Circumstances to Ceremonies is a third; from Civil things to Sacred is one more; from Indifferent to Necessary Conditions of Church-Comunion may go for another; and from the common Accidents that attend the Worshippers as Men, to Parts of worship, are Inferences which we can neither make to lead or drive.

2. We come now to a fecond Judaical Principle: That all Princes and Law-givers are bound to conform the Municipal Laws of their feveral Dominions to the Institutions of Moses. This indeed has a strong taint of Jewish Leaven in't; which they that plead so zealously for the Jus Divinum of Tythes, and Holiness of Places, because Moses once put of his shoes, may do well to advise upon; the Nonconformists for ought I know are little concern'd in't. We grant that there is no necessity that the Temporal Sanction even of the Moral Law it self, should be the same under the Gospel, that it was under the Administration of Moses: That the Violators of the Lords days Holy Rest should be stoned, as the infringer of the Sabbath was of old; the Adulterers should be punish'd with Death,

or a Blasphemer endure the same now which then was exacted. Law givers do consider the tempers and dispositions of their Subjects in these matters; we are no further concerned herein than to pray, that they who moderate the Affairs of the Empires of this World, may be directed with Wislom from above, may order all things in a subserviency to his glory by whom they Reign,

and the publick peace, welfare and prosperity.

3. A Third instance is in Excommunication 'Which (fus be) they hold must be by a Synod or Presbytery, and the Prince as 'well as the People must be subject to the Sentence. Here are several Questions that invite our serious debate; as 1. What is the proper Seat of the power of Excommunication? 2. Who are the proper Objects of this Power? 3. Whether to fix the power of Excommunication be a Judaical Principle? And 4. Whether a Prince may come under the Edge of that Sword? Any one of which would require more room than I have allotted my self to turn in: What I shall say is this.

1. That the Synod or Presbytery are the Seat of Excommunication, carries as fair proof at least, as the Chancellor who is a Layman, or at best a Deacon of no Scripture Institution, can show for

himself by Divine Right.

2. That all feandalous persons are lyable to that Censure, is true in the general; but that it may not be executed upon a Supreme Magistrate, arises from peculiar Maxims of Government; upon which the safety of a Kingdom depends: I know not that

this is a Principle of the N. C. for my part I disown it.

3. That this was a Jewish Principle to excommunicate their Kings, I do not certainly know, nor dare positively determine: That they received any such standing Law from God, I do not find: That a High-Priest did once actually separate a King upon the score of his Leprose, we read; and that others perhaps would not do as much if a Prince pleased not their Huntours, we have no security: I should shrewdly suspect their Inclinations this way, whoever they were, that inserted this Doctrine into our Bibles: which we find in the Contents of the 149, Psalm. The Prophet exhorteth to praise God for that power he hath given to the Church to Rule the Consciences of Men: Which they refer to vers. 5, and the following. Let the Saints be jossfull in Glory: let them sing aloud upon their Beds. Let the high Praises of God be in their Mouth, and a two-edged Sword in their Hand: To execute vengeance upon the Hea-

K 2

then, and punishments upon the People: To bind their Kings with Chains, and their Nobles with Fetters of Iron. If this be the Power God has given the Church over the Consciences of Men, the Nonconformists did not insert it, and wish it may be expunged the Bible.

4. He must by no means omit their Superstitions about the Lords day: which must be called a Sabbath too, though such Name is no where given it; either in the New Testament, or any Ancient Writer that he knows of. Here are two Branches, the first de Nomine, the second de Re.

1. De Nomine: whether the Lords-day may be called a Sabbath. especially with the usual Epithete, The Christian Sabbath? That it must be so called (as he falsifies) the N C. affert not: That it may be so called, they are willing to enter a sober discourse with him when he is at leifure. A Sabbath in general, fignifies no more than a Day of Rest. And he that owns the day may be called the Lordsday, must needs own it to be a resting day, and by consequence a Sabbath-day; and the greatest fault herein is, that it's good in Eng. hish, but stark naught in Hebrew. Nay ther's somewhat more will follow; This day of which we speak, is called the Lords-day, Rev. 1. 10 I was in the Spirit on the Lords-day And the reason of the Appellation is this, because the Lord Jesus has a special interest and propriety in that day: As the great standing-Ordinance of the Gospel is called the Lords Supper, I Cor. II, because it was instituted by Him, and was to be devoted to Communion with him; so this day is called the Lords-day, because it was determin'd by him, and ought to be dedicated to him in his Service and Worship, that we may approve our felves eminently the Lords Servants, upon that day which is eminently the Lords-day. And if so, it will challenge the Title, not only of a day of Rest, but Holy Rest: And if men were not swarming full of Crotchets and I dle Whimseys, and Superstitious Dotages, they would never scruple to call that a Holy Sabbath-day, which they must confess a Holy resting day.

But how came this precise qualm over our Enquirers heart, that he is so Skittish at the word Sabbath, because for sooth it's not given the Day in the New Testament? They have some singular privileds and prerogative surely, that may institute what Officers, what Offices they please, though neither Name nor Thing be sound there, nor point nor mark of the least Foot-step, when the poor Non-conformists may not use indifferently an innocent word, which signifies no more in it self, than he will acknowledg to be sound there.

But

[ 149 ]

But how is this a point of Judaisin? or how one of the nearer causes of separation? If it be, we may considently say we have imbibed both from the Liturgy of the Church, which teaches the Minister to rehearle the fourth Commandment. Remember the Sabbath day to keep it Holy; and then enjoyns us all to pray Lord have mercy uponus, and encline our hearts to keep this Law. But if this Word, this Dostrine, be of so pernicious a contagion, as to infect us with Judaisin and Nonconformity, we have need of another miserere mei Deus! for keeping it.

That this name Sabbath, applyed to the Christian Holy-day of Rest, is found in Ancient Writers, I shall not urge. Ignat. Epist. ad Magnes. Let every one of us keep the Sabbath Spiritually, not in bodily ease, (only) but in the study of the Law. Nor the Author of the Sermons de Tempore, (none of Austins: for any mans word will go further than his) for so we rightly sandistie the Lords Sabbath, as the Lord bath said, In it thou shalt do no manner of work; but this I shall say, that he that denies it to be a Day of Holy Rest, it s no great matter what he calls it. And he that owns it such, must be most ridiculously obstinate, that denies it may properly be so called.

2. We come to the dispute De Re. And first he charges the N. C. That the Lords day amongst them, must have all the Nicety of Observation that the Jewish Sabbath had: and which is yet worse, such Observation thereof is made one of the principal parts of Religion.

What the Nonconformists hold and practice in this point, is so well known from their Writings and conversations, that no man can possibly slander them, but he must do it against his Conscience; which had the Enquirer attended to, it would have taught him other Language; what was the practice of the best Ch istians who lived up in any good measure to the Holiness of their Profession, that is the practice of the Nonconformist; and wherein they come short, have cause to be humbled in the sight of God a Isany Individuals have added any Jewish Austerities, or invented any superstitious severities to make the day a Legal Yoke, we Wish they may be no more favourably dealt with, than those other additions that have been made to Religion.

For the publick Service of the day, I shall give the Reader a piece of Clemens Rom. Lib.2. cap. 59. On the Lords-day frequent more carefully the Temple of the Lord, that ye may praise God who made all things by Jesus Christ; whom he sent unto us, and Infered him to dye for us, and raised him from the dead; for what

K 3

can

can excuse him with God, who meets not to hear the saving Word of God concerning the Resurection? On which day, we pray thrice, standing, remembring him who after three days rose again.

For the private observation of the day, the same Author lib, 5, cap. 9. thus 'We admonish you, Brethren and Fellow Servants, 'that you sly vain words and filthiness, pleasant jests, &c. for 'on the Lords days, which are our days of Rejoicings, we do 'not permit you to do or speak any thing not savoury; for the

'Scripture faith, serve the Lord with fear.

St. Hierom commends the Egyptian Monks, that they designed the Lords days wholy to Prayer, and reading the Holy Scriptures. The Author of the Sermons De tempore. 'This day is called the Lords day, that in it abstaining from all earthly works and wordly pleafures, we should only give our selves to the service of the Lord: Let us therfore, Bretheren, observe the Lords day, and fanctifie it, as it was commanded them of old concerning the Sabbath. If our Enquirer had the trimming up of this Author, he had dressed him up for a Marane, a baptized Jew. Chrysoft. on Gen. 2. God from the beginning did infinuate unto us this instruction, "to fet apart, and separate one whole day in the Circle of every Week, for spiritual exercises; And in Homil. s. on Math. Let us prescribe this as an unmovable Law to our selves to our Wives and Children, to fet afide one day of the Week, and that wholly to hearing, and laying up of things heard. Isidore Hispalensis: The Apostles therefore ordained the Lords-day to be kept with Religious Solemnities, because in it our Redeemer rose from the Dead, which was therefore called the Lords-day, that refting on the same from all Earthly acts and temptations of the · World, we might intend Gods holy Worship, giving this day due · Honour for the hope of the Refurrection we have therein.

Eut because our inquirer admires the Piety of sormer Ages in this our Britain, I shall come a little home and see what were the publick Constitutions of our own Nation. Leg. In a cap. 3. An. 692, Si servus operatur die dominica per praceptum domini sui, sit liber; Dominus emandet 308. ad Witam: si servus sine testimonio Domini sui operatur. Corium perdat, (i. e. vapulet) si liber operatur is so die, sine jussu Domini sui, perdat libertatem: If a Servant work on the Lords day at his Masters Command, let him be free and his Master be sined thirty shillings. If a Servant without his Masters Order do any work, let him be whitted C. 12. If a freed man work on that day, with

out the Command of his Master, let him lose his Freedom, Concil. Bergham, cap. 10. An. 697. Si in vespera præcedente Diem solis, post quam sol occubuit, aut in vespera præcedente Diem Lunæ, post occasium solis, servus ex mandato Dominissii opus aliquod servile egerit, Dominus sactum octaginta solidis Luito. If a Servant on the Evening before Sunday, after Sun set, or on the Evening before Monday, after Sun-set, shall do any servile work by order of his Master, let his Master pay sorhis fault sour pounds, c. 11. If a Servant on these days shall travel, let him pay to his Master six shillings, or be whipped, c. 12. If a Free-man be guilly

of the same offence, let him be liable to the Pillory.

Excerpt. Egb. Archiepiscopi Eborac An. Chr. 750. c. 36. God the Creatour of all things made man on the fixt day, and upon the Sabbath he rested from all his Labours, and sanctified the · Sabb: th for the future fignification of the fufferings of Christ, and 'his rest in the Grave; He did not rest because he was weary. ' who made all things without Labour, whele Omnipotency can-'not be wearied; and he so rested from his Labours, that he made ' no other Creatures' than he made before: He made no other 'Creatures afterwards; but whatfoever he made, he makes them every year, to the end of all time: He createth Men in their 'fouls and bodies, living Creatures and Beafts without fouls. The \* The Soul of man is given by God, and he renews his Creatures, 'as Christ saith in the Gospel, My Father worketh hitherto, and I work: Christ suffered for us in the fixth age of the World, and on 'the fixth day, and reformed lost man by his fufferings, and the Miracles which he wrought. He rested in the Sepulchre on the Sabbath day and fanctified the Lords day by his Refurrection; for the Lords day, is the first day of the new World, and the day of the Resurrection of Christ; therefore it is Holy, and we ought 'to be his, spiritually keeping a Sabbath-day, Sabbatum Sabbatizantes.

Leg. Presbyt. Northumbr. 'Mercaturam in Die solis exercere, '& Curias alicubi celebrare prohibemus, opus etiam quodlibet, '& omnimodam vectionem, sive in plaustris, sive in equis, sive in 'aliis oneribus ferendis, Qui contra hoc deliquerit solvat, -We forbid any to Trade or keep open Courts on the Sunday, and also all other work what soever, and all manner of Carriages, whether with Carts or Horses, or in bearing any other burdens: He that transgresses this Degree shall pay--' nist sit viator necessitate compulsus, vel ob cibi

K 4

inopiam

'inopiam, aut ex causa evitandi inimicos. Except he he a Traveller 'compelled in necessity, either by the want of Food, or to avoid the Enemies. Reader, whether this be Judaism or no, I shall leave to thy more sedate Judgment; but it is a mighty strong temptation rather to be one of those old Jews, than one of the new Christians.

Leg. Ecclef. Canat. An. Christi. 1032. Die quidem Dominico. mercata concelebrati, Populive conventus Agi, (nisi slagitante necessitate) planissime vetamus. Ipso Die sacrosancto, præterea a venationibus & opere terreno prorsus omni, Quisque abstineto. We do a solutely forbid all Markets, and Assemblies of the People to be kept on the Lords-day. (except in case of urgent necessity) and moreover, Let every one refrain from Hunting, and from all o-

ther earthly business upon that sacred day.

A little now for diversion let us step over the Seas, and look into the temper of the times under the Reign of Charles the Great: Statuimus secundum quod Dominus in lege præcepit, ut Opera · Servilia diebus Dominicis non Agantur, sicut, & bonæ memoriæ · Genitor meus (Pipinus) in suis synodalibus edictis mandavit, i. e. Quod nec viri Ruralia opera exerceant nec in vinea colenda, · nec in campo Arando, vel fœnum fecando vel fepem ponendo. vel in fylvis stirpare, vel arbores cædere, vel in Petris laborare; ence domus conftruere, nec hortum laborent, nec ad placita con-'ven ant, nec venationem exerceant: We ordain, as also the Lord but b commanded in the Law that no servile works be done on the Lords day. As also our Father of happy memory in his Synodal Edicts hath commanded, that is to say That men neither exercise the labours of their Farms, neither in dreffing Vineyards, nor in Plowing, nor in mowing Grass, or in laying a Hedge or to grub up, or cut down Trees, or to latour in Quarries or to build a House, or to order a Garden, or to hold Pleas, or to practice Hunting. 'Item femine opera' Textilia non exerceant, nec Capillent; vestitus non consuant, vel Acupictile faciant, nec lanam Carpere, nec linum battere, nec publice velfmenta lavare, nec verveces tondere, habeant licitum ut omnimo-'dis, Honor & Requies diei Dominice servetur. Let not Women tradice Weaving; let them not take pains about their Hair nor mend their Cloaths nor work Needle-work or Point, nor card Wool nor Heckle Flax nor wash Cloaths openly, nor sheer sheep that the Honour and Rest of the Lords day may by all means be secure. Const. Carol. M. fol. 32. It will be time now to draw to a conclusion, when I have noted? 6. 1. It looks like a piece of great difingenuity to bait D flen-

ters like Jews, for the indifferent use of the word Sabbath, because nor

not found in the New Testament, and at the same time to worry them with Barking words and biting penalties, for not practicing upon that very day Humane Ceremonies, which (name and thing)

are perfectly strangers to the New Testament.

§. 2. It feems to far from a next cause of Nonconformity, Religiously to observe the Lords-day, that it were rather an Allurement to conformity, when we observe the Church so strictly commands her Children in the Rubrick, after every Commandment, kneeling to ask God mercy for their transression of the same. And if the Differers were of this Enquirers principles, they must be obliged to be Nonconformists, till the Liturgy in that particular should be Reformed.

§. 3. It's highly difingenuous to upbraid them with the lefs strictness of some of the Reformed Churches abroad in this one point, when they are not allow'd to vouch their principles and bractices in twenty others.

§. 4 It deferves a most ferious. Enquiry, whether any Church did long maintain any splendour of Practical Religion, that grew remiss and loose in the Conscientious Observation of the Lords-day.

§. 5. Whether the strict and Religious attendance to the worship of God on that Day, be a cause of Nonconformity or no, is uncertain; but this is certain, that the loose and formal observation of it, has been a direct and immediate cause of that Atheism and Prophaness, and perhaps of those Judgments which have broken in upon us.

§. 6. It ought to be matter of serious Humiliation and Repentance both to the Conformists and Nonconformists, and between them both they have suffered Piety to decline in their hands, by a visible degeneracy from the strictness of former time, in sancti-

fying Gods name on his Holy-day.

\$. 7. It ought to be confidered, That they who of late times have written against the Divine Right of that day, have yet spoken so honourably of, and pleaded for the Holy use of the day, as will suffise greater Reverence to the day, than I sear the Nonconformults are guilty of. The Learned Brerewood. Tract. 1. p. 4.7. 1 confess It is meet that Christians should on the Lords-day abandon all wordly affairs, and dedicare it wholly to the Honour of God. The B. of Ely. p. 255. Devout Christians, who are so piously affected, as that on the Lords-days, and other Holy-days, they do resolve to retire, and sequester themselves from secular business, and ordinary pleasures and delights, to the end they may more

"more freely attend the service of Christ and apply their minds to spiritual and Heavenly Meditations, are to be commended and encouraged; for the doing thereof is a work of Grace and

Godliness, and acceptable to God.

5. 8. It would be enquired, whether it have not a greater Tincture of Judaisin, to enjoyn other days for Holy days, which have no footing in Gods word, than to spend the Lords day in purfuit of those things which concern our Everlasting peace, which is clearly warranted thereby. B. Andrews urges this against Trask. The Apostles kept their meetings on that day; on that day they were σωηγουδότι i.e. held their sacred Synaxes, their solemn Assemblies, to preach, to pray, to celeberate the Lords Supper, of Antrody κυριακότ δι κίμερα κυριακό, The Lords Supper, on the Lords day; for these two words only, the day and the Supper, have the Epithete of κυριακόν in the Scripture, to shew that its alike in both.

4. A fifth instance of their Judaical Principle is their Dostrine

of absolute Predestination.

This Doctrine has perplext the Enquirer beyond measure; he would mention it every where willingly, but knows not where to mention it pertinently: It was lately one of the Pretended, or Apocryphal, and now its become a Real and Canonical, nay a near and immediate cause, or at least the just sixth part of a cause of seperation.

I shall for once suppose, that all the Nonconformists are sublapfarians: Now let him show me that Article or Doctrine to which this Church requires subscription, relating to the decrees of God,

to which a Sublapfarian cannot freely fubscribe.

The 17 Art. of the Church speaks without question her sence in the matter: 'Predestination to life, is the everlasting purpose of God, whereby before the foundations of the World was laid, the hath constantly decreed by his Councel secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom he hath chosen in Christ out of mankind, and to bring them by Christ to everlasting Salvation.

It were more for this Gertlemans comfort and credit, to write a ferious and Compassionate Enquiry into the pretended and real, the remote and near causes of his own conformity to that Dostrine which is so pleasantly derides; and with what Engines, Machines, Screws and Pulleys he could hale his Conscience to a Subscription: The old device was good. Lingua juratus sum, mente juravi nibil: It's

.[155]

2 happy freedom of Spirit, a bleffed enlargement of mind to fubforibe any thing, and believe nothing.

Two things there are which ought to have been cleared; first, that the Doctrine of Predestination is a fewish Principle; secondly,

that it's a cause, or a Piece of a cause of Nonconformity.

For the former he makes it out thus: 'He that feeks the fource of fo odd an Opinion can in my mind pitch no where more Probably, than upon the absolute Decree of God to favour the Poferity of Abraham for his sake: Alas poor Man! And had the Church of England (thinks he) no more wir, then to talk of an everlasting purpose before the foundation of the World, of a constant Decree to deliver from curse and damnation some that he had chosen out of mankind, and bring them to Everlasting Salvation, from such a Ridiculous ground? But the difficulty was, how to make this a piece of Judaisin; and when Men set themselves insuperable, Tasks, they must rub through them as they are able.

The fecond will be more difficult: For many Conformists have been, and are Sublapfarians, and some Nonconformists Subter-Sublapfarians; And the Enquirer told us, p. 7. That the Articles of the Doctrine of our Church do with such admireable prudence and wariness handle these Points, (the five Points) as if particular respect was had to these Men, and care taken that they might Abandare sensulus. So necessary it was our Author should

confure his own contradictious Cavils!

Well! Whether this Church, the Jewish Church, the Nonconformists, or any, or all, or none of them be of this opinion, yet it is a most monstrous one! For (says he)' The Holy Scripure has often assured us, that at the great day God will judg the World in 'Righteousness; and that without respect of Persons, he will render to every one according to his works. Wonderfull! And are the Sublapsarians all this while to seek, how God may be righteous in the great Day, if he Decreed to give grace to some Menwhich be never owed them, and less others to perish under the Fruits of their own Apostacy and unbelief?

6. The last Instance is their superstitious observation and interpre-

tation of Prodigies.

The works of God are all admirable, those of Creation Glorious, those of Providence Mysterious; we have reason to revere his Greatness in all that he doth them; his Wisdom in all, in that he can; his Goodness, in that he will make them Bow to subserve his own Councels, and Furposes, in working together for good in them that

love him. To fetch our Creed from that Book of Providence we allow not, it's well if we can make Gods use of them, to awaken

a fleepy world to Repentance.

The greatest Prodigy that has startled me of late has been a story that many tell us, That in several places in the Nation' the Graves have been seen to open and many oldHereticks to have: 'risen, and waik'd, and talk'd, and Preach'd, and Printed Books: whom we verily believed to have been as dead and rotten as their Heresses. Thus I remember Lirinensis cal's Cal stins, Prodigiosum Pelagij Discipulum, That Prodigious Scholar of Pelagius: Something was useful to have been said about Prodigies, and it must come in here, or no where, and therefore let it pass for a semission, and a sixth part of one whole cause of Nonconformity.

[3] He reckons Prejudice amongst the causes of our distractions, and let it pass for a third: There is a sound sence, in which our Enquirers notions may be very true, could we be but so happy

as to hit on't.

Tertullian complained fadly of those insuperable prejudices against the Christian Religion, under which they all groaned. Non scelus aliquod in causa est, sed Nomen. It was the Name of a Christian that was their greatest Crime. Bonus Vir Cajus Sejus tantum quod Christianus. A poor Woman amongst the Ignorant Devoto's of Rome, was instructed by her ghostly Father, that the Hugonots were all Monsters: It hapned that one of her Neighbours spying a Protestant passing by told her, That Man is a Hugonot: It's impossible replyed she, He looks as like a Man as ever Isaw one in my life. Thus are Dissenters by prejudice and partiality sentenced and executed in the peremtory Judgments of many, before their cause is heard, or they admitted to a fair Desence and Tryal.

I shall therefore spare my common place-Book, and referve my stores for more important occasions, and at present borrow our Enquirers more refined Collections (for they will serve any Mans turn) to evince, that prejudice is a cause, not why there

Fe fo many Nonconformists, but that there are no more.

This Prejudice alone was able to Seal up the Eyes of the Gentile World against the Sun of Righteousness, when he shone upon them in his brightest Glory; and to consirt them in their blind, Idolatries, when the God that made Heaven and Earth gave the fullest discoveries that it was fit for mankind to expect.

Upon the account of this, the Jews rejected that Messias, they had so long expected, and gloried in before he came, though he exactly

· [ 157 ]

exactly answered all the Characters of Time, Place, Lineage, Doctrine and Miracles, that their own Writings had described

'him by.

No wonder then if the Nonconformists suffer under Prejudice amongst those that have not only seen their Doctrine stigmarized with the odious Marks of Judaian, their Churches with the brand of Schism, their Persons with Treason and Rebellion, but also had been formed into a Combination against them; and so had both their Consciences and worldly Interest engaged against them and it.

'For few have the generofity and strength of mind to bear up 'against the Torrent of Times, or considence enough to oppose the Impetuousness of common Vogue, or prevailing Opinion. There are not many that have the lagacity to discern the true Images of things, through those thick Mists that cunning Politicians cast about them. It's very ordinary to take the Condemnation of any Person or party for a sufficient proof of the Accusation, and to think the Indictment Proved. It was enough both with the Jews and Gentiles against our Saviour, that he was condemned as a Malesactor: the Ignominy of his Cross was a greater Argument against him with the Generality, than the excellency of his Doctrine, or evidence of his Miracles was for him.'

The Arguments against Nonconformity were not weighed, but numbred: An Impeachment of Accumulative Disorder, Schisin, Faction, Judassin Popular Rashness, and Disobedience to Magistrates, was formed against them, and still there was more in the Conclusion than Could be made out by the Premises; and in the Sum Total, than in the Particulars of which it consisted; for though no Point of all these could be proved against their Doctrine, Worship or Discipline, set they must be so upon the whole, This being agreed, the cry is then, Cru-

cihe! Destroy it Root and Branch.

To all which add, that it was the corrupt interest of some to deceive others into an illopinion of the Reformation, partly as being enraged that any sparks of Primitive Purity should be left unquenched, which might burn up their vast hopes they had conceived of dividing the spoil amongst themselves: Partly being conscious to themselves, that by Reason of their no more than Declamatory, Vulgar and Puerile Abilities improved from Apothegus and Prover bial Sentences, they could not be sit to fill any considerable place in a Church Reformed according to the Scriptures; nor set to content themselves with a private station in a persecuted Society; they therefore

therefore chose to fall in, where they might be entertained as use-ful Tools, and rewarded for their singular Talents of Reviling.

And when it is once come to that pass. That by this Crast we get our Living, (one, two, or three) like the Silver-smiths of Ephelus, no wonder if the Apostolical Doctrine and Government be cried down, and the Great Diana of Pauls conformity cried up sooner than built. The sum is this; some men are blindly led by their Education, and care taken that they never come to a view of the Differences principles; others by Interest, forced to espouse that Religion that has the fairest Dowry; A third fort, by their Reputation, that they may not seem to have been in an Error; and when all these causes shall (as they too frequently do) happily concur, such an associated and complicated Temptation, will form a prejudice strong enough to oppose the clearest Demonstrations, and to stir up so much rancour and malignity, as shall incessantly persecute misrepresented Truth,

'I will add one word from the Learned Author of Orig. Sacr. and conclude. It cannot be conceived, That many out of affectation of Novelty, should declare themselves Christians in the Primitive times, when so great hazards were run upon in the professing of it: Few soft spirited men, and lovers of their own ease, but would have found out some sine distinctions, and nice evasions, to have reconciled themselves to the publick Laws, and such things which the Primitive Christians so unanimously resused, when

tending to Idolatry and Prophaneis.

An ordinary Judgment will foon determine, whether party may more plausibly complain of being pressed down with unreasonable prejudices. They that will appear in the Quality of Diffenters, must stem the violent Current of prevailing Example, inveterate Custom, whilst others have nothing to do but skull away with the Tide, when it comes in, with the Celeusma of Queen- bithe, Westward hoe, Lambeth hoe! Dissenters must from the Turnpikes of reproach, poverty, and those more formidable ones of the displeasure of Friends, and wrath of Superiours, smoaking out in Imprisonment, or other penalties, besides the Ecclesiastical Charities of Excommunication: the rest have nothing more to do, but patiently and meekly submit to Preferments and Dignities; and if they can but compais fuch a measure of felf denial, as to renounce ruin and misery, and rise to such a height of contentment, as to be willing to enter upon ease and assuence, the worst is over, and their greatest prejudices conquered

4. The last cause of the Distractions and ill Estate of this Church, is

the want of true Christian Zeal, and of a deep and serious sense of Piety. And the Enquirer wishes that it be not the greatest as well as the last And so do I too! For the want of Zeal for Gods commands, makes us so scalding hot for Humane Constitutions. The want of such Zeal for the Authority of Christ as a King, makes us so bold to Invade his Office. The want of Zeal for the Perfection of Scriptures, makes us so Zealous for unscriptural Traditions. The want of Zeal for the substance, makes us so Zealous for Ceremonial shadows. When all those Spirits, that Holy ardour of Soul, that flame of affection which ought to be expended in the love of God, and his Law, is evapourated in Airy speculations, contentions for, and impositions of new Inventions.

This Cause is plainly in the number of those, which like the Weathercock, conform to every gust of Wind, it is Communis ju-

ris, and therefore the first occupancy creates a Title.

What was it made the PrimitiveChurch fo unanimous, that it was not crumbled into parties, nor mouldered away in Divisions, nor quarrelled about opinions, nor separated one part from another, upon occasion of little scruples, but because the turbulent Spirit of Imposition was not yet raifed, nor ambitious domineering over the Faith and Confciences of the brethren, had not yet got any confiderable Head? It's true, there was a spice of this encroaching Humour found among ft the Judaizing Christians, who would needs obtrude their Ceremonies upon the gentileConverts, as necessary to Communion with the Church: but the divinely inspired Apostles were ready at hand to check the growing Evil, and vindicate the Churches from the servitude of beggerly Rudiments. Its true, Diotrephes his fingers itched to be tampering; but the beloved Disciple that lay in his Masters Bosom, who was privy to his meek and gracious temper, and knew how displeasing such imperiousness was to him, gave an early and timous rebuke to attemts and essays of Prelatical arrogancy; and indeed he could not but remember, and was concerned in it, how smartly Christ had snibbed aspiring Church-men.

That there was so much Tranquility therefore amongst the Primitive Christians, was not that they were without differing apprehensions; for mens parts were no more alike, nor their Educations more equal than now: But because there was a Spirit of Condescension to, and mutual forbearance one of another. The strong either in knowledge or authority, did not trample upon the weak; There was then some diversity of expressions, in which the Passes of several Churches delivered themselves, (for there were neither Homilics

nor Liturgies) yet they did not dispute themselve into parties, because they made not their own sentiments the Test of Orthodoxy, nor their private Faith the publick standard and measure, to which all Christians should be tyed to subscribe; They allowed a laritude in things not fundamental, nor had learned the modern Artisice of settering Consciences in the Chains of assent and consent, to the

Dogmas of a prevailing party.

'In those days men were sincerely good and devout, and set their Hearts upon the main; the huge confequence and concern of which, eafily prevailed with those Holy men, to over-look other mens private Opinions: They were intent upon that wherein the 'power of Godliness consisted, and upon which the Salvation of Souls depended; and fo all that was fecure, they were not fo fu-'perstitiously concerned for Rituals, either to practice them, much less to impose them; They would not stake the Churches Peace against Ceremonies, and then play it away rather than not be Gamesters. They considered that they had all one God, one Faith, one Baptism, one Lord Jesus Christ; and never insisted upon one Posture; one Gesture, one Garment, one Ceremony: They Good men !found enough to do to mortifie their Passions, to hear their Burdens of Afflictions and Persecution, to withstand the temptations of the Devil, and the contagion of evil examples; And had no strength to spare, nor superfluous time to wast, to Conn the Theory of Ceremonies, and practice new devices.

But when men grow cold and indifferent about great things, then they become fervent about the lesser; when they give over to mind a boly life and beddenly Conversation, then they grow sierce Disputants for, and rigid Exactors of the sull Tale of Ceremonies. Thus when the Scribes and Pharisees became so violent for the necessity of washing hands, they little regarded the cleasings of their Hearts; They that will make things indifferent to become necessary, the next news you hear of them is, that they make things necessary to become indifferent: when men cease to study their own Hearts, they become very studious how to vex and torment other mens; for then they have both leisure and considence enough to trample upon their inferiours. Then it shall be a greater sin for a Monk to lay aside his Cowle, than his Chastity: and to be a scrupulous Nonconformist to the Laws of Men, than a scandalow Nonconformist to

the Laws of God:

In short (that I may say the same thing over again, which I have twenty times already said, and that I may convince the Reader

that I have read Erasinus de Copia verborum, as well as his tamous piece of the Art of Preaching) Then, and not till then, do the little Appendices of Religion grow great and mighty matters in mens estrems when the Essentials, the great and weighty matters, are become little and inconsiderable, which I had little need to have mentioned, but for the sake of those Elegant and Modish words, Appendices and Essentials, which in an Eloquent Oration ought not to have

been forgotten.---Dixi!

That there are Diftractions in the Nation, Divisions amongst Christian Brethren, and a separation from the present Church of England in various degrees, is evident; The Industry of our Enguirer in Tracing out the Causes of them, has been very commendable, though his success has not been answerable. Had he pleased to approve himself a skilful and impartial, as well as a serious Enquirer, he had certainly directed us to one cause more, which for want of Ariadnes Thred, in the Ansiractuous windings of this Labyrinth, he has quite lost himselfe, and his Travels. Honest Gerson of old has notified it to the non-observing World, and from him I shall recommend it to the Reader.

\*Abolitions of fundry Canons and Statutes, which neither are, nor reasonably can be observed in these times, which do nothing but ensure the Consciences of men to their endless Perdition: no tongue is able to express what evil; what danger and consustion, the neglect and contempt of the Holy Scripture, (which doubtless is sufficient for the Government of the Church, else Christ had been an impersed Law-giver) and the following of Humane Inventions, hath brought into the Church, Serm. in discirc. part 1. 'Tis that which has ever been lamented, and by all moderate persons complained of, That unnecessary Impositions, have been made the indispensible conditions of Church Communion, without precept or precedent from the word of God.

To this cause had he reduced all our divisions; he said more in those sew plain words, than in all those well coucht periods wherewith he has adorned his Discourse, and darkened Counsel. As the matter of Law arises out of the matter of Fast, so the Justice of the Nonconformists Cause, appears from the terms that are pur upon them in order to Communion; If the terms be unjust, it will justifie their cause; its goodness will not justifie their Persons; what Dissenters usually

L

[162]

insist upon for their Justification, I shall reduce to these Heads-§. 1. They plead, that some things are imposed upon their Faith, tendered to Subscription, as Articles of Faith, which are either salse, or at best, they have not yet been so happy as to discover the truth of them: In Art. 20. They are required to subscribe this Doctrine, The Church bath power to Decree Rites and Ceremonies; which clause of the Article, as we fear, it has been by some indirect means shuffled into the Article, it not being found in the Authentick Articles of Edward 6. so it proves also, that the terms of Communion have been enlarged since the first times of the Reformation.

They object also against that Doctrine in the Rubrick; That it is certain from the word of God, That Children Baptized, and dying before the Commission of actual sins are undoubtedly saved. The Scripture, the Protestant Churches, nor any sound Reason, have yet given them any tolerable satisfaction of the Truth of the Doctrine about the Opus operatum, of Sacraments: That Doctrine laid down in the Catechism, That Children do perform Faith and Repentance by their surveius, is also as great a stumbling to our Faith, and we cannot get over it, How the Adult should believe and repent for Minors, or Infants believe and Repent by

Proxie. I omit many others.

§. 2. They plead, that they are not fatisfied in the use of any Mystical Ceremonies in Gods worship; and particularly they judg the use of the Cross in Baptism to be sinful: A Sacrament of Divine Institution according to the Definition of the Churh in her Carechifm, 'is an ourward and visible fign, of an inward and invifible Grace given unto us, ordained by Christ himself, as a means whereby we receive the fame, and a pledge to affure us thereof: where we have, 1. The matter of a Sacrament, An outward and visible sign of an inward and spiritual Grace. 2. The Author of a Divine Sacrament, Christ bimself. 3. The End of it: to be a means to convey the thing fignified, and a pledge to affure us of it. Hence its evident, that its fimply impossible that any Church should institute a Divine Sacrament, because they cannot give a causality to rhose Graces it is instituted to fignisie: nevertheless it's possible for Men to institute humane Sacraments; which shall have the Matter of a Sacrament, that is, an outward Visible sign of an inward Spiritual Grace; and they may pretend to ascribe an effect to it also to stir up, to excite, or encrease Grace and Devotion: And yet because it

wants the right efficient cause, its no lawful Sacrament, though it be an Humane Sacrament; Such an institution (say they) is the Sign of the Cross. 'An outward Visible Sign of an inward Spiritual. Grace Ordained by Men as a means to effect whatever Man can 'work by his Ordinance. Here is the matter without Divine

Signature, which is the thing they condemn it fnr.

3. They plead, that fince Communion with the Church is supended and denyed but upon such Terms as take away Christian Liberty in part and by consequence leave all the rest at Mercy; they dare not accept of Communion upon those terms: There are some things which God has in the general lest free and indifferent, to do or not do; yet at some times, and in some cases it may be my great sin if I should do some of them, as when it would wound the Conscience, and destroy the Soul of a weak Christian. If now I shall engage my self to the Church, that I will never omit such an indifferent thing; and the Soul of that weak Christian should call to me to omit it, I have tyed my hands by engagement, I cannot help him, though it would save his or a thousand Souls out of Hell, because I have given away my freedom to the Church.

4. They plead, that they ought not to hazard their Souls in one Congregation, if they may more hopefully secure them in another; for that their Souls are their greatest concernment in this World and in the next: Now say they, ther's no Question but Men Preach such as they Print with publick allowance; and therefore they ought to provide better for their Souls elsewhere: Especially they say, That the Doctrine of Justification is Articulus, stantis vel cadentis Ecclesia, an Article with which the Church falls or stands: this Article say they in the Parish where we live is quite demolish'd by the Doctrine of Justification by Works; we are bound therefore to provide for our safety and depart; and when we are once out, we will advise upon another Church, not which is tolerrable, but which is eligible, and in all things nearest the Word.

5. They plead, that there's no obligation upon them to own the Churches Power to impose new terms of Communion, unless the Church can prove her Power from Christ: Its not for them to disprove it; it lies upon her to prove it, and to prove it substantially too, or else it will be hard to prove it their duty to own it.

6. They fay, the World is pefterd with Disputes about worship, about Religion; and therefore since all cannot be in the right, they are willing to go the safest way, and worship Golaccordin;

1 2

to his Word: If the things disputed be lawful to be done, let'em be so; they are sure its lawful let'em alone, and they think ther's no great hazard in keeping to Scripture Rule, nor can believe that Christ will send any to Hell, because they did not worship God in an External Mode, more near and spruce than God commanded.

7. They pretend, that the things imposed are parts of worship, which none can Create but God, nor will God accept of any but such as are of his own Creating; and whether they be Integral or Essential Parts they do not know, but in the worship of God they find them standing upon even ground with those that are certainly Divine, or at least as high as Man can lift them.

8. They do not find that God ever commanded the things imposed, either in general, in special, or their singulars; If God has commanded a Duty to be done, the Church must find a place to do it in; but though the Church must find a place for the Duty, a time for the Duty, she may not find new Duty for the time and place.

5. They are the more cautious of all Ceremonies, because the old Church of England, in her Homilies Serm. 3. Of good Works tells us: 'That such hath been the corrupt inclination of Man, superstitions given to make new Honouring of God of his own 'Head, and then to have more Affection and Devotion to keep that, than to search out Gods Holy Commandments, and do them.

They fay, they have read over all the Books that have been written in justification of those things, and they find their Arguments is weak, their Reafons so futilous, that setting aside Rhetorick and Railing, ther's nothing in them, but what had been either answered by others, or is contradicted by themselves, which hardens them in their Errour, who are gone astray into the right way.

11. They say, it's their duty to endeavour a reformation according to the Word, which if others will not, they cannot help

it, and hope they will not be angry with the willing.

the second second second second

## PART II.

## CHAP I.

The several ways for prevention of Church-Divisions mentioned by the Enquirer, considered. The Papal Methods, 1. Keeping the People in Ignorance. 2. An infallible Judge. 3. Accommodating Religion to the Lusts of Men. Three other ways mentioned by the Enquirer. 1. Toleration. 2. Comprehension. 3. Instruction.

A Sthat Ferson will highly merit of this present Age, whose differences eye shall discover, and his charity propound to the world such rational expedients as may amicably compose our present differences, upon terms comporting with the Conscientious principles of the contending parties; so our fears of the success are justly greatned by the frequent disappointment of our hopes; consident Pretenders posting up their Bills in every Corner, promising nothing less than miracles, but performing nothing

more than pretences,

It is some encouragement to expect more than a Palliate Cure from this undertaker, to see him full to his business so like an Artist: 'It cannot be hoped (says he) that where the business is Religion, and the concern Eternal life, that men should incuriously sivallow every thing without moving any Question, or stirring any Debate. To which I subjoyn, Nor can it be expected that when they have moved the Question about the important concerns of Eternity, they should acquiesce in their own Question, without a satisfactory Answer, like that Governour who scorned to surrender before a Gun was shot off, but then thought it enough for his credit to Capitu'ate.

Most men swallow their Religion, as the Infant does its Pap. which has no other previous chewing, than what the Nurse gives it; and are driven into profession just like a slock of Geese, with no greater difficulty, than holding over their Headsthe Ceremony of a Long Pole. And I will add further, that as this is not to be boped from all; so neither is it desirable in any, that they should cease to be rational Creatures, before they become Christians.

L 3 The

The Enquirer has curiously and carefully searched all the Dispensatories, and out of those stores, promises us the choicest Medicines that may fit the Patients Case, and seem most practicable: And we cannot pray for a Physitian better qualified than one who is Master of many Remedies, and of a judgment to chuse out those which are agreeable.

The Church of Rome has been an old Empirick: fo noted a Quack, for a desperate Cure in a desperate Case, that the greatest civility we can allow her here, is that which we commonly give your Mountebancks, lend them a hearing, and do as we see Cause.

She glories indeed in her Unity and Peace, and it might invite a modest curiosity to desire a sight of that Sympathetical Powder which has effected such wonders: And to satisfie you in one word, it's nothing but the Jesuits Powder, or a Great nothing in a Jugler's Box.

1. Her first prescription is Prophylactick, by way of prevention: the best of Remedies (no coubt), since it's more desireable not to have needed, than having to, to have obtained the help of Æsculapius. And it is nothing more than this plain, cheap, and easie Recipe, That the people be kept in profound ignorance. Thus when the Philistires had put out Samt sons eyes, they knew he would make a flour Mill horse: Thus when the Empress Irene had pluckt out her Sons eyes who could fee, the found it easie to fer up Images which have eyes and fee not. But our Enquirer dooks upon this prefeription as too ftrong a Narcotic, that it will net down in England, though it has done great feats in Italy and Stain; and the Uniformity of those Nations, is an unquestionable Certificate to avouch its excellency. And it's to be feared at prefint it will not: for some Learned Men are very consident, that our own English simples are more connatural to English Bodies, than the exotick Druggs of Pontus; and that we have the true Distamnum growing in our own Gardens, had we but skill to use and apply it.

But we ever doted upon foreign Noveltics, and prize nothing that liberal nature has bestowed en us: King Lucius, the glory of our Island, and the whole world, who first submitted his Crown of Gold to one of Thorns, and laid his Scepter at the foot of him who bore a Reed, not well instructed in the Riches of his own Dominions, must needs send to Rome for advice; Eleutherius, good Man, who had not yet learnt how to make all Thrones de-

pene

pend upon his Infallible Chair, sends him this Answer, 'That there were already in Britain, the Old and the New Testament, out of which, by the Council of his Kingdom, he might take a Law to Govern it. Did England know its own strength, it's so well furnisht at home, that it might spare its Travels, and never cross the Seas, or climb the Alpes to seek new Models of Religion; The Holy Scriptures being (as Lirinensis well says) perfect, and abundantly sufficient for all things, yea and more than sufficient. And on this account too there would be less need of Trade and Navigation.

Two Reasons there are why our Enquirer thinks this Papal

Dose of Ignorance will not be admitted in England.

S. 1. Because it comes too late: Ah! it's a thousand pities that a Receipt of fuch fame should be like, post mortem Medicina; But is there no hope left under the lid of Pandora's Box? The Church is a successive Body; and though she may be Incurable in her present Individuals, yet she may recover, and revive in those of the next Generation: The Disease is not Peracute, but Chronical, and there may be some possible room for endeavour. What if a Thousand or two more of Ministers were silenced, and the Labours of as many discouraged and prevented? what if Lectures were proici ibed? private Conferences interdicted? and your Twicers fufpended? If it perform not all that may be defired, it might effect more than could be hoped: Oh no, It comes too late! for the People of England know so much already, that the only way to Cure the Inconveniencies of that, is to let them know more: This is excellent indeed, when the Poison becomes its own Antidote, and Death proves its own Cure, which but in one only Cafe, the amazed world never yet sam, and will hardly yer believe; but thus they tell us of Quickfilver, that a little Dose will certainly kill, when a great one will Cure the twisting of the Guts, and those Intestine Dissentions which thence arise in the Bowels: yet so it is: A little knowledge only ferments the natural pride of the Heart, which a round quantity would wipe off, and carry away: or to express it more elegantly from our Author, When men know a little, they conceit they understand all that's knowable, and hereupon refuse inftruction, and oppose their private Opinions to the publick Wif-'dom: whereas, did these Mensee further into things, they would ' then discover a reason of many things they are now dissatisfied 'with, or at least distrust their own understandings, and grow ' mode stand p eaceable. It becomes every good Christian, and L 4

good Subject, (and he that is really the former, will certainly be the latter) to suspect the shallowness of his own judgment, and to Revere the depth of his Governours Wisdom; he may be an uleful Mariner, that is no skilful Pilot, nor knows how to steer the publick bottom; but I do not remember that we have been discoursing about the expediency of the transporting of Wool, the making of War or Peace, or the mysteries of Government, Que supranos, nihil ad nos; but our business is Religion, and the direct and immediate concerns of another Life: and how to Cure the Pride of the Understanding without putting out its Eye, and making it a Polyphemus: And here, though I suspect not the shallowners of the Governours Judgment, I dare not act against my own. I confess my self to seek in the great secret of a private and jublick Wisdom, as much as I am in that of the private and public; Conscience, a superstructure raised upon that foundation: Nork have I learnt the difference between the Roman Ignorance, and refigning my own Reafon; between darkness, and no use of Light; between having no private Reason, and renouncing it for the publ lick Reason in things directly respecting God and his Worship: The one is born blind, and the other has lost his Eyes; the one is the Romiso Opiate, and the other a more gentle (if more gentle). Ireparation of Laudanum,

S. 2. He aniwers, secondly, 'If it had come timely, yet the Remedy is worse than the Discase; we esteem it better if one be 'necessary, to err like men, than to be driven like Beasts, or acted 'like Puppets: An Heroick Spirit! and such as may affore us, that our Fhysitian will not cast us into a Lethargy, to prevent the Rayings of a Fever; and that he will not follow that Bishops Method, who had no other Cure for the Head-ake, than to cut it off.

Have read of a King of France, who was extremely delighted in a Gentleman of his Bed-chamber, who had the proper Cafe of a Man, but his upper Rooms, it feems, were but furnish't like Cocktofts, with Lumber: This young Courtier, by some accident, took an occasion to fall into a Thrensie which elevating his Brain above its nature and dull temper, to a due height requisite for Raptures, created him extempore, a most accomplisht Poet: Physicians are all lummon'd, and they summon their Art to restore him to his former little felf; success attends their Endeavours, and the Gentleman is at once delivered from his Distraction, and that greater madness, Peetry: The King having lost the fatisfaction he conceived in his Airy Fancies, and valuing a Mad Virtueso before

[ 169 ]

a fober Logger-bead, threatens to hang em up every Mothers Son, unless they did presently recover him again to Wit, by putting him besides it: such Mountebanks there are in the Worli, who cannot keep Men peaceable, unless they make themstocks and stones,

2. A fecond Remedy for Divisions much used by the Romanists. is their Infallible Judg, to which, who loever pretends on this fide a Deity, needs only his own mouth to confute him; what follows in our Enquirer, I read with much complacency: The Scripture plainly tells us that all men are lyars, such as may deceive, or be deceived; and most undoubtedly would never have made fuch a destination of Christians, as throng men, and Babes in Christ, nor made it our duty to consider one anothers weakness, and practice mutual forbearance, if it had intended any where to direct us to such an Umpire, as should have ended all differences, and made all men equally certain. Much less, that the weak in judgment should be forced to keep pace with the strong in their practises, or that Babes should drive at the rate of grown men, Again, 'There was (says he)a time when 'the Apostles had the assistance of the Holy Spirit, in such a man-'ner as to guide them into all Truth, and gave miraculous proof · that they had fo, and yet this would not cure all the Schifms, nor · refolve all the Scruples, nor filence all Disputes: It must needs therefore feem unreasonable for them, who confessing a fallibility of judgment, should yet as peremptorily bind their Decretals upon the Consciences, as if they not only pretended to, but could give evidence of their Infallibility: It's noted for one of the impudent Essays of Papal presumption and Hypocrisie, that he calls himself, Servus Servorum Dei, and yet acts as if he were Rex Regum & Dominus Dominantium. I never liked Jacobs smooth voice. when I felt Esau's rough hands, and heavy Fists: when we hear of the publick Wisdom and Conscience, and both fallible; and yet like Myes his Rod, swallowing up the private Wislom and Conscience, because they are fallible, I rejoyce in the great advantage of an Infallible God, who guides us by an Infallible Rule, to whom we may fecurely commit our Souls.

Nor can I fee the formuch boafted preheminence of their Infallible wifible Judg, above our certainly Infallible Rule; for whatever this Infallible Gentleman determines, it must come to our cognizance either by word or writing, and then it amounts to no more than an Infallible Rule, and by consequence lyable to missinterpretations, and all the inconveniences which they have unjustly charged upon the Word of God, which has been confirmed to us by

Autory;

Autopfy; for whatever have been his Determinations De fide, the Contenders retain their former fentiments, which they protest they would never do, were they affured in the true meaning of his Decrees.

Here I began first to suspect that this second part of the Enquiries could not possibly be the Child of the same Father with the former: For that other Enquirer assured us, that in the Primitive Times, all good men were of one way, and all bad men of another; that there was but one division of men, that dasses & dasses, were the only Sects that the World was known by; but this Enquirer tells us, That there were Schiss, Divisions, Scruples, Disputes in those early days, which the Holy Spirit given to the Apostles in such manner as to lead them into all Truth, would not Cure, resolve, nor silenee.

Had the Apostles understood those frightful consequences which we have learnt to impute to diversities of judgment in lesser matters, with surable variety in practice attending it, they might easily have silenced those disputes by the interposition of their Authority; and the Churches, no doubt, would as easily have acquiesced in the judgment of the Apostles, who could give irrefra-

gable proof that they were fent of Christ.

Nor have those rigorous Decisions ever reacht their pretended ends, in healing differences, but only consopited them under the Ashes for a while, or raught men a little more craft to draw over the Articles to their private Opinions, rather than conform

these to the publick belief.

3. Another Papal Remedy, is the Accommodation of Religion to the Lusts and Interests of Men, allowing them to think, believe, do any thing in the world, provided they be subject to the universal Pastor; for the Pope seeing that the World will not be brought over to him upon the terms of Christ is content to come over to the world in Morals, if they will but come over to him in his Ceremonials. Much what of the same good nature with that other grand Impostor Mahomet, who when that sullen Mountain would not stir a foot to come to him, very courteously answer'd, Then let us go to the Mountain.

Whether this Prescription will be agreeable to, or practicable upon English Constitutions, is a great Question; The Author of the Second part, concludes that we of this Church have too much simplicity and sincerity of Devotion to make use of this Remedy to put an end to our Distractions And yet I find some have been tampering with it, who will be very strait-laced in Ceremonies to gratishe the su-

perstitious,

reflitious, and widen the moral part to humour the voluptuous: Thus if mens Lusts will not bend to the strait Rule of the Word, they can gently bow the Rule to their corruptions and crooked propensities: And the Author of the sormer part seeing well that Men are grown too Pursey to be crampt up with the Religious observation of the Lords-day, has prudently accommodated the day to their latitude; They that have no great mind to keep a Christian Holy-day, shall need but to call it a Judaical Sabbath, and they are well fortisted against all the checks of cheir Consciences. I know the Reader will pity him that must contend with two such Adversaries, (Hercules himself would not engage a couple) but though they be Two, they have but one single weapon.

We want not some who can reconcile the levity of the Stage and Theater, to the gravity and severity of the Christian Doctrine; that can teach men by their writings or Practice, how they may retain these vanities, and never throw off their Baptismal Livery, and it is upon good Advice: for should they lose such numbers from the party, it would make a filthy Hole in it; and the weeding out such Tares would make a thin Field of Corn; and therefore some plead that they ought to grow together till the Harvest.

4 We are now come to the great and infallible Remedy, which the Pope trusts more to, than his great Infallibility; But there are considerable diversities about the form of this Medicine in the Dispensatories: some (as Mr. Necessity Bays) express it by a tedious Periphrasis, Axes, Halters, Racks, Fire, Faggot, with an Etcatera, which has more in't than all the rest; but the Romanists who are concise men, and love short work, and to express multum in parvo, have Epitomiz'd all in that one word, the Holy-Inquisition, so called by the same Catechresis, by which we call our former Antagonist, the Compassionate Enquirer.

If you enquire a Reason of this various Reading; know that it arises from the different Copies of the Dispensatories: The Pharmocopaia of London calls it one thing, that of Rome another; the Titles differ, but the Medicine is the same: only Rome, according to her old overdoing undoing Humour, has added a few drops of the Spirits of Vitriol; And yet the last Edition of ours at the Old Bayly, re-affumes its former Title, and calls it downright,

The Inquisition of Spain.

This Medicine is truly Soveraign; it has the Probatum of thoufands who being dead, yet speak, its answerableness to its primitive design, to silence all disputes, and stop the mouth of all gain-sayers:

when

when all is done, there's no Argument convinces so effectually as Stone-dead; The wild-Irish themselves will believe their Enemy to be dead, when his Head lies sever'd a Yard from his Body: But the Question will be still, whether it will down with Englishmen? for though they have good Beef-Stomachs, they want that of the Ostrich to digest Axes and Halters: There's no Question but it will go down well enough with them that give it; but it needs the assistance of much Rhetorick to perswade them into a willingness of mind, who are to take this wallowish potion: If we could agree who should be persecuted, there are enow could be content to be the persecutors, and this is one of the greatest

Quarrels

is so couragious, and withall so compassionate, I am very glad to hear; the one part so tender bearted that they will not institut, the other so tender Conscienced that they will endure what shall be so instituted, rather than prostitute them to the lust and tyranny of men: but then I must conclude, that some of our Church-men are either no English men, or no Christians, whose terder mercies have been Cruelties: and whose compassions, like Dracos Law, are written in blood. And I rej you to meet with these concluding words: The excercise of so much cruelty upon the account of Religion in Q. Maries days, bath made that profession detestable to this day; and it looked so ill in the Romanists, that we shall never be persuaded to practice it our selves. Had we but now an exact Definition of Cruelty, we should soon be satisfied whether the Enquirer would not persuade another to it, is not be persuaded to it himself.

None of the Romanists Expedients then will work this blessed Cure, they are either Imprasticable, or come too late, or are worse than the Disease, or one mischief or another, There are therefore three others, which he will mention. Universal Toleration, Com-

prebension, and Instruction and Consideration. 19 11 11 11 11

The first is Universal Toleration: But here the Doctors Man, whom he sent a simpling, was horribly mistaken. And like Etistor's Servant whom he sent to gather good wholesome Pot herbs, has imprudently pickt up your possonus Coloquintida; An oversight that might have cost the Patient his Life.

Inversal Toleration? I have observed English Spirits to bear some secret Antipathy to these Universals; They like not either Universal Bishop, nor Universal Monarch, nor Universal Grace nor Universal Admission, nor this Universal Toleration. But what

i

if he had omitted This Universal in the Receipt; There may be a Toleration of what is Tolerable, whatever diffurbs not the publick Peace; whatever contradicts not the Scriptures, the Creeds; whatever croffes not the great ends of Religion; whatever is peaceable, holy, humble, just, modest, righteous, though perhaps not Ceremonious: This Corrected Toleration has been given with Admirable fuccess in the primitive and purer times; It fills up both pages in the Apologies of Justin Martyr, Athenagoras, and Tertullian, who pleaded for a Toleration of their Innocent profession: It is the main ingredient in that famous Mass of Pillulæ sine quibus effe nolo, nay of Pillule sine quibus effe nequeo. The Scripture (fayd the Enquirer just before) has made it our Duty to consider one anothers weakness, and practice mutual for bearance: and what forbearance is without Toleration, I do not understand. Had the Imposing-Spirit obtained in the Infancy of the Church, they had faved the Heathens a labour, and destroyed each other; He might Tafely therefore in his Irenicon have used from a Scruple to a drachm of this Toleration; 'Tis the Herb Gratia Dei, the great framan cholera, which Adulces the blood, begers good Spirits, restrains furly Humours, and sweetens the tempers of one Christian towards another.

'Tis not the opening a Pantheon, but not shutting up the Temple of the one true God; not a licenciousness to blaspheme, but a liberty to glorifie our Redeemer, that we plead for; 'tis a priviledge that every one has a claim to, that the Lives and Souls of them that have not wronged their Country, may be secure in it : If the works of the flesh be found amongst us, Adultery, Fornication, Uncleanes, Laciviousness, Idolatry, Witchrast, Hatred, Variance, Emulation, Bitterness, Strife, Seditions, Heresies, Envyings, Murders, Drunkenness, Revellings and such like. We plead not for the guilty; only let the innocent find mercy at home, who in other places might expect a Reward. Is he a meet person to undertake the Healing of our Breaches, to compose our difference, that cannot diffinguish between a Toleration in Ceremonies, and the Tolerating of Idolatry? that knows not the nice difference between, Tolerating every thing, and nothing? If it be all one to indulge in things, confessed Adiaphorous at best, and the necessary duties of the Decalogue, talk no more of Healing; he must prove a Physician of no value, that when the balm of Gilead drops into his mouth, knows not how to Apply it:

2. The second propounded Remedy, is an excellent Opener; known known to this present age by the name of Comprehension, which in our Authors Glossary signifies, The making the Terms of Communion more free and easie, opening the Arms of the Church, to receive more into her Bosom, thereby to enlarge both the Society and Interest of the Church: and one would think that so much Reason coucht in so few words, might have vindicated the Receipt above contempt, and recommended it to a probationary experiment; self preservation would make a harsher medicine than this go merrily down; if any thing make a Building strong, that must be carried to such a vast Height, that must bear such a weight, that shall be exposed to such shaking Winds, it must be a proportionable widen

ing the foundation: But let us hear our Betters.

S. 1. For my part (fays he) If such a course please our Governours, I have no mind to oppose any thing to it. Thy are infinitely obliged to him, furely, that if they please to shew kindness to tender-conscienced Subjects, he will not oppose, not declare against them. This is a Moderation far beyond Mr. Bayes's hotter temper; he will tell them: If they will Rule, they must, they must, they must, --- but is this all? I had thought he had brought with him Licentian ad practicandum. A Commission to prescribe; and now I see he can do nothing without the Colledge: but what now if such a wholefome course please not, though it profit, might it not have become a Compassionate Enquirer, to have forwarded them with an humble Hint or two of their interwoven Interest and Duty? when he preaches fo admireably upon those points of Reverence, Contentment, Submission, Charity, and has shown his skill in the Theory, does he use to River it no better than thus? if these virtues please my Auditors, I have no mind to oppose; If you will be charitable, you may for all me, I'le promise you I shall never study your ruin, and plot your destruction. If Church-Governours please to enlarge the Society and Interest of the Church, If they please to strengthen it against its Enemies abroad, and procure it Peace and Contentment at home, he will not oppose: The best natur'd man that ever was in the World.

They who are Governours of the Church, are bound in Conficience to make the Terms of Communion easie and free; not to make the Yoke heaveir than Christ made it. They that came in easily, ought to let in others upon the same terms: whoever they were that first clogg'd the Churches Communion with multitudes of unnecessary conditions, are like him that received a clear Estate of Inheritance from his Father, leaves it encumbred, and charged

to his Son, who perhaps may never be able to take off the Mortgage, and so shall thank his Father for just nothing: Christ made the way to Heaven narrow enough, and there's no need to make it straiter: Governours in the Church may easily mistake in the Quantity of their power, but this is sure, How great soever it be, they have all for Edification, none for Destruction. They eught not to reject those whom Christ will receive; And a little plain English would here have done no hurt, but have been Acceptable to the best of Church-men, whose misery it is to have many statterers about them which let them know their virtues, but sew faith-

ful Monitors, who will acquaint them with their Duty.

§. 2. He desires it may be considered, that there are many things that look very probably in the general notion and speculation, that would flatter one into a great opinion of them, which when they come to be tryed, are no ways answerable. Therefore never attempt any thing that wears the Appearance of Honourable to the Worlds end; the benefits may possibly exceed, as well as fall below expectation. It was Davids councel to Solomon, up, and be doing, and the Lord be with thee! There are many things which in the general notion and speculation would terrifie one with appearances of Inconveniences, which when they come to be tryed, were the suggestions of Cowardice. Thus Children in the Twilight, seeing every object through the spectacles of their own sears, make that a Bear,

which Nature calls a barmless Bush

. 3. But many difficulties occur in the Reducing things of this Nature into practife, that were not foreseen in the Theory; and many bleffings and mercies may and will occur, which will overweigh the difficulties in attaining them: the difficulties momentary, the advantages perpetual; the inconveniences personal, the benefits general, the prejudices to some few mens too much plenty, the Advantages to many mens Souls. It's a strange resolution, that we will not endeavour to behappy, for fear we should encounter a difficulty in the way thither; If the knot cannot be untied, Alexanders Sword will cut it: To withdraw from Apparent Duty, for fear of uncertain danger, is but like his, that would not shootthe Bridge, because it might possibly fall on's Head. Those accidents which can neither be foreseen nor prevented in doing a good work, are by wife men not to be regarded. I never hear fuch Arguments used against the attempts for preferments: I shall judge them real in their Declamations against Comprehenkon, when they use to discourage themselves from the same Disficulties ficulties in feeking great things for themselves. The Sluggard cries out, There's a Lyon in the way, when it's nothing but his own lazie Soul, that paints our dread and terrour to his Imagination: let none hereaster eat, because he may possibly find his Death, where he sought his Lise: let none Travel, because he may be robb'd nor ride on Horseback, because he may possibly get a fall: There's nothing truly Gloriops, but must be waded to, through difficulties but some secret Lusts commonly pretend them greater than they are.

. S. 4. This is not done (fays he) and we do not know when it will be fet about. That is, we will use the means when the end is effected? How happy had the Christian World been, if the first Imposer's of Ceremonies had acted by those principles: such conditions are not Imposed, and we know not when they will, therefore we will never begin. But though it be not yet done, I know when it will be; When God shall open the Eyes of Church men to see. the things that belong to their Duty, and the Churches peace; when all our-totterings and shakings, shall have humbled us into more Condescension and Evangelical tenderness; When men shall see it both their Interest and Duty to secure the Building by enlarging the foundation, and that the fecurity and stability of Society, lies in the Close Union of the parts; that the Beauty of a Church confifts much in the amplifying of the fold; Then will fomething of this nature be done, for which all generations shall call the Authors Bleffed. :

3. If then none of these Remedies be practicable, what must the languishing Patient do? There is yet one thing more, which is like those Cordials we use to drop into the Mouths of the dying, to procure the old admired Engangia, and that is Instruction or Information. Its pity the poor Clinical Church should dye under so many hands, and in the view of a whole shop of Medicines, and therefore rather this than nothing, which that it may be effectual, it will be necessary to consider. 1. Who are meet to give, 2. Who ought to receive Instruction. 3. From what grounds the instructions are to be fetcht, 4. What other means may possibly be ad-

ministred

§. 1, It's very confiderable who are to be the Instructors, and called into the Confulation? For every one would be in the Chair, and none willing to be Auditors. The Brethren of the Episcopal perswasion having got the priviledg of Law, take it for granted that they are the only persons meet for this service; whereas, if

177

the Doctrine, Worship, and Discipline of the Dissenters should prove nearer to the prescript of Scripture, no subsequent Law can possibly set it further off: That which was better before the Ordinance of Man, cannot be made worse by it; Let therefore neither the one nor the other be the Instructors, but let the word of God instruct both: and this was the expedient of Constantine the Great at the opening the Council of Nice; He did not turn the Scale by his Authority; but delivers himself thus impartially to the wrangling factions. All seditious Contention set aside (says he) let us discuss the things in Controversie by the Testimony of the divinely inspired Scriptures, as we are informed from the Cath. Moderator: And it was Austin's great reserve when he was pressed with the inartificial Argument of Authority, Ne audiantur hac verda, Ego dico & tu dicis, sed quid dicit Dominus. Tell me not what you fay; any more than I tell you what I fay, but let us both hearken to what God shall speak, Quod enim (as Hierom) de sacris Scripturis non habet authoritatem eadem facilitate contemnitur, qua proponitur; Whatsoever is not grounded upon the Scriptures, may with as much ease be slighted as'tis urged.

§ 2. Its material too, who are to be instructed? It's presumed by our Enquirer, that the Diffenters alone want a word of Advice, they only are Crooked; but whatever they want, as they will fubmit to, and be thankful for wholesome Councel, so they conceive a word of Instruction will be necessary another way. When the Nonconformists are come to the End of their Tedder: when Conscience will suffer them to Advance not one step further. Others will need an Use of Instruction too, to go to the End of theirs: fuch Instruction as this of our Enquirers, the Creditor can give his Debtor whom he laid fast in Ludgate: Truly Friend! You have been reputed a discreet person, I wonder at you, and so do all that know you, that you will be so little a Friend to your ease, so obstinate against liberty, as to lye reezing your felf in a smokie hole : you ought to whmit your private judgment to that of the generality of mankind, who with one voice agree, that the fresh Air is much sweeter than this nast? Lodging! How much more proper had it been to have exposty.

lated with this Cruel Creditor?

. Sir! The poor man has paid you all he has, he is not worth a groat more in all the world; you may have his skin, but fiesh he has rone, thew now your Charity, and fince he cannot release himself, but you may, shew your Charity. The Dissenters say this is their Case: they cannot co ne up to the propounded conditions

without.

whithout fin, the Imposers may abate of the Conditions without fin, and therfore they are the proper Subjects of Instruction: Indeed I find the Nonconformists very shy in charging the terms to be sinful; they are loath to speak a word that may be interpreted any resection upon the Church, and therefore commonly insist upon other pleas: but when Imporunity shall extort it from them, they must deal liquidly, and affert, that they cannot do these

things, and fin against God thereby. 5. 3. From what grounds will this Instructor draw his instructions? I do the rather propound it, because I meet with great variety of them: some fay, Master, save thy self; and to this they anfivear, my Soul is my felf, and if the Soul be lost, the Man is lost. Others cry, oh be very tender of Peace! And they reply yes, and a little of Truth 200. Others exclaim, you will bring in Popery! And they return, we did not make the terms of Communion; They that speak thus, are more Zealous to keep us out than Popery. The Arguments then must be drawn from such heads as are agreeable to the fixed Scripture-principles of those that come under instruction. Otherwise the Advice is no more but this: come over to our party, and there will be Peace! Why, fo there will (reply the Differers) if you come all over to ours, or to any third party, I here would be a Peace, an ill Cemented, ill grounded one; and fuch as upon every occasion would break out in a more desperate Rupture.

S. 4. It's very considerable what this Genleman will do, if his Instruction should prove Addle; For if neither a well Buttreffed Toleration, cramped down foundly with wholefome Laws, nor vet a due Comprehension will be admitted; The condition of Dissenters would yet be supportable, if they might be kept to this wholefome Kitchin Physick of Instruction: But his Instructions look very like those of Spain, who use to exhort and instruct the poor wre ches caught in the Iron Claws of the Inquifition, to be piriful to their Souls, not to throw away their Lives, and prefently thut up their last words with the Flames: What the Operation of this Instruction may be, I cannot tell, but the Dissenters I perceive have their last propositions sent in, and the next is Escalado; for he tells us, pag. 214. 'That if they will not be instructed, he sees 'no Obligation upon the Magistrate to forbear to make or exe-6 cine fuch Laws as he apprehends for the good of his Govern-"ment: fo that after all his experiments, and great shew of skill in healing, he is whealed about to that last, and worst of Papal

## [179]

Remedies, Which some call Axes, Halters, Gibbets, Racks, Pilloriess Imprisonment, others the Holy Inquisition; Which is just the Plea of the Papists for all their Barbarities, That if the People will not be perswaded, they see no Reason why the Church should not deliver them over to the secular powers to be burnt for Hereticks.

## CHAP: II.

The Enquirers notion of Schism examined, and as applied to the sober Nonconformists, proved uncharitable, unjust and false.

Schifm is an Ecclefiastical Culverine, which being overcharged, and ill-managed, Recoyles, and hurts the Cannoneer. He that undertakes to play this great Gun, had need be very Curious, and careful to spunge his Canon well, lest it fire at home. Nothing has more naturally tempted the Imprudent to account nothing to be Schifin, than that some hasty angry men have made every thing so.

That causeless separation from a particular Church of Christ, whereof we were once duly Members, is a sin of a deep dye, is owned by all that own the Gospel, and have any tender regard to the prosperity of the Church, or propagation of the Truth, but yet we ought not to be so easily credulous, as to believe every departure to be that heinous thing, which passionate men, in hot blood, out of Prejudice to the persons of others, or a necessity to secure and establish their own Acquists over mens Consciences, are resolved to call so.

It was not therefore lightly, but with great judgment, that the learned Hales calls it, so ne of those Theological Scarecrows, with which they who use to uphold a party in Religion, use to fright such as makeing any enquiry into it, are ready to Relin-

quith and oppose it, if it appear either erroneous or suspicious. St. Cyprian (it seems) affirms it to be of so borribly a Guilt, that Martyrdom was not a sufficient Expiation of it. And upon the like occasion he might have said as much of any other sin; for I have not learnt, that Martyrdom was design at o expiate our sins, but to bear witness to Gods Truths: and the same Cyprian at another time will inform us, that Plebs obsequens præceptis Dominicis, & Deum metuens a peccatore præposito separare se debet. A people searing God, and Conscientiously obsequious to his Commands, (not only may, but) ought to separate it self from a scandatious and wicked Pastor. And therefore we may secure our

M 2 felyes

felves, that such separation (in his judgment) is not That Schism

which Martyrdom will not expiate.

The true reason why separation in the Scripture, and purer Primitive times, was esteemed a Crime so unpardonable, was, because the Church made no other Terms of enjoying her Comunion, than Christ had made: That Superiors durst not venture the Churches Peace upon such a sandy soundation, as her own Inventions, turned into Impositions, sor if the Terms of Communion, be of Mans making, the separation that ensues will be but a Schism of mans making too, and whether a Church has first a power to make a sin, and then to make it damnable, I have some reason to question.

Let nothing be declared Schism, but what the Scriptures have made so, and we shall be content it be made as great a sin as he

can reasonably desire.

Had not our Enquirer been caried down in the torrent of his own overflowing Eloquence, till he had quite lost himself, he might have answered himself from his own words, p. 109. 'For 'this cause (says he) it pleased God that his Church, in those early 'days, should rather be harassed with persecutions, which made 'it unite it self the closer, and paring off all superfluities, keep to 'the necessary and essential Doctrines delivered to it, than to be softned, and made wanton by ease, and so to corrupt the simplicity of the Gospel: Let a Church then return to the simplicity of the Gospel: Let her repent of that softness and wantonness of Spirit, which by Ease she has contracted. Let her pare off all superfluities, and keep close to the necessary and essential Doctrines delivered to it, and she shall find us as ready to write Philippics against Schism as himself, though we want his Ciceronian quill, and wordy excellencies.

Now though we are all convinced that Schism (veri nominis) is a most detestable Impiety; yet to beget in us a greater aversation from it, it may be profitable to listen to his reasonings, which are so potent, as will doubtless drain both the Conventicles and the Theatres.

5. t. 'None (fays be) can doubt of this, who considers what care our Saviour took to prevent it, what pains he took with his A-postles that they might be throughly instructed, and not differ in the delivery of his mind to the World. wee do with all humble thankfulness own the faithfulness of our Saviour in intrusting, and the carefulness of the Apostles in following their instructions. They delivered to the Churches, as the whole Councel of God, access 25.

27. To, only the Councel of God, 1. Cor. 11. 23. I have received of the Lord that which I also delivered unto you; And let but the Pastors of the Church imitate these patterns, keep exactly to their instructions from the Lord Christ, which we doubt not are proportionable to reach the ends of Unity and Peace, (elfe they ha! not been sufficiently instructed) and either we shall have no separ to tions or the case will be so plain, the separation so evidently schism, that the Schismaticks shall not be able to obtend the least umbrage to cover their groß prevarication: But when Emdassadors throughly instructed in all the means to prvent that evil, shall go beyond their Instructions; and impose new unheard of Terms of Communion, which never came into his heart to approve, nor cver came out of his mouth to impose; give me leave to fay, thus far they are not Embassadors, and by consequence a Non compliance with them therein, cannot be interpreted any affront, but faithfulness to him who entrusted them with those dispatches: And if Christs instructions given to his Apostles to prevent this growing evil were insufficient, I am affraid they will be but forrily helpt out, who have recourse to men for fuller instructions.

§. 2. To prove the greatness of his sin, he objerves (and we thank him for his observation) that the Apostles were industrious to resist all beginings of schiss in every Church, to head all breaches, to take away all occasions of Division, to unite all hearts, and reconcile all minds: and to requite his kindness, I will repay his observation with this other; that they either are not the Apostles Successors in their healing Spirit, or else have seen some weighty reason to depart from their judgment about the beinousness of that sin, who instead of taking away the occasions of Divisions which they have given: or removing the stum ling Blocks out of the way of Union, which they have laid, do give greater to

and lay more obstacles before the Christian world.

s, 3. His third proof is taken from the loveliness of Unity. It's not (fays be) the sublimity of Christian Doctrine, nor the gloriousness of the Hopes it propounds, that will surecommend it to the opinion and esteem of beholders, as when it shall be said, Ecce ut. Christiani Amant: when they shall observe the Love, Concord, and Unanimity amongst the Professors of it. The Enquirer has here stumbled at unawares upon the formal reason of schism, or sinful separation, which lies not in the variety of Opinions, or differing practices, modes or forms of Worship, but in a want of true love and charity: That which renders Christiantity truly M 3

beautiful and amiable in the Eyes of Beholders, is, that it teaches the Professors thereof to love one another with a pure heart fervently, though under different persivasions, as to Modes of Divine Worship and Discipline; That their hearts are larger to receive one anothers persons, than their heads are to conceive one anohers notions. But yet, as he is a fond Lover that chuses his Wife by the Eye, for the lymetry of her external frame, or cloathing of wrought Gold, rather than those virtues which adorn the Soul; fo he that chuses his Religion by Sense, and not by Faith, will make a most lamentable bargain; He that falls in love with Christs Church upon External Allurements and Extrinfick Motives, will either repent, or quit his choice when the is perfecuted, her outward frame discomposed, her order violated, the shepherd smitten, and the sleep scatter'd; whereas, he that espouses Religion for those invisible glories which she propounds, and keeps in his steady eye, the recompence of reward, will adhere to his choice when the is most black, and the Sun of Persecution has too familiarly looked upon her.

But I shall not need to trouble my felf, or the Reader, with any more of these fine Arguments; Schism is an evil, whether he be angry at it or no: and Separation may be good, whether he be pleafed or no. All the Question will be, that seeing there is an apparent separation found amongst us from the Political Church of England, and supposing that there is sin one where or other, where the guilt of it ought to lye? The Enquirer has spoken a great deal of Truth in a few words, 'That some have found pleasure to get 'that Child, which they would by no means have laid at their 'own doors. A fuccessful peace of Villany it is, which sometimes piffes for a Virtue, for the Fathers who have begot these Brats, to expose them to be kept and maintained at the cost of the poor innocent Parish, and if we might guess at the true Father by the Childs Physiognomy, All the divisions which have so heavily charged the Churches having sprung from Ceremonies, from needles Impositions, from unnecessary Terms of Communion; They who take such pleasure to beget the one; may be presumed 10 have been the Grandfathers of the other.

If yet there be any controverse depending whose the Child is: The Enquirer recommends to us the Wisdom of Solomon, for discovering the True Mother, and because we know, Partus sequiture ventrem, if we can once find ont the Damm, we shall make her confess the Sire: It was the early proof (says he) Solomon gave of this Wissom in discovering the true Mother of the living Child,

s to which both the Litigants laid equal claim -I confess his illustration proceeds hitherto but very oddly; for there, the quar-rel was, who should have the Child, and be reputed the true Mother: But with us, all the controversie is who shall be discharged of it: But all fimilitudes do not run on four Leggs, and it's very well if this will hop on one: observe how he lays both ends of his discourse together: 'As that wise Prince discovered the true 'Mother by the tenderness of her Bowels towards the Infant, so 'we may perhaps discover the true Children of the Church by ' their respect and tenderness to her. Ay; just so no doubt! Even as the Wheelbarrow rumbles over the Pebbles, so a Thumb rope of Sand, will make an excellent Cable for Fishers-folly; The comparison would run a little more naturally and regularly this. As the true Mother was differented by the tenderness of her Bowels towards the Infant, who would rather part with her right, than that her bleeding Eye should ever see her Child divided, so we may perhaps discover the true Mother of the Church, by her condescending and relenting pity; who would rather wave her claimes, and refign her right in some lesser instances, than ever endure to see body of Christ divided, by a Schissnatical Dichotomy. And as the Harlot, not withstanding all her pretences, bewrayed her felf to the discerning eye of that Judicious Prince, . who could be content the Child should rather dye, than she lose her moyty, fo will she evidence her felf to be a Stepmother Church, which peremptorily infifts upon a pretended right to Impose, at the Peril of the Churches Peace, rather than by waying those pretences, fave the endangred Church from imminent destruction: but some mens Allegories are never so excellent, as when they are impertinent, or non-fense; and I presume he found this Allusion in the Wisdom of Solomon, in the Apocryphal Writings.

We are come at length to the Question, what is the true notion of schisin? A point that deserves to be handled with the greatest exactness; for upon the True stating hereof, the issue of the whole. controverse depends. His notion, or definition of Schism is this, 'Schism is a voluntary departure or separation of ones self, without just cause given, from that Christian Church, whereof he was once a Member: Or, Schism is a breach of that Communion wherein a man might have continued without sin. I shall not need to find faults, or pick holes in this definition, they will offer themselves as he opens the Terms, only I observe,

M 4 (t.

(1) That it offends against one of the facred Laws of Definition, which ought to be most religiously and inviolably preserved. Definitiones debent cum Definito reciprocari; The Definition ought to be convertible with the thing defined: And that this is not so, is evident, because there may be a Schism where there is no separation from External Communion: There is a Schism in a Church, as well as from Church. The Churches Garment may be rent, and yet not rent in two: Thus the Apostle, I Cor. 11. 18. When ye come together in the Church, I hear there 'are Divisions amonst you : ἀκέως χίσμαλα επ ύμιν ὑπάς χειν, ch. 12. v. 5. That there may be no Schism in the Body Tra μπ η χίσμα ο το σώματι. That Definition which is as narrow as his Charity, and leaves out those who ought to be taken in, must necessarily be stark naught. (2.) This Desinition is very thort, in expressing that which is indeed the Poissn and Venom, the formal Reason of all Schismatical departure, viz. the want of Charity and true Evangelical Love! for he that departs from a Society, yet loves the real Christians therein, and the Society it felf, so far as it is a Church of Christs institution, only he loves his own Soul with a more intense love and accordingly makes the best provision for it he can, and would rejoyce that others would accept of the same Advantages, ought not to be called a Schismatick; but if they who pretend to a power to stamp what significations they please upon words, will call him so, the best is, no Nicknames will prejudice him in the fight of that God, who fearcheth the heart, and tryeth the reins. As Herefie is opposed to the Faith, so Schisin is opposed to Love; and Heresie and Schism are distin. guisht by those things to which each of them is opposed.

3. It's faulty for its ambiguity: because he tells us not what the Christian Church is, from whence the departure must be made to denominate it Schismatical: If he means a particular Congregation united under its proper Pastor according to the Laws of Christ, it will prove it Schismatical to depart from a Church of Nonconformists. If he understands a National Church, he should do well to prove, that such a Church is of Christs institution; but I shall wave these and many more till he has discanted upon the parreulars of his own Definition.

5. r. I call it (says be) a departure or separation from the

Society of the Church, to diftinguish it from other fins, which

'though

[185] though they are breaches of the Laws of our Religion, and 'contequently of the Church, yet are not a renunciation of the Society. There may be fuch a Person, who for his wickedness deserves to be cast out of the Church, as being a scandal 'and dishonour to it, yet neither separating himself, nor be-ing cast out of the Society, remains still a Member of it. This is indeed too true, and hence it is, that many Churches are fo over run with scandalous Debauches, that ther's very little difference between the impaled Garden, and the wide Wilderness: And perhaps was there more of this Authoritative separation, there would be less of that prudential separation: If rotten and gangreened Members were cut off, the found would not have that necessity to provide for their own fecurity; If the Contagion were not fo Epidemical, there were less need to seek our for better and more wholesome Airs: when an Impudent Blasphemer, who out faces the Sun. the Notoriery of whose crime needs no Dilator, shall yet quietly maintain his station in a Church, whilst others for not comeing up to a Ceremonie, shall be rejected, though otherwise holy and inoffensive, men may make Models and Idea's of Schilm to fave their credits long enough, before they will be much regarded.

S. 2. I call it (fays he) a voluntary separation to distinguish fin from punishment, or Schism from Excommunication. Yes, but he ought to have called it Voluntary upon a higher account, in opposition to such departure as is made with regret and reluctancy: for when a fincere Christian has used all due means to inform himself of the Truth of such a Principle. or the Lawfulness of fuch a practice as may be made the condition of Communion with that Society; when he has asked advice of God and his Word, when he has prayed with David, that God would open his Eyes, when he has conferred with the most judicious and impartial Christians, when he humbly and modestly represented to the Pastors has and Governours of that Church, the suspected condition, or the innovation crept into the Church, and yet can neither procure Reformation of the abuse, nor toleration of his particular non complyance, nor yet find farisfaction of the Lawfulness of such practice, he may without guilt withdraw himfelf from that Society; nor ought this to be charged upon

him as a departure, having in it any thing of finful voluntarinefs: when a Merchant throws his Lading over-board to preferve Life, I grant that he may be faid willingly to throw it away, because his precious Life preponderates and turns the Scale of the will, yet none will condemn that poor Merchant of too little affection to his Merchandice. Thus when a Christian can find no rest, no satisfaction to his Conscience from those suspected conditions, which in the constant exercise of his Communion do recur, and shall recede from that Society, joyning himself to another, where with sull satisfaction of Spirit he may pursue his own Edification, such a one ought not to be charged with a voluntary departure, nor shall it be charged upon him as such in the judgment of him that shall judge the World.

S. 3. 'Icall it ( fays be ) a departure from a particular \*Church, or from a part of the visible Church, to distinguish 'it from Apostacy, which is a casting of the whole Religion on, the name and profession of Christianity: But here his definition is very crazie, and ill joynted: for it ought to be defined a departure from a particular Church of Chrif; to distinguish it from such a Constitution, as is either no particular Church of Christs institution, or none, so far as the separation is made from it: such a one as is not united un. der Christs Officers, nor conjoyned by Christs Ligaments: Christ has taken special care that there may be no Schism in the Body, 1 Cor. 12. 25. And for this end he has commanded a spirit of mutual forbearance and condescension; he has mingled and tempered the Body together with such exact geometrical proportion, that each of the parts may care for the other; for this end also he has instituted some extraordinary Officers, whose work and Office was to cease with the prefent exigency and occasion, and the ordinary, whose Office and Employment (as the Reasons of them) were to be perpetual. Now if any Society of Men, calling themselves a Church (and in the main respects being really so ) retaining the great Doctrines of Christianity, and such Ordinances whereby Salvation is attainable, shall yet put it self under other Officers than Christ has appointed, and practice other Ordinances than he has instituted, and make Communion with her impracticable, without submitting to such Officers, fuch fuch Ordinances; Separation from that Society, can be no feparation from a particular Church of Christ: Because, though they may be such a Church in the main, yet so far as the separation is made, they are not so, and they deny Communion with them, so far as they are a Church of Christ; because of non-submission to them, so far as they are not a

Church of Christ.

§. 4. 'I add (fays he) these words-whereof he was once a Member, because Schism imports division, and making two of that which was but one before. So that if an Act was made to divide some of our greater Parishes (which are much larger than some of the Primitive Diocesses) into two, under their distinct Pastors, this must be a Schisin, according to this famous definition; for here is, 1. A voluntary departure, 2. From a particular Church. 3. Whereof once they were all Members; and wherein 4. they might all have

continued without fin.

But the most considerable thing here will be, how I became a Member of that Church, from which the departure is supposed to be made; for 1. To be forced into a Church, will never make me fuch a Member, but that I may re-assume my liberty and right when the force is removed; Violence and Constraint unite me no otherwise to a Church, than a great Beetle unites a Wedge to a Tree; which though it may by main strengh be driven into the Tree, yet not being engraffed into it, no Union is created with it, nor does it derive any nourishing juices from it.
2. Baptism alone will not do it: because as I conceive, that Ordinance folemnly unites me only to the Catholick visible Church, and not to a particular Congregation; otherwise, whenever the Providence of God shall transplant me into another particular Church, I must be re-baptized, and so as often as I remove, because as to that Church I am unhaptized 2. Nor will my being born and bred within National limits and precincts, denominate me a Member of such National Church or Constitution, because it passes for a currant Mxim, That the Church is in the Commonwealth, and therefore Church and Kingdom; Church-member and Subject are not Terms of equal extent and dimensions. And besides, there are many Congregations of Christians in this Nation, not syncretizing

with the National Policy, who yet are not stigmatized with the Brand of Schismatical, but without the least reproach of Schism Worship God, and exercise Discipline according to their own private and peculiar Laws. 4. Therefore to make me a Member of a particular Church, there must be the concurrence of my own free choice, which whether it ought to be signified by express and overt Acts, or that an implicite and tacite consent may not suffice, is here no season to Discourse,

s. 5. But the only difficulty (I am fure the greatest) is, that which he subjoins in these words - 'An unnecessary feparation, or without just cause, or to separate from that 'Society wherein I may continue without sin. I wo extreams

there are it feems.

- 1. Of The Zealots of the Church of Rome, who scarcely allow any thing as a sufficient cause of separation: But I look on this as a very unjust surmise of the Romanists; for their most rigid Zealots will in Thesi allow sinful conditions, imposed for a just ground of sinless departure, only they deny to individuals a judgment of discretion to determine each for himself of the sinsulness of the condition; And thus what they feem to give with the right hand, they take away with the left: And herein our Enquirer is as strait laced as they; for though in the general he will prodigally allow us, that finfull Impositions are a just plea for separation, yet he has forestalled that concession all along with a fine contrivance; That our private Wisdom must lower the Topfail to the publick. Thus p. 64. 'Since the peace of the 'Church often depends upon such points as Salvation does 'not, and fince in many of those, every man is not a 'Competent judge, but must either be in danger of being 'deceived himself, and of troubling others, one of necesfity must trust some body else wifer than himself; so that the matter according to this Gentlemans Hypothesis, is just as iong as 'tis broad; but that the Church of Rome speaks that with open Mouth, which he delivers between the Teeth.
  - 2. The other supposed extream is, that of some Protestants, who make the causes of separation as many, and as light

light as the Jews did of Divorce, almost for any matter whatsoever: But as our Saviour, when the case was put, sound
out a middle way betwixt Divorce for no cause at all,
and for every cause, so ought it to be done in this business of

Schism.

Reader, we are now in a hopeful way for the compromising all the controversies that have vext our Northern Climate, and to seal general Releases of all Actions, and causes of Actions against each other, from the beginning of the Reformation, to the day of the Date of these prefents: for as we may charitably presume of all our Episcopal Brethren, that they will stand to the final award of so great an undertaker as our Enquirer, so I am consident I may engage for all the diffenting Brethren, that they will abide by the Umpirage of Jesus Christ; and that whatever expedient he used in deciding the grand Question about Divorce, shall conclude them in all their debates about Schism: Now the final Decision of that affair we find, Mat. 19. 8. Where our Saviour considers not what could plead inveterate Custom or a gray headed practife to abet its pretensions, he slights all the Arguments from laudable Examples, and the Traditions of their Foresfathers, and runs up the practice to its Primitive Institution; and tells them, From the beginning it was not so. And indeed if a Transcirpt be blotted or blurr'd, we presently have recourse to the Original, and from thence redintegrate whatever the hungry worm, or greedy Moth hath defaced: when the Streams are muddied and polluted, we relieve our selves from the Spring, where the Virgin, and unpolluted waters, flow clearest and sweetest, without Adulterate mixtures. It was the cry in the Council of Nice, Agxaia inθη κεαθείτω, and we cry the same one and all, Let the Terms of Communion in the first Plantation of the Gospel-Church be produced, and he that will not subscribe, and submit to those Archerypes, let him be branded for an obstinate Schismatick.

Now therefore if ever our Erquirer promises himself and us, that he will H.t the mack; I say then, and then

only, is there just cause of separation; when persevera in the Communion of such a Church cannot be without fin, that is, when she shall impose such Laws and Terms of Society, as cannot be submitted to, without apparent breach of the Divine Law, Thus he fays! And if I should tell the Reader, I say the contrary, we should make a squable on't, to render our felves ridiculous; let it therefore neither be what I fay, nor what he fays, but what wifer men than us both fay, who may be prefumed more impartial in their Judgments, wherein they vindicate the Diffenters, because they were, or are eminent Members' of this Church. And first I will prefent him with the Judgment of Mr. Hales, a Person of whom the Church of England has great cause to boast. Now amongst many other things to our purpose, in his Treatise of Schism, he acquaints us; 1. 'That when either faile or uncertain conclusions are obtruded for Truth, or acts either un-lawful or ministring just scruple, are required of us to be performed? in these cases, consent were Conspiracy, and open Contestation, is not Faction or Schism; but due Christian Animosity. 2. That nothing absolves men from the guilt of fin, but true and unpretended Confcience. Therefore such a Conscience will absolve from the guilt of it. 3. That where the cause of Schism is necessary, there not he that separates, but he that is the Cause of the separation is the Schismatick. 4. That to require the execution of fome unlawful or suspected act, is a igust cause of refusing Communion; for not only in Reason. bur in Religion too, that Maxim admits of no Reelease, Cautissimi cujusque præceptum, quod. dubitas ne se-ceris. 5. That it hath been the common Disease of Christians from the beginning, not to content themselves with that measure of Faith which God and Scriptures have 'expressy afforded, but out of a vain desire to know more than is revealed, they have attempted to devise things, of which we have no Light neither from Reason nor Reevelation; neither have they rested here, but upon pretence of Church Authority (which is none) or Tradition. ( which for the most part is but feigned ) they have peremrorily concluded, and confidently imposed upon others a necessity of entertaining conclusions of that nature. 6. To

load our publick forms with the private fancies upon which we differ, is the most Soveraign way to perpetuate Schisin unto the Worlds end. Prayer, Confession, Thanksgiving, Reading of Scriptures, Administration of Sacraments in the plainest and the simplest manner, were matter enough to furnish out a sufficient Liturgie, though nothing, either of private Opinion, or of Church Pomp, of Garments. or prescribed Gestures, of Imagery, of Musick, of matter concerning the Dead, of many superfluities which creep into the Church, under the name of Order and Decency, did interpose it self. To charge Churches and Liturgies with things unnecessary, was the first beginning of all Superstition. 7. That no occasion hath produced more frequent. more continuous, more Sanguineous Schisms, than Episcopal Ambition hath done. 8. That they do but abuse themselves and others, that would perswade us, that Bishops, by Christs institution have any Superiority over other men. further than that of Reverence: or that any Bishop is superior to another, further than positive Order agreed upon amongst Christians, hath prescribed. 9. In times of manifest Corruptions and Persecutions wherein Religious saffembling is dangerous: Private Meetings however, besides publick Order, are not only Lawful, but they are of neceffity and duty: All pious Affemblies in times of Perfecution and Corruption, however practifed, are indeed, or rather Alone the Lawful Congregations, and publick Assemblies, though according to form of Law, are indeed no thing else but Riots and Conventicles, if they be stained ·with Corruption and Superstition.

There is one Person more, whom, since he has quoted Incognito for an excellent Person, I will the rather recommend to his consideration. Irenic. p. 109. Where speaking of the private Christiar, he says; He is bound to adhere to that Church, which appears most to retain the Evangelical purity. And p 116. He is bound to break off from that Society which enjoyns a mixture of some Corruptions as to practice: One word from Dr. Jackson, chap. 14. of the Church: where he acquits those of the Schism which withdraw from that Church which imposeth Rites and Customs, that cross the Rule of Faith and Charity. Bishop Bramballs Testimony will pass for sterling, p.7, 3. of Schism: When there is a mutual division of two parts, or members of the mysical Body of Christ one

from

from the other; yet both retaining Communion with the universal Church, 'quamcunque partem amplexus fueris Schismaticus' non Audies, quippe quod, universa Ecclesia neutram daminavit: Which side soever you close with, you shall not be reproacht for a Schismatick, because the universal Church has condemned neither side: And he plainly tells us, p. 101, That it was not the erroneous Opinions of the Church of Rome, but the obtruding them by Laws open other Churches that warranted

Next we will hear a word from the Learned Lord Verulam, 'Tis a fign (fays be) of exasperation to condemn the contrary part as a Sect; yea, and some indiscreet Persons have been bold in open Preaching, to use dishonourable and derogatory speaches and centures of the Churches abroad, and that so far, as that some of our men (as I have heard) ordained in foreign parts, have been pronounced no Lawful Ministers,—And surther; let us remember, that the Ancient and true bounds of unity, are one Faith, one Baptism, and not one Ceremony, one Policy; and endeavour to comprehend that saying, Differentia Rituum commendat unitatem Dostrina: 'Christs Coat was indeed without Seam, yet the Churches Garment was of divers Colours.

Amongst all these, we must not forget the Noble and Gallant Person the Lord Falkland, Alittle search will find them, (He speaks of no little ones) to have been the destruction of Unity, under pretence of Uniformity; to have brought in superstition and scandal under Titles of Reverence and Decency, to have slacked the strictness of Unity, which was between us, and those of our own Relieves

gion beyond the Seas.

Socrates, lib. 5, cap. 21. tells us, that in his time there Could scarcely be found two Chruches that used the same Forms of Prayers. In France, the Ritual of Paris differ'd from that of Anjou, and in England we had our Devotions secundum usum Sarum and secundum usum Bangor; and yet the one never reproach the other for Sectaries or Schisinaricks; I am consident therefore to affert it, That neither the Wit nor Malice of man can prove him a Schisinatick, who mantaining Evangelical Love towards, and holding the substantial Doctrines owned by the Church of England, shall either ont of choice, or necessity, transplant himself from under the spreading shadow of a goodly Cathedral, to a Parochial Church, and yet the one hath its Organs, Adocation

Adoration towards the East, and Altar, Adoration at the Naming of Jesus, with multitudes of Rites and Observances; unknown to the Villages, and far more differing from the Parochial Usages and Customs, than the Worship of most country Towns differ from that of the Non-conformiss.

After all this, I shall throw up the Authority of these great names, and give him full scope for his Rational Abilities, to prove his Proposition, when I have first noted those few things.

only thing that can excuse Separation from the guilt of Schissin: but will not a real breach of the Divine Law serve the turn, unless it be so apparent as he can desire? I perswade my self, God never yet spake so loud, that they who have barracadoed their ears with prejudice, will hear him: nor ever yet wrote so plain, that they will see his mind, whose eyes Interest hath sealed up: And what if it be an apparent breach of the Divine Law in the sincere judgment of him that separates; must he never discharge his Duty, till he can perswade all the world to see

, theirs, and pursue it.

§ 2. Who shall be Judge, whether the Imposed Terms contain an apparent breach of the Divine Law? and such as will justifie a separation? Mr. Hales indeed tells us, It's a point of no great depth or difficulty; but yet the true solution of it, carries fire in the tail of it; for it brings with it a piece of Doctrine which is seldom pleasing to Superiors. But were it not that men hate chargeable Truth more than cheap Error, and love cheap Corruptions beyond costly Reformation, there could be no great difficulty who should be Judge in this case: For 1. Who may more justly challenge a Liberty to judge what is sin, than he that must be damned if he do sin: He that fins at anothers Command will hardly perswade him to be his substitute in the Condemnation, nor will God accept him for the finners proxy. 2. If the Church may judge; Then though her terms be the most apparent violations of the Divine Law, yet there can never be any lawfull separation, unless we can imagin her so modest, as to confess a sin, and yet at the same time, so immodest as to impose it. To acknowledge her Terms sinfull, and yet with the same breath to enjoyn them as necessary to Communion.

It will be pretended, that the fame inconveniencies will follow the other may: for if a particular person who withdraws, must judge, then let the Terms be persetly Innocent, yet to be sure,

he

he will plead, that they are sinfull; unless we can suppose him so modest, as to confess himself a Schismatick; and yet at the same time so immodest, as to persevere in his Schism. But I conceive that this arguing is very wide: 1. Because every mans Soul lies at stake, and it cannot be imagined that he should either not fludy its Interest, or that understanding it, he should not endeavour to secure it: I speak of such as are otherwise conscientious; for if he be a person vitious, and profligate, one catenup of wickedness, we ought not to flatter our selves with any great hopes of the impartiality of his determinations: And if he have thus debauched his Conscience, the Laws are open; The Magistrate who has his power from the Moral Law, has by the fame Law fufficient Authority to coerce by punishments, whatever Enormities are committed against it. 2. We have no reason to suppose any man to be a Hyprocrite in the matter of Separation, which is attended with fo many dreadfull penalties, unless notorious evidence will warrant fuch a Judgment.

§ 3. A rational suspicion of the breach of a Divine Law is enough in the Apostles judgment to justifie the suspension of my own Act; and if it prove no other then a thing indifferent in it felf, yet such distaits action will excuse from sin: for so the Apostle, Rom. 14. 5. Let every man be fully persuaded in his own mind. 14. To him that esteemeth any thing to be unclean, to him it is unclean. 23. He that doubteth, is damned if he eat, because he eateth not of Faith;

for what soever is not of Faith is fin.

The Enquirer has all this while flood like the very picture of Modesty, equally placed between the two extreams of all Separation, and no separation: have but a little patience, and you shall see how manfully he will behave himself against them both.

I. For the poor Romanists, he gives them a small pat with his Foot, and they are silenced for ever. It's plain (says he) it can be no sin to separate, when it's a sin to Communicate. Yet very plain it is! bu so say the Dissenters. It can be no sin for us to separate, when it's a sin to communicate: Ay but (says he) It was an apparent breach of the Divine Law upon which we separated from Rome. Why so say the Dissenters: It's an apparent breach of the Divine Law, upon which we separated from the Church of England. Ay but (will he say) you ought to have trusted wiser men than your selves, and not like the Antipodes, to have run upon

upon your heads: Oh! but then comes in the Romanist, and falls upon his bones: You ought to have trusted wiser men than your selves, your Superiours in the Church, from whom you separated, and not have ran upon your heads: Nay, replies he, but we have a judgment of Discretion, and ought to be Masters of our own Reason, so far as to take Cognizance of our own Acts: Well! The Non-conformists catch that word by the end, before it be well out of his Mouth; We are for a judgment of Discretion too, and ought so far to be Masters of our own Reasons, as not to act against them; nothing can be returned than I can foresee, but that it is not for such pitifull sheaks as the Discretes, to talk of Conscience, and a judgment of Discretion, its enough for the Gendarms of reason to make use of that plea against Rome, But I will leave him to squabble it out with the Romish Synagogue.

2. He has quickly (you fee) shaken them their foddering; but these cumbersome Fanaticks stick as close to him as a Burr,

and therefore he must now give them a rattle:

It's plain ( fays he ) that Schism being so great a sin, and of so ex. tream bad consequences, that which must acquit me of the guilt of it in my separation, can be nothing less than an equal danger on the other hand, and that when I may persevere without sin, it must of necessity be a sin to separate upon inferior distikes. This looks pretty well at first but for a few Inconveniences in the Argument. 1. That he begs the whole Question at a Clap, the question was, whether the Imposition of such Laws and Terms of Society as cannot be submitted to without apparent breach of the Divine Law be the only just cause of separation? He affirms it, and for proof gives us this, that Schism being so great a sin, that which must acquit me of the Guilt of it in my separation, must be equal danger of sinning: wherein he supposeth that all separation is Schism, where there is not equal danger of sinning, that is, of an apparent breach of the Divine Law, which is but the question a little difguised to make it more paliable to the unwary Reader; the truth is, Schism is so great a sin that no danger of sinning whatsoever can acquit me of it, for I do not know that any thing will compound for uncharitableness, for pride, for obstinacy, but separation for the benefit of all Gods Ordinances, but I may be edified and built up in the most holy Faith, but nothing of the nature of the abominable fin in it. 2. Diffenters will answer, that the danger of smning is more clear and evident when I act against my Conscience, than in the separating peaceably and modefily from a particular Church; for it cannot be law-

N 2 full

full in any Case to act against my light, but it may be lawfull in some Cases to separate from a particular Church: there is less danger of fin in breaking a humane Constitution, which ('tis at least) questionable whether man has power to enjoyn, than of violating the dictate of my own reason informed by all the mean I can use from the Word of God. 3. Non-conformists fay, that they ought not to feparate, but when non-feparation is finfull, but then they fay, that non-feparation may be finfull upon other accounts than the apparent breach of the Divine Law made the terms of entring into, or continuing in communion: for, say they, it is our sin, if a Church retains fome corruptions in it, which trejudice edification, and she shall refolve never to make any further progress towards a through Reformation, not to provide for my felf elsewhere, and having opportunity, I fin if I take not, accept not the advantage which providence offers me, and wherein the Word warrants me.

And yet he wonders that any doubt should be admitted in this Case. Let me advise him to beware of Excessive wonderment: they say, it will make a man as lean as a rake: but what's the Cause of his admiration? Why some think to wash their hands of the Imputation of Schism upon other terms; as namely, if a Church shall not require such terms of Communion as are Express sinfull, yet if the shall require indifferent unnecessary, or at most suffected things, that in this case there is enough to Excuse the person that shall separate from a participation of this sin: There are some no doubt of that sudgment: and when I have prælibated a few things,

he shall have his full blow at them.

§ 1. That when they joyn iffue upon this point, 'tis not because they are satisfied that the things required unto Communion as the antecedent conditions of it, or to be practised in communion as the matter of it are indifferent in their use and application, for they are ready to maintain it upon equal laws, before equal Judges, that they are sinfull in their use, antecedent to their imposition, but the true reasons why they use this place, are, 1. Ex abundanti, thinking that their very outworks are impregnable against his batteries. 2. Out of this Church which they highly honour, and reverence her too much then to charge her statly with sinfull impositions; and therefore do offer this state of the question, and are hardly capable of so much incivility to so considerable a body, and so great a part of the visible Catholick Church, unless the

importunity of fome modest men did extort that answer from them. 3. They doubt whether fome Churchmen will be Masters of fo much patience as to bear freedom though temperateness of speech, which is absolutely necessary to the managing the Coutroversie when thus stated: and have reason to fear that some who provoke them to affert the singularity of the terms, will make such an Affertion an unpardonable sin, not to

be expiated without, if with Martyrdom.

§ 2. When he states the question about things suspected to be finfull, I hope he will give them the common civility to draw up their own plea in their own terms, and to Explane what they mean by suspected things in the question: because they are not compelled to maintain Every proposition which he in his well known charity shall obtrude upon them: A practice then may be taken upon suspicion of sinfulness two ways, first, upon light, flighty, trivial grounds of suspicions, which have no weight, with a ferious and rational Confiderer: Or 2dly, upon violent presumptions, such as may stagger a person of good judgment and diligence: and'tis these that they are willing to argue it with him; whether if a Church shall impose such things as the terms of Communion with her, as have, or do, or may puzzle judicious persons about their Lawfulness, and cannot clear it up to their Consciences that they are Lawfull, yet they may not forbear Communion in this Cafe.

\$3. They defire the same justice in explaining themselves about the term indifferent: A thing may be indifferent in its own nature, Which is not so in its use, as implyed to and practised in the immediate worship and service of God; If the things under debate be sound upon diligent search to remain indifferent after they were vested with all their circumsauces in Gods worship, they have no quarrel against them that I know of; but if they be only indifferent in their own general nature, they desire to be Excused if they dare not admit the consequence, that therefore they must needs be so when used in Gods worship, & then made the terms of Communion.

§ 4. Unneceffary things may be either such as are absolutely unneceffary, or such as are hypothetically so, some things that are not absolutely and in themselves necessary, yet may become necessary pro hic & nunc, even antecedent to the interposition of the Churches Authority; And Dissenters say, that whatever the Church shall impose ought to be necessary, either in it self, or by concurrent Circumstances, which when they shall conspire to-

N 3 gether,

gether, may be by a Lawfull authority Enjoyned pro tempore, that is, so long as such necessity shall continue, which when once removed, the things ought to return into their former Classian.

fis of Indifferents.

s 5. When they deny the Churches power of imposing things express infull, they deny also a power of imposing things snfull by just consequence; They judge many things snfull which are not forbidden, it is finally in the Scriptures, and many things duties which are not Literally and Syllabically commanded in the Scriptures: They say not that the sign of the Cross was ever by name prohibited: but they say there are undoubted maximis laid down in the word, from which it will undeniably follow that in its present station in the Church it is sinfull; And now he may when he pleases speak his three things, which if they be pertinent and proved as strongly as they are spoken confidently, I am content.

(1.) His first say consists of a Concession and an Assertion.

§ 1. His Concession, I willingly acknowledge that such a Church as shall fludiously or carelestyclog her Communion with unnecessary, burdensome and suspected conditions, is very highly to blame, that is, she sins; but that it became not him to tell her fo; and then I will venture to fay a few words also. I. If it be the churches fin to command unnecessary, burdensome, and suspected conditions; It cannot be my duty to obey: A Church may possibly sin in the manner of ber Command, and yet I not sin in doing the matter of the Command, but when fee fms in the Enjoyning, I cannot conceive how it should be my sin not to give Obedience; for I look upon my Duty as the refult of the Churches Authority, and wherein the has no Authority it will be impossible to find a Foundation upon which to build my Duty: All offices of Justice arise from that relation wherein he that claims and he that yields subjection stand to each other: And where there's no relation, there can be no relative duty: now in this case before us where the Church has no power to command, nay, where the fins, if the commands, to far the is none of my Superiour; and therefore to far the relation is none, and by confequence the duty just as much. 2. If the Church be to blame highly, nay, very highly to blame, that clogs her Communion with these burdensom things, then we may presume she fins, for who shall dare to assume so much freedom as to blame her, unless she transgress the Law of her God? If then she have finned and transgressed some Law of God, it must be some ne-

gative precept, thou shalt not impose burdensome things; for it is a principle our Enquirer will not sell for Gold: That whatever is not forbidden, is Lawfull; If then God had not forbidden her to impose such burdensome things, she could not sin, or be to blame in so doing (according to his principles) now fay I, the fame God that has prohibited the Churches Imposition of has also prohibited my subjection to burdensom conditions: And let this Gentleman produce his Scriptures for the one, and I will drop Texts with him for the other when he pleases; Thus we are commanded, 1 Cor. 7. 23. not to be the Servants of men: not only bought with a price, and fet free once, but commanded to affert that freedom, and Gal. J. I. to stand fast in that Liberty wherewith Christ bath made us free, and not again to be entangled in the yoke of bondage: Now if ever these Scriptures do us any service, or be of any use, it must be in this particular, that I am obliged not to take a burden and cumber upon my Soul, at his hands who has no Authority to impose it : If then a Church shall clog her Communion with burdenfom things, she is to blame, she fins, and I am not bound to obey, and therefore my departure can be no Schism, I mean no Schism but one of mans creating. 3. If a Church fin in clogging her Communion with things which without crime or fraud are suspected of sin upon such grounds as are allowed just and ponderous in other cases, then it cannot be my fin to separate: for the Church fins in commanding, and I should fin against the Authority of God in my Conscience in doing what I really upon strong presumptions judge to be finfull, though it were not commanded: And now one would think it could be no fuch meritorious work, no act so acceptable to God to persevere in the communion of a Church, when the fins in commanding, and I fin in obeying suspected conditions.

\$ 2. We come now to his Affertion: Notwithstanding all this which he has granted, he will fetch it back again, if it be possible, and we shall gain nothing by any thing he gives us, and there are also two parts of his Affertion. I. The negative part; 'I'is not burdensomness, nor every light suspicion of sin that can justifie any Separation; concerning the burdensomness we have spoken somewhat before, yet a word or two about the suspicion; 'tis not a light, or however not every light suspicion that is but like the dust of the balance that will do it: really it was cunningly fenced! He expects perhaps that we should affert every light suspicion that weighs no more than a feather.

A should

should be enough to justifie a separation; Ay, but there are wiolent presumptions, which they say in some cases are admitted for good evidence. If I meet a person coming out of the house in a greatrage with a bloody Sword in his hand, and immediately I enter in and find a person lying in his blood, I do asure you I shall not condemn my self for lightness of belief, or eafiness of entertaining suspicions; if I suspect the man I met to have been the murderer; light suspicions may be as easily shook off as fastened on, and contemned as tendered: but it becomes no wise man to all against these strong presumptions of sin, which the Diffenters have of the imposed terms of Communion; And it will appear they are fuch as may make a hardy refolute person stand and pause before he rushes upon the practice. 1. They are fure that Christis the perfect, and therefore the onely Law-giver of his Church: had he not been the former, there had been no pretence he should be the latter: Now seeing these terms of Communion are Laws imposed upon the Church; they feem to impeach his wisdome that he saw not the fittest terms for his Churches to hold communion upon, they do reproach his care that he has not left Laws enough for his Church, and they feem to invade his Authority without any Warrant: all which things are enough to raife a fufpicion (at least) of good strength in a wife mans breast, which none but a hardy spirit would act against.

2. They are fure that fome of these conditions have been occasioned by and used in and with, and are suited and accommodated to the groffest Idolatry that ever was in the world, and is at this day used to give countenance to it: And they fay that they are fure that God did once bate Idolatry, and fo hate it as that he could not endure to be ferved in the Veffels, worshipt in the places, nor after the manner (in the most minute circumstances ) that Idolatry was committed in; and therefore we have reason to suspect that the things required of us are displeasing to God: our using of them has emboldened Idolaters, and hardened them to go on without Repentance in the way of fogreat abomination: Nor have they been a Bridge, as we hoped, to bring them over to us, but a Boat to waft us over to them, they being more hardened by our retaining them, and fome of our own made more wavering; thinking there can be no great difference between those Religions, where there is so great a Symbolizing in outward modes and Ceremonies.

3. They are fure that all uncommanded Worship is forbidden Worship, and do think their time ill bestowed with him that shall deny it: All worship being part of that Homage and Service we owe to God, it will be impossible to guess what he will accept as such without Revelation: Now we are sure that the Enquirer owns the Liturgy to have been a principal part of Worship: and we are as sure that the Ceremonies are part if the Liturgy, and that which is a part of a part, is part of the whole; nor can any man discern any difference between them and other things which are consessedly parts of Worship, and therefore they think they may with modesty say, there's ground enough for a violent suspicion of their sinsulness.

2. The affirmative part of his Affertion follows; It must be plain necessity or certainty of sin in complyance that can justifie any separation; Ishould be glad to know what certainty of sin he will allow to justifie a separation: does he expect a Mathematical certainty? or onely a Moral assurance? If you ask an Arethmetician, says (J. Martyr in his Dialogue with Trypho) how many twice two will make? he will answer you as often, four: and if I were asked a thousand times what certainty of sin were required to the suspension of my own act, I would as often answer, no more of necessity than that the thing does not appear to my best and impartial judgment to be Lawfull, separation is not necessarily a sin, there are as pregnant Commands for it, as prohibitions of it. It may be a Duty, and it may be a Sin, and why need we not as plain argument to prove that separation is not my duty; as that it is not my sin? Seeing it may be one as well as the other? why now he arrests us with his Reasons.

§ 1. For a finuch (fays he) as I cannot be discharged from a plain duty, but by an equal plainness of sin. This Reason looks very prettily at first fight, and yet it demonstrates no more than a great good will to the cause: And, 1. 'Tis no more than a plain begging of the Question; viz. That Complyance is a plain duty, Schism indeed is a plain sin, but separation is not plainly Schism; It is a plain contradiction to the Assertion, but not a plain consultation of it, unless the denial of it in other words be a consultation. The business in short is this; I suspect such Complyance is not my duty: and again, I suspect the terms of Communion are sinfull, and surely we may set suspected sin, as a Bar to what is but suspected duty at any time of the day; let us a little compare things: that which he calls plain duty, is comply-

ance with fuch a Church as imposes things unnecessary, burthensom and suspicious: that which he calls plain son, is refusing such compliance; now this, say I, is a plain begging of the Question, which is all the plainness in his Reason: First, to enquire whether such a separation or non-complyance be lawfull, and then to suppose such Complyance to be plain duty. And then to assign this for the Reason, that nothing but equal plainness of sin can discharge me from a plain duty. 2. Dissenters do affirm that it is as plain, nay more plain, and if he will have it so much more plain a sin to practise the Ceremonies than to separate, for separation may be a duty in some cases, but the practising of such Ceremonies cannot be a duty in any case, on this side divine prescription: God has warranted separation in the General, but he has not so warranted Ceremonies.

§ 2. His second reason is, And for this phrase, suspected; it is so loose and uncertain, that there's no hold of it; men will easily suspect what they have no mind to: whether this word fuspected be a phrase or no, I shall leave to the wrangling Grammarians, onely I am afraid in a while every small particle will be a phrase, where these Gentlemens occasions require it. A suspected evil is no fuch trivial thing in the Apostles Divinity, as the Enquirer would perswade us: to act in any case when we have not clear light into the Lawfulness of the action, is fin, and such a one as renders the actor obnoxious to eternal damnation: He that doubts is damned if be eat. And indeed to all against the restraint of our own judgment though mistaken, argues a mind prepared to act against our judgments, if they had not been mistaken : He that shall attempt to clip the Kings Coyn, may meet with a plaited piece, yet had it been right stander'd, he would have serv'd it no better: that men will easily suspect what they have no mind to, ought not to prejudice those who have no mind to a thing, because they suspect it to be evil. 'Tis as easie for another to fay that what men have a desperate love to, they will never be brought to suspect its susfulness. What wise man would suspect four or five hundred pounds per Annum to be unlawfull? our Inelinations naturally warp towards ease, and rest, and they that know no God but their mistaken selves, will own no Scripture but what is dictated from the inspiration of fleshly Interest. The Byass of nature draws us more to suspect the Law-fulness of that which being unlawfull would undo us, than to fuspe& suspect that to be unlawfull, which being Lawfull would ad-

vance us.

And this may justifie all fober Diffenters, that they who condemn them are confessed to be to blame in clogging their Communion with unnecessary, burthensom and suspected conditions, and they who are condemned for suspecting it, to be as sinfull in them to obey, as it in others to command, and thereupon suspending their complyance, are either violently cast out of, or not admitted into communion. And this

is your monstrous Schismatick.

And I am very confident that not onely the Scripture, but all Antiquity with the unanimous fuffrage of the Reformed Churches will justifie and acquit that person of the guilt of Schism, who being found in the faith, holy in his life, earnestly pleading, and petitioning for Reformation, humbly desiring he may have Christs ordinances upon Christs terms, and yet being denied his Right shall make his applications to some other particular Church of Christ, where his admittance may be more easie, his continuance more certain and safe, his mind not distracted with suspicions about his own actings, but his whole strength and spirits expended in the edification of his own foul in truth, holines, and peace, which before were

wasted in doubtfull disputations.

(2.) The second thing he will say is, if the non-necessity of some of the terms of Communion be a warrant of separation, then there can be no fuch thing as Schism at all: I doubt not but he intends that his argument shall proceed à remotione Consequentis ad remotionem Antecedentis: But there is such a sin as Schism, therefore the nonnecessity of some of the terms of Communion is not a warrant of separation: there are many things I would answer to this argument: 1. That the whole Syllogism is troubled with an old malady called ignoratio Elenchi, for it is not half an hour ago fince he undertook to prove that the Churches requiring indifferent, unnecessary, or at most suspected things would not excuse the person that should separate from the Guilt of Schism. Afterwards he put in burthensom into the Question: And now I perceive he would be glad to take Eggs for his money, if he could get them, and fit down content with this forry conclusion, that the the non-necessity of some of the Terms is no sufficient warrant of separation: Which fault is an argument some call an Ignorance of the Question, but I call it a fighting with his own shadow, what if bare nonnecessity necessity alone, without burthensomness, or what if both these, without strong suspicion of sin will not warrant it, yet if all conjunct will do the feat, Diffenters will escape the Hue and Cry after Schism, and Schismaticks. 2. I deny his Consequence: there might be, there would be Schism, too much Schism, though I confess not half so much as there is though the non-necessity of the Terms were a good warrant of separation: If Schism lay in nothing indeed but running away from a Church (as the vulgar error carries it) he had come a little nearer the mark; but if we durst content our selves with the Scripture notion of Schism which includes those feuds, heats, intestine broils, those envyings, malignities, wherewith factious and bandying parties in the bosom of a Church do perfecute each other, there might be as much Schism as almost the Devil could desire, and yet no separation: But let us hear his proof of the Consequence, forasmuch (sayshe) as there never was, nor probably ever will be such a Church as required nothing of those in her Communion, but things strilly and absolutely necessary, Ergo what? why therefore if the non-necessity of some of the terms of Communion be a sufficient warrant of separation, there can be no such thing as Schifm, pray forbear, there is a medium as I take it between non necessary, and strictly and absolutely necessary; I mean those things which being neither necessary in their own nature, nor made so by any politive Law of God in particular: yet by a concurrence of weighty circumstances serving under some General Command of God, do become at that time, and under those circumstances necessary, and these are those things wherein many Churches, I suppose, all Churches have at one time or other Exercised their power, now then though'tis true that if the non-absolute necestly of the Terms of commuion be a warrant of separation, there would be no fuch thing as Schifm at all by unwarrantable separation from a Church, because perhaps there never was a Church that required nothing of those in her Communion but things absolutely necessary; yet it may be true, that the non-necessity of the Terms of communion may be a good warrant for separation, and yet there may be Schism enough in the world: for captious froward Spirits will be cavilling at, and dividing upon the account of those things which by a particular Church are required becoming necessary from circumstances: but to inform him aright in this matter; Non-conformifts do affirm, that whatever is made a condition of Communion, ought to have some kind of necessity in it or with it, antecedent to its imposition; and being made such a condition; still he is harping upon, and has great mind to prove what we are not concern'd to deny; but if he tempts to it, perhaps I may deny it, That there was never, nor ever will be any such Church, &c. And because I would entertain the Reader with a little of our Enquirers merriments, he shall

hear his proof of the point.

1. He tells us he has shewed us this partly in the Introduction, and fo pag. 2. For proof of the foundness of this Churches constitution, he possus over to the Introduction, and when we come to turn over this Introduction, there's an honest well-meaning oration of fomething or other without proof. 2. He could easily make it appear at large through all Ages; well, then we will suspend our belief till his Magdeburgensis come abroad. 3. He will save bimself, and the Reader the labour (of writing the Century's) very good! We are satisfied any way i'th world, he shall find us the most reasonable people in the world, if he will but abate us these unnecessary impositions: But which way shall we spare our pains? Oh thus! name one Church if you can that bath admitted of no other opinion or Rites, but such as have been absolutely necessary. And has this great mountain teemed this little mouse? He should have proved that never any Church in any age, in any Country, but had imposed things not absolutely necessary, and he like a modest man that can be content with a competency, proved onely that there never was any such Church but admitted such things: is there no small critical difference between admitting in the use and practife some things indifferent in an indifferent way, and imposing, requiring, and enjoyning them as necessary Terms of Commu: nion: I will make a fair motion; Let this Church admit of the use and practice of some things not absolutely necessary, yet neither in their nature finfull, nor for multitude burthensom, nor for abuse suspected, nor in their instituted use Sacramental, and yet not impose them as necessary conditions of Communion, and if there be less Uniformity, there will be a thousand times more unity, and true inward love, Evangelical tenderness, and fraternal forbearance to compensate a little outward decorum, which perhaps is very Surprizing with women and children.

All this while I distrust not the Readers Acumen to see the Sophistry; He would make it out there's no Church which admits not some determinations, not strictly and absolutely necessary;

necessary; and he would thence infer that there's no Church, but what imposes such not absolutely necessary determinations, and thence, that if non-necessary Terms of Communion be a warrant of separation, there can be no Schissin in the world at all: whereas there are such things as being neither unnecessary, nor yet absolutely necessary, may be fit matter of agreement in Christian Societies, that they may be more steadily governed, more peaceably and inossensively managed, the Ordinances more methodically and orderly administred, and the spiritual and eternal welfare of souls more effectually advanced.

(3.) The third and last thing he will say, is, that some things are necessary to the Constitution and Administration of a particular Church, that are not in themselves necessary absolutely considered: This he will say, and who can help it? why will he say it? why doubtless as a medium to prove his conclusion, or he had better have said nothing; now that which he engaged to prove was this: That things indifferent, unnecessary, &c. imposed as conditions of Communion are not enough to Excuse the Person that separates, from a participation of the sin of Schism; the Argument marches in this order: If some things are necessary to the Constitution of a Church, which are not absolutely necessary in themselves, then the Imposition of unnecessary terms of Communion is no excuse for Separation; but the former is true, Ergo, so is the later; or in short, if some things be necessary then, the Church may impose things not necessary:

quod erat demonstrandum.

He has been told over and over again, that many things not necessary in themselves, may become necessary pro hie & nune, but then they must be thus qualified, before they can be fit matter of a Churches determination. 1. They must be necessary one way or other, Antecedently in the Churches determination. 2. The necessity must extend as far as the determination: For if they become necessary to one particular Church, and not to another, it will not oblige the other Church to come under the Imposition, unless they come also under the necessity. 3. That when the necessity evidently ceases, the Imposition ought also to cease, and the Members of the Church may claim it of right to be relaxed of the burthen, and may re-assume their former liberty, which the present necessity did restrain. 4. Churches are not to feign necessities, and imaginary Exigences as an Engine of ambitious Spirits to try conclusions upon mens

mens consciences, or practise upon their tameness, and therefore the necessity ought to be such as carries its own evi-

dence along with it.

There are many things which the Divine Authority had determined as to its kind and fort, which yet are not fo determined in the individuals; now when a Church meets with any of these, she must come to a determination, for otherwise the Divine commands cannot possibly be reduced into act, nor our Duty exercifed: Thus he has commanded his Churches to affemble themselves together for publick worship, he has appointed them Ordinances wherein to receive mercy and grace from him, and Officers to administer the Ordinances in the Church, the Church therefore is obliged to doe whatfoever is necessary to the doing of her duty: Thus God having obliged them to worship, they must come to an agreement about the place, meerly because 'tis impossible to meet no where. But if the divine will hath not determined in specie, man cannot under the most specious pretence of decency, or adorning the worthip, institute any thing, because it wants some head of a Divine command, to which to reduce it; Thus God having given no Command to any Church, to worship him under sensible forms, and signs of invisible Grace, no Church has power to institute any fuch, and worship God by them; For in this case Divine wisedom, love, and authority, have demonstrated themselves, and fettled enow to answer God's ends and ours.

If he had faid, as often as you baptize, befides the washing with water which I have commanded you, see that you make some figure over the face of the Person to be baptized, and not determined the figure, whether Hexagonal, Pentagonal, or the like, the Church must come to a conclusion about some

figure, or the Duty must ever lye fallow.

But a general command, that all things be done decently and in Order, will never introduce these symbolical ceremonies, because the command may be satisfied without them, or any of them, they are not necessary so much as by disjunction; what soever is comprehended under a Divine Precept, is a necessary duty at least by disjunction, antecedent to any command of any Church; but these Ceremonies are not necessary in any sense antecedently to the command of a Church, and therefore are not comprehended under that general precept, Let all things be done decently and in Order; And indeed if they were, the sign of the

the Crofs would be a necessary Duty, not onely in or at Baptism, but in the Lord's Supper, in every Prayer, in all Preaching, in singing of Psalms, and in every Religious Exercise, seeing that precept enjoyns all things to be done deeently and in Order. And we may prefume that our Saviour, with his Disciples and Apostles, performed all Divine Service in the most decent, congruous, and edifying Modes, and yet they never practised that, or any other ceremony of that fort; and therefore they are not comprehended under the Rule.

Nevertheless our Enquirer is resolved he will give us two instances of this Truth, that some things are necessary to the constitution and administration of a particular Church, that are not in themselves necessary absolutely considered: And if he thinks it worth the while, he may give two hundred, for we are perfectly unconcerned

in them all.

(I.) The first instance is in the Apostles times: the abstaining from things strangled and bloud was by the Council of Jerusalem adjudged and declared necessary to be observed by the Gentiles, in order to an accommodation between them and the Jews; and yet I suppose scarce any body thinks the observation of that Abstinence so enjoined necessary in it self: Let us apply it, either then the abstaining from Geremonies must be adjudged necessary in order to an accommodation between our Church and other Protestants, or the observing of them be adjudged necessary in order to an accommodation between us and the Romanists; which he would chuse, I am not informed.

But let us examin a little his great Instance.

S 1. It was adjudged and declared necessary to be observed (faies he.) Therefore (fay I) it was enjoined, because first necessary, and not made necessary by the Injunction: The thing was not unnecessary before the Synodal Letters, nor the Council at liberty to have determined the contrary, unless an accommodation between Jew and Gentile was a thing unnecessary, Acts 15. 28. It seemed good to the Holy Ghost and to us to lay non you no greater burthen than those necessary things, which the industry is the reverse. Now let him try his skill to conclude a power to impose things unnecessary from this fact of theirs, who onely imposed things necessary.

§ 2. That a Council had the Immediate affilence of the Holy Ghost, and might more fafely adventure upon such an Imposition, than any particular or National Church, who, as they have no promise, cannot in faith expect any such extraordinary direction; and we hope that no Chuch will assume equal Power to im-

pose, unless they could produce equal Authority for their Power, in which the Consciences of Christians might securely acquiesce: It would be strange language from a Synod, It feemed good to the Holy Ghost and to us to lay upon you no other burthens than these necessary things, that ye observe all Ceremonies of our

appointment.

\$ 3. The People might reasonably conform to that decree which had their own antecedent consent, and the more patiently bear the burthen, which was not imposed upon them, without themselves, for this Canon was not onely sent to the Churches by the Order of the Apostles, and Elders, and the whole Church, v. 22. but whatever obligatory power there was in it from man, it ran in the name of the Apostles, Elders, and Brethren; But, alas! the case is otherwise with the poor Churches in reference to Impositions of late Ages, who know no more what Impositions shall be laid upon their Consciences, then the poor Horse

is acquainted whither his Master intends to ride him.

§ 4. This was a Decree, not to burthen them, but to ease and retieve them, not to pinch the Gentiles, but to discharge them of those servil loads which some Judaizing Converts would have imposed on them; we reade, v. 1. That certain came down from Judea, which taught the Brethren, and said, Except ye be circumcifed after the manner of Moses ve cannot be saved. And when Paul and Barnabas opposed this Tyranny, yet such was their Zeal for their old Ceremonies, that they re-inforced their scatter'd Squadrons from certain of the Sect of the Pharifees who believed, (v. 5.) saying, That it was necessary to circumcise them, and command them to keep the Law of Moses: At last the Case comes before the Council, and they determin against these Judaizing Bigots; That their blind Zeal should not be the measure of what was necessary, or unnecessary; and yet not to exasperate them, lest perhaps they might apostatize wholly from christ, and relapse to Moses, (as they were in a fair way:) They determin to lay no other burthen upon them but those (really) necesfary things; in opposition to those other, which the Jewish Brethren falfly pleaded to be necessary: so that they eased the burthen which some would by Doctrin have laid upon their shoulders, and onely charg'd them by their determination with what was really necessary.

5 5. Let us consider the things imposed, v. 29. Abstaining from meats offer'd to Idols, and from Bloud, and from things strangled,

and from Fornication. Of which, Fornication was unlawfull in it felf; By Bloud many Learned men understand Murther, which Opinion the Learned Dr. Hammond recites, and, as I remember, approves: As to the not eating of things strangled. It had contracted a very reverend and grave Countenance by its antiquity, being numbred among the seven Precepts of the Sons of Noah: And lastly, as for meats offer'd to Idols, under that notion it was,

and is still, unlawfull. § 6. All this was for avoiding Scandal; The Morality of which Precept, had it been understood by the Raw Novice Professors of the Gentiles, it had taught them to abstain from a greater matter than Bloud or things strangled, (supposing them indifferent ) that they might give no offence. And therefore whilft this Canon was in force, and the sharpest edge set on it, I question not but that the Gentile Christian might have eaten the to mullon privately, or in company where no offence was taken, for what were the Jews concern'd what I should eat at home, either of the useides, fragments, or portions of the Sacrifices fent to me by the Heathen Relation, or of those things that were killed by suffocation: for thus was the end of the Decree attained, the Apostles satisfied, the Jews pleafed, and Christan Liberty afferted and vindicated: But the case is otherwise with us; for such is the Holiness of a Cross, or Surplice, that if a Minister satisfied in their use; and yet convinced that they ought to know their distance, and give place to the Conscience of the weak, or if the strong, who is supposed weak in instance, shall omit them, he shall be liable to be reviled by the Author of the former part of the Enquiries, pag. 25. for an underminer of the Church, a debaucher of his Office, and wear not, and for want of a white garment, shall have a hole pick'd in his black coat, and suspended both ab officio, & beneficio.

§ 7. Here was no penalty annex'd by way of fanction to this Decree. The Apostles contented themselves with that spiritual Power wherewith the Lord Christ had armed them: nor do we read that ever any were excommunicated about it, nor urged it as an indispensable condition of enjoying the or-

dinances of the Gospel.

§8. It appears from the Apostle Paul, that when this Decree had a little gratified a people tenacious of old usages, and humour'd them into some handsome compliance, it expi-

red of course, (as to whatever obligation arose from the prohibition of things in themselves indifferent) and lay amongs the obsolete Canons not regarded, for when the reason of an Ecclesiastical Law ceases, the Law it self ceases, without any formal repeal; which some expessing should have been more

folemn, conceive it in force to this day.

There is no question but those Churches who going off from the Dregs of Rome, finding their people both ignorant and obstinate, and extreamly averse to a through Reformation, did in prudence leave them some of their old little toies, till they should know better, and then they would throw 'em away of themselves; They that had suck'd in Popery with their Mother's milk, would be very peevish, and wrangle unmercifully to be weaned all at once: flaied Nurses did therefore deal with them as they could bear, expecting when they should fall to more manly food: But feeing by this time they have either reach'd their end, or never will; and feeing the fubtle enemy of Purity and Peace would pervert their ends, if they be permitted quietly to expire, and die of themselves, (which they would foon do, if not fetch'd again by their over-officious friends importunity) The Protestant Religion should have no cause to bewail their Funerals.

(2.) His fecond Instance is in Church-government. The short of it is thus much: That fome Church-government is neceffary, yet no particular form necessary. As whether it ought to be Monarchical, or Aristocratical, Episcopal, or Consistorial. This seems very dangerous Doctrin, for now it seems Episcopal Government is degraded of its old pretence to a Jus Divinum: And now the Presbyterian and Congregational forms stand upon even grounds with the Prælatical, faving wherein this last has got the advantage by Law. But here we are to understand that his Text and his marginal reading, his Chethib and Keri, do strangely vary, and it's like to cruciate our Rabbins to reconcile them; for his Text reads thus: Fem, or none, will affirm that either of these forms (Episcopal or Consistorial) are necessary. But his Margin reads thus; I do not mean to weaken the Authority and Reputation of Episcopal Government, which I sincerely think to be both best in it self, and Apostolical for its antiquity: Thus the Author of the Introduction calls it, the most primitive Government. Now some will perplex themselves how to agree his Scriptio and his Lectio, the Text and the Comment: He tells, The Episcopal Mode is not necessary,

0 2

and

and yet he does it not at all to weaken its reputation; though it has been hitherto reputed to stand upon a divine bottom by our Learned Churchmen; but yet he does not mean any harm, Good man, no not he: but with such good meaning silly Souls use to palliate the irregular effects of gross ignorance. If a man should come to my house, and with his Crows and Pickaxes begin to belabour the soundation; alarmed with the noise, I come out; Friend! what do you mean to weaken the soundation of my house? I shall have the roof shortly come about my ears: Oh Good Sir, (replies he,) I do assure you I have no meaning to weaken your house, or shake its walls, I sincerely think your house is the strongest bouse in all the Parish: and to his work he falls again as hard as he can drive: A Declaration contrary to the Fact has no more credit with me then it needs must.

But this is not the worst on't. Here seems to be an irreconcileable cantradiction. Episcopacy is indifferent, and yet best in it self: Not necessary, and yet Apostolical, and yet some think there was an absolute necessity of this quarrel between the Text and Margin; The Margin was added to make the Text pass Muster, and if the one had not been a Deleasor, the other had miss'd of an Imprimatur; The necessity of the argument required Episcopacy should be a Thing indifferent: but the necessity of pleasing his Patron required it should be Best in it self; And in what a lamentable plight must a learned Author be, to gratisfe such contrary demands? Let him then agree himself

with himfelf, whilft I examin his Reasonings.

Church government is Necessary in the general; but this or that form of Government, in particular, is not necessary, (not absolutely necessary) therefore some things, not necessary in themselves, are, or may be necesfary to the constitution or administration of a particular Church. This, if I greatly mistake not, is the whole strength of this Period. To which I answer in these particulars. (1.) That though the Scripture does not trouble us with Terms of Art, Monarchical, Aristocratical, Democratical; yet at least all the Officers belonging to the Church of Christ are there specially determined: And, from the nature of the Officers, the species, or particular form of the Government, will of necessity emerge; If the Officers of the particular Churches stand upon equal ground one with another, the Government which refults from thence will be Aristocratical: If there be an Imparity, and subordination of the Governors of one church to another, the Government which refultsfults from thence will be Monarchical. And if the Churches governed by their respective Pastors are not knit together by fome common bond, the Government will be denominated congregational. (2.) Supposing that the Government is onely commanded in the general, but the particular form not determined, yet this will never conclude, that the Church may impose such things as Terms of Communion which are not commanded in the general. It can never follow that a Church may institute and impose Ceremonies, for which there is no general warrant, because it must agree upon a Government, for which there is a General warrant : Nor that the may impose those things which are not necessary either to the Constitution or Administration of a Church, because she must determin upon that which is necessary both to the constitution and administration of it. (3.) He pretends to prove that it is unlawfull to separate upon the account of unnecessary Conditions of Communion, and he gives us an instance in Episcopacy, which yet his Margin affirms to be best in it self, and Apostolical for Antiquity: from hence we are instructed. That unnecessary conditions are such as are or may be best in themselves: And let him but produce fuch Terms of Communion as, being unnecessary in themselves, are yet best in themselves, and I am consident there will be an end of this Controversie: whereas therefore his Margin tells us, That this is Argumentum ad homines: he saies very true, it is so to himself and his friends. If Episcopal Government he best in it self, how will he thence conclude the Churches power to impose indifferent things, unnecessary things; which are not best in themselves? But if ipiscopal Government be indifferent, and unnecessary in it self, how is it best in it self, and A ostolical for Antiquity? An indifferent thing, best in it self; An unnecessary Apostolical constitution, is a notion which founds very harshly in my ears, and perhaps the most of our Readers.

But we are all tired out with these paralogisms, we have heard a great clamor of Schism, Schism, as the manner is, and when we come to enquire after the Reason, we are returned with a nihil dicit, or, which is all one, nothing to that purpose.

## CHAP. III.

Of the Nature of things scrupled by Dissenters: Shewing that there is no necessity to sacrifise either Conscience or Truth to Peace, which may be purchased at lower rates, or else would be too dear.

IT was my unhappiness to read of one; who, to an excellent Discourse of the Influence of Adam's Transgression upon the misery of mankind, made this Blasphemous return? What a stir is here about the eating of an Apple? Much what of the same Temper was that blustring Hector, Pope Julius the second; who being humbly advised by a Cardinal not to rage so immoderately for a Peacock, (which it seems was stoln) answered like himself, God could be angry and plague the world for a sorry Apple, and shall not I much more for a delicate Peacock?

When our Enquirer would perfuade us, that the things in Controversie are of final Importance: Let me persuade him, that no Disobedience to God, or Treachery to our own Souls, can be little to those who understand the Majesty of the one, or the

Worth of the other.

When the Compilers of our Liturgy shall plead on the behalf of the Ceremonies, that though the keeping or omitting of one, in it self considered, is but a small thing; yet the witful and contemptuous transgression of a common order and Discipline, is no small offence before God: I hope without offence we may affirm a little more of the least of Christ's Precepts; That little sins will find a great Hell.

It's the Solemn and Religious custom of those who would make their own Pleasures the supream Reason of their own Commands, and our Obedience; first, to slatter us, that the matter is inconsiderable, till we have submitted; and then, to threaten us with the sanction of those Commands, as no less than

Eternal Damnation, when violated.

But if he making the Things in Dispute a Sacrifice, would satisfie our Enquirer, we are content they be immediately offered up as a Holocaust, to the Peace and unity of the Church, in those stames they have kindled: But he comes to explain himself. 'Tis not that the Geremonies should become a Burnt-Offering to Peace; but that the Consciences of the Dissenters should be Sacrificed to the Ceremonies: or, which is more to his purpose, their Persons Sacrificed

to those Touchy Deities, (as he calls them) of Custom and Vulgar

Opinion.

Those fins, which men count fmall, are therefore great, because their temptations are less pressing, and so being more easily avoidable, have less to plead in their Excuse, or Desence: But an Imposing Spirit, always turns the wrong end of the Perspective glass, which shrinks a Mountain into a Mole hill, and a Bulky Minster, with all its Cathedral Appurtenances, into a Chappel of Ease, where twenty Nobles per Annum will not destray the Charges of a Ceremonious Conformity.

All things are Little or Great, as they ferve the prefent occasion: Little, when their Imposing is vindicated; and Great, when the Neglest of them comes to be punished. Little or nothing, till the yoke is fastened on; and then weighty, when once their

Conscience is shakeli'd with Canonical Obedience.

The undoubted way therefore to fettle a Righteous and a durable Peace, is to take just Measures of things: Not to keep one Bushel, by which to mete out Impositions, and another, by which to deal out Gensures; neither on the account of Peace to Reneger any of Gods Truths; nor by unnecessary Impositions to disturb the

Churches quiet.

And if men could be perfwaded to fet afide Paffion, and those alluring baits to Empire over Souls, and calmly confider how mean (at the best) those things are upon which they lay the vast weight of our Concord, they would see Reason, not to perpetuate our Divisious, nor intail Quarrels upon innocent Posterity, who are not yet imbroyled in our Contentions; upon the account of those things, which the Church may well spare without any Eclipse of her Glory; part with without Impeachment of her Wisdom; leave free, without prejudice to the Worship, or just offence to any, to the unspeakable joy of all cooler spirits, besides the infinite satisfaction that would arise to our Brethren of the Reformed Religion beyond the Seas.

There are three things, which the Enquirer has propounded to

himself to Treat of in this Chapter.

(1.) That the Cause of Dissentions amongst us, are not like those upon which we separated from the Roman Communion. We acknowledge it with all cheerfalness! Yet a man may die of many other Diseases besides the Plague. We Rejoyce that the Church of England has such clear grounds to justifie her departure: And we wish we had sewer grounds to justifie ours.

0 4

But here for the credit of his Discourse (wherein we are all equally concerned with himself) I could have wish'd he had not prefaced it with so foul and gross a stander: It is said by some, that there is as much cause for Secession from this Church now, as there was from the Roman in the time of our Ancestors. I onely demand so much Justice from the Reader, as to suspend his belief till this judicious Imputation be made good, and in the

mean time, return thus much in Answer.

§ 1. There may be a just Cause where there is not an equal Cause of separation. There may be a great latitude in the terms of Communion, and yet all unjustifiable; and there may be great variety in the Reasons of separation, and yet all may be warrantable. Had the Pope's terms been much lower, they had been much too high for our Ancestors to come up to: And though the terms of this Church are lower then those of Rome, yet they are something too high for Dissenters, who humbly plead that they have just cause for a peaceable Departure,

fince they cannot peaceably abide in the Society.

§ 2. Upon our Enquirer's Principles, it had been as lawfull for our Ancestors to have continued in Communion with the Roman, as for Diffenters to conform to the prefent demands of this Church. For let me have a clear Answer, why their private wisedoms ought not to be facrificed to the publick wisedom in Queen Mary's Reign, as our private wisedom resign to the publick under our present Circumstances? For in this case, we consider not the lawfulness or unlawfulness of the terms as they are in their naked selves; but where the final decision shall rest, whether they be lawfull or unlawfull? Now the Enquirer tells us, page 168. It's enough to warrant our obedience, that the thing is the command of the Superiour, and not beyond the sphere of his authority. But who can measure the sphere of the Magistrate's Authority, unless we could take the just diameter of it? Again, page 178. The Re-fult of all will be, that instead of prescribing to the Magistrate what he shall determin, or disputing what he hath concluded on, we shall compose our minds and order our circumstances for the more easie and chearfull complyance therewith. What Rivers of precious Bloud had this Doctrin faved, had it been broached in Queen Mary's days: That men must not dispute what the Magistrate has concluded on: And though he thinks to heal all this by faying, page 166. That God has made the Magistrate a general Commission, and made no exception of this kind; ( meaning as far as Circumstantials, and those things that God himself

himself hath not defined) yet this will not salve the difficulty, because, 1. Who shall judge what is a circumstantial, and what a substantial; what an integral part only, and what an esential part of Religion? Where shall we lodge the determination ultimately, what God bas defined and not defined? If the Magistrate! Then our Ancestors are gon by the Common Law. If the private Person, we are all in statu quo. 2. Ged has no where determined circumstantials from substantials in the Magistrate's Commission; for though our Enquirer has excepted the one, yet it is by his private Authority, which binds not the Magistrate: His Commission is Patent, and therefore it may be read. Rom. 13. 1. Let every soul be subject to higher powers, &c. This Commission does no more except an Obedience, then a Circumstance; he that will put in the one, may at pleasure insert the other; and he that will except the one, may and will except the other. So that I conclude, or at least see no reason why I may not; that according to this Enquirer's sentiments, had Providence allotted us our Habitations under a Prince of the Roman Communion, we might have practifed all his Injunctions, without warrant to plead our Consciences in Bar; which Principle will bear a man's charges through all the Turk's Dominions, and make any man a free Citizen of Malmsbury, when once Conscience is sacrificed to the Deity of Leviatban.

Every true Protestant will gladly reade his Justification of this Churches departure from Rome: And therefore though it be not much to the matter in hand, I shall not grudge to go a little out of my way with him for his good Company and profitable Dif-

course.

1. We could not (faics he) continue in the Roman Church upon any better Conditions, then Nahash propounded to the men of Jabesh Gilead, to put out our right eyes, that we might be fit for their blind devotion. Whether the eye be put out that it cannot, or hood-winck'd that it may not see, is no such considerable difference; but we have the less need of a private, if there be a publick eye that can see for us all, and better discern the fit Terms of Communion: And whether it be the right eye, or the lest, or both, that our Enquirer would pluck out of our heads I cannot tell: for when we have considered with the best eyes we have, whether it be our duty to withdraw from the present establishment in some things, and the result of our most impartial inquiries, concludes in the assumption, yet we are Schismaticks, and all

that is naught; if then we may not see with our own eyes, as

good pluck them out.

They that fancy man be but an Autamoton, a well contrived piece of Mechanism, have certainly sitted him to this Hypothesis: For suppose him to be like a Clock, which once put in motion, will jog on the round, and drudge through the Horary circle, and perform you a twelve hours work, in twelve hours time without attendance, or other charge than a little Oyl? and you may then fet him to what hour you please. And he shall as freely strike twelve at Sun-set, as Mid-day.

2. We must not here have renounced our Reason, What if we had? Our own private Reason is not worth so much as to contend with the publick: and thanks be to God, that our Governours are counselled by their own Reasons; for had they been guided by the Counsels and Interests of such Divines, we must have

renounced ours too long ago.

3. That Church in lieu of the Scriptures gives them Traditions: Nay, do not wrong the Grave Tridentine Fathers; it was but Pari pietatis affectu veneramur. The Church of England abhors indeed that Sacrilege, in her 34 Article. Whosever through his private judgment willingly and purposely doth openly break the Traditions and Ceremonies of the Church, which he not repugnant to Gods word, ought to be rebuked openly: And I am consident the Roman Church will allow us openly to break any of hers, when she shall consess

them to be repugnant to the Word of God.

4. Instead of such things as were from the Beginning, it prescribes those things that had their beginning from private Interest, and secular Advantages: It has been a piece of policy of our Duellers to escape the Laws, to cross the Channel, and fight it out upon Callice Sands: If our Enquirer will go with me thither I would disputeit fairly with him, whether the Terms of Communion be the same that were from the beginning? If the Church of Rome be warrantably deferted, because her matters stand not in the Primitive posture: They that can make the plea, will expect the fame priviledge. The learned Author of the Irenicum, p. 121. affures us, that it is contrary to the practice and moderation then used, to deprive men of their Ministerial functions for not conforming in Habit, Gestures, and the like: and he adds his pious wishes: That God would vouchfafe to convince the Leaders of the Church of this Truth. It will be less material therefore, whether the things so stiffy infifted on, had their beginning from private Interest and secular Advantages, for if they were not from the beginning, is't little to us

where they had their rife.

The Canons of 1640. leave bowing towards the Altar indifferent, and prohibit Censuring and Judging: Extend but the same Moderation to all other things as far from the beginning as they, and of no greater importance, or confine them to Cathedrals as Organs once were, where they that have little else to do, are at more leazure for such operous services; and we shall be secure as to Schiss, which the Enquirer will certainly yield to, since he equalizes that sinto the most horrid crimes

of Idolatry, Murther and Sacriledge.

5. They make seven Sacraments. And at our Enquirers Rates may make seven score: What is a Divine Sacrament, but an outward visible sign, of an inward and spiritual grace given unto us, ordained by Christ himself, as a means whereby we receive the same, and a pledge to assure us thereof: And let him define a humane Sacrament more appositely if he can. Then an outward visible sign of an inward and spiritual grace ordained by man bimself, as a means whereby we receive the same grace. And wherein does a Mystical Ceremony come short of this Description, whose declared end is, To stir. up the dull mind of man to the remembrance of his duty to God, by some notable and special signification, whereby he may be edified. Nor is there any thing wanting but the Royal affent, the Divine ftamp of authority to make it a Sacrament, as accomplishe at all points as those which are declared Generally necessary to salvation. And if the Papalins erroneously judge their five ordained of God, and we confess ours are not so; all the difference is this; That they are mistaken, and act proportionably to their mistake, and we see better, and yet act disproportionably: But the truth is, many of their most learned Writers freely own their five Sacrament, to be no more than Ecclefiastical Traditions and Mystical Commonies; such as the Sign of the Cross, though to set them off to the eye, they honour them with the august title of the Sacraments: Thus Petrus à Soto. Omnes illa Observationes sunt Traditiones Apostolica, quarum principium, author & origo, in sacris Scripturis inveniri non potest. Cujusmodi sunt, Oblatio sacrificii Altaris, unctio Chrismatis, invocatio Sanctorum, Orationes pro defunctis, totum Sacramentum Confirmationis, ordinis, Matrimonii, Panitentia, Unctionis extrema, Merita Operum, necessitas fatisfactionis, enumeratio peccatorum facienda sacerdoti. We are to account all those Observations, Apostolical Traditions & whose Beginning, Author and Origin, are not found in the Holy Scriptures: Such as are the Oblation of the Sacrifice of the Altar, the Anointing with Chrism, Invocation of Saints, Prayers for the Dead: The whole Sacrament of Confirmation, of Orders, of Matrimony, of Penitance, of extreme Unction, the merits of Good Works,

the necessity of Satisfaction, and Auricular Confession.

6. They have taken away one of the ten Commandments, and have Arts of evacuating all the rest: and why may they not evacuate the second, as well as our Author the fourth Commandment? all were equally promulgated in Mount Sinai, all have the same signature of Divine Authority, and he that can make Schism equal to Idolatry, may when he sees his time, throw off the second as he hath done the fourth for a piece of Judaical Supersition.

7. They have brought in Pageantry instead of Piety and Devotion, esfaced the true lineaments of Christianity, and instead thereof recommended and obtruded upon the world the distates of Ambition, the artifices of gain. He may safely talk his pleasure at this distance; though it would not be so prudent to preach this Dostrine where the Popes great Horse sets his foot: all the use I shall make of it is this little. That if the Introduction of Pageantry instead of Piety and Devotion be a good warrant to justifie our Separation from Rome, let them judge (who have to do with it) whether it were Felony to remove a mans Quarters ten miles from

tome Cathedrals.

8. Lastly, (sayshe) these things could not be submitted to without grievous sin, and manifest danger of Damnation: No! now observe how the Romanist will belabor him with his own Cudgel, p. 122. lt's the custom of those that have a mind to quarrel, to aggravate, and beighten the causes of Discontent, to the end that the ensuing mischief may not be imputed to the fromardness of their temper, but to the greatness of the provocation. And passion is such a magnifying glass, as is able to extend a Mole-hill to a Mountain: If men would be perswaded to lay aside their Passions, and calmly consider the Nature of those things that they divided from the Catholick Church upon, they would be so far from feeing Reason to perpetuate the Schism, that they would on the contrary be seized with wonder and indignation that they have been imposed upon so far as to take those things for great deformities, which upon mature consideration are really nothing worse than Moles, which may be upon the most beautifull Face: But the Reader will easily see that these are nothing but some ill gathered shreds out of your Formulo Oratoria, or Clarks Transitions, which will fit Pratestant or Papist, and indeed any School-Boy that has a Theme, or Declamation to compose.

That the causes of Separation from the Church of Rome were pregnant, every way clear and evident, we do therefore agree: and that the Reasons of Separation from the Church of England, are not so great, but then neither is the Separation so great; for as we agree in the Fundamental Articles of Religion, so we may quickly agree in all the rest, when some of a more fiery temper will let their Mother alone to exercise to all her Children such an Indulgence as is agreeable to their various measures of Light in lesser concerns. But (saysour Author) It's quite otherwise in the Church of England. For,

I. No man here parts with his Faith upon Conformity; But I am afraid they must part with it, or they will hardly be accepted. Their Faith is, that the Lord Christ is the onely Law-giver of his Church; that the Scriptures are the adequate and commensurate Rule of all Religious Worship, and if they do not part with thus much of their Faith, they must live in a contradiction to it; but perhaps he may understand their Faith

better than they themselves.

2. No manis bound to give away his Reason for Quietness sake: Then I know who was mistaken, p. 64. Who tells us. That since the Peace of the Church often depends upon such toints as Salvation does not, and since in many of those every man is not a competent Judge, but must either be in danger of being deceived himself, and of troubling others, or if necessity must trust some body else wiser than himself, she recommends in such a case, as the safer way for such private persons to comply with publick determinations. And we may assure our selves of our Enquirers good Nature in this particular, who condemns virgilius for afferting the Antipodes, though it were demonstrably true, and the contrary impossible. And then I am afraid we must sacrifice our Reason to Peace, and rather subscribe like Brutes, than runthe risque of being persecuted like Men.

3. A man may be as boly, and good as he will. The goodness and holiness of a Christian lies very much in using Holy Means for Holy Ends; Gods holy Ordinances, in order to Holiness in the habit and complexion of the Soul: He that may not use the means of Holiness when he will, may not be as holy as he will, but as Holy as he can without them: He that will use all the means of God in order to that great end, it may possibly cost him more than he would willingly lose for any cause but that of Righteousness: Methought it was an odd fight t'other day to see a Grave Divine in his Canonical Habit, marching

with

with a brace of Informers piping hot on either hand, the one like the Gizzard, the other the Liver fluck under the wings of his Sacerdotal Habiliments, from one of his Rectories to the other, to give disturbance to a company of poor Innocent People that would have been a little more Holy if they might; when this is reformed, 1'll believe that the more of Holiness ap-

pears, the better Churchmen we are reputed.

4. This Church keeps none of her Children in an uncomfortable state of darkness; for we must know that there's a two-fold estate of darkness, a comfortable, and an uncomfortable estate: Now the comfortable estate of darkness lies in trusting others, submitting our private to the publick wisdom; this is that blessed state whereinto he would wish t is best Friends: But the uncomfortable estate is, that Remedy which is practised in Spain and Italy for the cure of Church-Divisions. An excellent Remedy it is, but it comes too late to do any good here: The difference between them was observed before, either to be born blind, or made blind, to have no Conscience, or prohibited to exercise it; to have no Eyes, or not to use them; and in my private opinion there's no great comfort in either of them.

5. She debars none of her Members of the Comfort and Priviledges of Christs Institutions. Somethat have struggled with a doubting Conscience, have attested the contrary; but however she may possibly debar some of those Priviledges and comforts, that would have been her Members, because they dare not give

the price she rates those priviledges and comforts at.

6. She recommends the same Faith, the same Scriptures, that the Protestants are agreed in: Yes! but then she recommends those Ceremonies to boot, in which Protestants neither are, nor

ever will be agreed in.

We do therefore seriously triumph that the Church of England with the Protestants are also sully and perfectly agreed, that they have not onely the same God and Christ, but the same Object of Worship too, (though I know not wherein God and the Object of Worship differ) the same way of Devotion in a known Tongue, the same Sacraments, the same Rule of Life, which are all the great things wherein the consciences of men are concerned. To which I shall need to say no more, but that we in the general profess our owning of all these, and yet our differences be very considerable, but let our consciences be concerned about no other: no other Sacraments, no other Rule of Life, no other

ther Devotion, and what is necessary to reduce all these into practice, and I can assure him Dissenters will slock apace into the bosom of the Church.

He promifes us now that he mill faithfully and briefly recite the matters in difference. And I confess for brevity, he has performed his promife well enough, but for his Fidelity, the Diffenters sadly complain of him: I shall therefore crave the liberty to use a little more prolixity, and I shall endeavour to compensate it with much more Fidelity, to reciting the material points wherein we differ.

As § 1. Whether a Minister Ordained according to the apppintment of the Gospel to the exercise of the whole Ministerial work, may without fin consent, that a main part of his Office be statedly and totally taken out of his hands, and his work cantoned at the will of another?

§ 2. Whether any Church has power from Christ to appoint in, and over it felf, or Members, any Officers speci-

ally diffinet from those Christ hath ordained?

§ 3. Whether any Church hath authority from Christ to institute any other Ordinances of fixed and constant use in the Church than Christ hathinstituted?

§ 4. Whether it be an apparent Invalion of, and open reproach to the Regal office of Chrift, for any Society of his to inflitute either new Officers or new Offices, for the governing and administring that Society, which the Head hath not allowed?

§ 5. Whether it be not the Duty of every particular Church to conform all the Worship, and administration of Religion to the Laws of their Institution? And that whatever is not so conformed, be not a corruption, which ought to be

reformed by those Laws?

§ 6. Whether if a Church shall peremptorily refuse to remove such Officers, Offices, Ordinances superadded to the Evangelical Law: A person that shall separate from its Communion in those things wherein it resuses to reform, may without breach of charity be called a Schismatick?

§ 7. Whether a Christian may act against the superseding Dictate of his conscience, and may give it up to be ruled by

an imaginary publick conscience.

§ 8. Whether, seeing we have the unerring word of God

to guide us, to be missead by our Leaders is a good counter-

fecurity against the Judgment of God?

6 9. Whether it be lawfull to break the least of Scripture Commandments to purchase our Quiet with men, or secure our own Repose in the world?

§ 10. Whether the command of my Superiour will justifie me in murthering the Soul of my weak Brother, when I may

avoid giving the scandal in things indifferent;

§ 11. Whether can the command of a Superiour make that no fin, but a duty; but without that command, had been no

duty, but fin?

§ 12. Whether a Minister of the Gospel may submit to have his Prayers and Sermons composed for him by others, and whether he be a Minister at all, who is not able in some

measure to discharge both to Edification?

§ 13. Whether a Christian may without sin wholly and perpetually suffer his Christian Liberty to be determined one way, though under future circumstances, it may be the command of God for a feason to determinit the other

way?

- § 14. Whether a Christian, willing to subscribe to all that Christ has propounded to him to believe, and to engage solemnly to do all that Christ requires him to do, and not contradicting such engagement by conversation, arguing him of prevalent Hypocrifie, but having given good proof beforemen of his Holiness, ought to be denied Christian communion?
- § 15. Whether upon such tendries made, and their refusal, onely because he will not submit to new Terms of communion not approved by the Word of God, he shall adjoyn himself to some other particular Church, where the Dostrine of Christianity is purely preached, the Sacraments duly administred, and the conditions of enjoying all these, and other the Ordinances of Christ, honourable and easie; such Departure from the one, and conjunction with the other, be that Schism noted in the Scripture?

§ 16. Whether any Church hath power to advance indifferent things above their indifferent natures, and make them Holy in their use and relation, appropriated to Gods immediate Worship, and impose them as the Terms of exercising

the Ministerial Office?

§ 17. Whether any Church hath power to institute new dedicating and initiating figns and fymbols, whereby persons

are declared and professed to be visible Christians?

§ 18. Whether, being clearly convinced by the Word of God that there are Corruptions in a particular Church whereof I am a Member; I ought not to endeavor in any place and flation lawfully to reform them: And if a prevailing number in that Church shall not onely refuse to reform, but require of me to renounce all such lawful endeavors, upon pain and peril of casting out of Communion; I may not wave the society of the corrupt Majority, and adhere to the more sober and mo-

derate party who will reform themselves?

(2.) His fecond task is to prove that fomething must be forgon for The defign of this loofe Discourse may be reduced to this Argument. Small matters though truths, or duties, are to be facrified to Peace: But the things that Diffenters slick and boggle at, are fuch small matters, therefore they ought to be facrificed to Peace. To which I onely fay at prefent; That I modefily deny both his Premisses, and do hope he will as modefily deny the Conclusion. And perhaps some Sawcy Fellow or other will take up the Argument, and give it one turn. Small matters that are indifferences ought to be facrific'd to Peace, but the things imposed upon Dissenters (in the judgment of the Impofers) are small indifferent things, therefore they ought to be facrificed to Peace; or thus, Those things which we account little, we ought not to make necessary to Peace and Union, but the things which are in difference, are in our own account little, therefore we ought not to make them necessary to Peace and Union.

Something then we would give for Peace, and more then we can modefily speak of: If it were to be had for Morey, we should not think that Gold could buy Peace too dear, though

Truth may.

But may we humbly enquire of the Enquirer, whether he have this Peace to fell? And at what rates it may be purchased? I have Carefully, not to say Curiously, perused his whole Discourse; and I must confess to the Reader, that I am so far from understanding how the Market goes, that I suspect he knows not his own Mind. Page 131. He tells us: We must be at some cost to purchase it, and part with something for it. Well! but what is that something? Will Petitions, Supplications, Prayers, Humbling our

felves at his footstool procure us Peace? No! That something, is nothing: Men are not fo mad, as to part with fuch a rich Commodity as Peace, for an old song of Petitions. What is it then? Oh! pag. 130. He told us from Erasmus, That Peace was not too dear at the price of some Truth. Very good! Will then telling half a dozen roundlyes procure us our Peace? or the renouncing half a fcore Scripture-truths, or fo? Oh but we are commanded to buy the Truth, not fell it: Not to do evil that good may come. And besides that Peace will never wear well nor last long that is purchased with the loss of Truth. To war with God, or skirmish the scripture, is no approved method to fecure Peace amongst our selves. Well then, pag. 132. He tells us we must subdue our passions and castigate our heats: And I think we have had pretty good Coolers; then we must take in our Sailes, lighten the Ship, cast over board the Fardles of our private fancies and opinions: And we are Content to cast overboard any thing that is purely our own; onely if any of the Rich Lading of Truth should be packt up in those Fardles, we humbly pray that may be spared: if our own private personal Concerns were onely called for, he should find the Non-conformists as one man; faying, fin autem Jonas ille ego sim, projicite me, in Mare ut tempestas desæviat: Pray throw us into the Sea, onely do not throw any concern of Christ, nor Reformation after us: Well! then he would have us offer something to those touchy Deities of Custom and Vulgar Opinion. But really these are a Couple of fuch Infatiable Idols, it were cheaper to starve them, then feed them; we may Maintain Bell and the Dragon at as easie rates: What is it then we must part with for Peace? At last it comes out with much ado; Loth to confess till just turning off the Ladder, for these are his last words under this head, pag 137. In a word, that we part with all that which is no effential point of our Religion, for Charity which is. I am heartily forry that Peace is not to be had upon eafier terms! But especially that Charity, a Lady of so much Debonaireté, that seeks not her own, much less to rob another, that uses not to look so Big, and stand upon Terms; should enslame the Reckoning: It is not, it cannot be Charity, Iknow her Temper too well; that requires Conscience or Truth should be facrificed upon her Altar: A true friend she is to Truth, and no less to Peace, and will wait on her usque ad Aras and no further. No! It's the Tyrian Idol Moloch that old Canibal and blood fucker, that delights in Humane Carnage; For thus we read in Q. Curtius, that when they

were in a great streight. Sacrum quod quidem Diis minime Corde effe Crediderim, jam multis seculis intermissum, repetendi quidem Autores erant. which we may accommodate in the Translation thus, Some there were that persuaded the State to revive an old and obsolete Statute, which since the time of Ancient Persecutions had lyen Dormant, and to Sacrifice Freemen to the Common Safety; but for my part, though you count me a Heathen Writer; I can never believe, according to those notions I have of the Gods, that such Cruelties were ever acceptable to their Deities. Iwould have Peace upon any terms that are Reasonable, but to part with all that in Religion, which he shall say is no esential part of it, is a very hard Chapter. We may chop off a mans legs, Arms, put out his Eyes, cut off his Nose, and yet though thus miserable dismembered and mangled in his Integrals, his effential parts, Body and Soul remain: Thus he may cut off even what he pleases of Religion, all worship, all Sacraments, all Discipline, and leave us but Faith, Hope and Charity, there's as much as is effential to our Salvation, and then dispose of the rest.

To this, or some other, or no purpose at all he quotes us Greg. Nazianzen, who asks us this Question: πίτε ήμετέρε λόγε χάλλισον: What's far more beautiful than our own Reason? And he answers: Eiphun reading of oto it Augitales ater. Nay I will add, the most profitable too. We were made to believe, page 126. That no man (in England) is bound to give away his Reason for quietness sake: But now four pages further, Peace is far more beautiful and useful then our own Reason: How shall we reconcile these cross capers? Why, Qui bene distinguit, bene respondet. Then he was commending the Moderation of the Church of England in opposition to Rome. How that Imperious Lady that fits on the seven Hills, Hectors the World out of their Reason, and Common Sense; and then Reason is more precious then Peace; but now he's arguing the Nonconformists into obedience, and then Peace is more precious than Reason.

To the same purpose he gives us that excellent counsel of the Apostle, Rom. 12. 18. If it be possible, as much as in you lyes, live peaceably with all men. Admirable advice it is, God grant us grace to take it. And truly the Non-conformiss can live peaceably with all the world, if they might be let alone, but its not in their power to prescribe Terms to others, but to receive them. Leges à victoribus dari, à victis Accipi, said Casar: If then reasonable Terms be offered us, we will accept, and love them: If unreasonable, we will refuse, and love them. If we be taken into the circle of their Charity, we will love them; if we be

excluded

excluded, yet fill we love them: Amabo & fi Nolis, Amabo & fi Nolim ipfe. We will love whether they will accept our love, and thank us for it or no: Nay we will love them whether our own exasperating sufferings will perswade us, or no; that is, we will follow them with a Christian affection, in spight of their teeths, and of our own. But this eightweifer, to live, converse peaceably, perhaps may be Impossible, and the Apossle we see will not tye us up to Impossibles: Now sinful Conditions create a Moral impossibility; for id tantum possumus quod jure possumus.

I confess it cost me a Smile when I read his improvement of the Apostle's exhortation. Surely (faies he) he did not mean we should onely accept of Peace, when its offered us for nothing, or he quiet till we can pick a quarrel, but that we should be at some cost to purchase it, and part with something for it: The old something still! Why, we are willing to part with all our outward Concerns, we will give skin upon skin, will neither God's Terms, nor the Devil's please him? Onely we would not part with our Consciences instructed from the Scriptures, the Soveraignty of Christ, the Persection of the written Word; and is all this Nothing?

But still he's at it again--- we must deny our selves something upon that account. Why, we will take an Oath in the presence of Almighty God to lead quiet and peaceable Lives, as become good Subjects, in all Godliness and honessy; Will that serve to purchase our Peace? No! It must be something else, which before he acquaints us with, he will first prove the necessity of it; and thus

he Reasons.

There are hardly (fayshe page 131.) any two perfons perfettly of the fame apprehensions, or stature of understanding in the whole world. So much difference there is in mens Constitutions, such diversity of Education, such variety of Interest, and Customs, and from hence so many prejudices and various Conceptions of things, that he that resolves to yield to no body, can Agree with no body. What now is to be done in this perplexed Case? Must we take our Constitutions in pieces? I doubt we shall never put them right together again; or must we have no Peace till all the propensities and inclinations, rooted and riveted in our Beings, Natures, Temperaments, besides that second Nature growing out of Custome; be stormed? The Terms of Peace will be next too desperate this way. What then, must the prevailing party commit a Rape upon the Intellectuals of the depressed Minority, and Marry them afterwards to make them amends: Yet still there is a Tower called

Affent and Confent, can never be forced by affault: What, then, must the lesser number openly profess themselves Convinced, and make Recantations before they have cause for't? Alas this is but to Profelyte a few Hypocrites, who are not worth the whistling! Or must we tarry till we come to Heaven, where we shall be of one mind? Oh our Enquirer is not satisfied in that point to Plerophory, some think so indeed; but he wisely keeps his faith to himself. What course must we then steer: Why we must castigate our heats, take in our sailes, lighten the ship, and offer sacrifice to the touchy Deities of received Custome and Vulgar Opinion, With all the fine fluff you heard before: But furely there's an easier, cheaper, more honourable and Christian way then all these put together: To bear with one another, to leave judging, cenfuring, despising, perfecuting; to leave men to those Senti-ments wich they have contracted from insuperable weakness, or lesshappy Education, whilst they are good men, good subjects, good Christians, sound in the Faith, and Worship God no worse then the Scripture commands them. And he that cannot Indulge his brother found in the Fundamentals, and walking together with his brethren fo far ashe has attained, let him prate of Peace till his Tongue akes, 'tis evident he would not purchase Peace with Shoo-buckles.

The Apossle has recommended this expedient to us by his own example, I Cor. 9. 20, 21. Which the Enquirer could see to quote, and not to understand. Unto the Jews I became as a Jew, that I might gain the Jews; To them that were without the Law as without Law (being not without Law to God, but under the Law to Christ) that I might gain them that are without the Law; To the weak became I as weak that I might gain the weak, I am made all things to all men, that I might by all means save some. It seems the Blessed Apossle had not yet learnt to snickle the private Conscience, with his publick Authority.

That which he quotes from Greg. Naz. is indeed more confiderable to his delign: Who affirms how St. Basil disembled the Coessentialty of the Holy Ghost, and delivered himself in Ambiguous Terms on that point, lest he should offend and lose the weak. The Reader will conclude by these instances that though the Enquirers design lye open to condemn the Dissenters, yet his Mediums do strongly plead their Cause: We are illustrated with an Apostle, with a samous Bishop, both eminent for their Condescentions to the weak, such as laid not the stress of the Churches Peace upon their own Wills, or Apostolical power, or Ecclesiastical

P 3 Autho-

Authority, nor defined too feverely Controverted points; and yet when he comes to the Application, the duty of yeilding is preffed upon the Diffenters: Whose coming up in a hundred points were perfectly infignificant unless they could nick the very dreiso finator of Canon-Conformity. I would ask the Enquirer whether the Diffenters ever pleaded to be gratified in so weighty a point as the Coefentiality of the Holy Spirit? Or whether ambiguity, or a handsome equivocation there, must be one of those things we must give for Peace: If neither of these, he might have spared Basil, if not for our sake, yet for his own. And out of all these excellent materials we expected he should

have composed a Speech to the Reverend Bishops.

My Lords! I have humbly set before your discerning Judgments the great Example of the Great S. Basil, and the greater instance of the famous Dr. of the Gentiles, persons, whose Authority in the Church, and wisdom to manage that Authority, was (without disparagement) equal to the same Qualifications in your Lordships; and yet their hearts so humble, when their places were so high, their condescentions greater than their exaltations, carries somewhat in it of that Divinity which bespeaks your imitation. They would become all things to all men, though in to none; they were ambitious to win the weak by Meekness; and not to wound the weak by Majesty. The way of Peace lies plain before you, stoop to them in things Indifferent, who cannot rise to you in what they call sinfull, your yielding to the weak will be your strength. And whilst you gain tender Consciences to the Church, you will gain Immortal bonour to your selves. Let it be the Glory of your Lives, you have made up our Breaches, and not the Epitaph of your tombs; That the way of Peace you have not known.

He comes now to the Grand example indeed, that of our Bleffed Saviour, which if it be but faithfully alledged, and congruoufly applied, must filence all dispute, and conquer the most restif reluctancy: Let us then hear how Christs Ex-

ample leads us to Conform.

i. Christ complied with the Rite's and Customs he found; what, right for wrong? 'Tis true! he complied with those he found, because he found such Rites and Customs as were warranted by the Law. He was circumcised. True, it became him to sulfil all righteousness. He did eat the Passover. Very true! He was made under the Lam. He wore their Garments, spoke their Language. No doubt of it; He was a Jew by birth, and approved himself a Minister of the Circumcision for the truth of God.

2. He condescended to the very humours of that Rubborn people. True! Not by imitating them, not assuming the person of a Jewish Zealot, but mildly reproving their irregularities; he came not in the blustering Whirlwind, nor in the terrible Earthquake, but in the still, small voice of Evangelical meekness. He came not to break the bruised Reed, nor quench the smoking Flax; but rebuked his mistaken Disciples, that they understood not the spirit of the Gospel, nor what a temper it called for, that they must needs fetch fire from Heaven to consume the truly Schifmatical Samaritans; when they should rather have castigated their own heats, and calmed their own passions, which were kindled from a morfe fire: I expect still how he will accommodate this condescention of our Blessed Saviour to his purpose; for either the Diffenters must be those slubborn People, and then if the Clergy will imitate their Lord and Master, they must condescend to their very humours; or else Dissenters must in imitation of Christ condescend to the Clergy, and then it supposes them to be the stubborn and instexible Party. Besides condescention in inferiours to Superiours, will be very improper language.

3. He used their phrase in his discourse. And the Non-conformists speak as proper English as their wit serves them, that they cannot adorn their conceptions, or clothe their thoughts in thunder-thumping Phraseology, may perhaps be their mi-

fery, but certainly not their fin.

4. He observed their Feasts. We question it not; He came to do his Fathers Will, and amongst other particulars, that also of obferving whatever Ordinance was of Divine Institution: But the Reader must know, here's a secret Argument coucht in these words against Non-conformity, which I willingenuously own, and tis this: The Jews had instituted a Feast in memory of the dedication of the Temple. Now this festival had not the character of Divine Institution, and yet this feast our Saviour folemnized, and who then can be fo refractory as not to observe the Holy-days, and consequently all other human Constitutions, which bear no direct repugnancy to the Law of God? I shall neither affert at present that this Festival had Divine warrant, nor deny that it was properly of a Religious nature, but this I return, That it appears not that our Saviour performed any Act, or spoke any Word, that may be interpreted or construed an approbation of that practice. All that appears is from John 10.22, 23. And it was at Terusalem the Feast of the Dedication, P 4

and it was pinter, and Jejus walked in Solomon's Porch: how shall we draw in Christs conformity from these words, it was winter? Ergo, Jesus approved the Feast. He walked in Solomon's Porch; Ergo, he condescended to that usage: The business was no more than this; Christ went up and down doing good, and seeking all occasions for that great end of his coming into the world: where most Fishes were, there he threw his Net; where the greatest consuence of people were gathered, there he preached; he took them where he could find them; they would not come to him, he would go to them: whatever was the lawfulness of that Feast, or the occasion of their Assembly, Christ could make a holy advantage of it, to preach the Gospel for their Salvation.

5. He made his own Institutions of Baptism and the Lords Supper as consonant to their Customs as 'twas possible. A notable Instance it was of his condescention, if it was but true; but I am astraid we shall not be so happy as to see clear evidence of it, which is therefore to be lamented, because our Enquirer will miss an advantage of charging Differences with Judaizing in the Sacraments. But I will promise him thus much; when Non-conformists have a commission from heaven to institute Sacraments, they shall, if I can prevail, make them as consonant to all honest Customs as 'tis possible; but till then I hope he will not be displeated that we regulate all our customs by Christs commands, and not warp his commands to our customs.

6. He would not disturb them with Novelty, but ingratiated himself and his Doctrine by these complyances. I have but one Answer to these Objections. Let him be imitated. Let all that profess the Name of Christ, so far evidence the sincerity of their profession, as not to torment mens consciences with new sangles, nor vex an Age (wearied with contending, and willing to be quiet) with novel inventions. Let all junior Observances be reduced to the Sacred Rule and Rubrick, and then we shall all conform and joyn cheerfully in the Antiphony; As it was in the Beginning, so it is now, and ever shall be, World without end.

7. When a certain tribute was demanded of him, he first proves he was not obliged to pay it; yet lest he should offend them, determins to pay it, and works a miracle to enable Peter to pay it. An unaniwerable argument that Non-conformists are not exempted from Taxes and Subsidies; but what's their Coin to their Conscience?

It may feem a piece of unpardonable boldness, to say that Diffenters go beyond this pattern; and yet, 1. they plead no immunity from Assessments. Nor, 2. pay they Levies meerly to avoid offence, but out of sense of their duty. 3. Can they raise money so easie as by Miracle, they are glad to work hard for it, and yet they endeavour to get their Silver ready against it be called for; and if their persons and purses may serve their Prince, they know nothing too precious for his service, that under the Auspices of his Gracious Government, they may lead a quiet and peaceable life in all Godliness and Honesty.

And now let the Enquirer cast up his accounts, and see what he has gained De claro, by all these great Examples? The Apostle who was strong in the Faith, parted with something of his Liberty, to please and gain the weak; therefore the weak must part with their consciences, wherein they have no Liberty to gratise the strong: Jesus Christ, who was the Lord of Worship, accommodated his Ordinances to the Jewish customs, therefore the Non-conformists who have no power over Worship, may accommodate their practice in Worship to the present customs. St. Basil concealed his Judgment in a great Truth; Therefore Non-conformists may subscribe what they judge a

falshood.

From the publick instances our Enquirer at last appeals to our Consciences. Let me appeal (fays he) to the consciences of men, is it not plain from hence, that although I be persuaded that such a certain Rite is less commendable in it self, yet if it appear to be an instrument of Accommodation, that it is therefore in that case best on that account. These retrograde appeals from the publick Judgment to the private Conscience are wholly irregular; yet since he has appealed to Conscience, to Conscience be shall go, whose determination in the case, if it may be heard speak, is this; That it is plain from those Instances, that if any Rite in it self indifferent, shall prove an instrument of Distraction and Division, it's just upon that account to abolish it, but his Appeal was not drawn Clerklike; for the competition, and contest is not amongst us, between one Mystical Ceremony, and another, but between Ceremonies, and no Geremonies: If the controversie were about a meer circumstance of time, or place, or the natural cloathing of a Religious action; as whether the publick Worship shall commence at Nine, or Ten a Clock, or whether it shall be celebrated in a house of Timber or Stone, in which Determina-

tion

tion the Worshippers may perhaps suffer some inconvenience, but the Worship it self no prejudice, seeing we must worship our God at some time, and some place, we can have no concern for stiffness or obstinacy; but when the Controversie shall be about such Rites, which being neither in general commanded, nor in their individuals expressed, do enter into the Worship it self, as an integral part of that Devotion which we present to the Divine Majesty, we say such Rites are sinfull, on this side the command of a Church, and can be no fit instruments of accommodation.

After many an impertinent Paragraph, the Enquirer flarts a new Question, which, though I never once dream't of it, shall have that attendance it deserves. If I am persuaded that Such an Opinion is more true than that which is publickly owned, (fo long as the main doctrine of Christianity is not in dispute) may I not rather conceal it, than disturb the Church? The case I is confess before a mean Cafuist, and the best assistance I can contribute to it's refolution, is to recommend him to the Apostle, Rom. 14.22. Hast thou Faith, have to thy self before God! upon which Text our Enquirer descants notably. 1. By Faith in the text (fays he) the Apostle means not the Doctrine of Christianity: For then the meaning should be, that he that understands the great Doctrine of Christianity should conceal it; well, but what hurt in a concealment? St. Basil was just now applauded, for dissembling the Coeffentiality of the H. Spirit; which, if the Athanasian Creed say true, is one of the great Doctrines of Christianity, necessary to be believed for Salvation. 2. By Faith (fays he) is not meant the habit of Saving faith; we agree to it! and are glad he can find fuch a thing as a habit of saving faith. 3. By Faith is meant onely a persuasion of the lawfulness of such a thing in it self indifferent. I shall not contradict, yet let me say, 1. That though it be a confessed Truth, that celare verum interdum licet, yet 'tis astrue præscribere falsum, nunquam licet: Though I may conceal a truth fometimes, I may never affert a falshood. I may forbear to fay they are Antipodes, yet may I not fay, or subscribe, or fwear, there are none, and yet these are none of the Essentials of our Religion. Negative precepts bind us semper, and ad semper, that is, there can be no case put wherein, no time affign'd when it may be lawfull to deny or renounce the smallest Truth, or violate the least of Gods Commands by my practice. 2. Though I may conceal my judgment, or suspend

my practice in some of these lesser matters, yet when a fweet concurrence of inviting circumstances shall call for my afferting that Truth, or practifing that duty, I am then to affert the one, and practife the other. In some cases I may wave an explicite profession, or open practice, when such forbearance shall be compensated with a greater good, when a little time shall pay the truth, and Gods glory, interest, and make amends for the lucrum cessans, and damnum emergens, the Spiritual loss sustained, or Spiritual advantage delayed. 3. Though I may conceal or fuspend as aforesaid, yet I ought not to give away my Christian Liberty, nor commit any act, or acts, that may destroy my future claim, or be pleaded in Bar to my rigor. 4. When the Consciences of Christians are notorioully hazarded by my filence or forbearance, when I amin danger of betraying my Brother to errour, or hardening another in his, I have need of much wisdom and prudence how to speak and act, but speak and act I ought; for it's a most monstrous cheat, to urge the manner of a Duty against the Duty it felf; as that because I ought to all prudently, that therefore I ought to st still. 5. I am much diffatisfied how it should follow from hence, what he makes his conclusion: That me may change any Rite or Ceremony that we have a great kindness for for one more gratefull to others: Nay, if any Ceremony I have in my worship not commanded by Christ, may do him a kindness, I have no fuch kindness for it as to disoblige him, nor shall he need to fend me back one of his beloved ones in exchange, I shall never feel the want of it. But now the Reader must be intreated to use his eyes; the Affertion was that it is lawfull to conceal my Opinion (when the main Dostrine of Christianity is not in dispute) rather than disturb the Peace of the Church; from whence he would wifely infer, therefore we may pra-Etise Geremonies, which I am either fully satisfied are finfull, or not fully fatisfied that they are lawfull; for this is the upfhot: -That we may comply with the Laws in being, so they be not palpably contrary to the Scriptures or common Reason; It is very amazing to me, that I cannot conceal what I think true, unless I must affert what I judge false; nor bite in my sentiments about anothers unlawfull practice, but I must practise with him: I may suppress my judgment that such a thing is sinfull, and yet not dare to deliver my judgment that it is lawfull. He that commanded me not to judge my brother, did not command me to imitate him. It

It must not be overlookt, what an Emphasis he lays upon this word palpably, we may comply with the Laws, fo they be not palpably contrary to the Scriptures. And pag. 1.1. It must be an apparent breach of the Divine Law, that gives just cause of Separation. And pag. 118. Conditions of Communion that are not expresty finfull, and such, wherein there is not a plain necessity, and certainty of fin in complyance, are justified. Sinfull terms will not justifie Separation, unless they be apparently, expresty so. Nor will a sinfull command warrant my non-obedience, unless it be palpably such. It must be some gross impiety; which like the Agyptian darkness may be felt: Thus if I be prohibited to partake of the Lords Supper, oftner than once in three, four, or feven years, I must pocket up the wrong, because here's no palpable, apparent, express violation of the Law of God. The Law fays indeed, As often as ye eat this bread, and drink this cup; but has not determin'd how often. As one of your Roman Casuists determins, that we are not bound actually to love God above once in three years; and another thinks, once in a mans life will ferve the turn, provided we do not down-right hate him; because the command of loving God, is an affirmative precept, which binds indeed femper but not ad semper. And if all the Rabble Rout of Popith Ceremonies were commanded, and five times as many more, yet these will not justifie non-obedience, because (for sooth) they are not exprefly forbidden by name, nor palpably contrary to any one Text of Scripture.

And to conclude, the Reader shall now know at a word the lowest price of Peace. That we part with all that, which is no essential point of our Religion, for Charity, which is. This is the lowest penny, take it, or leave it, try the world, and mend your selves, where you can. But, 1. Its palpably ridiculous, to oppose Charity to any point, or part of Religion; as if Charity, which is commanded by the Principles of our Religion, should cross those principles: Charity commands a religious person to stoop to all in his private concerns, but requires not that any Principle of Religion should stoop to it. 2. It's weakly supposed, that its the concern of Charity, that we be of one uniform practice in the Minutes of Religion, when her highest and noblest Exercise is to embrace those that differ from us in sinless practices. For I cannot yet understand, what interest Evangelical Love has to reduce us to an uniformity in Rites and Ceremonies;

and do know that Protestants, who differ in the lesser points of Religion, as to Principle, and Practice, do yet mantain a more entire and cordial love amongst themselves, than the Papists who are cudgelled by the iron rod of the Inquisition

into a precise Indentity, in their little sopperies.

Did we never hear of two friends that could really love each other with the most endeared affections, though their cloaths were not made by the same Taylor; nor trim'd up with the same ceremonies of Ribbonds and Lace; let the Worshippers of Mahomet guarrel about their Green and Red Turbants, yet Christian Kingdoms can hold firm peace, and inviolate amity, without abolishing their respective Country customs. The Irish in one of our Kings Reigns could not be perfuaded nor forced to leave their odd way of plowing and threshing out their grain, and yet that prudent Prince never fent Talus amongst them with his Iron flail to thresh them into a compliance with more decent and usefull manners. 3. This distinction of the Points of Religion into accidental or circumstantial, integral and effential, (or however else they please to marshal it ) had need be well warranted, sufficiently cleared, carefully limited, and distinctly butted and bounded, that we may exactly see where the Circumstantials end, and the Integrals begin, and where the integrals expire, and the effentials commence, or we may foon be diffinguisht out of all our Religion; for under the covert of such arbitrary diffinctions Rome has already rooted out much of Religion within her Quarters.

She confesses that Christ did institute both the eating of the Bread, and the drinking of the Cup; but withall assume, that he that eats the sless of Christ, receives the Blood also per concomitantiam. Drinking is not essential to the Sacrament, and therefore she has upon prudential grounds, (as she thinks) appropriated that Moity to the Priess, who need it most, and love it best: and in the judgment of Grotius, both Bread and Wine may be spared, as he learnedly determins upon that Question. An semper communicandum ser symbola? and 'tis but suitable to what he endeavours to evince (as our Enquirer cites him, pag. 163.) That the Magistrate exceeds not his commission when he interposes, for the Determination of the circumstantials of Religion. And seeing Bread and Wine in his judgment are not essential to that Ordinance, by his own, and our Authors principles, the Magistrate may (for some time at least) prohibit both; for if the Magistrate

has a power from God to determin the Circumstantials, he must be supposed to be Judge what are so, and thus we may have all our Religious Institutions distinguish'd, and determined away in a moment. For though at present, whilst we are under the care of faithfull Governours, we are secure of the essentials; yet we have granted a Principle in this generation, which may utterly eradicate all positive and instituted Worship in the next; and we hold all the Ordinances of Christ upon precarious terms, that is, we are Fiduciaries of that grand Depositum, till some men shall please to call for it, and then like the Traditores of old, must resign and betray the concerns of the Gospel.

(3.) He is now entring upon his third labour, which is to prove, That the things in difference are of fuch a nature, as may be fit to become a peace-offering, and facrificed to the Magistrate, the Laws, and the Church. For the proof of which, he will give us these five

following Remarks.

(1.) That the things now scrupled in this Church are such as were heretofore submitted to, by the most Leading-men of those that now depart from it. To which I shall very briefly return these few particulars. 1. That the Non-conformists are not led by men, farther than as they have authority in the name of Christ to lead them. The Leaders or Pastors disclaim all other conduct, than by the Gospel Direction; their power is Ministerial not Despotical; and their People disclaim all such Leaders, as would lord it over their Faith, and take away the judgement of Discretion over their own acts. It's a very uncomely fight to behold a drove of Rational Creatures with their Consciences tayled to one fere horse, and so conducted to a Fair, there to be fold for peace and expediency. 2. With equal reason the Diffenters will plead, That the Worship which they now use, is the very same that was lately used, and practifed by some of the most Leading-men amongst the Conformists; and is it not a wonder that whether men go backwards or forwards, yet both shall form an argument for Conformity. If they have sometimes been Non-conformists, and are now reclaimed, that shall conclude for Conformity, because they must be supposed to have their eyes anointed with some excellent Collyrium, and to fee better; If they were once Conformists, and become at last Dissenters, yet that will conclude full as well for Conformity, because they once saw better; fuch

fuch shuffling Arguments would with equal truth serve the occasions of the Dissenters too. Many of the Conformiss were once Non-conformiss, and therefore we are no worse now, than they were then; and many of the Non-conformiss were once Conformiss, and therefore having tried the difference are more competent Judges which way of Worship is the nearest Rule. And though both these ways of Reasoning are none of the best, yet if their be any advantage, the latter carries it; because there can be no such arguments from Worldly interest the one way, which may possibly have a stroke of the other; sew are ambitious to be starved, and as sew that are so irreconcileable to Preferments. 3. Not former apprehensions, but present light into the Mind of God from his Word, ought to be our immediate and next Director in our Worship of him: Men may change, as times and external accidents change, but the Rule of Religion is unchangeable, and abides for ever.

(2.) Some Non conformifts dayly come over to the Church, and those none of the meanest for Ability or Piety; but let them brag of any one if they can find him, that bath since the Resettlement of the Church apostatized to them. The Dissenters send back the Challenge, let them brag of any one if they can find him, that has apostatized

to them. But yet I shall fay a little more.

§ 1. It's no wonder to hear of few Conversions to a persecuted Profession. It must be deep impression of duty to God, and a violent respect to the reward of another World, that will prevail with Flesh and Bloud to set its face against the blustering wind of Opposition, and row against the impetuous current of general Example. Men are not easily induced to close in with that Worship, which will not onely prejudice, but ruine their Temporal Concerns. It's ordinary to fee Secular advantages to command the minds of many, but no turning to a reproached Reformation, but what arifes from clear and irrefiftible convictions. All the avenues to Preferment, all the passes to a Livelihood are so strictly guarded, that men of parts, whose Educations have promised them the outward rewards of Ingenuity and Learning, are fometimes necessitated to fowze over head and ears into Compliance at first dash; all Arguments are drowned in the noise of a croaking belly, and hungry stomacks swallow sirst, and leave it to the firength of nature to concost all as well as it can.

Nay, from the good old Woman at the Towns-end, that teaches the *Crifs-crofs-row*, to the *Belfray-School-master*, and so upwards, all the places of education are tindur'd with Conformity; every Puisny is taught first to swim with bladders, that at last he may venture alone; first, to ast without knowledge, and then with it, and against it, like the *Crotonian Milo*, who first carried the *sucking calf*, till by gradual essays he could carry it when a bull. Thus traps of temptation must needs take when they are baited at both ends, great Necessities

and great Preferments. 5 2. This Argument is borrow'd, or stollen from the Papists, who use and urge it every day against the Protestants; I shall propoudd and answer it in the words of Monsieur de la Motte, in his late Motives to Conversion, p. 108, 109. D'où vient donc (disent ils) qu'il y a si peu de personnes qui quitent la Religion Romane? & que, de ceux qui l'ont quitée pour embrasser la Protestante, on en voit une grando partie, qui y retournent, & qui font, comme on dit, leur Recantation? Whence comes it ( say the Romanists ) that so few quit the Roman Religion? And that of those who have for saken it, to embrace that of the Protestant, we see many that return back, and as we say, make their Recantation? To which he gives this Answer, Te pourois alleguer mille raisons particuliers pour lesquelles, plusieurs illustres Protestans ont quité leur Religion en France, qui n'est plus à la mode, (dit on) en ce Pais-la, & ont embrasse la Romaine, je dis sculement en general, ce qu'il est facile de remarquer dans les particulieres, que c'est l'interest, qui les ment, & les retient, qui les fait changer, & qui les empêche de rechanger. I could give a thousand particular reasons, for which some eminent Protestants have quitted their Religion in France, which, say they, is now no longer the mode in our Countrey; and have embraced the Roman Faith: But I onely fay this in general, which is very easie to observe in the particulars, That it is meer Interest that allures them first, and then fixes them; that makes them change, and then hinders them from a rechange. The pension of a thousand Crowns (as he goes on) which they promise to a Minister, in case he will renounce his Calling, is a most violent temptation.

§ 3. I could tell the Enquirer of those that have deserted their station in the Church of England, and have given their reasons for it, and have embraced a Persecuted Reformation: I could tell him of many young Scholars eminent for Piety and Learning, who have rather chosen a retired Estate and mean Condition, than those Allurements, which would make many a

mouth

mouth water at them. But let every man stand or fall to his own Master, I am not qualified to judge either way; but this I will fay, that whoever shall reason the one way or other, will find his Argument inconclusive, and I have known so much in my small Observation, and known some men too well, then either to be much confirmed in my judgment by their presence, or

stagger'd by their absence.

(3.) There are men of as clear understanding, as good life, and as comfortable Consciences in the society of this Church, as are any where else to be found. And if I should fay, there are persons of as clear understanding, as good life, and as comfortable Consciences in the society of the Non-conformists, as are any where else to be found, I should discover a vanity equal to that of the Enquirer. I cannot be of every mans Religion, that is of much clearer understanding than my felf, unless I resolve to be of twenty contradictory Religions at once; nor can I judge it my duty to imitate every one of a Holy life, further than in his holines; nor of every mans way that pretends to a comfortable Conscience in his way, because I fee some fetch in comfort to their Consciences from their great. est provocations, or grossest delusions: Besides its no part of the clearness of mens understandings, to be wifer than the Scriptures, or to fludy reasons, why they ought to destroy all that are not of the same intellectual stature with themselves. Nor does it add to their holiness, that they can persecute others whose lives are holy; nor to the folid comfort of their Consciences. that they endeavour to weaken the comfort of other mens; and I will further add, that fince my own Conscience can only directly witness to my felf, it can never be allowed credence with another, if Ideny it its proper work and office in another. But we have met with this Braggadocian Pyrgopolinias before, whither the Reader is referred, if at least he shall judge fo inconsiderable a trifle to merit further Consideration.

(4.) The things objected against this Church are but at most disputable matters, because all wise and good men are not agreed upon them, but that which subjudice, and yet under dispute, cannot be called evil, till the dispute is ended, and the decision made against it. To which I crave leave to answer.

1. That then some of the greatest and most important Points in Religion must be called disputable, seeing all wise men, and some good men are not agreed upon them.

2. If the matters be still subjudice, and cannot be called evil till the dispute be ended; why are the Non-conformists exe-

cuted

cuted before condemnation? For I hope he arrogates no fuch partial tribunal to himself, that the things in controversic shall be reputed undecided when he would justifie the one, and yet decided when he would condemn the other. 3. We say the things are already decided by Scripture long ago, if that may be judge, and if any other Judge be set up, the Condemned party will appeal thither, as the highest Court of Judgment in matters relating to Conscience and the immediate Worship of God, where alone they ought to be judged.

(5.) The things scrupled in this Church are such as the like may be found, and complained of in any Church of the whole World, at least since the Apostles times. To which I say, 1. That I have been too much beaten to the game, than to be overborn by the Enquirers daring Confidence, and do smoothly deny the matter of fact. Many particular Churches may be found at this day, where Mystical Ceremonies are not found, much less made the Terms of Communion. But he has two wide creep holes at which he will escape. 1. That we choose what National Church we will: It seems then, a particular Church, and a national Church are Terms that measure each other. And thus, if we instance in the ancient Albigenses, Waldenses, or the present French Churches, he may reject the issue, because they are not National Churches. And all the Churches for three hundred years after Christ, because they were not National. 2. He will undertake this task, If he may have the history and knowledge of that church, whatever it be or was fince the Apostles times, as we have of this. I commend his differetion, for he knows it difficult to get the Church-history of other National Churches, so full as we have of our own.

2. If the Churches in the Aposles times had none of these things now scrupled, we shall rather chuse, and such choice is our duty, to conform to their Patterns than any junior and more green-headed Constitutions. They are the Apostolical Times and Churches, of whose Constitutions we have infallible Records, which we propound for our Exemplars, and he will be tryed by any others, if we will bate him them, for which we thank him. I am now expecting a serious Proposition, and he sends us this offer: That since there is no grand matter of Religion concerned in the controverses between us, nor any violation of the Laws of God in our complying with the Laws of this Society, and since Mahomet must either go to the meuntain, or the mountain must come to

Mahomet, i. e. one side or other must yield; we will be persuaded to think it reasonable, that the subject should submit to the governor, and opinion give place to ancient custom, and novelty to the Laws in being. This is his friendly motion, and one so modest, that we would be persuaded to think it reasonable, if he had given us reasonable arguments to be persuaded, which that he has not I think is evident from what has been already said, with these further Considerations.

§ 1. That his motion is grounded on a false suggestion, That there's no grand matter of Religion concerned in the controverse, nor any Law of God violated by our complyance; for the Perfection of the Scriptures as the rule of Faith, Worship, and Church-Government, is a grand matter of Religion, and greatly concerned in this Dispute. The Soveraignty of Christ over his Church, his compleat Discharge of all his Offices, his Kingly office in making Laws, his Prophetical in revealing the whole Mind of God, is no small matter of Religion, and greatly concern'd also in this Dispute; which Law-giver, by his express Law, and Royal Edict has commanded all his true Ministers, Matt. 28. 19, 20. To disciple all Nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost: Teaching them to observe whatsoever he has commanded them; adding a gracious promife of his special assisting Presence in this work, That he would be with them always unto the end of the World: we think that the Terms of enjoying all the Ordinances of Christ, is but observing whatsoever Christ has commanded; which Law is apparently, expressly, palpably violated (to use his own expressions) when any thing else or less ormore is made the condition of our admittance into the Kingdom of Christ.

§ 2. I know no reason why any party should be the Immovable mountain, that is too siff in the hams to come to Christ; I have ever judged Christ himself to be that Mountain, to which Mahomet and all Pretenders ought to move. It was noted as a piece of arrogant moroseness in Austin the Monk, that he would stir no more than a Mountain to meet the British Christians half way in an amicable association: But if the Church will needs be the Mountain, yet let her remember that Christ is set upon that Holy Hill, and if she will not move in descrence to his Authority. He that touches the mountains and they smoak, and makes the hills to tremble, can by his almighty power send such an Earthquake in her bowels, as may cause her to yeeld to reasons

Q-2 § 3. Thought

§ 3. Though Opinion and Custom may fight it out for me, yet let the proudest ancient Custom bow down to the Institutions of Christ. It has ever been as a common, so a successfull policy to clap hoary Perriwigs upon juvenile innovations, to conciliate some reverence to their antique looks: Error has often a more wrinckled face than Truth, but Trnth always carries the graver aspect. They that imp their pin-feather'd inventions with plumes borrowed from Time's wings, do not teach them to fly, but flutter: Antiquity is like Romulus his Affylum, where all purfued corruptions take fanctuary; 'tisthe grand Burrough and fafe retreat of Superstition, when ferreted out of her lurking holes of counterfeit Reafon. He can fay very little for his opinion, that cannot plead antiquity, custom, and fuch like Mormo's: Thus the aquarian Hereticks pleaded custom to use water mingled with wine in the Eucharift, whose folly cyprian thus censures, Victi ratione, apponunt consuetudinem, quasi consuetudo major effet veritate: Being beaten at the weapon of Reaton, they fetch out the old rufty fword of Custom; as if such a Bilbao fword durst try its edge against the tryed Scimitar of Truth; fuch aroat does Tertullian give these childish pretences. Consuetudo ab aliqua ignorantia, vel simplicitate initiam sortita, in usum per successionem corroboratur; & ita adversus veritatem vindicatur, sed Dominus noster Jesus Christus, veritatem se, non consuetudinem cognominavit: Hæresesnon tam novitas, quam veritas revincit, quodcunque adversus veritatem sapit, erit beresis, etiam vetus consuetudo. A custom of base and dunghill extract, yet gaining some repute by long usage, and prescribing for its gentility time out of mind, grows sawcy and malapert against Truth it self; but our Lord Jesus Christ called himself by the title of Truth, not of Custom: the clearest conviction of Heresie is not by the leaden Lesbian rule of Practice, but by the golden rule of the Scriptures. Error is error fill, and will be fo, of Plebeian breed and ignoble parentage, though it hath purchased a Coat of Arms, fcrapes acquaintance with fome ancient families, and would make it out, that it came in with the Conquerour.

The Gibeonites acted very subtlely, when they came to Joshua with old sacks upon their asses, and wine-bottles old, and rent, and bound up, and old shooes, and clouted upon their feet, and all their provision dry, and mouldy as if they had come from far, when all this while they were but their next Neighbours. It's a pretty sight doubtless to see the State which the Great Czar of Muscovy uses upon publick sessivals and entertainments; the great Cham-

ber all beset with grave Personages, adorned with Ermines and Gold from head to soot, dazling the weak eyes of vulgar spectators, and yet perhaps you shall find some of these Knezzes next day in their Blue Aprons, who shall think it no empeachment of their late glories to sell you a penny worth of pepper; such a masque we have presented to us of old customs, all gorgeously attired like the Antediluvian Patriarchs, and when we come to examin them, they are little better than (to use our Authors expressions) the distates of ambition, the artifices of gain, and a colluvies of almost all the superstitions, errors, and corruptions of former ages.

§ 4. Since there must be a yielding in order to peace, then surely they have all the light and reason to their sides to have the ho-

nour of the Condescension.

I. Who confess that the matters in difference are indifferent in themselves, such as wherein no grand matter of Religion is concerned, rather than they who are bound up by immoveable persuasions that they are simfull.

2. They who are most remote from the primitive simplicity, and not they who have no higher ambition, than to perform all

things which, and as Christ has commanded.

3. They who have made the additions, which cause the Divisions; and not they, who onely take up their Religion, as near as they can, as they found it delivered and recommended to

them by the unerring Word of God.

4. They who have *enough to spare*, and may part with some *excressences*, and never touch *the Quid of Religion*, rather then they who own a *naked Religion* without any additaments, and if any such be found amongst them, they are content to surren-

der them up as a facrifice to Peace.

5. They who by their authority are qualified to make a through Reformation, and fuch abatements in fupernumerary observations, such fillings up of the chassmand vacuities, as may not onely retrieve Peace at home, but procure a general Union with all the Reformed Churches abroad, to the strengthening of the Protestant Religion, weakening the hand of the common Enemy, rather than those poor People, whose circumstances are such, that they cannot propose the terms of Peace to others, and what alterations they shall make in themselves, will be insignificant to an universal Settlement.

6. They who have already given fome specimens of their Q 3 conde-

condescensions to the Romanists, by removing some exasperating passages, and it may be hoped and expected, that they will take a few steps towards a complyance with diffenting Protestants. For as the Author of the Irenicum observes well. pag. 132. That which was laid as a bait for them (the Papists) was never intended as a book for those of our own Profession. And therefore to conclude this chapter, I will take the freedom to quote that celebrated Son of the Church for a Theological Notion, whom he has already quoted for a Philosophical one. Dial. 3. p. 399. speaking of the Papacy as the Kingdom of Antichrist, he has those notable words. Which we knowing so experimentally, not to be compassed by needless symbolizing with them, in any thing; I conceive our best policy is, studiously to imitate them in nothing; but for all indifferent things to think the worse of them for their using them. As no person of honour would willingly go in the known garb of any lead and infamous person; whatsoever we court them in, they do but turn it to our scorn and contempt, and are the more hardened in their wickedness; wherefore seeing that needless Symbolizing with them, does them no good but burt, we should account our selves in all things indifferent, perfectly free, to satisfie and please in the most universal manner we can those of our own Party; nor caring what opinions, or customs, or outward formalities the Romanists, or others have, or may have had from the first Degeneracy of the Church, which we ought to account the more hideously soiled by the Romanists using them; but supporting our selves upon plain Scripture and folid Reason, to use, and profess such things as will be most agreeable to us. all, and make most for the safety and welfare of the Kingdom of Christ; for this undoubtedly, O Philopolis, is the most firm, and true interest of any Protestant Church or State whatsoever.

## CHAP. IV.

The vanity of the Enquirers confidence noted, in boasting; that they who find fault with the Churches Constitution, will never be able to find out, or agree upon a better: his Reasoning about this matter examined.

T was a piece of the old Roman valour, to kill themselves, for fear of being killed; and it's a considerable piece of the new Roman piety, not to sir, for fear of going out of the way, to resolve

refolve against Reformation upon some dangers which are fancied may attend Reformation: That Churches always apprehending, or pretending to apprehend dreadfull inconvenien-

ces in all changes, though apparently for the better.

Before we can possibly know whether a better way may be found out, we must first be agreed what is a good way. Now all goodness consists in the due conformity of a thing to its Rule and Idea, by which it ought to be measured; and its fitness to reach that end to which it is a means: and therefore the betterness of any thing must be judged of by its nearer approach to that Rule, and its greater proportionableness to the attainment of its design: In then we could find out a Worship more agreeable to the rule of Worship, or a Constitution more apt to reach the great intendment of Holiness and Peace, such a Worship, such a Constitution will justifice it self to be a better, than any of its competitors which shall deviate from that Rule, or more uncertainly attain the desired End.

(1.) The first part of our task then will be to find out our Rule, which when we have done, we have nothing remaining, but to apply that Rule to those Models which we would erect, or having erected, we would examin their Regularity. And as they shall be found to approach nearer, or depart farther from that Rule, we may considently pronounce they are therefore by so much the better, or the worse. Now the onely rule of Reformation, in our judgment, is the infallible Word of God, which we therefore judge sufficient and adæquate, because they give this testimony to their own Persection: And, seeing we have now to do with those who own the Scriptures to affert nothing but truth, it will be evidence enough that they are such a Rule if they do but assert that they are so.

It is indeed a new thing to hear them charged as lame and defective, such as must be pieced and eked out either with immediate Revelations, or human Traditions, to render them a compleat and perfect standard of our Faith, and acceptable Obedience. To which we onely oppose the Testimony of the Apostle, 2 Tim. 3.16,17. All Scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is prostable for dostrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness, that the Man of God may be perfect, throughly furnish unto all good works. Concerning which sacred Rule, I will use our Authors considence with (I think) better warrant; That they who find fault with this Rule, will never be able to find out or agree upon

Q4

a better; whereof the endless Mazes, the perplexed Labyrinths into which they have cast themselves, who despising and forsaking this onely Canon, have delighted to find out bypaths, is very clear, but very sad demonstration; for when they have tryed Traditions, or gaped for Revelations, or depended on the Churches Authority, and yet found no satisfaction; they think to secure themselves, and gratise the Magistrate with a new power, who has already such burthens upon his shoulders, that we have more need incessantly to solicit the Throne of Grace on his behalf for wisdom, counsel, strength to manage and bear them, than fondly to think to do him a kindness, by imposing upon him a greater work which all others are weary of.

But this one Text which I have mention'd, may abundantly fatisfie us that there can be nothing requisit to equife and furnish out a *Christian*, a *Minister*, a *Church* for duty and obedience, but what is summarily therein ascribed to the written

Word.

§ 1. That the Scriptures are of unquestionable Authority to determine all those controversies whereof they have cognizance, because they are Desorversies, divinely inspired, which no Person, no Church, no Convention of men, can with any colourable pretext affirm of their Dictates, Canons, Decretals or Constitutions; and that amongst many other Reasons, because they were not indited in heat or passion, were not contrived to advance one Party, or to depress and crush another, but were the result of infinite Wisdom, impartially respecting Truth, saithfully acquainting us with the Mind and Will of God,

Without adhering to any Faction.

S 2. That there can be no concern of any Church, or Officer in the Church, or Member of the Church, but the Scripture speaks fully to it. As, I. If a Church will prove her self to be the pillar of truth, and expose to all her Members the Doctrine of the Gospel, the Scripture is, eight up Gospel, the Scripture is, eight up Gospel, the Scripture is doctrine, profitable for instruction, or, 2. has she occasion to convince the cavilling World, and shop the mouths of gainsayers; the Scripture is doctrine world, and therefore the cavilling World, and therefore, false Doctrine, all Corruption in worship and manner, it gives us what is straight, and therefore enables us to judge what is crooked; or, 3. Are

there any tares sprung up in the field of the Church, sown by the enemy whilst men slept, (and men will sleep) it is profitable also webs truetopamen, for the Correction, rectifying, and redintegration of whatever is warped, and declined from its Original. It supplies and fills up the wide chasses of desectives, and pares off all excrescences, and prunes off superstuities; or, 4. Must Christians be trained up under Gospel-discipline and order, that they may grow up in Knowledge, in every Grace, in mutual Love, it's useful webs mustan, no Pædagogy, no Constitution, no Discipline to be compared with it.

§ 3. That it is a Rule which must direct all the Builders in Gods House in whatsoever quality, under whatsoever Character they appear; It's profitable for the Man of God, and indeed it onely becomes the man of sin, he that is the "Avou. They are less person, who has a curb for every mans conscience, but will not endure a snaffle upon his own to despise this Rule, and cry

up another.

§ 4. The absolute perfection and compleatness of this Rule is also affected; It's able to make the Man of God perfect, throughly furnish'd

to all good works.

Notwithstanding this Perfeccion of the Scripture as a Rule; it is always supposed, that every one in his private, or more publick capacity, be able to use and apply the Rule. As the Square, or Rule of the Architest, however exact in it self, yet presupposes him to have eyes to see, and brains to apply it to his work; fo the Scripture as a Law teaches duty, and whatever of well-pleasing obedience we can perform to God, yet supposes us at least to be Rational Creatures, that can apply that Law to our own particular actions: Whence these two things must necessarily follow. I. That it was not onely needless, but impossible, that the Scripture should enumerate or determin upon the particular natural circumstances, of general time, place, person, when, where, who should worship God, every day, hour, and minute to the end of the world; for fo the whole world would not have afforded fufficient stowage for Rubricks, nor have been able to contain the Volumes that must have been pritten; for as the end and use of the Rule, is not to teach the Artificer when he shall begin to work, but her he may do it like a work-man whenever he begins; fo neither was the Scripture delign'd for a clock to tell us at what hour of the day we should commence the publick Service of God, but that whenever

we begin or end, we mannage all according to this Rule. 2. That when the Scripture has prescribed us all the parts of Worship, instituted the Administrators of Worship, given Rules how to separate them to that office, and laid down general Rules for the regulating those natural circumstances, which could not particularly be determined, as that they be done to edification, decently and in order; and has withall commanded us to attend to this Rule, and no other, it has then discharged the office of a

Rule, and as a Rule is compleat and perfect.

(2.) Besides our retrospect to our Rule, we must also look forward to the end and design of all Religion, and when that is once well fixt, we shall have another great advantage to judge what Worship is better, and what is morse; Now the great end of all Religion, and specially of Religious Worship, is the glorifying of God, the pleasing of God: And therefore whatever shall pretend to that glorious Title, and dignity of being an act of Religion, a part of Religion, and yet has no real tendency to the advancement of his Glory, ( which it can never have without a due regard to the Rule) ought to be expunged out of the Catalogue of lawfull acts, or parts of Worship; and is fo much the more abominable both to God and Man; to God, because it offers him a facrifice not subservient to his praise; and to Man, because it deludes him with a pretence of recommending his person and service to God, and yet leaves and exposes both to Gods abhorrence.

From what hath been faid, I might plead my felf competently qualified to gratific the importunity of the Enquirer, and answer the Question, whether a better frame of things might not possibly have been found out? If what soever agrees with the Rule is good, then what is discrepant from the Rule is evil; If what makes a nearer approach to the Rule is better, then what departs farther off, is worse; but I look upon these kind of Questions as a vapouring party sent out to draw the unwary within the

clutches of an Ambuscado.

Whatever Constitution shall impeach the only true Rule of shortness deficiency, is less good than that which implies no such shortness and deficiency; but there are some Constitutions in the world, which impeach the only true Rule of shortness and deficiency, and therefore they are less good than those which impeach not the Rule of such deficiency, whatever Constitutions are made, supposed useful for decency, which are not

compre:

comprehended under the Rule, do impeach that Rule of deficiency; but there are some Constitutions made, supposed usefull for decency, which are not comprehended under the Rule; and therefore there are some Constitutions which impeach the Rule of deficiency. Whatever is comprehended under a Rule, must at least be necessary by may of disjunction; but there are some Constitutions in the world which are not necessary, fo much as by way of disjunction; therefore they are not comprehended under the Rule. There is not the smallest or most minute circumstance which can cleave to any Religious Act, or wherewith we can lawfully cloath Gods Worship, but it is by the Command of Christ made necessary, at least disjun-Elively. But there are some Constitutions which are not made necessary disjunctively, and therefore they are such as wherewith we cannot lawfully cloath Religion, or the Worship of God. We are commanded by Christo baptize; now though it was not possible that it should be determined how often, in what place, at what hours, with what number of persons the Ordinance should be administred in every Age and Country from its first institution, to the end of the world, yet it's determined that they to whom of right it belongs, do baptize at one hour or other, in one place or other, and so time and place are determined by way of disjunction; but there are some things which 'tis not necessary to do, the one or the other to the compleat fulfilling and decent performance of the precept, and therefore are not commanded by way of disjunction.

It would therefore be no fuch difficult labour to find out a better way, so all the difficulty would lie in reforming abuses, removing corruptions, and reducing Christs Ordinances to their Primitive Institutions: "Hoc enim adversus ommes hæreses valet: Idesseverum quodcunque prius: idesse adulterum, quodcunque posterius. This is (faith Tertullian) the great Maml of all Hereses, (and I will add, against all Corruptions) that whatsoever was first is true, whatsoever was introduced

afterwards, is a corruption.

But though perhaps the Diffenters may possibly find out what is better, yet they will never agree among themselves; which is an old politick put-off for Reformation: The levity of which Objection is easily discovered, for,

§ 1. We are all agreed, that the Scriptures are the only Rule of Worship, and they that are thus far agreed, are in a fair may

towards

towards perfect Unity, so far as 'tis attainable in this state of imperfection; for though they may miss in the Application, through the weakness of their judgment; yet being secure that their Rule is good, and fincerely endeavouring to come up to it, and reform by it, they cannot be fatally wide, nor mortally differ: All that are agreed in their Rule, have this fingular advantage, that they can debate their differences amicably upon common Principles, whereas they who differ in the Rule, must needs differ in all the rest; they that divide in the Center, must needs divide infinitely in their motions towards the Circumference, and they that differ in the Foundation, must necessarily disagree in the Superstructures.

§ 2. All that agree in the Rule, have prepared minds immediately to cashier whatever they shall once discover to be repugnant to that Rule, and will eafily part with any mistake as it shall be made out to them; whereas they who set up false Rules of Worship, and yet suppose them to be true, are as tenacious of whatever they find fuitable to those erroneous measures they have taken, as if they were the most Sacred

Concerns of Religion.

§ 3. They that own the same perfect and infallible Rule, are thereby kept within fuch bounds of found judgment, warrantable Obedience, and Christian Moderation, that they can maintain Communion with each other, and both of them with the same, one God, one Lord, one Spirit, in the Ordinances of the Gospel, though still differing in lesser matters; whereas they who fet up new Rules of Worship, exclude all others from their Communion, but fuch as submitto their novel Canons and Constitutions, imposed as the Terms of that

§ 4. They who embrace the Word of God for their Rule, do keep alive the fire of Evangelical Love towards each other, notwithstanding the little diversities that are found amongst them, when they who advance their own pleasures for the rule and reason of Obedience, are ingaged in a zealous persecution of all those who comply not with their Concepts, as is evident in the Church of Rome at this day. It will be delightfull no doubt to the Reader, to be refresh'd with the Enquirers Rhetorick, who has been tired with my duller Discourse, and therefore I shall gratifie him with his Reafonings.

It's reasonable (says he) we should be able to agree upon, and produce a better model, lest instead of having a New Church, we have no Church at all: Yes, highly reasonable it is; For--- (Let him that reads now endeavour to understand the strength of his four Arguments.) 1. Such a Society as a Church can never be conserved without some Rites or other. 2. Neither any Society can continue, nor any publick Worship be performed, if all Ceremonies and Circumstances, such so of time, place, persons, and the like, be left indefinite and undetermined. 3. If there must be some determination of Circumstantials, it must be made either by God or Man. 4. If there must be some determination of Circumstances, or no Society, and God hath made no such Determination, what remains but that men must? and then who fitter than our Governours? and what these four learned Arguments contribute to the proving his Affertion: That Diffenters will never be able to find or agree upon a better Constitution, I hope the Learned do perceive, for my own part fuch is my dulness, I cannot discern it; but let us examin the Affertions as they lie in order.

[1.] It can never be thought by wife men, that fuch a Society as a Church can be conferved without some Rites or other: [Rites, Ceremonies, Circumstances,] are the Terms under which all the collusion lurks: when he would flatter us into the humor to yield him a Point or two, then he speaks of nothing but Circumstances; when he would amuse us with an obscure Term, then we hear of nothing but Rites, and when he would kill us with a mortal Conclusion, then out comes Ceremonies; but I

answer:

§ 1. If a Church cannot be conserved without some Rites, then let the imposed Terms of Communion be onely of such Rites, without which the Church cannot be conserved, and we will contend no longer: If any Rite be so necessary to the being of a Church, that its Constitution must moulder away into dust, without it, we are content that Rule be made a Term of Communion:

§ 2. From hence then it will evidently appear that Myfical Ceremonies, such as the Cross in Baptism, the Surplice, ought not to be imposed as the Terms of Communion, because that without such Rites of human Appointment, the Society of the Church may be conserved: I would fain know how the Church was conferved in the early, purer times of Christ and his Apostles? They had not recourse to the Ladies Closet open'd, they understood nothing of the modern curious Arts of conferving,

tandying, and preserving Religion in Ceremonious Syrrups, and yet Religion kept sweet and good. They were some of his Holinesses Ladies of Honour that first taught the World, out of a miraculous good will, and tender pitty to the Church, to conserve the two Sacraments of Christs Institution, in five more of their own invention; because our Saviour had not prescribed enow to conserve the Church from Dissolution.

§ 3. This feems to be a little too high preferment for human Ceremonies, to make them conferving causes of the Church; at Rome they have proved desiling Causes, in other places Causes of Offence, Scandal and Division; they have burthened some, and debauched others, and raised persecution against the rest; but they were never yet Conservators of the Churches Purity or Peace; surely the parts of a Church are very forrily put together, that has no other cement to unite them, and the frame and contexture thereof exceeding brittle, that must dissolve upon the

removal of a Ceremony.

§ 4. If by Rites, he understands nothing more than meer natural Circumstances we grant that no Church can be conserved, no publick Worship celebrated without the Observation and Determination of some such Rites, that is, in plain English no Church can worship God, except they agree to worship him somewhere, which is a discovery well worthy of all this Periphrasis, and tedious Circumlocution; for whoever thought in a Dream, that a body could exist, and yet possess no place? or an action be fpun out by men for an hour, or fo, and yet not be measur'd with time? It must be some strange vertigo therefore that whirls the brains of these Non-conformists, that they will endure the utmost extremities, rather than renounce and abjure such cross Non-sense, That God must be worshipt, and yet may be worshipt no where; That a Sermon may be extended to an hours length, and yet preacht in an indivisible instant: some or other must needs be out of their wits.

God (fays he) cannot be worshipt by men without all Circumstances; by Men? No, nor by Angels! they have their vbi, and definitive place; nor can they traverse the Poles in a moment, though they are so swift winged, as to dispatch it in imperceptible time; so that this Argument will enforce the Cherubims to conform to the Ceremonies, as well as the poor Dissenters; and well did he say, It can never be thought by wise men? --- For he must be a natural Fool or Idiot that thinks otherwise; and to make

fure

fure work, he will confound us quite with two most unmercifull Reasons. I. Reason, Forasmuch (says he) as no petty Corporation or Companycan; nay, I will strengthen his Reason for once; Not only no petty, but none of the great trading Corporation, those nurseries of Schisin, and nests of Non-conformity can be conferved without some Rites or other: They have their pageants, (and goodly things they are, and contribute wonderfully to their conservation,) but yet to deal freely and plainly with our Enquirer, though I allow his Conclusion, I cannot swallow his Medium. Arguments taken from my Lord Mayors Show, will never enforce religious mystical Ceremonies; Bodies Politick may be beholden to some little artifices to conciliate Admiration, it not Adoration from the thick skin'd Vulgar, who see no further than the Scarlet and Furr; But Religion needs none of these tricks and devifes of wit to fet her off. She is never more glorious than when she shines in her naked and native lustre; she adorns her attire, but borrows no ornament from her cloathing. She is none of these empty Quelque Choses, who wanting intrinsick worth to recommend him to Society, thinks to firike the Spe-Etators with reverence to his Pantaloons and waving Plume; fuch was the Answer of Luther to Vergerius; That it was the great fault and folly of Rome, to establish the Church with a Government taken from Human Reason, as if it were some temporal State.

Reason 2. Because men have bodies, and are bound to glorifie God with their Bodies as well as Souls. I am sometimes ready to say in passion of an Age cheated with such silly Arguments, Qui decipi vult, decipiatur: he that has a mind to be gulled, much good may it do him. That we have bodies, will only infer, that all natural circumstances, which necessarily adhere to a Body, must be determined; but not at all, that we worship God by mystical Ceremonies, for that I may worship God acceptably without them, I cannot demonstrate. Because Christ did so; but that we may worship him acceptably with, or by the Ceremonies, he has not yet offer'd us a Demonstration. I never yet understood that the Diffenters did worship God in statu separato, which if they could, 'twould notably disappoint the Informers, who could never swear their presence at a Conven-

ticle, because they never saw the complexions of their Souls.

[2.] Its as plain (says he) that neither any Society can continue, nor any publick Worship be performed, if all ceremonies and circumstances, such as of time, place, persons, and the like, be left indefinite and

undetermined. All the flyle in this Proposition lies in this, that he has wifely foisted in Geremonies amongst Gircumstances; and to prevent all fraud and legerdemain, let him use a little of that candor he borrow'd of his Reader not long fince, and tell us uprightly, whether he takes Geremonies and Circumstances for Terms of the same import? And if so, then whether he will degrade the word Ceremony, from its usual repute, to fignifie no more than a natural circumstance, or advance the term circumstance to fignific mystical ceremonies; for if by circumstance he understands ceremony, in the common and received acceptation of the word, the Proposition is false; That no Society can be conferved without some circumstances; that no publick Worship can be performed without some circumstances; that is, without unscriptural symbolical Ceremonies; and all this discourse will not reach the hundredth part of a proof of it; for its the easiest thing in the world to worship God without the sign of the Cross, or any such like circumstances, and there are thousands that have made the experiment; but if by ceremonies he intend no more than bare natural circumstances; the whole Proposition is granted him, but then the mifery is, it will do him no fervice, contribute nothing to his delign.

The Reader may be at a loss perhaps as well as my felf about the determinate sense of his words, and it's convenient we should be so at present; matters are not yet ripe for discovery; I know his cause requires, his conclusion needs Ceremonies, but his premises are modest, his instances only pretend to circumstances, such (says he) as of time, place, person, and the

like; which must be a little examined.

(1.) Time. That Time is a natural circumstance, inseparably adhering to, or if you will, say accompanying, every action sacred or civil, wants not the authority of the seven wise men of Greece to confirmit; that is, some time in general, yet time in special, that is, religious time, is no such circumstance: No action can be done without time to do it in, yet actions may be done without such time, as shall render them either morally better or worse; that is, such as add any moral goodness or evil to the actions: If then he take time in the former sense, its then very true, that no publick Worship can be performed without the determination of time; it must be determined by some or other when the Publick Worship shall begin, as whether at eight, nine, or ten of the clock, otherwise it cannot be attended on by the

whole Church. But if time be taken in the latter fense, for fuch time as shall render the Worship more acceptable to God, because perform'd in such time, there's no necessity man should determin it, both because all the skill he has, can add no such respect to Time, and because God has already determin'd for so much of that time, as his Wisdom has judged necessary.

There are three confiderations of Time which may deferve

our thoughts in this cafe.

1. The Quamdiu, or the quota pars temporis: how long the A-ction shall continue.

2. The Quoties, how often the Action shall recurr, as whether in an annual, diurnal, horary, or septenary Revolution, (let that

word please or displease.)

3. The Quando, or Epocha, from what point of time the Action shall start or bear date. When therefore he says, no Worship can be performed, unless this circumstance of time be defined and determined. I would know, to which of these considerations of Time, his Assertion does relate? for its certain, that in every of these respects, Time either is, or must be determined by God or Man.

is in Then for the Quamdiu of folemn time, we affirm that God has fanctified to his Service, and commanded us to keep holy one day in feven; but how much of this time shall be allotted to private and personal Devotions, how much bestowed upon dome-flick and family duties, how much assigned to publick service, is not precisely determin'd by God; yet thus far he has determin'd by the Light of Nature, and common Reason, that if a day must be expended in, and divided between these three kinds of Devotions; that each ought to have such a proportion assigned to it, as the weight and dignity of the Work requires; still apportioning the whole time amongst them, excepting so much as he has reserved for the incident duties of necessity, and charity; which exception he has put in to all assignmentive precepts.

§ 2. For the Quoties: how often this folemn facred time shall return: we assure that God has sufficiently determin'd it, nor can we be affirighted out of our senses, with the empty clamours of Judaism, Sabbatarianism, or whatever other noises, irreligion and prophaness can muster up: There is no necessity therefore that any Church should determin upon any other Revolution of sacred time, and, if she shall make the Adventure, she will apparently sin, for she must either make the Revolution narrower, and so sin against the Churches Liberty, by prescribing too freequent a

2

Return :

Return; or wider, and to fin against the Churches Edification by too

Seldom a Return of the publick worship.

§ 3. For the Quando: when this folemn and facred time shall commence; He that has determined, when the day of Labour, has determined thereby, when the day of Rest shall begin; as in the one he commands us, to doe All our work; fo in the other he commands us, to doe All his; which is therefore the more ours, Because it is wholy his: But for the Quando of the publick worship, As God has not determin'dit, fo it's necessary, some or other must: But still, what's all this to Ceremonies? Now the Great Question here will be, who ought to make this determination? And in my weak judgment, They who are upon the place, who know best the particular circumstances, out of which the expediency of such Determination must arise; they who see the conveniences, and inconveniences of determining this way or the other, are the most competent Judges in this Case: Suppose the Question were, whether we ought to meet together for publick worship at nine orten of the clock? what could a convocation fay to this? or what general Law could be made for all the particular Churches in athousand miles Circuit? if we look upon the Country Villages, they have the Ox and Ass to water and feed, their cattle to attend in in the field, for whom God has made provision, that they shall rest, and not ferve upon his day: If you look on the petty, or greater Corporations, they have no calves in the stall, no flocks in the field, their shops are shut in, their affairs reduced to a narrower compass, and therefore may commence sooner then the country villages.

Suppose, nevertheles, that some will needs Determine this affair; That all Churches under whatsoever circumstances, expedient, or inexpedient, right, or wrong, with all their particular members, shall upon pain of excommunication affemble for publick worship, strictly at nine of the clock, upon pretence of Uniformity, and that all may unite and affociate their devotions, as it were, at once beleaguering heaven, & wrestling for a bleffing; I cannot but think what distractions, confusions it would raise in mens hearts, and consciences; what squabbles, what quarrels it would create in the vicinage; For my Neighbours Dial, I observe, goes a full quarter of an hour before mine, and he sets his clock by his own Dyal; and then rises in the Morning by his own clock: If for no other Reason, yet because he can hear his clock strike, better then his Dial, so that

here's an endless controversie like to arise between us, whether his clock, or mine shall deserve Excommunication; Now to part or prevent this fray, there is a certain infallible Officer erested, called a Sexton or Sacristan, one that will take his oath, his clock goes true, whatever Sir Sun says to the contrary, and he shall decide this Brawl, when he chimes all-in, so that in the upshot, this great Question the Church troubles her self with, must be resolved into this Momentous Canon, That all Churches shall begin their publick worship, when my Gasser whatchicallum pleases. And thus much for the Circumstance of Time.

(2.) Place. This is indeed a Circumstance, and considered in general, an inseparable Circumstance of a Body; so that it haunts us whereever we go like a familiar, and pursues us more earnestly then our shadew; and therefore, as to publick worship, there must be some determination of Common place, where a Church shall assemble for the ordinary worship of God. But if place be considered as Religious, that is, as such a place as renders the worship more acceptable to God, we say, It's not in the power of Man to Determine of any such Religious, or holy place, because he can

make none fo.

And yet though he cannot determine the place as Religious; he may determine it as convenient, and perhaps expedient; And besides, since the Magistrate is concern'd to keep an eye upon all assemblies whatsoever, that the publick peace committed directly to his charge, may be preserved, and not violated by seditious Meetings, he may therefore command all the Churches under his jurisdiction to convene in such open places where his officers may come, and make inspection into their demeanours, and behaviours, and the Churches are bound in order to this end, to submit to his determinations, in conscience to God: for if the Place (or time) be inconvenient, yet that's onely some prejudice to the worshippers, but no pollution to the worship it self; and the primitive Christians no doubt would chearfully, and thankfully have struggled with many incommodities, provided the freedom of worship at any time or place might be secured to them.

But if more open places will certainly expose them to ruin, they may Lawfully keep their foot out of the snare; for, as Master Hales says well, In times of manifest Corruption, wherein Religious assembling is dangerous; Private Meetings, however besides publick order, are not onely Lawfull, but of necessity and duty, else how shall we excuse the meetings of our

selves in Q. Mary's days?

(3.) For Perfons: they also will fall under the same distinction. The Circumstances of the Person in general, as whether his Name be N. or M. is of little, or no confideration in the case; but for persons in special, as marked out for publick service, that is very material. And we affirm that Christ has already determined upon that point; The Qualification, calling, fetting apart of fuch a one to his office, with the Nature and end of his Office, together with his whole imployment, work and duty towards the Church, are all determined, and none has power that I know of to dispense with those determinations. The Materials out of which a Church is to be formed, the ends of that embodying, by what bonds and ligaments they are united, the duty of Pastors, Teachers, and all Church Governours prescribed, by what Laws they shall govern, and how far the members are to give obedience, are all so far limited, that the Church has nothing to doe but to fubmit to commands of her Lord, and if she be a true Spouse of Christ, she will submit, exercising all prudence in applying general Rules to particular persons, and emergent cases.

(4.) But his last word [And the like] will do him more fervice and us more mischief then all the rest: for how to bring in the Cross, Surplice, and other Myssical, Symbolical Ceremonies, under time, place, or person, was very difficult; But this Et catera [And the like] will doe the feat; and at this back-dore thousands of Ceremonies, such as Holy Oyl, Spittle, Cream, Salt, Ephata's, and the like, may be introduced. But what now if these Coremonies be not The like but other-like? why, then is all this pompous discourse blown up! A moral Circumstance is not the like with a natural; That which adds neither moral good, nor evil to the worship, is not the like, with one that does so; That which is commanded with the duty, is not the like with that which is not fo. That without which the worship cannot be performed is not the like, with that which is not requisite to its performance. I conclude therefore, that And the like conceals some mysterious point from us, which 'tis not as yet convenient to discover to us; And keeping a wary Eye upon it, let us proceed to his third

affertion.

[3.] If there must (fays he) be some determination in Circumstantials, it must be made either by God or man: very true! If it must be done, it must be done, there's no remedy. And for all Religious Circumstances such as may render the Worship either more useful, and edifying

edifying to men, or more pleasing and acceptable to God, they are already determined by the Lord of Worship; and Kirke, or Keysar, have nothing to do herein, at least he has not proved that they have: for those other Circumstances, which are necessary to reduce the Ordinances of Christ into all and exercise, its no very great matter who determins them, if they have but power, and abuse not their power. God has determined in general that we worship him, and has prescribed all the Ordinances by which we ought to worship him; and therefore we must come to a determination when, and where, we will worship him: He has determined more specially, that the Churches worship him solemnly upon his own day, and the Churches must come to a fixed resolution, when they will begin that Worship: he has determin'd we shall baptize with water, and therefore if we will execute that Command, we must use some water or other; but he has not determined that we shall make any Figure, either of triangle, square, cross, or circle, and therefore none can determin in particular, where God has not determined in the general: he has determin'd that I must worship him decently, and therefore that I worship him in some garments, for nakedness is contrary to natural decency; but he has not commanded me in general, that I worship him in holy garments, and therefore none can determin holy garments in Worthip. Again, he has determined the Officers of the Church, and therefore fuch and fuch persons, duly qualified according to his Rulers, must be chosen to execute the Offices; but he has not empowered the Church to erect new officers, and new offices, and therefore fuch an attempt is beyond the Churches Commission.

But now (fayshe) God has made no fuch determination. Ah! but we fay, and must fay it a hundred times over, if the contrary be a hundred times afferted without proof, That God has already determined all Religious circumstances, which we call Ceremonies, all Ordinances of Worship to exhibit, seal, convey any Spiritual mercy, or Supernatural grace: and for those natural circumstances which attend all actions whether sacred or civil, they must be agreed on before such actions can be performed in a Community: And if this be the game he slies at, believe it, he stoops to a very mean Quarry.

The Reader is often vext with an odd word, which frequently occurs in the Enquirer called Determination. A term both

of a missiblevous and a lubricous nature, and it would be good service to the peace, to bind it to its good behaviour. To determin, signifies to settle or fix to one side, that which has hung in the æquipoise of neutrality; when those things which have played in a pendulous posture between good or evil, use or non-use, come to be settled one way or other, they are determined: Now the Question is, whether any have power to determin things in themselves indifferent, that they shall be no longer indifferent in their use? To which all that I shall need say as to the present occasion, will be comprehended in these sollowing particulars.

1. That where two circumstances of the same kind offer themfelves, if the one or the other be necessary to the discharge of some hecessary duty, there's a power lodged somewhere, to determine whether of these two shall carry it: otherwise a necessary duty must be eternally suspended, its ends frustrated, and it felt hang in potentia for ever, without ever being brought into

aEt.

2. Where two or more Circumstances offer themselves, none of which are necessary to the discharge of the Duty, there's no power lodged in any to determine for any of them; for if one unnecessary circumstance (which is every way unnecessary) may be determined by power from God, ten thousand such may be determined, and then our misery will be this, that though our burthen be intolerable, yet we can have no cause to complain, but with Isachar must patiently couch down under it.

3. If Circumftances befides their natural adhesion to an att, have any Morality ascribed to them, as if they render'd an act of Religion either better or worse, none is vested with power to impose them, nor any with a liberty to use them: because we ought not to make Gods Worship worse; and we are sure we cannot make

it better than he has made it.

4. In those cases where God hath vested any with a power of determination, it ought to be made clear that they who presend to the power, have a commission to show for it; because liberty is a thing so precious, that none ought to be deprived of it without good reason: and this is the task which our Enquirer will in the last place undertake for us.

[4.] If Circumstantials (says he) must be determined, or no Society; and God bath made no such determination, what remains but that

man must? and then who fitter than our Governors, who best understand the civil Policy, and what will suit therewith, and with the cussoms and inclinations of the people under their charge. In which notable Thesis two things call for examination, his Affertion, and the Reafon of it.

§ 1. His Affertion; That none is fitter to determin Circumstantials than our Governors. Where, 1. We must suppose that he understands Civil Governors, or else his Reason will bear no proportion to his Assertion. 2. Let it be observed, that its no great, or however no killing matter to the Non-conformists, or their cause, who it is that determins meer Circumstantials, for they are things of a higher nature than these, about which the Controversie is, if some mens Interest would let them see it.

3. Seeing that the Determination of such meer Circumstances, in some cases is matter of meer trouble; in some cases impossible for the civil Magistrate to determin them, I am consident they will not be displeased if reason discharges them of so useless a burther.

As Time, in general, is a circumstance concreated with every buman action, so with every command and obligation to duty, there is a concreated command and obligation to determin of some time, wherein to discharge that duty. And hence it must unavoidably follow, That to whomsoever God has immediatly and directly given a command to worship his Great and Holy Name, to them he has immediatly and directly, at the same time, ipso facto, given a concurrent Command to determin of all those Circumstances which are necessary to the executing of that Command. Thus, if God has obliged every individual person to pray, he has therewith commanded him to fingle out, and fet apart some time wherein to put up his supplications to God. Thus also, if God has directly, and immediatly commanded every particular Church to worship him jointly and publickly, he has also by virtue of that Command enjoyn'd them to agree upon a time to celebrate and folemnize that Worship: Now this Command is so streightly bound upon the Consciences of all Churches, that though none should determin for them, nay, though all should determin against them, yet are they under its authority, and must come to an issue about it, unless they will draw the guilt of the neglect of worthipping God upon their Souls, with that wrath which is due to so great contempt of the Divine Law.

Now that every particular Church has a direct command to R 4 worship

worthip God, and by consequence to determin of those Circumstances which are necessary to the Worship, is evident from this one Consideration, That they all did so in obedience to the Authority of Christ in his Word, whilst all civil Governors were so far from determining the Circumstances, that they determined against the

Substance.

The Gracious God has now made some of the Kings of the earth Nursing-fathers to his Churches, but yet we cannot believe, that the Churches power is less under her Fathers, than it was under those bloody Persecutors: And if this power be lodged in the Civil Magistrate, and he have no Rule to direct him about the when, and where, what a miserable case would the Churches be in, if he should never determin these Circumstances, without which the Churches can never worship God: for thus proceeds his Argument. No publick Worship can be performed without the Determination of some Circumstances (as time for one, and place for another. ) But God has determined none of these Circumstances, therefore unless some other Determination be made, besides what God has made, no publick Worship can be performed: Again, If some other Determination must be made befides what God has made, then it must be made by man, but some other Determination must be made besides what God hath made, therefore it must be made by Man: Again, If a Determination of Circumstantials must be made by Man, then by the civil Magistrate, But a Determination must be made by Man, therefore by the Civil Magistrate, from whence it will be easie to argue; That if a Magistrate will not determin of those Circumstances which are necessary to the publick Worship of God, there can be no publick Worship; but when the Magistrate is an enemy to the Christian Religion, he will never determin of those Circumstances which are necessary to the publick Worship of God. Therefore when the Magistrate proves an enemy to the Christian Religion, there can be no publick Worship of God; Nay, there ought to be none: And it will hold against the Protestants Worship where the Magistrate is a severe Romanist.

Now though it be true that the Command to worship God publickly, be direally and immediately given to the Church, yet seeing every Church is in the Common wealth as a part of it; and that every Soul therein ought to be subject to the higher Pomers, and because the Peace of a Nation is not a little concern'd

cern'd in the prudent or diforderly management of publick Assemblies, and seeing that the Chief Magistrate is the Vicegerent, and great Minister of God to preserve the peace, that this lower World may not be too like a Hell, therefore has he a very great concern herein. Ne quid Respublica detrimenti capiat: And therefore, if any Church shall chuse such unseasonable times, or places, as may give just occasion of jealousie, that fome mischief is hatching against the Government, he may prohibit them that suspected place, time, or other jealous circumstance, and command them to elect some more convenient, and inoffensive ones; that so Religion may be cleared, the Magistrates heart eased, the Peace secured: only it seems reasonable to affert, 1. That the Magistrates power herein is but indirest, and in order to Peace, and that the Christian Church had such power to determin all fuch Circumstances, before ever Magistrates owned Christianity. 2. That the Magistrates power seems not to extend to determin between contradictories but disparates; that is, that he hath no power to determin whether the Church shall worship, or not worship; but that of two times, or places, or other natural circumstances, the one carrying some appearance of fuspicion, the other none, he has therein a just pow-3. That where no private person might determin of such a Circumstance in his personal Devotions, nor a particular Church hath any power to determin for themselves in their publick Worship, there it seems the Magistrates power reaches not, because he might then make that a Person's, or a Churches duty by bis Authority, which without his Command had been their fin.

1. If then the Question be, who is fittest to determin those Circumstances which are necessarily to be determined? The Answer is ready: They are fittest to determin to whom the Command is directly given, and who must answer it to God, if for want of such Determination the Worship of God belaid aside, and neglected.

2. If the Question be put, who is fittest to determin of those Circumstances in publick Assemblies, which relate to the publick peace? The Answer is clear: He to whom the conservation of the publick Peace is committed, is accountable to God, if through

his default it be violated.

3. If the Question were once more moved; what if the Magistrate should forbid a Church to worship God at all? The Answer

Answer is obvious, though the Duty be hard. He that has made it a Duty to suffer quietly and patiently, has promifed suffering Grace, that they may suffer comfortably and couragiously, taking up the cross willingly, bearing it comly,

and following their Master faithfully.

4. But if the Question were put (as it ought to be, if it answers the Enquirers design) who is fittest to determin of Ceremonies, which are not any ways necessary to the discharge of the Duty, such new Ordinances, whereof God has not spoken one word, either in general, or particular? It will be hard to say who is the fittest, because none is sit: That is, though many may be meet to determin of meer natural Circumstances, and they the fittest to whom the command to perform the Duty is directly given, yet none is empowered to make new Worship, or new parts of Worship, or to add any thing to the Commands of Christ.

§ 2. The Reason of his Assertion follows, They best under-stand the Civil Policy, and what will sute with it, and with the customs

and inclinations of the People.

This Divinity, That Religion is to be modelled according to Civil Policy, the customs and inclinations of the People, was certainly borrowed from Apollo's Oracle; and the Idol was therein true to his own interest, who used this one Principle to root out all true Religion, wherever he bore sway.

Where Haman had learn't it I know not, but I am fure he had it at his fingers ends. Efth. 3. 8. And Haman faid unto the King, There is a People differs'd and scatter'd abroad among the people, in all the Provinces of thy Kingdom, and their Laws are divers from all Peoples, neither keep they the Kings Laws: therefore it's not for the Kings

profit to Suffer them.

Thus Arisotle in his Adulatory Discourse to Alexander the Great, tells him, that when Apollo was asked concerning the the worship of the gods, he answered: "Οπ τὰ μαντεία πάντα, τοῖς ἀνθεώποις ωροπίσει, χΤ τὰ πάτεια ποιείως τὰς θυσίας. That all the Oracles enjoyned men to sacrifice, according to their own country customs. Thus Socrates in Xenophon: "Ορᾶς μὰς δα ὁ τὰς Δελφοῖς θτὸς, ὅταν τις αὐτὸν ἐπεςωτὰ, Πῶς ἀν τῶς δεοῖς χαιζοιτο; ὅπονείνεται, Νόμω πόλεως. You see that the Delphian god, when my one asked him, how he might worship the Gods with acceptation? answered, According to the Law of the City or Common wealth. And Seneca professes, that in matters of Religion he considered not: Qua dis grata, sed

que legibus justa: Not so much what was acceptable to the gods, as what was warranted by the laws of the land. But I hardly forbear fmiling, when I read how the Delphic Devil was put to his Trumps about this Question: Tully tells us, Lib. 2. de Legibus. "Cum Athenienses Apollinem Pythium consulerent, quas po-"tissimum Religiones tenerent; Oraculum editum est, eas "quæ effent in more Majorum. When the Athenians consulted Apollo, what forms of Religion chiefly they should embrace? The grave Oracle answers, Those which had the Authority of their Ancestors custom and usage. But here the Embassadors crost him, and told his worthip; "Morem Majorum fæpe effe mutatum; The Cuflom of their Ancestors had been often changed. Alas, they had reform'd, and reform'd again and again, over and over, and could arrive at no fettlement for want of a Rule, and therefore they demand: "Quem morem potissimum sequerentur è variis? Which of all those various Customs and Rites they should observe? The. Devil, who is never wholly at a loss for an answer, gave them this: Optimum! pray pick out the best you can find; though never a barrel had better herrings. But to this Reason I say;

I. That he has now wholly given away the Churches Authority, which has made fuch a noise in these last Ages, and all her power in determining things indifferent is furrender'd upon discretion. Its very suspicious that they have no assurance of the confiftency of their Notions, when they know not where to fix this power of Instituting, and imposing Ceremonies: one Age talk't of nothing but πάντα δυχημόνως, κ κτι saξιν, but we hear no more of that but privately among friends: another time the Church was brought in to vouch for them, but it will not do there; at last one Thomas Erastus taught us to throw them upon the civil Magistrates; Grotius has made use of the Notion, and for his fake we cryit up at home, but another Chapter will offer us farther occasion to discourse that

matter.

2. That the Magistrate understands the civil policy best, is very true, but no warrant for the imposing of Ceremonies; for, what is the civil Government prejudiced, if I worship God according to his own Rule? or what propriety of the subject destroyed; what prerogative of the Prince impaired; or What privilege of Parliament invaded by a Ministers baptizing according to Christs naked Institution, without the Cros?

3. Its one of the greatest disservices the Enquirer can do to

Religion, to make it truckle to the Humours, and customs of the people: p. 59. he tells us, the vulgar are altogether for extreams; and blames Calvin for Complying with the Humour of the vulgar. And yet now all oth? fudden the Magistrate must determine, because he knows best what suits with the inclination of the People: though indeed one main end of the Christian Religion was to oppose those radicated Customs, and Idle Humours received by Tradition from their Fathers.

I am now obliged to look a little backwards, and confider his attempts to prove, that God has not determined Circumstances: wherein if he had please to understood others, all this had been spared, unless perhaps he understood not himself.

Along story he tells us of Temple and Synagogue worship. How punctual God was in his directions about the former, how more lax in those about the latter. And his Reason is: Because there was nothing symbolical, but Natural Religion, which the Notions they had of God, and the common sense of Mankind was sufficient to guide them in. Which discourse of his well improved, would give anotable shake to the groundwork of this whole Chapter: for all the Religion of Christians is either instituted, or natural, If it be instituted it depends wholly on the Will of God, to make it known to us, and to make it our duty: and therefore so much of it as is of this nature, will plead the previledge of the Temple, Man has nothing to doe with it. If it be natural, then the Notions we have of God and Common sense are sufficient to guide every particular Church in it, nor shall they need any Distatorships to supply the defects. As christ has cleared up to us the Moral Law; so he has prescribed us a Ceremonial Law: And as it would be a bold affront to the Divine Majesty to pretend to add one commandment to the former, so is it no less an usurpation upon the Legislative power of Christ to superadd one institution to the latter. He that may make three or four Human Sacraments, may with equal pretext make eleven or twelve human moral commandments.

There is a command, Deut. 12. 32. What thing soever I command you observe to do it, thou shall not add thereto; nor diminish from it. And under whatever dispensations we are, under the restraint of this prohibition. This is granted by all in the general, onely the Question is what Constitution or Institution will amount to an Addition to Gods Commandment? To which I Answer, 1. Negstively: It can be no Addition to a Commandment, to determine

determine of fuch Natural Circumstances, which are necessary to the executing the particular duties commanded either by a Ceremonial or Moral precept. God has commanded me to relieve the widow and the Fatherless, with part of that which his bounty has graciously given me: he has not determined on what day or what hour of the day, or in what particular place, or to what persons by Name I shall extend my charity and therefore every Person to whom the Command is given (and it is given to every man to whom God has given ability) must determine these, and other Circumstances, without which 'tis impossible that Law should enure, and take effect. Thus has he commanded some to Baptize with water, but he has not told us, whether that water shall be brought from a River or Spring : and therefore he that is commanded to baptize, is thereby Commanded to determine, and he is necessitated to it, if he will discharge his Duty: nor can such determinations be interpreted any Addition to the Law, because they are included in the Law, unless we will suppose the Law Nugatory, and to be vacated for every forward Caviller. 2. Affirmatively: whoever shall inflitute any ceremony, which is not necessarily required to the performance of any of Gods commands, fuch as is not comprehended in any general Law of Christ, must necessarily be adjudged to have added another Law or institution to the Institutions and Laws of Christ.

To illustrate this, I shall use a very familiar Instance; I will suppose a standing General Law in a Nation, That no person (except the Legislatour) shall add to the Laws of the Land. I will suppose also a particular Law promulgated by the Legislatour: That every subject shall sweep his door once a week with a Beesom: Now to fweep my door with a Beefom of Birch, or Broom, cannot possibly be any Addition to that Law, because 'tis necessarily required to the putting of the Law in practife, that I do it with the one, or the other, and therefore they are both comprehended virtually in the Law by way of disjunction, that is, either with this, or that, or some other. Again, to sweep my door on the third day of the week, and at the ninth hour of the day, can be no Addition to the Law, because I am commanded to do it, upon some day in the week, and at some hour of the day, and the Law not having defined the precise day and hour, supposes it indifferent to the Lawgiver, which I chuse, but one or other Imast chuse, unless I will obstinately disobey the Law.

But

But now, If I will not onely fweep my door, but over-Officioully will needs make a Cross over it, this is an Addition to the Law, being neither comprehended under the Command of fweeping, nor necessarily required to the reducing the Law into act.

Let us suppose yet further, that the Mayor of some of our Enquirers Petty Corporations, with the advise of his Brethren, shall put forth an Order or Edist, that Non-obstant the Law De non Addendo, every person within the Limits of that Burrough shall be obliged to Cross, as well as sweep his door, and that unless he will cross it, he shall not sweep, and that every man shall subscribe to this Order and Edist, and whosoever shall contravene the same, shall be disfranchised; This must needs be interpreted an Addition to the Law with a witness; A most express and daring violation of it.

But now to amend the matter, we will suppose the Mayor with his Brethren shall solemnly declare, that though they do command Crossing as well as sweeping, yet they do not hereby intend, in any wise to make any Addition to that or any other Law; such an explanatory declaration would be of little Credit among the more Considerate fort of men, as being

contrary to the fact.

But Mr. Mayor will plead, that though he has added fomething for the greater folemnity, and decency of the Action, yet he pretends not to make a Law for the Nation, his is onely an order of the Court for his own Corporation, and therefore he ought not to be charg'd with adding a Law, to the Systeme of the Laws; But his worship is hugely out, for the General prohibition, caution'd him not onely against Adding a Law to the Law, but against Adding any thing to the Law.

The Reader has often deserved our Distinction of Natural and Moral Circumstances. Now a Natural Circumstance is such a one, as arising out of, or adhereing to a Natural Action, adds no Goodness or Evil to the Action: but a moral Circumstance is such, as always renders the Action either better, or worse: Thus Baptism is neither better nor worse, more nor less pleasing to God, whether it be administred at ten a clock or eleven; but every symbolical Ceremony must either render the ordinance to which it is added, or with which it is used, more or less acceptable. Thus the signe of the Cross instituted to signify a persons dedication to the Lord Christ as his faithful Souldier, must either add to, or detract

detract from the moral goodness of that Institution to which it is annex't, or with which it is used: for either it makes it better: As doing the same thing with Baptism, viz. dedicating a person to the service of Christ; seeing a double tye, or obligation to any duty seems stronger than a single one, or else it will render it worse, because it does that superfluously, which Christs own Ceremony had before done sufficiently; and endeavours to person that inessectionally, which the Institution of Christ had already effectually performed: And because it being a part of instituted Worship, and yet wanting Divine Institution; nor having any track or sootsepin the light of Nature, it seems to over-do what was once well done.

Now fince it must either prejudice, or meliorate the Worship, it may be convenient to inquire, whether it may have a propitious and benign, or a malignant influence upon it? And Dissenters are inclined to think the latter. All the goodness of Instituted Worship depends meerly upon the authority of the Legislator, either as he has instituted it, or empowred others to institute it, or promised to accept it from us, and bless it to it: Now (say these Dissenters) Christ has neither instituted this dedicating Symbol, nor empowered others to institute it, nor promised to accept it at our hands, nor entailed any blessing upon it, and therefore it must needs render the Worship less good, because it self (as used) is evil: and whether Christ has instituted it, or warranted others to do it, or annext any such promise to it, they are willing to joyn issue with any of their Brethren, who will soberly manage the Debate.

Some of them I have heard thus argue: All Worship not commanded, is forbidden.

But these Ceremonies are Worship not commanded; therefore

they are forbidden.

§ I. The major Proposition I thus prove, first from the concession of the learned Dr. H. Hammond, a great and strenuous Patron of Ceremonies, who in his Treatise of Supersition and Will-worship against Master D. C. freely owns it, That all uncommanded Worship is forbidden. Secondly, I prove it by this Reason. They who may institute new Worship, may destroy the old Worship. For Cujus est institute new Worship, the same authority that can make a Law, can repeal a Law: But no man can destroy the old Worship, therefore none can institute new Worship.

Lastly, I prove it from the Authority of God, who destroyed Nadab and Abibu, Lev. 10. 1. and renders this Reason of it, because they offer'd strange fire before the Lord, which he commanded them not: I know it answered by Master Hooker, and others, That the strange fire was not only not commanded, but forbidden: To which I reply, suppose that to be true; yet God only insist upon this, that it was not-commanded: It is pleaded further, that God was strict, and punctual in his Commands to the Jewish Church; but he has indulged us a greater latitude under the Gospel; but the Reply is easie; That our Liberty under the Gospel, lies not in an exorbitant power to frame new Ceremonies, or new Worship, but in our discharge from the servitude of the old; not that we may create more, but that he has loaded us with sever particulars of Instituted Worship: It's then very evident,

That all Worship not commanded is forbidden.

§ 2. The minor I thus prove, viz. That Symbolical Ceremonies are Worship not commanded; that which is a part of Worship, is Worship, but the Ceremonies are a part of Worship and not commanded, therefore they are Worship not commanded. None will scruple to grant the major: The parts must needs partake of the nature of the whole: The minor I thus evince, from the Enquirers Concession in his Introduction; where hereckonsit amongst the glories of the first times of Reformation. That the Liturgy and Publick Prayers were counted a principal part of Gods Worship. That which is made a part of a principal part of Gods Worship, and yet uncommanded, is a part of Worship not-commanded; but such are the Ceremonies, therefore they are a part of Worship not-commanded. The former Proposition depends upon a known and received Maxim: Quod est pars partis est pars totius: the second Proposition is our Enquirers own Assertion; The Liturgy is a principal part of Worship, the Ceremonies are a part of the Liturgy, therefore the Ceremonies are a part of a principal part of Worship; and if the Enquirer stick at any thing here, I will make him this fair offer; let him undertake to prove the Ceremonies commanded, and I will undertake to prove them Worship.

There are only fome excellencies in this Chapter, which like the Sporades lie difperfed up and down his Difcourfe, whose coherence not obliging them to any fixed residency, I shall

for a conclusion, in this place consider them.

(1.) His first great Assertion is, pag. 147. That Christ never

went about the composure of Laws, either of Civil or Ecclesiastical Policy. We shall not need to concern our selves about Christs civil Laws, feeing he professes his Kingdom was not of this world: 'twas not a worldly Kingdom, administred according to the maxims of State, and mysteries of Policy which had obtained here below; that it should be Spiritual: The Laws and Constitutions, the Officers and Ministers thereof of Divine original, managed for Spiritual ends by Spiritual means; the rewards spiritual and eternal, the punishments inflicted upon the disobedient all spiritual, so the Apostle, 2 Cor. 10. 4. The weapons of our warfare are not carnal, but mighty through God, v. 5. And having in readiness to revenge all disobedience. It's true also, that when once we have tinctured our brains with false notions of Ecclesiastical Policy, whereof we find no footsteps in Scripture, we shall be ready to affirm as much of those Laws, which he has prescribed concerning the Administration of his Spiritual Kingdom; but this we think clear. 1. That Christ has instituted as many Laws, as fuch a Church as he established shall need; and perhaps he was not concerned to write Decretals, Extravagants, Glosses, Canons, Bulls, to fit all the Governments that the wit of man should afterwards excogitate. 2. He has by Himself and his Apostles described all the Officers, which he judged sufficient to conduct his Disciples in ways of holy obedience through the temptations of this world to eternal Life. 3. He has also instituted as many Ordinances and Sacraments as many serve to guide and direct them as Christians, and let any one name one that is wanting to that end, if they be able. 4. From the nature of those Officers which he hath appointed, the species, nature, kind, of his Ecclesiastical Government is abundantly manifested. 5. He has given express charge that it be not so with his Officers, as 'tis with the Kings of the Gentiles who exercise lordship over them, Luke 22.25. 6. Nor has he commissioned any Governours to make any Laws directly for his Church, as a Church, binding the conscience of his Disciples.7. The Sacraments which he has ordained, the express Rules he has given for Paftors or Bishops, with all other Officers, are evidence sufficient that he has made some Laws of Ecclefiafrical nature; and that he has been defective therein, becomes not Christians to affert.

(2.) The Apostles (says he, ibid.) gave certain directions suited to the conditions of the times and places, and people respectively; but

never composed a flanding Ritual for all aftertimes : which will be put beyond all dispute by this one Observation, that several things instituted by the Apostles in the primitive Churches, and given in command in their sacred Writings, were intended to the obliging only so long as Circumstances should stand as then they did, and no longer. Where we have two

things that challenge Confideration.

§ 1. His Doctrine. That the Apostles gave certain directions fuited to the conditions of the times, places and persons respectively, but never composed a standing Ritual: To which I say. 1. If by a standing Ritual he mean a Portuis, a Liturgy, a Mass-book, a Ceremonious Rubrick, the Rules of the Pye, or the like, it's very true, and that which the Non conformifts do gladly accept the confession of: but if by a standing Ritual he understand fixed Laws, suited to the condition of the Church in all Ages, under all the various dispensations of Gods Providences, we deny it, and expect his Proof.

\$ 2. His Evidence is this. This one Observation will put it beyond all dispute. It's a happy Observation, and deserves a Hecatomb for its invention, that will silence all dispute in this matter: but what is it? That several things instituted by the Apostles in the primitive Churches, and given in command in their facred Writings, their Epistles were intended, and so construed only to the obliging fo long as Circumstances should stand as they did, and no longer. To which

I answer.

1. That there were indeed some temporary Ordinances, such as were to expire with the reason and occasion of their Institution; but then there was also sufficient evidence that it was the Will of God that they should expire and cease: such was that Command of Annointing with Oyl, Jam. 5. 14. Which was fealed and attefted by an extraordinary concurrence of Gods power, witnessed to by miraculous effects. But God having now broken that Seal, withdrawn the concurrence of his Power, we need no other evidence that it was onely proper for the first planting of Christianity, and is now long ago out of date.

2. His one Observation comes infinitely short of putting this question out of dispute with any wife man; for what if several Institutions were temporary, will it follow that none were persetual? What if some were suited only to those times, shall we thence conclude there were not enow fuited to all after times? There were extraordinary Apostles, are there therefore no ordinary Pastors

Pastors and Teachers? Or must a Nation be at all this vast charge to maintain human creatures? What if some Rites were momentany? Are there not Sacraments, in the right use whereof Christ has promised to be with his Ministers to the end of the world? Such wherein we are to shew forth the Lords death till he come. It's as easie to say all this of Baptism and the Lords Supper, that they were calculated only for the meridian of those days, ( and some are not ashamed to say it) as of any other Order or Constitution of Christ by his Apostles, whose temporary nature is not expressed, or evidently implyed in the temporary Reason, upon which it was built.

3. The Epiftles of the Apostle to the Corintbians as a Church, shews what ought to be the Order and Government of every Church: The occasion of writing those Epistles might be, and was peculiar to them, and so was the occasion of writing all the rest, but the design is common to all. Nor ought any one to dare to distinguish betwixt temporary and perpetual Institutions, where the Scripture has not furnisht us with sufficient ground for such

distinction.

4. As there never was a more pernicious and destructive design managed by the Prince of darkness, than the rejecting the Scriptures as the only Rule of Faith, Worship, and all religious Obedience; so the Mediums whereby 'tis carried on, is the very same with that of this Enquirer: There is an absurd Generation amongst us in this Nation, to whom if you quote the Apostles Authority in his Epistle to the Corinthians, for the standing and perpetual use of the Lords Supper, will give you just such another answer. What do ye think we live at Corinth, what is the Epistle to the Corinthians to us, who are English men? and so it seems unconcern'd.

Thus the Papists justifie their half Communion: Serenus Cress, c. 12. p. 137. in Answer to Dr. Peirce, his Primitive Rule of Reformation; we acknowledge (fayshe) our Saviour instituted this mystery in both kinds. That the Apostles received it in both kinds, that St. Paul speaks as well of Drinking, &c. But the general Tradition of the Church (at least from his beginning) will not permit us to yeeld, that the Receiving in both Kinds was esteemed as necessary to the essence of the Communion, or integrity of the participation of Chriss Body and

Blood.

But let us fee what service his select Instances will do him to prove his Doctrine. Of this nature (says the Enquirer) were

the Feasts of Love, the Holy Kiss, the Order of Deaconnesses. To which I return.

1. The Feasts of Love, and the Holy kis were not as all Insti-tutions of the Apostles. All that the Apostle determined about them was, that supposing in their civil congresses and converses they salute each other, they should be sure to avoid all levity, mantonness, all appearance of evil; for Religion teaches us not only to worship God, but to regulate our civil actions in sub-ordination to the great ends of Holiness, the adorning of the Gofpel, and thereby the glorifying of our God and Saviour; I fay the same concerning the Feasts of Love. The Apostle made it no Ordinance, either temporary or perpetual, but finding that fuch a civil Custom had obtained amongst them, introduced, we charitably believe, for the maintaining of amity amongst them, and seeing it sadly to degenerate amongst the Corinthians. He cautions them against gluttony, drunkenness, all excess and riot, to which such Feasts, through the power of corruption in some, and the remainders of corruption in the best, were obnoxious; which is evident from i Cor. 11. 21. One is hungry, another drunken. The Apostle Paul, 1 Tim. 2. 8. commands that men pray every where lifting up holy hands. Can any rational creature imagin, that he has thereby made it a duty, as oft as we pray to elevate our hands? That was none of his defign to that age, or the prefent: But under a ceremonial phrase he wraps up an Evangelical Duty. As if he had faid, Be fure you cleanse your hearts, and if you do lift up your bands, let them be no umbrage for unholy Souls.

2. Concerning Deaconness, I can find no such Order or Conflictation of the Apostles. It's true, they used in their travels and other occasions, the services and assistances of Holy Women, who cheerfully administred to their necessities, and are thence called diamonal, and said diamonal. But how childish is it to conclude an order or institution from so slippery a thing as an Etimology? The Angels are called response inserving ministring Spirits, Heb. 1. 14. will any from hence inser, that they read the Liturgy? Magistrates are stiled response with they read the Liturgy? Magistrates are stiled response of their office to read Divine Service. St. James was by some Ecclesiastical Writers dignified with the title of real soft. Whence some conclude he was a Liturgy maker. And thus our willing Enquirer, to serve a turn, must needs have those good Women that

did AMEROPEP, by all means to have been ordained to the office of She-Deacons.

These words reflupper, and flanover, as Bellarmine notes, signifie no more in their general import than quolibet publico munere fungi, to perform any publick service for the common benefit, whether Sacred or Civil: But when they are applied to any religious work or service, then by accident they have a facred signification stampt upon them: and therefore the same Cardinal from those words, Alts 13. 2. A of her know & air To weige as they ministred to the Lord: He found out a Mass, compleatly rigged out for service: Others will discover from thence a Liturgy, though the duller fort of people can espy no more than the Worship of God, which may very well consist without either. I. In a word. The duties of saluting with an Holy Kiss; the ordering of all our 'Ayamu', or feasts of Love to Gods glory; the ministring in our respective, places to the necessities of the Saints, are as much in force as ever, unless Holiness be grown out of fashion, so that this one Observation will hardly put the Question beyond all dispute: Let him try a fecond.

(3.) If it be true, as he fays, that the Christian Religion was to throw down all Inclosures, to unite the world under one Head, and make all Nations one People, and therefore must be left with freedom as to Circumstantials: Then it seems they design some depopulating Inclosures, and to disunite the World again, who fet up such distinguishing Ceremonies, as divide those of the same Nation, the same Religion, both at home and abroad.

(4.) His confidence, that it is evident, that it is unreasonable to expect, that every Ceremony made use of by Christians, should be found prescribed in the Scripture, or proved thence, does not at all move me; Iam accustomed to encounter feeble Proofs, seconded with gigantick confidences: I think its reasonable that they who pretend to imitate Christ, should follow his example in this also, John 5.30. I can of my felf do nothing, as I hear, I judge : And that they who act under his authority, should produce his Commission, or at least not expect so ready a compliance with those Ceremonies, which they confess not to be proved from Scripture. In the mean time from Circumstances in the premises, to Teremonies in the conclusion; from some Circumstances to all, from natural to moral, is a leap too great for any one to take that valued the breaking of his neck, more than the break-

ing of his fast.

His fine Story of Pacavius is lamentably impertinent, for the Question there was, who should be the Senator, allowing the Senate, approving the order, but quarrelling the Persons: But Dissenters great Dispute is about the office, whether jure divino or no? They question not whether cross or Cream suit best with Baptism, but whether any such Ceremonies ought to be used with it, or added to it? They do not set up-two or three new devices as candidates for preferment, but plead that all of that kind be removed. And if all the scusse which of them should be used, which repudiated, the Nonconformists would not bet a penny on either of their heads.

## CHAP. V.

A through Examination of that Principle, whereon the Enquirer lays too great stress: That God lays very little stress upon Circumstantials in Religion.

THE Historian observes of the Ligurians, a kind of Banditti, that skulk't here and there, and always plaid least in sight, that major erat aliquanto labor, invenire, quam vincere, they were an enemy barder to be found out, than being once discovered, to be routed: upon which account this Enquirer may pass for an Antagonist more troublesome than formidable; and yet therefore formidable, because troublesome.

He professes himself as ready to lay down his life for the prefervation of Unity, as in testimony against state Idolatry; and next to, if not before them, he seems willing to due a thousand deaths.

rather than ever state a Question.

God lays very little sires upon Circumstantials: that may be true! and Gods lays very great sires upon Circumstantials: that may be as true also! But when he lays very little, and when very great stress upon them, let others blow the coal for him, he will save his breath for better purposes.

The Question would receive a very quick dispatch, if we his poor Plebeian Readers were worthy to know what he intends by Circumstantials; but seeing we are none of his great Cronies

and confidents that may be admitted into his Cabinet-counsels, we must be content with the Andabatarian Fencers, to cut it out blind-fold.

If he were under any obligation to use such mediums and inflances, as were proportionable to his Conclusion, we might conjecture that by Gircumflantials he means some Divine Institutions: But seeing he has imposed upon himself no such severe Discipline, I know none has that power over him, as to com-

pell him to their Laws of Discourse and Disputation.

God lays very little stress upon Circumstantials undetermined by himself; but there Imposers lay the main stress: and God lays very great stress upon Circumstantials by himself once determined; but there (I thank you) our Enquirer lays very little. And there is reason enough, and to spare, why God should lay great weight upon the smalless matters which he has commanded, but not half enough, why men should lay such a stress upon their pleasures, as to venture the Churches Peace upon them, unless it could be made out, that they had Authority from God to do it warrantably, an Infallible Spirit to do it exactly, and infinite Charity, to guide that Authority, without which, to intrust any Creature with such a power over Circumstantials, were but to put a sword into its hand, that would kill some, wound many, and at last destroy himself.

It is indeed a noble design which he pursues, viz. to beget in men better Notions of God, and better Measures of Religion; for hitherto men have fancied God to be very rigid and severe about small sins, but our Enquirer will ease the minds of men of their scrupulosities, and discharge Conscience from any regard of the Authority of God in his positive Laws and Institutions. A work infinitely gratefull, and eternally obliging this Profane and Atheistical Generation, who had rather keep

ten of their own, than one of Gods Ceremonies.

And with such Sophistry did the Arch-enemy prevail upon the less wary minds of our first Parents; Te shall not surely die! the Command is meerly positive, no eternal reason of evil in the thing; and God lays little, very little stress upon Circumstantials; secure but the main; Let there be no Schiss between you, and never trouble your selves about these Institutions, which are but secundæ intentions; and he is seconded notably by the Enquirer, p.161. All Ceremonial Appendages, (and such were the Trees of Life and Knowledge in Paradile; Circumcisson and the

Paffeover-

Passeover, under the Law; Baptism and the Lords Supper, under the Gospel) are perfectly subordinate, and ought to yield to the designs of Peace, Charity, and Ediscation. And yet these poor deluded ones found to their cost, that He who represented God as a Captious Deity (as the Enquirer with great seriousness words it) proved himself a Captious Devil; and that it had been more their Interest to have credited Gods most severe threatnings.

than Satans most sugred promises.

But if it be true, That God lays so very little stress upon his own, we need not question but Men will lay at least as little upon their Institutions; If God be so indifferent, and remiss, we hope we shall not find them rigorous; for seeing Magistrates are called Gods, such as bear some considerable part of his Image, and borrow of his Authority, they will no doubt represent that God to us, truly as he is, A God of Mercy, grace, and pity; and not match advantages against their Creatures: but so long as the Main of subjection is provided for, and the substance of their Institutions observed, Alterations may be made in leser matters without

their Offence.

That the Servant is sometimes more severe then the Master, we are taught from Gehazie's sin, and may we never learn it from his Leprosy. 2 King. 5. 20. My Master bath spared Naaman this Syrian, but as the Lord liveth Reall run after him, and take somewhat of him. And there was another Servant in the parable, who laid a great stress upon a few Deniers, when his Lord laid less upon many Talents; And would have pluckt out his fellow servants throat for a forry Circumstance, when he had the face to beg Indulgence in the substance. And we are sufficiently lesson'd that it's better to address the Lord himself then the Steward, ever since the Syrophanician met with such churlish treatment from the Disciples, and so gracious a Reception from our B. Saviour: such are some of our Church men, who lye bating at, and worrying of the Magistrate night and day, to exact the rigour of Conformity and the penal Statutes, as if all Religion were utterly lost, unless their Circumstantials were preserved Sacred and inviolable, whatever become of Gods Circumstantials.

The Title of this Chapter Modestly afferts onely thus much, that God lays very little stress upon Gircumstantials: But the continued Tenor of his discourse labours to make it out, that he lays very little upon some of his own precepts, the True and

clear

clear stating therefore of Circumstantials in the Question, would

be above half way towards its Answer.

Under the Mosaical Law God commanded that they should offer to him the MDD, juge Sacrificium, or the Daily Burnt-Offering: and in this case the colour of the Beast, (provided it was otherwise rightly qualified) was a meer Circumstance. Such as God laid no stress upon, and that Man had proved himself an Arditious, superstitious Busy-body that should curiously adhere to any one Colour: but for the Heiser, whose ashes were to make the water of separation, there the colour was no Circumstance, but made by Gods Command a substantial part of the service: to be Red, was as much as to be a Heiser; for when circumstances have once passet the Royal Assent, and are stampt with a Divine seal, they become substantials in instituted Worship.

The Question then ought to have been, whether God have any regard to his own positive Laws; or whether he be so indifferent about his own institutions, that he lays little stress upon our obedience to them? But this had been too broad English, a little too uncivil for any that would carry fair correspondence with the Scriptures; and therefore let it be mollished, and stand as it does: Whether God lay very little

stress upon Circumstantials in Religion?

In deciding this Question, he had done very ingeniously, and fairly to have told us from whence we are to take the measures of that stress and meight, which God lays upon these things; which because it was not for his Interest, yet may be much for the Readers, I shall endeavour to clear up these two things, first, from whence we ought not; and secondly, from whence we ought to fetch these measures.

[1.] From whence we ought not to take the measures

of the stress which God lays on them?

(1.) Then we ought not to judge that God has little regard to any of his Commands, because the matter of them abstracted from his Authority, is little; for we must not conceive that Christ sets little by Baptisin, because the Element is plain fair water: or little by that other Sacrament, because the Materials thereof are common Bread, and Wine: nor to set them off, must we varnish them with pompous Pageantry, as if any thing were necessary to Buoy up their repute, or beget an awful Reverence to his Institutions, besides his Authority. For, 14 Though

Though the things in themselves be small, yet his Authority is great. As God appears great in creating little things, his power conspicuous in imploying little instruments to atchieve great effects, so is his Authority very glorious in enjoyning small observances: 2. Though the Things be small, yet God can bless them to great purposes. 2 King. 5. 11. Naaman was in a great Huff, that the Prophet should prescribe so plain, and mean away for his Recovery: he expected some Majestick procedure in the Cure, that the Prophet should come out, and stand, and call on the name of the Lord, and strike his hand over the place. This had been something like! but to fend him away ingloriously, with all that train, and bid him Go wash in Jordan seven times, was not to be endured by a Person of his rank, and quality. Are not Abana, and Pharpar, the Rivers of Damascus better then the waters of Israel? may I not wash them, and be clean? And he went away in a Rage! But we are to judge that to be best, which best reaches the End; Healing Fordan, then ineffectual Pharpar. 3. If the things be small, then the grace, and mercy conveyed by them, may be had at cheaper rates; And shall it be objected to Gods Ordinances, as their Reproch, which is their real Glory? Baptismal water may be had a thoufand times cheaper, then the Popes Holy-water, shall that be it's

crime, when 'tis a thousand times more useful?
(2.) Nor are we to judge that God lays little stress upon his institutes, because he does not immediatly avenge the contempt and neglect of them upon the Violaters. And yet fuch is the unworthiness of Reprieved sinners, that they have formed one of their ftrongest Arguments for the Continuance of Corruptions in Gads worship, because he breaks not out upon them with present destructions. Eccles. 8. 11. Because fentence against an evil work is not speedily executed, therefore the heart of the Sons of men is fully set in them to do evil: whereas, I. Such an Argument would equal conclude that God lays hery little stress upon Murder, Idolatry, Sacriledg nay schism it self. 2. God will find a time foon enough to reckon with all those who bolfter up themselves in these presumptions, and take and unworthy occasion to be therefore Bad, because God is fo Good: All the threatnings that are upon Record will certainly find out, and lay hold on the Despisers of his sacred institutions: And who to Accommodate their Dostrines to the Genius of the age, and humour the People with a Religion agreeable

agreeable to their Customs, must remember: Math. 5. 29. Whosoever shall break one of the least of Christs Commandments, and teach men so, shall be called least in the kingdom of beaven. 3. God has not left himself without a witness that he is a Jealous God, in the matters of instituted Worship; for though Nadab and Abihu might plead that it was a small matter, a meer triste what fire they used, so long as they kept close to the substance of the Command; yet God let them know, that he that offers strange fire to the Lord, may be consumed with strange fire from the Lord: and under the state of the Gospel, he has given such evidence of his Displeasure herein, as may justly alarm us out of our security. I Cor. 11. 30. For this cause many are meak and sick among you, and many sleep.

(3.) We are not to conceive, that God lays wery little stress upon his Institutions, because we see a prophane and contemptuous generation of men lay little weight on them, except it be a load of reproach and contumely; for this were to measure God by the world, as those prophane wretches did, Ps. 50.21. These things hast thou done, and I kept silence, and thou thoughtest, that I

was altogether such a one as thy self.

As we must not think, that God appretiates whatever men set a high value upon; so neither are we to judge, that he disesteems any thing, because its grown out of fashion, and thereby exposed to contempt by the Atheistical wits of mercenary Writers. Our Saviour has told us, Luke 16.15. That what is highly esteemed amongs men, is abomination in the sight of God. And on the other side, what is of high account in the

fight of God, may be depretiated by men.

If any of Christs Institutions seem necessary to be broken, it will be first necessary to decrythem as poor, low, inconsiderable Circumstantials, and then to fill the peoples heads with a noise and din, that Christ lays little stress on them, and in order hereto, to call them the Circumstantials, the Accidentials, the Minutes, the Punctilio's, and if need be, the petty-Johns of Religion, that Conscience may not kick at the contemning of them.

(4.) Now may we conclude that God lays little fires on his positive Laws, because he is ready upon unseigned repentance to pardon the violation of them, for thus we might conclude also, that he laid little stress upon murther and adultery, in that assoon as David had said, I have sinned against the

Lord, the Prophet delivers him a fealed pardon, The Lord hath

· also put away thy sin, thou halt not die, 2 Sam. 12. 13.

(5.) Nor yet ought we to form fuch conclusions, that God lays little stress upon his positive Precepts, because he lays a greater stress upon moral precepts in themselves. As it would be an injury to conclude, that any Church lays little weight upon the observation of the Lords day, because, when one of his own inflituted Festivals, is coincident with that day, the ordinary service thereof gives place to the proper service of that Feflival, when all that can possibly by the wit of man be inferred thence is but this, that the Church may have a less respect for the one, than the other: fo would it be injurious to conclude, that God has very little respect to his own Institutions, because he may suspend their exercise pro bic & nunc, rather than the duties imperated by a moral precept; Mint, Anife and Cumin, are inconsiderable things, compared with the weightier matters of the Law, Judgment, Mercy and Faith; and yet our Saviour tells them, Mat. 23. 23. These ought ye to have done, and not to have left the other undone.

(6.) As absurd would it be to conclude, that God lays little stress upon Positives, because he disrespects the performance of a Duty in hypocrisse, for at this rate we may conclude that he lays little upon preaching his Word, Ps. 50. 16. What hast thou to do to declare my Statutes, or that thou shouldest take my Covenant in thy mouth, seeing thou hatest instruction? And the Consequence is as natural, that God regards not prayer, because he hears not the petition of him that regards iniquity in his heart, Ps. 66. 18. And that the prayer of him that turns away his ear from hearing the Law, is an abo-

mination, Prov. 28.9.

(7.) Nor may we gather, that God makes little account of a positive precept, because he sometimes sees reason to indulge the omission of its practice for a season. What weight he laid upon Circumcission is well known, that he threatned Excision to the Male-child that was uncircumcised at eight days old; and yet for forty years in the wilderness the act of Circumcissing was suspended: for the acts of an affirmative moral precept may be forborn for sometime by our own prudence, and much more and longer by Divine warrant, and yet the Precept it selfall the while standsirm in Gods regard, and in sull force, power, strength and vertue to us.

(8.) We are not to conclude that God lays little firefs up-

on an Institution, because he sometimes commands an Asta which, were it not for that particular and special Command, would by vertue of a general Command, be a most horrid impiety. Thus Godlaid a great stressupon Killing, much greater upon a fathers killing a child; and yet greater upon offering human bloud in Sacrifice: and yethe commanded Abraham to facrifice his only fon, and by his Command made that most acceptable, and rewardable fervice, which otherwise had been most abominable to the Divine Majesty. To borrow, and not to pay again, is one of the characters of a wicked person, Pf. 37.21. And yet God by his special Command authorised the Israelites to borrow of the Agyptians jewels of silver, and jewels of gold; with no intent I am persuaded, to repay them either use or principal. God is the Soveraign and Absolute Legislator, who may sufpend, rescind, alter his own Laws at pleasure, and yet he has laid such a stress upon the meanest of them, that no man may, nor any man, but the man of fin, dares prefume to difpensewith them, much less to dispense against them.

(9.) Nor are we to think that God lays little stress upon a Commandment, because he little regards those Observancies, which Supersition, Folly, Tradition, Custom, have ascribed to it, which were never comprehended in it: yet such is the process of our Enquirers Arguments; he instances in some supersitious Additament to the Command, which God never required, and thence concludes very learnedly, that God lays very little stress upon the Command; let him therefore have leave to infer; God laid little weight upon the Observation of the Sabbath-day, because the supersitious Jews were haltered with an erroneous Opinion, that they were bound tamely to sit still, and offer their naked throats to their enemies naked swords, upon that

Day, which folly indeed God little regarded.

[2.] Whence then ought we to take the measures of that

firess God lays upon his Institutions?

(1.) The true measure of that respect which God has for a Commandment, is to be taken by us, from the Authority of God: if the thing be small, yet we are to regard his Authority in it, for this God regards: and therefore he has back't of old, both the positive and the moral precepts with this, I am the Lord; and the greatest instances of his Royal Prærogative are given us in those Mandates, which have only his Sovereign pleasure to recommend them to our observance-

(2.) The

(2.) The measure of that regard God has to an Institution, is to be taken from the greatness of that glory which we give him in our obedience. The great tryal of our sincerity and subjection to God, lies ingiving deference to his Will, as the Rule and Reason of our Obedience; and then do we recognise his Absolute Power to dispose of us when he will, whatever be the reason of it: is the reason of our Complyance. Thus Abraham gave God the greatest testimony of inward honour, when he prepared himself to facrifice his only Son, upon his only Command.

(3.) We may take the measure also of the weight of a Command from its designed usefulness to his great ends; for seeing the smallest, and seemingly weakest of his Injunctions are attended with his Blessing upon the holy and due use thereof, we are thence to instruct our selves in the weight and

worth of it.

The Enquirer tells us from Maimonides, that there were some things in the Jewish Law, that were prime intentionis, such as Godrequired for themselves, as being intrinsecally good: others that were secundæ intentionis, only required for the sake of, and in order to the former: Now his own judgment herein he acquaints us with, in these words. The first kind that were essentially good, were absolutely necessary, and could never be otherwise, such we call Moral duties; the latter kind were of so indifferent a nature, as that they might not only not have been commanded, but also in some cases having been commanded, they may not be a duty; but either he, or his Mr. Maimonides are quite out. For, 1. The Acts of affirmative moral Precepts may in some cases become no duties, the Command it felf abiding in its full force; yet none will fay that God lays little stress upon the Acts of affirmative moral Precepts: thus the acts of affirmative politive Precepts may become no Duty, yet none can fay, that God lays little stress upon the acts of Obedience to a positive Precept. 2. If this will prove that God lays little stress upon Positives, because they are required only for the sake of, and in order to the former: then it will evince, that God lays little stress upon all the means which he has appointed for his great ends: For the means, as they are means, are only valuable for the fake of, and in order to the end.

(4.) What firefs God lays upon his positive Precepts, we may judge from those severities which God has threatned against, and sometimes executed upon the Violaters of them.

It was for the violation of a Ceremonial Law, the Eating of the Tree of Knowledge, of good and evil, that God ejected Adam out of Paradife: It was for the neglect of a Ceremonial affirmative Command, that the Lord fought to kill Moses, Exod. 4.24. And yet he had this to plead, that he was upon a Journey, and about Gods Errand. It was matter of meer Institution, that was the Israelites security against the destroying Angel, Exod. 12. viz. The sprinkling the bloud of the Paschal Lamb upon the lintle and posts of the door. That many do escape Gods vengeance at present, notwithstanding their not obeying what God has instituted, and instituting what God has not commanded, will prove the admirableness of Gods for bearance towards them, who turn his Grace into Lasciviousness, and embolden themselves to sin from his patience, but not in the least that he lays little firefs upon his own Precepts, whereof he will find a time to fatisfie the Sons of Men, from whence,

§ 1. It follows, that he argues himself a pittifull Sophister, who concludes the least Command may be broken, because

God turns not men to hell as oft as 'tis broken.

§. 2. He proves himself a notorious Hypocrite, that from either Gods grace in waiting or pardoning, shall encourage himself in sinning, and slatter his Soul that he may curfe God and live, when the devil was more modest to suggest, Curfe God and die.

§ 3. Whoever shall openly preach this Doctrine, that God lays little stress upon the Circumstantials of Religion, has open'd a shood-gate to let in a deluge of prophaneness upon the World: for seeing no Command of God is small, in respect of the Authority of the Law-giver, which is the formal reason of our Obedience to that Law; so no Command of God will be great, but that Command paramount, de non-separando: and then, if every Command that is less than another, may be said to have little stress laid on it; seeing there is such a gradation in the weightiness, this is in order to that, and that for another; there will but sew, perhaps but one, of which it may not be said, God lays very little stress on them.

\$ 4. Although the acts of positive Commands may give place to the acts of moral Precepts, when both cannot confist, yet whenever we can possibly perform both, we can omit neither without sin.

\$ 5. To forbear the practice of an affirmative Precept, when Circumstances do not conspire, is no violation of such

a precept: though no evil may at any time be done, yet some

good may at some time be forborn.

is 6. In all Laws of this fort there are several easus reservati, cases kept in Gods own hands, which cases when they are put, the controvention of that precept in surface, is no violation of it x I surface. that is, some things seem to interfere with the letter of the Law, which are allowed in the equitable construction of it; thus God commanded the Jews to do no service work on the Sabbath, and yet in case of assaults from enemies, they might lawfully sight, without violation of the Law, or prophanation of the Day.

From what hath been faid, the Reader may be furnish'd with an answer to those little attempts of the Enquirer, endeavouring to extort from us these two things; first, that God laid very little stress upon Circumstantials in the Old Testement: secondly, that he lays less weight upon them under the New.

[ 1. ] That God laid very little stress upon Circumstantials

under the Old Testament, he proves;

§ 1. By the instance of the Jews, who have now generally receiwed that Maxim, Periculum vita diffolvit Sabbatum, ( though they were a great while before they understood it, and soundly smarted for their ignorance.) To which I shall need to say no more than, 1. This instance was as aukwardly applied as can be imagined; he would prove that things commanded may become no duty; and he instances in that which never was commanded. He undertakes to prove, that God lays very little firess upon a positive Gommand, and he brings an inflance, that God lays very little firefs upon their Superstitions, 2. I would feriously enquire of this serious Enquirer, whether God did really allow them the liberty of felf preservation and defence upon that Day? If he did, then It was their own superstitious ignorance, and foolish fancy that debarr'd them the use of their Liberty; if not, then, whenever they defended themselves they simmed; for sin is the transgression of a Law. And then let the Question be new modelled, whether Godlay any firefs upon fin? 3. If ever the Jews had such light into that Maxim, as to interpret it thus; the danger of life diffelves the Sabbath, that is, difanulisthe Command, their light was gross darkness. And they ran from superstition to prothaneness, the common reel of those, who to avoid one extream, run into the opposite. The true intent of the Maxim being no more than this that Self-defence on the Sabbath feem'd to be a violation

violation of the Holy rest of the Day, but really was none; it being casus reservatus, an Exception, not from the Law, but in the Law; thus our Saviour, Mat. 12.5. Have you not read in the Law, that the Priests in the Temple prophane the Sabbath, and are blameless? Now it cannot be, that a real prophanation of Gods Ordinance should be free from all blame; but the meaning is, that the Priests by vertue of their Office, were obliged to do such asts of bodily labour on that Day, which in their general nature were fervile works; and as they had an appearance of prophanation in them, so they had really been so, had not the special Command of Sacrificing on that Day, secured them from the contracting of guilt by such bodily labour; wherein there was no violation of any Obligation, but only Minor, & debilior obligatio, cessit majori & fortiori; and for this he is loaden down with Authorities in our Synopf. Critic. in Loc. Polluunt non vere, sed inproprie, & quoad speciem. So Maldonate. Violasse dicuntur, quia ea fecerint, que nisi cultus Dei excusaret facere non licuisset, opera nempe genere suo servilia; cujusmodi sunt Sacrificia mactare: So Menochius; and Grotius fastens the interpretation with this Reason; Quia licet polluant x on rev, contra legis tamen Siavoiar, nibil admittunt.

§ 2. But his fullest Instance is out of Master Selden; That in case of sickness a Jew might not only eat such meats as were otherwise forbidden, but (say they) for the recovery of his health, or avoidance of any great danger, he might break any precept, save only those three great ones against Idolatry, Murther, and Incest. The Answer to which is very obvious. I. For the eating of meats for the recovery of health, which otherwise were forbidden. That word [otherwise]

supposes them lawfull in this case, though not in other cases.

And is it not a learned Proof, that God lays little stressupon the violation of a Law, because he lays little upon that which is none? An exception in the Law, implies no violation of the Law.

2. That they might break any other precept, except one of those three great ones. Master Selden says, not only he says, the Jews say so, who were very savourable Casuists sometimes for their own ease and convenience: This was indeed a prophane gloss of the looser Rabbins, but no ways warranted by the Law-giver: It seems they might swear soundly to recover their health, for that might open their pipes, if they were Assmatical; or commit adultery, ad purgandos renes, as the Popish Gloss hath it: and I once heard a Catholick Doctor advise his Patient in another case to be drunk once a month, though for some it must be once a week.

week, or 'twill not do: or they might lye, or slead, to procure a Sovereign Remedy, for these are none of the three great ones; either Idolatry, Murther, or Incest: And by this Rule, if Schism would any way have contributed to the avoydance of any great danger, they might have separated without sin.

But these instances he confesses come not home to his purpose, which I agree to; but withall tell him, they come as home as any of the rest, which now must undergo the penance to hear.

§ 1. His first Instance, that comes home to his purpose, is in the Passover. And the summe of his Reasonings from thence is thus much. This was a great Sacrament Instituted by God himself upon weighty Reasons, (perhaps to awe mens childish minds into a greater Reverence of the Deity) Made a Statute for ever throughout their Generations, and the Soul that observed it not, was to be cut off from among bis People, Exod. 12. 11. And the most minute Circumstances are defined, amongst other, that they should eat it with Staffs in their hands, Shoos on their feet, and their loyns girt. By which Expression is plainly intimated (and accordingly they understood and practised) that they should doe it in the posture of Standing; yet when they were come into the Land of Canaan, they eat it sitting, or lying, according to the usual Custom of Feasting in those Countries. And this change continued till the times of our Saviour without any reproof from God: And our Saviour himself conforms to them herein, and in the same posture cats the Passover with his Disciples: I shall onely offer these few things in Answer. 1. That there appears nothing in the Institution of a Command to eat the Passover standing; that which carries the greatest face of probability, is, that Gods enjoyned them to have their staves in their hands, and their shooes on their feet, that they might be ready for a March, at God Signal, and word of Command: which they might have, and yet fit at the Passover, unless it be such an impossible thing to set with our shooes on; or that they could not hold their staves in their hands, as well string, as standing. 2. That the Jews understood, and practifed accordingly is a precarious, groundless, and self-contradicting fiction: .for what practife of theirs could possibly evidence that they understood the words to enjoyn standing, when he confesses, that when they were come into the Land of Canaan; they eat it sitting or lying? unless he dreams of some such practite in the Wilderness, when there was no Passower. 3. Suppose a command for standing; yet it might be onely pro hac vice; whilst that posture might be symbolical of their hafty departure out of Egypt: And that sitting fhould

fhould ever after enure, when Providence should allot them more fettled Habitations; A posture more accommodated to expreis the fixed condition of the Church in the Promised Land: 4. If God commanded them to eat the Passover Standing throughout their Generations, without any exception in the Canon of the Institution, without any dispensation from the Institutor himself, then they did most certainly transgress, were guilty of fin, continued in, lived in, dyed in fin, through all their Generations; for fin is nothing else but a transgression of a Law; and then our Bleffed Saviour himself had transgreffed his Fathers Law which he came to fulfil: And thus Blasphemy must be added to Folly, to make way for an Argument that may fecure the Imposition of Ceremonies. I shall therefore conclude with more Reason thus; Christ eat the Passover in a Table-gesture, therefore God allowed it, rather then thus; God forbad fitting, and yet Christ sinned not, though be eat it sitting.

From hence I will thus Argue with our Enquirer: Either God commanded the Jews to fland at the eating of the Passover in all Ages, or not: If he did so command them, no cunning will excuse them from sin, who eat it sitting: If he did not so command, then this instance comes home to his purpose, just as his other did: for it can never prove, that God lays little stress upon Commanded Circumstantials, because he laid so little

upon uncommanded ones.

Positives may be altered, changed, or abolished, by the Legislator when, and how far he pleases; but this will never prove that he lays little stress on them whilst they are not changed, not abolished: Nor will it prove that Man may chop and change, barter and truck one of Gods least Circumstantials, because the Law-giver himself may do it: He that may alter one, may for ought I know, alter them all, seeing they all bear the same Image and Superscription of Divine Authority; and he that has a commission to abolish one, I am consident has as good a commission to abolish all the rest, which none pretend to but the Pope, George Fox, and this Enquirer.

\$ 2. His next instance which comes home to his purpose, is in David, who distributed the Priests into Orders for the conveniency of their ministration: and besides that, he instituted Instrumental Musick to be used in the worship of God, without any commission from God that

2

Appears:

Appears: But this instance is further from home then any of the rest. For, 1. That one word of his [that Appears] will defiroy his whole Argument: For it was not fimply necesfary, that it should appear to us, at this distance of time, and under our present dispensation, That every Prophet, or pious Reforming Prince, had a commission in particular for every Alteration he then made in or about Gods worship: for seeing we know they had a standing Probibition upon Record, not to Add to, or take from Gods institutions: it is supposed that they were Armed with fuch a commission, and received particular infiructions from the Divine will, though the wifdom of God faw it not good to exemplifie those commissions and instructions; It was enough, that when God Authorized any fuch extraordinary Commissioners to Regulate the concerns of his Service, they produced their fealed Letters Patents to that age and people who were concerned to obey them. 2. David did not ordain Priests and Levites, he created no new Order or office, of his own head, and heart, all he did was this: feeing the whole Number of the Priests must attend upon the Sacerdotal, as the whole Body of the Levites upon the Levitical fervice, and yet all could not at once engage therein without confusion and distraction, he casts them into regular courses, that in fuccessive Revolutions they might all share in the work and duty. 3. David was an eminent Prophet, who received directions from God in affairs of less moment, and as I cannot eafily believe it, that God would call him to an employment without fufficient advice how to manage it, fo neither can Lconceive that fo holy a Person would enterprife any thing of this Nature, without such advice, when he might fo easily have it from God: And that which fully fatifies me herein, and may do as much for another, that is not highly concern'd to quarrel plain Scripture, is this, That when David had order'd the Levites, I Chron. 33. 4, 5, 6. And the Priests, ch. 24. And the Singers, ch. 25. And the porters, ch. 26. And the Captains and Princes of the Tribes, ch. 27. And was come at last to give his Son Solomon particular directions about the Temple, ch. 28. the Scripture tells us, ver. 12, 13. That David gave to Solomon his Son, the Pattern of the Porch, and of the houses thereof, and the Pattern of all that he had by the Spirit; Also for the Courses of the Priests and Levites. and for all the work of the service of the house of the Lord :

Lord: And ver. 19. All this ( faid David) the Lord made me understand in writing, by his hand upon me, even all the works of this

pattern.

And as Davidhad special direction from the Lord thus to distribute the Levites, Priests, Singers, Porters, for the conversiency of their Ministration; so was he to make particular application to God, for the Determination of the most minute Circu cumstances: One would think that if there must be so many Divisions of Priests, Levites, Porters and Singers, it had been a most inconsiderable Circumstance, which of these divisions should begin the round, or circular Combination, that they might wink and chuse; and yet David used even in this punctible, a great and folemn Ordinance of God, viz. The Lot, wherein the All-feeing and All-ruling God controuls the contingency of the voluble Creature, for when the lot is cast into the lap, the whole disposing thereof is of the Lord, Prov. 16.33. So that the very Porters and Singers were chofen as folemnly, and with respect to Gods Determinationato the order of their Courses, as Matthias was chosen to be an Apostle, and preferred to Burnabas by the decision of the true.

4. I will add, that it appears, that this Determination of David was not made Jure Regio, but Prophetico & Divine. Because when that holy Prince Josiah set about Reformation, he regulates the Priess and Levites according to the order of David, 2 Chron. 35. He set the Priess in the Charges, --v. 3. And he said unto the Levites, prepare your selves by the Houses of your Fathers after your Courses. According to the writing of David, king of straet, which was that Writing no doubt, which he mentions, 1 Chron. 28. 19. By which God made him understand all those things: Now I would gladly learn what need this good and pious Prince had to have recourse to Davids pattern, to Davids writing, had he been acquainted with our new Dostrine, that God lays very little stress upon Circumstantials in Religion, and might have determin'd

that small matter Jure Regio.

And this is farther evident, in that Solomon, a Prince of great power and wisdom, yet was so punctual and precise in this very thing, to order the Courses of the Priess and Levites according to Davids Rubrick, 2 Chron. 8. 14. He appointed also according to the order of David his father the courses of the Priess to their services; and the Levites to their charges, to praise and minister before the Lord, as the duty of the Day required, for so had David the

T 3

man of God commanded. Where we may observe, first, that what David commanded herein, was not as King, but as the Man of God, a Prophet, or one commissioned by God for that service. And secondl, that David could not bind his Successors, by any determination of his own, meerly as a Prince, and therefore their obligation to follow that pattern, did arise from the

Authority of God.

5. Whereas our Enquirer has fearcht, it feems, but can find no commission for instrumental Musick, I have sued out a Melius inquirendum, and he may read it exemplified, 2 Chron. 29.25. Hezekian set the Levites in the house of the Lord, with Cymbals, and Psalteries, and with Harps, according to the commandment of David, and of Gad the Kings Seer, and Nathan the Prophet; for fo was the Commandment of the Lord by the Prophets; where we have a Commission express; 1. From whom, the Lord; by whom, the Prophets; to whom, King David; about what, instrumental N'ufick.

6. And lastly, Supposing David had ordered all these particulars of his own head, yet will it not follow, that God lays little stress upon either the omitting what he has commanded; or the doing what he has probibited, feeing he supposes, that the things ordered, were neither commanded nor probibited: all that with modefly could be drawn from hence, would be no more than this little; That a meer circumstance undetermined by God, and yet necessary to be determined, to the executing what was determined, might be determined by a prophetical person: And even for this also he had an express, and punctual warranty from the Lord.

§ 3. A third Inflance is from Sacrifices; which (fays he) though God had with great solemnity instituted as the means of propitiating his Divine Majesty towards sinfull men, and had with great Accuracy prescribed the laws thereof, yet he puts a great slight upon all of that nature, as athing he regarded not, in comparison of the substantial points of virtue and obedience. We have hence a great help to understand a little of our Authors mind, about those Circumstantials in Religion, which he fays, God lays fo little stress upon. And Sacrifices, the means of propitiating the Divine Majesty towards sinfull man, are of that number: and hence we may also fatisfic our felves, why Jesus Christ has no more stress laid on bim, in some mens Divinity; for seeing He is the means of propitiating the Divine Maesty towards sinfull man, he may prove a Gircumstantial in Religion, and

and upon the matter an infignificant, or at best but a fignificant

ceremony. But for his Answer I shall say these things.

I. It is a falfhood very opprobrious to the great Law-giver of the Church, that he ever infituted, and yet flighted what he had infituted, whilft it continued in force. 'Tistrue indeed, God always flighted hypocrites, who offer'd those Sacrifices, and they became vain oblations, as to any benefit they had from, or by them; but his own Ordinance he never flighted, till Christ the grand Propitiation had answered all their ends and

pretentions.

2. God never slighted sacrifices, in comparison of virtue and obedience, for to offer sacrifices aright, was virtue and obedience; but in opposition to fincerity, to virtue and obedience: just as he would have flighted the most splendid outward asts of vertue and obedience without the heart, which is the life and soul of all. To give alms, to pray, were, and are duties of moral virtue and obedience, and yet when the Pharisees performed both to be seen of men, to hunt for popular applause, God not only slights but abominates them; not the asts, themselves, but the persons that performed them; not what they performed, the outward work, but that they kept back the heart: or if the things yet not as commanded in specie, but as sinfully done in individuo. Thus he that commanded all men to kiss the Son, slighted, and abhorred Judas his kis, when made a cloak to hide his traiterous defign to deliver up his Lord and Master.

Sacrifices had a threefold use. I. A typical use, as leading to Christ, and in this respect God was so far from slighting them, that he laid the greater stress imaginable on them.

2. A political use, to set the transgressor of the Law right in the Court of Justice, and to satisfie for the temporal punishment. 3. A moral use might be accidentally made of them too, they served well to represent some moral virtue, or duty. And I will not deny that God might slight this symbolical use of them. 1. Because that use had no institution, and we never find that God had any esteem of Symbols that were not of his own appointment. 2. Because no Ceremony or Symbol, could represent the moral duty, or virtue to that advantage, which the preceptit self, with those encouragements and rewards propounded by God himself, were able to do.

Yet he will prove from Scripture, that God slighted sacrifices, Psal. 50. 8. I will not reprove thee for thy Sacrifices; v. 14. Offer to

4. God

God the facrifice of righteousness, as if he had said, let me have the latter, and I shall not much complain for the defect of the former. This is his Gloss, this his Proof; wherein I onely blame two

things.

(1.) The falshood of it: The words may be interpreted as spoken either by why of concession, or commination. I. By way of concession. I will not reprove the for thy sacrifices, q.d. Quantum ad externa sacrificia satis estis occupati, says a learned person. I have no cause to rebuke you on that account, for you do your duty, you are very diligent in them: God slights not sacrifice, but he requires a better sacrifice, that of Righteousness. 2. By way of Commination. And there is no greater argument of Gods displeasure, than when he threatens to leave a People without reproof for their neglect of duty; thus, Hof.4. 17. Ephraim is joined to Idols, let him alone. Gods not reproving for omission of a duty, or commission of sin, is a forry Reason that God lays little stress either upon the duty, or the sin. Thus again, Acts 14. 16. God is said to have suffered all Nations to walk in their own ways. Gods keeping filence at, and non-reproof of fin, when once it shall be interpreted an indulgence of sin, his suture rebuke in thunder and lightning, shall convince mistaken sinners of the folly of their comment upon the text of Gods forbearance. And this feems the true fense of the place we are upon. v. 21. God uses parallel language; These things hast thou done, and I kept silence, but I will reprove thee.

(2.) I blame his gloss of Blasphemy; he put words into Gods mouth which are the abhorrence of his Soul. Let me have these latter, and I shall not much complain for the defect of the former. Strange Doctrine this! What, God not complain for want of obedience to his Commands? He told us in the beginning, that God was not a captious Deity, and now he would prove him one; he tells us that God instituted Sacrifices with great solemnity, as the means of propitiating his Divine Majesty, and now he introduces God of another mind: let them use the means or not, for a-

verting his displeasure, God will not much complain,

§ 4. Another instance is that mentioned, Mat. 12. Where-upon the occasion of the Disciples rubbing the ears of corn on the Sabbath-day: and Christ justifying their fact from the example of David, who cat the shew-bread, in a case of extremity; Christ tells the superstitious Pharisees, I will have mercy, and not facrifice; but this will not do his work: For, 1. The plucking of the

ears of corn, in a case of extremity, was not forbidden by the Law; fo Grotius, "Neque negat Christus, opus esse famulam, "fed ex circumstantiis ostendit, factum hoc Discipulorum, le-"gis sententia non comprehendi; est ergo Questio, 78 pure, " 4 The Sidvoias, status scripti, & voluntatis; Christ denies not that it was a servile work, but from the due consideration of circumstances he proves, that this fact of his Disciples was not included in the meaning of the Law: So that the Question is between the Letter, and the equitable Construction of the Law. 2. The same Answer to the other Instance of Davids eating the shew-bread. "Annon legistis? " si legistis, hoc licitum esse didicissetis; si non legistis, pu-"dent vos ignaviæ vestræ. Have you not read? (fays Christ) If you have read it, you must needs have learned that this fact is lawfull: If you have not read it, you may be ashamed of slothfulness. "David e-" jusque comites pari necessitate press, qua nunc premuntur "Discipuli mei, secerunt contra no sonnie, Legis de Panibus: " neque tamen fecisse censentur contra the Sixione. Sequitur "ergo nec Discipulos meos quicquam hoc sacto adversus le-"gis sententiam committere, i. e. David and his companions, being urged with the same exigence wherewith my Disciples are now urged, acted against the letter of the law concerning the Shew bread; yet are they not to be thought to have transgressed the true intent, and meaning thereof; it follows therefore, that my Disciples by their fast have not violated the intendment of the law. 3. That our Saviour tells them he will have mercy and not facrifice, has no difficulty init, because, I. Negatives are often put for comparatives; I will have mercy, and not facrifice, is no more than I will have mercy rather than facrifice, when I cannot have them both. There's no question, but if an act of Mercy, and an act of instituted Worship, should stand in competition, all circumstances concurring; the act of instituted Worship ought to give place: we will suppose a Congregation affembled for the publick Worship of God, and at the fame time a fire breaks out, the Church is not only allowed, but obliged to omit the publick Worship at present, and to employ their endeavours towards the quenching of the fire: and he will render himself somewhat more than ridiculous, that would infer from hence, that God lays little stress upon publick Worship; or that God lays less stress upon the Churches worshipping him in publick, than upon the preferving of a house; but only that the work of mercy must be now performed, or the feafon is lost for ever: whereas the Worship of God

may

may be reassumed. So that all the business is, That a circumstance of undetermined time is of less weight in Gods account, then an act of Mercy, or Charity, 1 Cor. 1. The Apostle tells them, that Christ sent him not to baptize, but to preach the Gospel; that is, not fo much to baptize, as to preach; which yet will never enforce, that Christ lays little stress upon the Ordinance of Baptism: our B. Saviour, Luke 4. 26. acquaints his Disciples, that he that bates not father and mother, cannot be his Disciple; and yet its true too, that he that hates his father and mother, cannot be his Disciple: but by hating is meant only less loving; He that loves not father and mother less than me, cannot be my Disciple. Now let the Reader judge, how abfurd it would found in his ears, to hear fuch Doctrine. That God lays very little stress upon our filial Affection, and duty to parents; a Doctrine worth its weight in gold to fuch a Jew, that would plead Corban against Commandment, and evacuate the Precept of God, by his own Traditions.

And fometimes that duty which God lays the greater stress upon, may give place pro hie, & nane, to that which he lays less weight upon: a Father commands his Son to discharge such a service, as he is addressing himself to his duty, he finds his enemies ox or ax ready to perish; in this case, I conceive the Son ought to suspend the execution of his Fathers command to save the life of a beast: and yet the debt of Justice which I owe my Father, is greater then the debt of Charity which I owe an Enemy. And thus much of his Old Testament in-

stances.

[2.] He proceed's now to those in the New, which must prove, that God lays little stress upon Circumstautials under the Go-

fpel, which he will first prove by this Argument.

If this (fays he) was the case, and condition of things under the Old Testament, where God seemed so junctual in his Prescriptions, so rigorous in his Animadversions, and where the danger of erring capitally from the design of those Institutions, by the least deviation from the line of Divine Revelations was so great; then certainly in the New Testament, where the Divine Wisdom hath express t far less concern for such little points; may the minds of men be secure from such superstitious fears: Wherein we must fairly axamin, 1. the Antecedent, 2. the Consequence, 3. the Reason of the Consequence.

(1.) The Ancecedent, that God laid little stress upon Circumstantials, that is, (as his Instances bear witness) had lit-

tle regard for his positive Precepts under the Old Testament: Now how false this is, in any sense, which being true would fubserve this main defign, I think is pretty well cleared by this time, but the Enquirer like a good natured Man, fearing his Answerer should be so silly as not discover his weakness, has out of a prudent forecast refuted all his own Instances. For he tells us. 1. That God seem'd to be punctical in his prescriptions; 2. Rigorous in his animadversions. 3. That the danger of erring capitally was great. 4. Implies, that the least deviation from the line of Revelation, was an error. Now if all this be to lay a little stress upon them, I despair of ever knowing what 'tis to lay a great: when Clergy men are punctual in their preseriptions, telling us when we must bor, and when stand, when kneel, and when sit, when look this way, when another, when speak, when hold our tongue; when they are so rigorous in their animadversions, that to slip, or trip, to stumble, or grumble at Compliance, shall be an Admonition thrice with a breath, and a dreadfull Excommunication at the end of all, when it shall be criminal, if not capital to deviate from the line of Human Determination; Diffenters are humbly bold to call this a laying very great stress upon Ceremonies.

(2.) His Confequence is, then certainly in the N. T. the minds of men may be fecure from superfittious fears. O without peradventure, to see how strangely we have been out all this while! I thought verily the Question had been, whether Godlayslittle stressupon Circumstantials under the Old Testament and the New? and we are now flamm'd off with this Conclusion, that we may be fecure from superstitious fears, which is very true, whether Christ had instituted many Ceremonies or few; whether he had laid great, or little stress upon them; but all the policy of this lies in a crafty infinuation, which it's to be hoped the perfunctory Reader will swallow down, That all fears about displeasing Christ in the matter of instituted Worship, are but

superstitious fears.

(3.) The Reason of his Consequence follows; because (forsooth) in the N.T. the Divine Wisdom has exprest far less concern for such little points. But I expected to be informed where Christ has exprest far less concern for these points; I do not know how 'tis possible to express far less concern, than a very little: But he that put words into the Fathers mouth so lately, may make the Son also speak what he pleases, and both of them to bear false witness against themselves.

But whereas this Argument proceeds thus; If God laid fo little under the O. T. upon Politives, how much less under the New? Ishould invest his Argument, If God was so rigorous in his Animadversions, so punctual in his Prescriptions, when his Institutions were so numerous, his Prescriptions fo multiform, what will he be when he has prescibed us so few, and those so easie, and usefull to the Observer? If we cannot be punctual in the observation of a very few positives of so plain fignification, how should we have repined, had we been charged with a numerous retinue of Types, and carnal Rudiments? If Christs yoke beaccounted heavy, how should we have funk under the Mofaical Pædagogy? The fervants of Naaman thus humbly exposulated with their Lord, 2 Kin. 5. 13. My Father, if the Prophet had commanded thee some great thing, would'st thou not have done it? how much rather then, when he saith to thee, wash, and be clean? Suppose Christ had exacted of us, what Barchocheba exacted of his Disciples, that every one in token of abfolute subjection should cut off his little singer; had it not been better to enter into life maimed, than having all our joints to be cast into bell for our disobedience; how much more then, when he has enjoyned us only these few, which are our singular priviledge, as well as our unquestionable duty? our benefit, not our burthen; and our helps, and not our hindrances in the way to everlasting felicity. The fewer are his institutes, the more punctual will he be in exacting Conformity to them; and therefore as the last thing Christ instituted before his death, was the Ordinance of the Supper, so the last Command he gave before his Ascension, was that of Baptizing all Nations, charging them to observe what soever be had commanded them.

If a tender and loving Husband, at the point of death should recount to his beloved Spouse, all the former evidences of endearing affections, and withall tell her he expected nothing in lieu of so great love, but that he might live in her memory, when he is dead and gone, by one only observation: surely the words of a dying Friend would live in the living Friend; and if there had been ever any true conjugal affection, it would opperate strongly to be punctual in that single observance: our B. Saviour, when he was just ready to give himself aprice of Redemption for many, institutes his Supper with the greatest punctualness imaginable; and being ready to die, commands all his faithfull Followers ----Do this in remem-

brance of me. And is it not a hard case if his Church cannot afford to be tyed up precifely in this one thing? We read, Luk. 22. 14. That Jesus sate down, and the twelve Apostles with him, and v. 19. he took bread and gave thanks, and brake it, and gave it to them, faying 78 to nother, Do this in remembrance of me! Now it's a great Question made by some, what should be the Antecedent, to this Relative  $[\tau \tilde{s}\pi]$  and the Reverend B. Morton, a great Patron of Ceremonies, in his Treatife of the Sacrament, cites some Jesuits thus: [ Hoc facite: ] ad omnes præcedaneas Christi actiones refertur. And as Chemnitius upon John 5. 28. Mi Basualele 78 7: Reste (inquit ille) Tin, ad Totum Complexum eorum que hactenus dicta sunt refertur: so say I; this Relative [7870] in the institution of that Sacrament, ad totum Complexum eorum que hactenus dicta, & facta sunt refertur. Do this, relates to the whole Complex of all those things which Christ had done before. And if so, then it includes sitting down: Or the application of our selves to the Table in a pofure suited to a Feast; which agrees best with the celebrated Rule of decency, feeing there's no Nation under heaven shall kneel at their meals; yes (fays that Learned Bishop) but I will except Time, and the posture of sitting; and excepting these two Circumstantials TETO TOLETE, is referred to all the rest. But then I would reply, 1. That there was no necessity to except Time; Because it neither was, nor possibly could be included in the Command, Do this: for all men that have any Ambition to speak congruously agree it to be non-sense, to fay: that Time can be done. It must be some action here to which this To moier, must relate. 2. If he will needs except the action of sitting down, I ask, Quo warranto? Why should one Antecedent Action be excepted more then all the rest? And if one, why are not all the rest foreprised? The Papists have excepted the whole cup from the Laity: the Reverend Bishops have excepted sitting: and then why may not a third except breaking, a fourth, Bleffing? and then come the Quakers, and the Learned Grotius with a Non semper communicandum per symbola? and shut out the whole institution: I confess I never liked these exclusions, ever since I saw first one parcel, and then another excluded, till at last there was a clean House: and thus by our Enquirers Maxime we have made a fine Sacrament of it, under pretence that Christ lays little firefs upon Circumstantials under the New Testament: Little fires did I say? Nav

Nay, None at all. For if God layed very little stress upon them under the Old Testament, and the Divine Wisdom has express't far less concern for them under the New; I cannot guess, what that something should be that is far less then a very little, but a

meer Nothing.

We should now examine his instances under the New Testament; but plenty has made him so poor, that he will give us but one; But one indeed; but that one is a great one, and may stand for Many: When (says he) the Apostle Paul had vehemently declaimed against the Necessity of Circumcisson, and proclaimed the danger of it, as is obvious to any one that reads his Epistles, yet the same Paul Circumcises Timothy, to the intent that thereby he might render himself, and his Ministry more acceptable to the Jews. This is his one, his onely, great Instance out of the New Testament.

And it was but Reasonable that a person so polite, and terse in his style, should employ all the slowers of Elegancy to embellish it, and therefore for it's greater ornament, he will now use a Figure, which some by a hard Name call

'Arisopnoia.

It has been hetherto taken for granted by all that I have met with, that the Apostle Circumcifed Timothy some good while before he wrote any one of his Epiftles, and somewhat longer before he wrote those wherein he preclaims the danger of Circumcifion. The first Epistle that he wrote was that first to the The falonians: And (not long after) the second to the same Church: The Postscripts to both which, Date them from Athens, where we find him Acts 17. But the Learned Dr. Lightfoot judges, and I think upon clear grounds, that they were penned a year after, and written from Corintb. But whether from Athens, or Corinth, both must needs be written after the Circumcision of Timothy, which we find Acts 16, 1. In his journey to Lystra and Derbe: And the Learned Estius is of the same judgement with our own Learned Doctor: The Learned Whitaker also concurrs thus far with them. Qu. 6. contra Humanas Tradit : p. 415. Novi Testamenti Canonem non fuisse tum editum cum Paulus banc Epistolam ad Thessalonicenses scriberet, ( he speaks of the second Epifile written the same year with the former, imo ne ullos quidem N. T. libros tum fuisse scriptos affirmo, excepto solo Matthæi Evangelio & (fi Irenæo credimus) etiam Matthæi Evangelio Antiquiores fuere be due. If then these two Epistes were the first he

he wrote; and they written from Athens, according to their postfcripts, or from Cornith, as indeed they were, It's certain that they, and therefore all the rest, must be written some time after the Circumcisson of Timothy.

Here is then a most desperate sumble somewhere or other; unless he can prove, (and what can he not prove) that St. Paul's Journey to Lystra and Derbe was after his Journey to Athens and Corinth, which unless he shows himself a scorn to

the Reader, he will not attempt.

The inflance being fo impertinent, we need not much be concern'd about the winding up of his conclusion: yet because some may have a mind to be pleasant, let us have it.

In which carriage of his he has beyond all exception demonstrated to us that all Ceremonial Appendages are perfectly subordinate, and ought to yield to the designs of Peace, Charity, and Ediscation, as the greater good: yes, this is Demonstration; infallible Demonstration! Demonstration that puts the Controversie beyond all exception, and the poor Non-conformists are siderated with the violence of it. And yet to speak plain English, here's nothing but pitiful trissing: For, 1. This Irrefragable Demonstration is reared upon a rotten Foundation; that Paul wrote his Epistles first, wherein he declaims against Circumcission, and then afterwards Circumcises Timothy. 2. All that he has built upon that Foundation is as rotten. That all Ceremonial Appendages are perfectly subordinate, and ought to yield to Peace, Charity, and Ediscation; let me observe,

§ 1. If these Ceremonious Appendages be so persectly subordinate to those great ends; then how will it prove that God lays very little stress on them? Just as if some superacute Philosopher should undertake to prove, that because eating and sleeping are persectly subordinate to Health and Life, there-

fore we ought to lay very little stress on them.
§ 2. If these Institutions of the Gospel which he calls Ceremonicus Appendages, should at any time cross the great ends of Peace, Charity, and Ediscation, there's no doubt but that they ought to strike Sail, but he cannot prove that the meanests of Christs Institutions are opposite at any time to a just Peace, that they weaken Christian Charity, or hinder Edissication: Nor can we suppose without the highest derogation to the Divine wisdom, That any of Christs means for Edissication should ever overthrow their designed ends. Their subordination

to the end shows their inferiority to the end; but since Christ has allotted them their place, let no man dare to disturb

their station.

§ 3. If Divine Ceremonial Appendages ought to give place and yield to Peace, Charity and Edification; then we may prefume without prefumtion, that Humane Ceremonial Appendages shall be compelled to submit, and conform themselves to those great ends, and that none shall ever lay such a stress upon these as may endanger, much less destroy those glorious intendments. But here we are disappointed! God must lay little stress upon his, but they will lay incredible weight upon theirs. And as one said puant stress wei: Let Heaven and Earth be blended and jumbled together in an eternal Chaos. It's a principle to be maintained to the Death, that no Ceremony ought to yield to the Recovery of Peace, the receiving of frozen Charity, and promoving Edification

And now to shut up all, and himself, and whole discourse out of doors, he recommends to us, Rom. 14. 17. The Kingdom of God (that is, the Gospel) is not meat and drink, that is, consists not, or lays little stress upon those nice and perplexing matters, but in Righte-ousness, Peace and Joy: whence some would be ready enough to infer that that Church which lays very great stress upon these nice and perplexing matters, is none of the Kingdom of God: And I shall only desire him to add for a close that Apostolical Golden Canon, v. 20. For meat destroy not the work of God: do not by unseasonable using, much less by rigorous Imposing things Indifferent, much less things doubtfull, and least of all, things sinful in their use, destroy Souls Created of God, Redeemed by Christ, and capable of Eternal Happiness; where God has Commanded, let him be obeyed, not disputed, not cavilled out of his right; and where God has laid little weight, let none make their little singers an insupportable burden.

## CHAP. VI.

Whether the Magistrate hath a Authority to determine such Externals of Religion as are the Matters of our Disjute?

Abulam Auditor lege, Lector audi! When the Eagle, persecuted by the Beetle, could find no place of fafe Retreat, she prudently deposites her Eggs in Jupiters Royal Lap, but he rather than endure the perpetual vexation about a Birds Nest, shakes them out of his Robe, and at once dash'd all the hopes of an Aiery of Eagles: Our Ceremonies have fometimes shelter'd themselves under the pretence of Decency, and yet under that specious Covert could not be fecure; thence they fled for Refuge into the Abstruse Receptacles of Venerable Antiquity, and Longæve customes Yet from those Burroughs have they been hunted; The Churches Authority to judge of the Lawfulness, and to impose what she so adjudged Lawful amongst the numerous Tribe of Indifferencies was nextly pleaded, but upon more fevere Re-fearches into the Records, no fuch Commission can be found. At last therefore they have taken Sanctuary under constantine's Purple; and when Princes shall be weary of proteeting them against the pursuit of Scripture, they will fairly shake them thence also, and leave them to shift for themselves.

That the Persons, and Authority of Magistrates are most Sacred, the one, not to be toucht with common and unclear hands; the other, not to be profaned with Irreligious Breath, all Protestants must acknowledge: of which deep things, whilst we discourse, it will be seasonable to caution our selves from the Royal Prophet, Psal. 131. Not to exercise var selves in great Matters, or in things too high for us. Where though the Humble Lamb may safely wade, the Castle-bearing Elephant must be forced to swim.

I look upon the extent of the Princes power to be as far beyond my Reach as the Primum mobile; which though I can neither touch, nor measure, yet may fay, there is a Being beyond it: Thus though it were unpardonable boldness to Determine its bounds, or fay, Thus far shall it go, and no farther,

yet a truly loyal heart may conceive, and a modest tongue ex-

preis, there is a God above it.

That the Magistrate is Custos utriusque Tabulæ, the great Fiduciary of Gods Law; is not so much a Confession extorted from us by rack of Scripture, as our triumph that he is so, rejoycing in it with thankfulness to the Almighty, who has made him a nursing Father to his Church.

To preferve Worship of God in purity, and his Worshippers in peace, is a flower of the Crown Imperial, which adorns the Royal Diadem far more than all its own Diamonds and Rubies, and gives him a more orient lustre, that he serves the King of kings, and Lord of lords, than if he had grasped the universal Monarchy, and brought mankind to adore his footfool.

As no forreign power can justly pretend to intermeddle with his Government at home, so no person of whatever Charaster at home, ought to own a dependance upon any forreign Potentate abroad. Let every Soul be subject to the higher Powers; upon which words noly Bernard thus, Si omnis anima, ergo & vestra: Quis vos excepit ab universalitate? Qui tentat excipere, tentat decipere. If every soul, then yours also (ye Ecclesiasticks,) for who made you an exception from the general Rule? the Pope that would exempt you from your Sovereigns Jurisdiction, does but expose you to the indignation of God.

What is the ne plus ultra, the most extent of this power in Civil and Religious concerns, for such pitifull Creatures as we are to determin, were at once to discover our folly, and betray our pride: and yet we may say without offence, though Princes are called Gods, they shine with 'borrowed beams from the Divine Majesty, the sulness of whose power is incommunicable: and propriety with Law in the former case and God with Conscience in the latter, will go as near to the shoars, that shall terminate this Ocean, as any two things that shall

measure with them for exactness.

There are two forts of Persons, that fancy they have laid an eternal obligation upon Princes, beyond all possibility of requital: The first are they who would entitle them to an absolute right to, and dominion over the possessions of their Subjects: The second, they would make them sovereign Lords of Conscience: Thus the great Hooker, Eccles. Polity, p.26. In litigious and contraverted causes, when they come by authority to

be determined, it is the will of God that we should do accordingly, though it seems (yea, perhaps truly seems) in our private judgment or opinion, it's utterly difallowed by the Law of God. And yet thefe men are truer friends to their own interest than the Princes in this matter; for whilft they deck his Atchievements with Titulado's, impracticable, useless and cumbersome Regalities, they are fure to make provision for themselves, and wisely lick their own fingers: for thus it has ever been the cheap way of Church men to fell shadows for substances; as his Holiness fells the shred of a lamb-skin to an Arch-Bishop for a thousand pound sterling, and a confecrated Rose for more than his whole Belvedere is worth.

When the Enquirer then is so zealous to become the Princes Champion in spirituals, I hope he understands on which side bis bread is butter'd, and will speak two good words for himself, whilst he speaks one for the Magistrate; and when he has a little reproach'd others, and magnified his own fincerity in this undertaking, he wipes his mouth decently, strokes his beard gravely, and reasons most profoundly upon these two heads.

[ 1. ] That the Magistrate exceeds not his commission, when he inter-

poses for the Determination of the Circumstantials of Religion.

This Proposition thus loosely hung, may be owned or disowned according to every manshumour: Diffenters may fubscribe it, without the least prejudice to their Cause, or reflection upon their Practice; and they may deny it too when they have done, without fear of felf-contradiction, or danger of entrenching upon the Magistrates Authority. For, 1. What must we understand by [interposing.] If I might freely deliver-my own private opinion: It's lawfull, nay expedient, nay necessary, that he interpose, or else I am afraid his poor Diffenting Subjects will be worried to death: But they who plead so zealously for his interposing, when that interposition is not tempered to their good liking, make the vault of Heaven echo again with their clamours, that the diffressed Church is quite undone. In a word, If Church-men will be determining one thing after another, that we can fee no end; new Subicriptions, new Oaths, new Jests, new Ceremonies, Superconformity to the Canon above Law, and Praclice above Canon, what will become of the simple-hearted Laicks, if a vigilant and prudent Prince do not interpose, and timously de-

termin upon their Determinations. 2. I now utterly dispair of understanding his meaning of Circumstantials; a word that has run through as many shapes as are in all Ovid's Metamorphosis; and amongst all the pleasant stories in that ingenious Romance, I remember one that the Reader will not condemn for im-

pertinent.

There was one Ezisschthon, whose Benius, and extream voracious fromach had no other fupply at laft, but from one daughter; Now this Madamoiselle (you must know) had a singular faculty to transform her felf into any shape she pleased: once he fold her for a round fum, and the came trotting, or ambling home again (for she had all her paces) in the shape of a bonny filly; another time he fold her, and received his money honeftly for her, and she came home in the shape of a Milch-Cow. Such another ambiguous, versatile Creature is this [ Circumstantial. ] If we should say, the Magistrate has no power to determin Circumstantials, presently he's oth' top oth' house: what an obstinate Generation are those Fanaticks! What, will you not allow your Prince to appoint where you shall assemble for your publick Worship of God? Must he have no concern in time and place, in order to the securing of the Peace? Yes, yes Sir! withall our hearts, and we shall be heartily glad on't, humbly thankfull for it, and honeftly proud on't too; and I would we could prevail with our Enquirer to be our Sollicitour, to procure us a Determina-tion of those Circumstantials: Well then (fays he) you agree the Magistrate may determin Gircumstantials, but such are the Ceremonies, and now you are in a nooze, get out again how vou can.

3 Therefore he should have resolved plainly whether the Magistrates Commission extends to the Determination of all, or only some certain Circumstances; and my Reasons are these. I. If he have not a Commission to determin all, then the Question will recurr, whether it reaches those under debate? for thus he argues. Circumstantials may be determined by the Magistrate; but Ceremonies are Circumstantials; therefore Ceremonies may be determined by the Magistrate: Now if the major in this Syllogism be not universal, the Syllogism is peccant in form; if it be, then deprecating the displeasure of those whom we truly honour in the Lord, and for the Lord we humbly deny it. All Circumstantials may not be determinate by the Magistrate;

for, 2. Christ has already determined of some Circumstantials, and whoever makes it one, it's no question with me, that no Power on earth can undetermin, or otherwise determin, what God has already fore-determined. 3. There are some Circumstantials which cannot profitably, and therefore not lawfully receive an univerfal, and uniform Determination. 4. Because, if all undetermined Circumstances may be determined in their use, the life of man may be made the most wretched, miserable, and undefirable thing in the world; and he had as good preach that other more eligible, and more edifying Doctrine, Ita, & de te literam longam facito! for where should the most cautelous foot tread besides a snare? And such is the condition of Superstitious Papists, whose consciences are perpetually perplexed with endless fcrupulofities about those minutes which the Church has made fin, which elfe had been as innocent in offensive things as a piece of powdred Beef and

Turnips.

Now for the proof of this Doctrine, he tells us, It has been fo fully, and substantially done by the incomparable Hugo Grotius, and by a late eminent Divine of this Church, that it's enough to refer the Reader to them. Indeed he must be an incomparable person that can write Substantials about Circumstantials, but I coufess I do not build much either upon the authority, or reasonings of the otherwise incomparable Hugo, ever fince I read his dangerous Discourse, lib. i. sap. 4. S. 13. de Jure B. & P. Si Rex habeat partem Imperii, partem alteram Populus, aut Senatus; Regi in partem non suam in volanti, vis justa opponi poterit; quia eatenus Imperium non babet; quod, locum habere sentio, etiam dictumsi sit, Belli potestatem penes Regemfore: id enim de bello externo intelligendum est; cum alioqui quisquis partem summi Imperii habeat, non possit non jus habere eam partem, tuendi, quod ubi fit, potest etiam Rex, suam Imperii partem, belli jure, amittere; that is, If a King hath one part of the sovereign power, and the People, or Senate the other part; If the King shall invade that part which is none of his own, just resistance may be made against bim, because to far he bath no Authority at all; which I judge to hold true, although it be faid, That the power of making war is in the King, for that must be understood of a forreign war; when as otherwise, whoever has a share in the Sovereignty, cannot but have also Authority to defend that share; which, when it so falls out, the King may lose by the right of war his own share of the Sovereignty. Here is dangerous Doctrin, enough to cure me of my ambition of ever being a Hugonot.

As As

Asfor that late eminent Divine of this Church, who has so convincingly afferted this power, I cannot divine who it should be, unless perhaps that long winded Author, with whose Elucubrations some are resolved to vex the Fanaticks, though they never read him themselves. And therefore leaving these voluminous Authors to scold it out with their own mouths, let us attend to the Enquirers more concise Reasonings.

(1.) It's certain (fayshe) that Magistrates had once such a power in the Circumstantials of Religion, and that in the Old Testament. It is certain indeed that they had a power, not only in the Circumstantials, but the Substantials of Religion; all the Question is, whether they had such a power as he pleads for; and if they had it, then whether they had it, jure Regio, or Prophetico? whether in their own Right as Kings, or by Delegation in some

extraordinary case from God ?

s 1. The Prince might have, nay he had a power to stir up, and quicken the lazy Priests and Levites to their duty, and yet no power to create them a duty; He had power to punish Church men, to restrain the exorbitances of the Clergy, and for n ale administration to cashiere them, nay, to order the High-priest himself, if he proved factious, seditious or rebellious, and endeavoured any alteration of the Theocracy, either in Church or State, but he had no power to make new Administrations; He had a power to restore the corrupted Worship to its primitive integrity, but he had no power to institute Worship; and therefore its more than ridiculous to argue from

a power, to such a power.
§ 2. He pretended to prove, That the Magistrate in determining these Circumstantials, did not exceed his Commission; and his medium is from the Jewish Magistrate. Now his proper, direct, and ease way to have evinced that the Jewish Magistrate had this power, had been to have exemplified the Commission it felf, and not stand trissing with matter of sast, to prove matter of right, especially seeing that the Commission is upon record; and many doubts in law will arise from the sast, as whether what was done was done jure? and if jure, then quo jure? Now for the Commission from him by whom Kings reign, it was ready drawn of old, only a blank left to insert the name of that particular person, whom God immediatly or by succession should chuse, Deut. 17. 18, 19, 20. It shall be when he sitteth upon the throne of the Kingdom, that he shall write him a Copy of this Law in a Book out of that which is before

the Priests, and Levites; and it shall be with him, and he shall read therein all the days of his life; that he may learn to fear the Lord his God, to keep all the words of this Law, and those Statutes to do them : that his heart be not lifted up above his Brethren, that he turn not aside from the Commandment, to the Fight hand or to the left: to the end he may prolong his days in the kingdom, he and his children in the midst of Israel: from whence 'tis evident, that though the Israelites were for some time in their minority govern'd by Judges, yet when their Nation should grow up to its greated perfection, God would then bestow upon them the most perfect form of Government, viz. Monarchy, and in the most perfect manner continue it, viz. by Succession, not impeaching his own Prerogative to alter either the form or the time, but with a negative upon any, or all the People, foit is as plain, that God tyes up his Prince to govern by the Time the Deuteronomy, a Copy of the Laws and Statutes moral and politive, without turning to the right hand or the left, in excess, or defect, mangling, or mending of Gods Laws. Allowing to himself still apower to vary, but not add to them fave by his direction.

§ 3. This great proof for the Magistrates power over the Circumstantials of Religion, is fetcht from the Magistrates power in the Jewish Common-wealth; he that is so severe upon the Non-conformists, that they are Judaizers, if they argue but à fortiori from Moses to Christ, now takes his greatest proof from David to the Christian King, and though it be scandalous for them to reason from that Topick in Doctrinals, yet is safe and honourable for himself to reason thence in Politicals, and Ceremonials,

his Instances come now to be considered.

§ 1. David (as I shewed before) altered some things, and instituted others in the Temple worship. That's his Instance: and David (as I proved before) altered nothing, instituted nothing, without special direction from God, that's my Answer: which special Warrant when it shall be produced for any Alterations of or Additions to Christs Institutions under the Gospel, they shall by me be most cordially embraced.

§ 2. Hezekiah (fayshe) without a Scripture for it, brake the Brazen Serpent to pieces, though it was a symbolical Ceremony of Gods own Institution. Oh, but if Hezekiah had set up one brazen serpent as a symbolical Ceremony, without Gods Institution, it had been more to his purpose, than if he had broken a bundred. Let him take these sew things along with him, and then make the best he

V 4 can

can of his Instance. 1. If Hezekiah needed no Scripture warrant to destroy an old antiquated Institution of God, because it had been, and still was abused to idolarry, much more may a Christian Prince without further Scripture warrant abolish fuch symbolical Geremonies, as being originally the meer inventions of men, have been, and fill are abused to the most foul Idolatry, and groffest Superstition that ever was in the world. 2. Let the Enquirer recollect himself a little. He undertook to prove that Princes have power to fet up Ceremonies, and his Instance proves only thus much, that they have power to pluck them down, 3. Hezekiah needed no Scripture to empower him to destroy the brazen Serpent, because it was then no Institution of God: It had been once indeed a temporary appointment of God, but the ceasing of the end was the determination of the use; when its sacred relation ceased, it was of no more value in Gods account when Hezekiah broke it, than so much brass. Tis not true therefore that Hezekiah broke in pieces the brazen serpent, though it was, but though it bad been formerly an Institution of God. He did not make it, but declare it, to be Nebushian, an old relique, made a new idol, and now ferved as it deferved. 4. I do not underfland that the brazen Serpent was a symbolical Ceremony, what grace, what duty did it fignifie? A type it was, to dire? their Faith to Christ for that time, to expect the healing of their Souls from him, but the vifible Service was only to heal their bodies stung with fiery serpents, Job. 3. 14. As Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of Man be lifted up. 5. Hezekiah had Scriptures more than one, not only to enable, but command him to do it. He needed no new Authority, but new Wifdom to apply an old general Command to a particular case. If the Enquirer could but shew as much Scripture Warrant for the setting up one Ceremony, as Hezekiah had for destroying a thousand Idols, he would think himself a jolly fellow. I might urge his authority from the second Commandment, where God declares kimself a jealous God in the matter of instituted Worship, and how many following Generations might smart for the prevarication in that particular, he well knew: There might have been a drachm of the brazen Serpent, as well as an ounce of the golden Calf, in their subsequent calamities, if he that was Custos utriusque Tabula, and now had not his name for nothing, had not restified against that abomination: But I shall crave leave to remember him of the incomparable Hugo, who upon this fact

of Hezekiah thus. Egregium documentum Regibus, at quamvis bene instituta, sed non necessaria, ubi em nomero male usur pantur, è conspectu tollant, ne ponunt offendiculum cacis; A notable lesson to all Kings, to remove out of the way such things, however at first well instituted (yet not at present necessary) when they are commonly abused, that they become not a flumbling block to the blind. Where we see the incomparably quick-fighted Hugo could find a Scripture to justifie Hezekiah, and yet it was very far fetcht, from Deut. 27. 18. Curfed be he that maketh the blind to mander out of the may. And yet he has a clearer vindication of Hezekiah's fact from Scripture; where speaking of their burning Incence to the brazen Serpent, he thus expresses himself. Quod inter illa erat que Dei solius bonori reservata, extra templum, usurpari non licebat. Which (Incence) being in the number of those things, which were peculiarly appropriated to the Worship of God, might not be lawfully used out of the Temple, Exod. 30. 38. Whoseever shall make like unto it to smell thereto, shall even be cut off from bis people. But what need all these Circumlocutions, when God commanded his People, Exod. 34.13. To destroy the Altars, break the Images, cut down the Groves of the Nations, for that he is a jealow God. That wife and difcerning Prince could eafily fee the Command reacht all the infiruments and utenfils of Idolatry, especially those found amongst his own People, his People in Covenant, for whom thus to transgress, was to provoke him to bis face.

§ 3. But his great Instance is from Hēzekiah's celebrating the Passover otherwise then God had commanded, and in that one fast he finds several branches of his variation from the first in-

Mitution.

old Answers.

As, (1.) He caused the Passover to be kept by all Judah, and Israel on the second month, though it was not according to the Divine Institution, but done by the advice of his Council upon pious and prudential considerations, 2 Chron. 30. 5. Old Objections must be content with

[1.] Hezekiah had sufficient warrant from the Word of God to celebrate the Passover at that time, pro hac vice, the people being under those circumstances, Numb. 9. 10, 11. If any man of you shall be unclean by reason of a dead body, or be in a journey as an off, yet he shall keep the Passover unto the Lord. The sourteenth day of the second month at even shall they keep it. That we may reach the sould intendment of this Deuteronomy, or after Law, we

certain men that were defiled by a dead body, that they could not keep the Passover on that day, the day of the Institution; this was a case feeming inconfiderable, and fuch whereon our Enquirer would have laid very little stress, made no bone of it, being a circumstantial, a nicety about the time; but the people being more conscientious, brought the case to Moses; and he found it so weighty that it needed the resolution of God himself, v. 8. Stand still, and I will bear what the Lord will command concerning you. what needed that? had he not all Kingly power within himself? had he not his Counsel of the seventy two? might he not have first determined it to be a Circumstantial, and then have determined what he pleased about a forry Circumstance? or was he so meanly instructed in the extent of his Royal Authority? and how little firefs God laid upon these Circumstantials? well, nevertheless he will confult the Lord for a Determination of the case; whereupon God gives him that Command which we have already heard, v. 10, 11. wherein I observe. 1. That though that Question was propounded only concerning that particular case, of a person unclean by a dead body; yet the gracious God, who well knew, that many other incident cases of the like nature would emerge out of the various providences which they would come under in after times, and that they would fill be at a loss for resolution about their Duty therein. Answers also concerning him that was in a journey, or far from home; which Philo understands, De peregrinatione in Regionem à Judæa longe dissitam; the very case of the ten Tribes in Hezekiahs time. 2. That under these enumerated particulars, of being defiled by a dead body, or in a journey, were comprehended all other irregularities, which might render them uncanonically meet to observe the Passover: Ita statuit Philo, (fays our Synopsis) quia eadem est ratio omnium. There's a parity of reason, which reaches all other cases: This then was the case of the ten Tribes, they were afar off, kept from the Worship of God by many pressing circumstances, for which God in this provitional Post-law had taken care: and for Judab, they were defiled, all things out of course, Worship decayed, the Temple polluted, Sacrifices neglected, and univerfal diforder, and therefore under the case of defilement by a dead body, God makes provision for them also, for eadem est ratio omnium: thus, v. 3. They could not keep it at that time, because the Priests had not fanctified themselves sufficiently, "Impedimentum "Inc erat illi simile, nempe justum, illi enim dies mensis priini, (quibus Phase erat observandum) Templo expurgando consumpti sunt: And both Protestants, and Papists, Junius, Piscator, Estius, Lyra, Martyr vindicate Hezekiah's proceed-

ings from that general Law, Num. 9.

[ 2. ] Whereas the Enquirer would infinuate, that the King did all this by the advice of his (privy) Council, he may know that there was another Council of more authority in the concerns of Religion, which being appointed by God himself, might more reasonably have been consulted; once more I will hear Grotius, de Jure Belli & Pacis, lib. 1. cap. 3. S. 20. "Hæc "cum ita sint, tamen aliqua judicia Regibus adempta, arbitror " mansisse penes Synedrium 70 virum, quod divino imperio, à " Mose institutum ad Herodis tempora perpetua cooptatione du-" ravit; itaque & Moses & David judices, Deos vocant, & ju-"dicia vocantur judicia Dei, & judices dicebantur non humana, " sed Divina vice judicare; imo aperte distinguuntur res Dei, " à rebus Regis; 2 Chron 19.11. Ubi res Dei, monentibus doc-"tissimis Hebræorum, judicia ex lege Dei exercenda, intelligi debeant; Although these things be thus, yet I conceive that some judicial matters, excepted from the Kings cognizance, were under the jurisdiction of the Sanedrin, which being instituted by Moses, at the Command of God, indured in a continual succession, to the days of Herod: and therefore both Moses and David call the Judges (of that Council ) Gods; and their judgments are called the judgments of the Lord; and the Judges are said to judge not in mans name, but in Gods. And hence is it that the matters of God, and the matters of the King are apparently distinguished, 2 Chron. 19. 11. Where by the matters of God (as the most learned of the Jews inform us) we are to understand the determining of Cases out of Gods Law: And thus also the same Grotius upon this place. Ad. v. 2. The King had taken counsel, and his Princes, and all the Congregation in Jerusalem; id est, inquit Grot. owaywyins perains. And the meaning is plainly this, that the King and his Princes, (or his Counfellors) advised with the Sanedrin, whether it were lawfull in this exigency, for both Judab, and Ifrael to keep the Passover in the second month, by vertue of that Declaration of God, Num. 9. And the Court did agree in the affirmative, Nemine contradicente.

[3.] But let us suppose the worst that can be imagined, that Hezekiah had varied from the Canon of the Institution, yet did he make a Canon that they should transgress it for ever?

Suppose,

Suppose, that in a perplexed time he kept it once on the fecond moneth, when necessity, an old evil counsellor (when not directed by Gods Word) stood at his elbow, and had his ear; yet did they not ever after observe it on the first month, if he brought Judah to Ifrael at this time, Ifrael must come up to Judab at other times: Men may turn the stream of Gods Institutions which way they will, but they will find their old channel again in time: Truth was before Errour, and it will be after it; and therefore both King and People, when the reafon of this feeming irregularity ceased, ceased their practice; but will this justifie any to take any Ordinance, from its proper Hinges, and force it to turn for ever upon other Centers?

This was indeed the great transgression of Jeroboam, in Divine Worship, 1 Kin. 12.32. Who ordained a Feast in the eighth moneth, on the fifteenth day of the moneth, like unto the Feast that is in Judah, --- Even the month that he devised of his own heart. 'Tistrue, he was a person obnoxious upon many other accounts, guilty of other supersitions and encroachments upon Gods Authority, and yet the Scripture takes special notice, and severely brands him for the altering of the month, the eircumstance of time, without better warrant than he had from his own beart,

or the Regal Authority.

[4.] Still admitting that this was done besides the primitive Institution, or the warrant of that After-law, yet still the Prophets of the Lord were in Judah, with whom in extraordinary cases, both King, Princes, People, and great Council might advife; and must we needs think, that so prudent, so pious a Prince would run upon his own head, in a matter of fuch concern, when he might fo eafily have received particular infiru-

ations from Heaven?

[5.] Supposing still more than all this comes to, that his practice was besides the primitive pattern, not warranted by Num. 9. that he had no lawfull direction from the Sanedrin, nor from any of the Lords Prophets, (which yet can never be disproved) but that he made this alteration meerly Jure Regio (which can never be proved) yet did he not set up any one Mystical Ceremony, appointed no other Worship, part of Worship, means of Worship, only he determined of the time for that once; which though it had been a prefumption too high for the greatest Prince to attempt, (as the case then stood) yet it-came far short of of appointing new Institutions, or Symbolical Ceremonies.

(2.) A second branch of his variation from the primitive Pattern, he gives us from v. 17. Where he appointed the Levites to kill the Passover, which by Gods appointment was to have been performed by the people themselves; wherein he supposes, that by the Institution, the people ought to kill the Passover; that Hezekiah, non obstante that institution, appointed the Levites to do it; that he did this without Divine direction, and yet was perfectly innocent in what he did.

§ But, 1. Whether by Gods appointment the Paschal Lamb was to be flain by the People, or the Priest, is a question that has been ventilated by no small Names: and though it seem most probable, that the people were entrusted with that service, yet the grounds are too weak; the train of Consequences too many and intricate, for a wife man to erect a superstructure of that height. & weight upon it, that Princes may from thence diffense with Gods Law.

They that hold that the Maffer of the Family was not commanded to flay it in his own person, do urge, Exod. 12. 27. It is the sacrifice of the Lords Passover. And if a Sacrifice, then to be performed by a Priest, and whereas it is said, ver. 6. That the whole Assembly of the Congregation of Israel should kill it, they answer, Jugulent, id est, jugulari curanto, nempe per Sacerdotes. That their killing was no more than their taking care that the Priest should kill it.

As to my own private judgment, I conceive, that the Master of every Family was a Priest within his own Temple, and did perform that Service according to the primitive Inflitutions; but that, assoon as God had chosen out the Family of Aaron to minister unto him in that holy Employment, all the powers that lay dispersed, and scatter'd up and down particular families, were thereby united, and devolved upon that family which God had called. And that this, notwithstanding the people in this, as well as other Sacrifices, had some hand in the oblation of it.

Abarbinel reckons up ten things in a Sacrifice; five of which he affigns to the people. I. To put their hands upon the head of the Sacrifice. 2. The killing it. 3. The taking of the skin. 4. The dividing it into parts. 5. The washing of the Entrails. The other five he allots to the Priests. 1. To recieve the Blood in Basins. 2. To sprinkle it. 3. To kindle the fire on the Altar. 4. To order the wood. 5. To take the parts of the flesh that were facrificed.

§ 2. Whether Hezekiah Commanded the Levites to kill the Paffover against the Rule of the Institution? is yet another Question. For, 1. It's the judgment of some, that in Hezekiah's Passover the Levites did not Personally kill the Lamb, but onely deliver it into the hands of the Priests to be killed. So Menochius: Existimo rem ita perastam esfe, ut populus Immundus, Agnos Levitis darent; Levita ad Sacerdotes afferunt, qui eos immolarent, I conceive, ( fays he ) that the matter was thus transacted that the people being unclean, delivered the Lambs to the Levties, who handed them to the Priests, and they slew, and sacrificed them. 2. It seems more probable that the Levites did actualy flay the Passover, as also those other Sacrifices: Chap. 29. v. 34. And in justification hereof I affirm, that if it was besides the order of the first institution, yet ti was agreable to the after Determination of God himself, and that whatever concern the Levites had therein, it was appointed them jure Divino, non Regio. And if so; then how will ti evince, that the Prince had this power over Gods institutions, because God had fuch a power to alter, and change the first institution ac-

cording as he in wisdom saw good?

Now that whatever Change was made, was wholly by the Command of God, I think will be evident from these Scriptures, 2 Chron. 35. 3, 4, 5, 6. And (Josiah) said unto the Levites----Serve now the Lord your God, and his People Israel, And prepare your selves by the House of your Fathers, after your Courses, according to the writing of David King of Israel, and according to the writing of his Son Solomon----- So kill the Passover and Sanctify your selves, and prepare your Brethren, that they may do according to the word of the Lord by the hand of Moses. Now hence it's evident, that the Levites in killing the Passover afted according to the writings of David, and Solomon: which writings must necessarily be one and the same, and both of them received from the Lord, 1 Chro. 28. 19. Where David protests: That the Lord made bim understand all this, in writing by his hand upon him: 2. It's plain also that good Josiah aimed at this one thing in all he did, that all might be done according to the word of the Lord by Moses, and then either the Levites must be judged to have the same power by the Command of Moses, or else that no subsequent Disposition of affairs otherwise by the Authority of God could be adjudged contrary to what he had Commanded by Moses.

3. It is plain also that Josiah had no respect to Hezekiah's practife as the Reason of his own, because he quotes not the practise of Hezekiah, but the writings of David and Solomon, so false it is what the Enquirer so considently afferts, that Hezekiah preferred the Levites to assist the Priess in killing the other Sacrifices, which never before they were admitted 10.

And therefore I would be fatisfied, to what purpose Joflab should trouble himself, to conform to the patterns of Moses, David, Solomon, in these Circumstantials, if by prarogative Royal He might dispense with, alter, repeal in whole,

or in part any of Gods positive institutions.

§ 3. Whatever irregularities there might be in this Paffover of Hezekiah, (and to be fure there were some in so great and inveterate a degeneracy) that holy Prince humbly deprecates the just displeasure of God, and stands not fawcily to justifie himself, that it was in his Commission to alter Circumstantials in worship. v. 18. They did eat the Passover otherwise then was written. And Hezekiah prayed for them, v. 19, 20. saying, Lord God pardon every one that prepareth his heart to feek the God of his Fathers, though he be not cleansed according to the purification of the Sanctuary, and the Lord hearkened to Hezekiah, and healed the People. Whence it's undeniable, I. That if we would take the exact measure of the stress which God lays upon an Ordinance, we must have no regard to those idle coined distinctions invented in case of utmost extremity, as men in famine will live (poor fouls) upon any thing, but to the word of institution. They did otherwise then was written. What Moses his writing was, they know; what Davids, what Solomons writings were, whereby the Levites were warranted to Kill, or affit in killing the Paffover, they also knew, yet somethings there were not warranted by any of these writings, for which there was no scriptum est: and [otherwise] in an Institution, is [contrary] to the Institution, and for these Hezekiah begs Pardon. 2. That though the People who had been long hardned under the degeneracy, made no bones of the matter, they might perhaps look upon all these as Circumstantials, upon which God laid little stress, (for in times of Corruption there are never wanting some ingenious Persons, who will justifie any thing) yet Hezekiah a Prince of an apprehensive spirit, and tender conscience, knowing well, that not to keep close to what was written, in instituted Worship, might draw down a Curse, sooner then procure a blessing, he earnessly cries to God for

for the pardon of irregularities. He went as near the Archetypes of the institution as the iniquities of the times, and a general prevarication would admit, and for the rest he is importunate with God that his jealousie might not confume them. Wherein our Enquirer had he flood by would have fufficiently derided his superstitious folly and fear, that laid so great a stress, where God laid very little, not understanding the prerogative of his imperial Crown, in dispenfing with these Circumstantials. 3. That this irregularity was fo hainous in the eye of God, that some wrath was already broken out from the Lord upon the People, the Plague was begun. And it was high time for a zealous Prince to interpose, not to determine Circumstantials, but to Determine that wrath, which for these Neglected Circumstantials was kindled, which by prayer he attempts, the onely Incense by which, in his politick capacity, he could stand between the living, and the dead.

And thus the Jews say: Antea quia commederunt occisi sunt, fed Regis precibus clades cessavit; They that first eat of the Passover in their uncleanesses, were cut off by the immediate hand of God, but by the interposition of Hezekiahs prayers the plague stayed. 4. And we may reasonably hence conclude, that this Pious Prince, who was fo fensible of the peoples irregularity in one kind, would have been as apprehensive of the Levites deficiency in another, had their fact contravened the institution: And as little Reason to doubt, but that the jealousie of God had smoaked and flamed out against the Levites, as well as the People, had they killed, as the other, at the Passover otherwise then was written. And therefore Grotius, who magnifies this as an illustrious instance of Royal Authority to relax a Divine Command in case of necessity, yet dares not affirm that the King did all this upon his own Head, ( least whilest he advanced his power, he should draw his prudence into Question) but, "Consulto (ut credibile eft) prius Synedrio: having first advised with the Sanedrin about the Legality of the fact:

When therefore he askt us this Question; since the Magistrates had once such a power, how came they to lose it? I confess I cannot tell. I believe they have as much power as ever they enjoyed; and this I am certain of, that never any received such a power from God, as would warrant him to alter any of Gods institutions, to set up a New Religion, a

new Office or Infiitution, without special direction from Dia

vine prescription, or immediate Revelation.

(2.) We come to his second Argument, which is this: the New Testament is frequent in afferting the power of Magistrates, and requires all to be subject to them, of what quality or condition soever, Rom. 13. I. Let every foul be subject to the higher powers. Thus far he has no enemy, at least he ought to have none. The practice of the primitive Christians, which commented admirably upon that Text of the Apostle, and other clear places, has put all this out of dispute, who were most severe in their Obedience under the most severe persecutions. Thus Tertullian in Apol. Cap. 30. "Nos pro falute Imperatorum Deum invoca-"mus,—Precantes fumus pro omnibus Imperatoribus, vitam illis prolixam, imperium securum, domum tutam, ex-"ercitus fortes, senatum fidelem, populum probum, orbem "quietum, quæcunque hominis, & Cæsaris vota sunt. We gray to God for the fafety of our Emperors, that God would give them a long life, a peaceable Goverment, that he would preserve the Royal Family, that he would vouchsafe them a faithfull Council, a loyal People, a quiet World, valiant Armies, and whatsoever their own wishes can desire. Thus Dionysus, in his Apology for the Christians, in the Persecution under Verus, "Nos unum Deum colimus, & veneramur, omni-"um fabricatorem, huic etiam fine intermissione, pro eorum e regno ut firmum & stabile maneat preces adhibemus. We porship (fays he) and adore only one God, the Creator of all things, and to him we pour out our prayers night and day, that the Government of our Emperors may abide firm and unshaken. They that would plead Christianus sum, I cannot conform, would as sincerely say, Christianus sum, I dare not resist: There is then no question but that we are all upon pain of eternal damnation bound to obey the Civil Magistrate, and all that are fent by him, in all civil things which are not demostrably finfull, according to the Munici= pal Laws, but the question will meet us again though we avoid it; how far their power extends in matters of Immediate Worhip, and things directly within the verge of Conscience, wherein possibly I can yield as far as another, though I would proceed upon better grounds than the Enquirer has laid down which now I come to examin.

§ 1. The New Testament (says he) no where excepts the tase of Religion. Answer, 1. No where excepts it? Ay, but where does the New or old express and include it? I was in hopes, that according

to his promise, he would have proved, that the Magistrate exceeds not his Commission in determining the things under debate, and he puts us off with this, they are not excepted out of his Commission: he that acts by Commission, must have his powers authorized by his Commission. Suppose, a Prince should issue out a Commission to certain Delegates, to hear and determin all differences relating the Forrest, and they shall intermeddle with Affairs that are out of the Purlieus, will it be thought enough to fay, these places are not excluded their Commission. 2. Nor do I except the case of Religion out of the Magi-Arates Commission, but only humbly enquire of the Enquirer, how far the Commission extends in Religious matters? To this he gives us an Answer, I mean so far as Circumstantials, and those things which God himself bath not defined. But this will either destroy all again, or not mend the matter one jot : for, I. I no where find, that God has excepted Substantials more than Circumstantials out of his Commission: In what respect the one is included, the other is so; and in what respect the one is excluded, the other is so: that is, both are included, for his preservation, and both excluded, as to his alteration of, adding to, or subtracting from them. If a Commission be produced, that the Magistrate shall guide me in all acceptable, external, instituted Worship, excepting the Substantials thereof, I have enough; for exceptio in non exceptis firmat regulam. The exception of Substantials would more strongly include the Circumstantials. And therefore I am afraid he will not produce a Commission that excepts Substantials. Let it be Substance or Gircumstance; let men invent what terms or name they please. If in the outward exercise of Religion Christians shall disturb the Peace, they shall know and find, that the Magistrate has a coercive power that will reach them all, and all their outward actions, for the affecurating that Peace, wherewith God has intrusted him. To give Alms is an act, a substantial act of Religion; yet if any Pharifaical spirit shall found his trumpet to draw a concouse of people after him, and thus turn the trumpet of Religion into a trumpet of Rebellion; if he shall make Sacramentum pietatis, vinculum iniquitatis. He, and his Act come within the Magistrates Commission; and yet it extends not to alter an Ast of Religion, but to suppress a design of Faction and Sedition.

2. Sich an Exception as he fancies in the Magistrates Commission, as it no where appears, so would it be purely nuga-

tory, did it appear, unless we had withall some infallible nervices, to discriminate the Circumstantials from the Substantials: otherwise, either he might encroach upon the Substantials, under the notion of Circumstantials, or a refractory People would be always crossing and thwarting his determinations, under pretence that the Substantials were invaded, when he was only modelling and ordering the innocent Circumstantials: And thus, as the Sea and Land are always eating into each others liberties; or, as in some Nations, where Prerogative and Propriety are not equally balanced, the one is beating up the others quarters perpetually; so would there be an unappeaseable war between these Substantials and Circumstantials, which like the Marches between two Kingdoms of no firm correspondence; would be ever subject to the longer and sharper sword: But Christ hath not left these Concerns at such a loose end.

§ 2. He argues thus: If they have not power in such matters of Religion as we freak of, it's manifest they have no Magistracy or Legislative power at all in Religion. I will deal freely with our Enquirer, for ought I know to the contrary, they have this power, and far greater power in the matters of Religion whereof he fpeaks, for I do not yet understand what those matters of Religion are whereof he speaks: But to answer as well as I can conjecture at his intentions. I. I know not what a legislative power in Religion means in the hands of any but the Lord Jesus Christ. The Scripture has told us, Jam. 4. 12. That there is one Law giver who is able to fave or to destroy. He that can eternally save, upon obedience, or eternally damn upon disobedience, may securely challenge a legislative power over the Church. Its certain from hence, that Christ is the only Lawgiver to his Church, in some sense; and in what sense that should be, but that he alone can impose matters of immediate Worship upon the Conscience, I cannot tell. He that deries Christto be the only Legislator at this day, may with equal reafon deny him to be the only Judge in the great Day: And it's not worth the while, for a few ceremonies to lose one of the Articles of our Creed: Hitherto a general Council has been thought to have the highest visible power on earth to make Laws for the Churh, and yet the Church of England has determined; Art. 21: That they may err, and have sometimes erred, even in things pertaining to God: And therefore it will be our fafest and wifest course to leave the legislative power in matters of Religion in the hands of Christ, where God entrusted it; and where we found 113 X 2

it, who can neither deceive, nor be deceived.

2. There may be a magistratical power about Religious matters, where there is no legistative power: the Magistrate may have an executive power to do all that God has commanded him, and see others do all that God has commanded them, and yet no legistative power to alter, or add to the Institutions of Christ: what a vast field has every Supream Magistrate, wherein he may place out all his Zeal, Power, and Authority, and yet never touch the Philastery or fringe of the garment of Christ, either by enlarging, or paring it away. His Power is very evident in the Moral Law, bottom'd upon eternal and immutable reasons, and to build it upon such dubious and precarious Hypotheses, or to overcharge it with unscriptural powers, is but secretly to undermineit, or crush it down with its

own weight.

(3.) His third Argument is this: Its generally acknowledged (and accordingly practifed) that Fathers and Governors of Families have authority in matters of Religion within their own Families, at least so far as the case in hand. Nay, pray forbear a little: That they have an Authority in matters of Religion, is indeed acknowledged, and I wish it were more practised; all I hasitate at, is, whether he has such a power as far as the case in hand: The case in band is, or should be, whether the Magistrate has power to determin fuch Externals of Religion, as he (to blind the business) thought meet to call Circumstantials; and fuch a power as Dissenters acknowledge not, fo they practife not: It were very hard if a Master of a Family should arrogate to himself such a power, as to enjoyn his Wife, Children, Servants, Relations, Strangers, to have a Pugil of Salt laid upon their Tongues, in token that they shall not be ashamed to have their speech seasoned with savoury discourse, without submission to which Crotchet, they shall either not be admitted into the family, or if already admitted, cast out of doors, or however not permitted to hear a Chapter read, or joyn in Prayer all their days: This would have been a little more to the case, of which our Enquirers Instances come exceeding short.

Who doubt (fayste) but the Father, or Head of a Family may prefcribe what Chapters shall be read, what Prayers used, what time shall be set apart for Devotion, what postures, whether kneeling, standing, or being uncovered? who shall officiate in his Family? with innumerable others of the like nature: and if they be but of the like nature, they will never do us any harm. Let's look'em over however. 1. What Chapters may be read: Why truly, if the Question be only which of the two or more, of equal authority, he may be as fit as another: but if the competition were between two, the one out of Scripture, the other out of some legendary Fabler, that has stufft a Farce with Romanticks, I question much his power to determin, for God has at least determined thus far, that in all our Worship of him, we speak and read nothing but the Truth; and he that teaches his Family, ought to teach from, or according to the Oracles of God.

2. What Prayers used. God will not accept a Female from him that has a Male in his flock: as the Prince will not accept such a present, much less will the great God, who gives what he receives, and therefore may justly expect the best. No master of a family has authority to offer Prayers to God less good, if

God have furnish'd him with better.

3. What times shall be set apart for Devotion: The setting apart of common time for Family-Worship, is a meer Circumstance, which neither renders the Worship more or less acceptable to God as it is time. And it is disjunctively commanded by him, who has commanded masters of families to continue in prayer, and watch in the same with thanksgiving, Col. 4. 1, 2. If God has commanded Worship, he has also therewith commanded some time, wherein to Worship: a time must therefore necessarily be refolved on, but by his good favour this is not to the case in hand. And yet as large as the Masters power may be in this matter, he must have regard to the general Rules of the Gospel: that all things be done for edification, to advance the success of the Duty. He may neither determin upon a Revolution too infrequent, nor upon a continuance too fort, to flubber and huddle over the Ordinance in formal haste; nor upon an unseasonable bour, when his over-worked, and over-watched Servants are ready to drop asteep, when tired Nature is ready to overmaster the Souls gracious propenlities towards Gods Service. And where he seems to have most power, he has far short of an Absolute power.

4. For possures, whether kneeling, standing, or being uncovered. I never so much admired the difference between prædicamental situs, & babitus, as to move a quarrel, whether being uncovered was a possure or no? yet I think these things are not capable of an universal, fixed, unalterable Law. If one of these possures shall render any one in the Family uncapable of pursuing, and reaching the ends of an Ordinance. That Parent shall sin against God, who

X 3 rigidly

rigidly exacts the most plausible posture or gesture; and I suppose he has no Commission from God to sin against him: If standing shall so disease a weak child, that being in pain he cannot attend the present service; If kneeling, shall ordinarily expose another to drowziness; If being uncovered, shall prejudice health, and endanger life; If any of these or any other, shall distract the mind, make the duty a Burden, wear-out the Body; Masters of Families must know, that their power is for edification, and not destruction; and God will have Mercy, and not Sacrifice, whatsoever an imperious Master will have. He that shall teach that Magistrates may dispense with the Circumstantials of Gods worship; will sure never be so hardy, as to teach, that Masters of Families, and Magistrates too, ought not to dispense with their own institutions.

5. In what Habit: No Master of a Family has power to enjoin any Religious Habits appropriated to divine service: In the general tistrue, habit is necessary upon many accounts, for health, decency: But Religious Habits are not so, not put into the Charter of Domestick Power, nor indeed capable of a Canon.

6. Who shall officiate in a Family? The duty of Officiating in the Family, is primarily incumbent upon himself; I know no Reason he should claim the Authority, who waves the duty: If he will have the honour, let him discharge the work of a Master of a Family, nor may he Command his Child to pray that cannot pray, with that usefulness to the edification to the whole: If any person whose greater Abilities may manage the Service more to the glory of God be present, his charitable prudence will instruct him to procure such assistance as may best promote the spiritual concerns of those under his charge.

To shut up this point. The powers here ascribed to a Master of a Family are such as do not reach the case in hand: Determination of Chapters, Prayers, Times, Postures, Gestures, Persons, which were not before determined by the divine power, will not make up one mystical Geremony; and the Magistrate may have all this power, and yet none such as will reach the case in hand; That power which will serve to make a primitive directory, will not serve to impose a modern Liturgy; All that can possibly be screwed out of these instances of Paternal,

Authority is no more than this, that he may Determine between

two or more Circumstances, one of which is disjunctively necessary to the performance of a necessary duty: but it will be hard when he comes to try it, to infer a power to impose Mystical Ceremonies, which are no ways necessary to the performance of any duty, no not by Disjunction.

I presume I have saved my Bail, if I should give no further answer, yet for his greater satisfaction I shall trouble

the Reader with these few Considerables.

§ 1. That the Governour of a Family, being upon the place, and having all prefent Circumstances within his profpect, may more usefully determine upon all determinable Circumstances, than a Magistrate for a whole Nation, and the feveral Congregations therein, whose Accidents are so various that they cannot possibly come under any uniform Determination: suppose a strict Law were made at Paris, that every particular Church in the Nation should commence their publick fervice on the Lords day precifely at nine a clock, it is Mathematically certain, that fome would have done and got half through their dinners, before others would be half way in their Devotions; They in the furthest Eastern Parts would have come to their Amen, before those on the Calabrian Ocean would be at their Oremus, because of the diversities of Meridians, and Longitudes, and yet all would be but nine a clock.

§ 2. That the consequence from the Power of a Master of a Family, to the Civil Magestrates pomer, is not very clear : for the Master of a Family is supposed to have Minors in his Family, who cannot be safely trusted with the Determination of those Circumstances, which must necessarily be determined, but it would be a reproch to the Christian Religion, that all the Bishops, Pastors, and Churches in a Nation could not find wit enough to determine, what time of the day were most expedient to Assemble in. The power of a Prince is far more Noble, then that of the Family Governour, and yet by Reason of the incapacity, and unfitness of the matter, the bulkiness of the Aggregate; the lesser power may possibly Determine upon some small Circumstances which the greater power is unfit to do: To be Captain of a Man of war is more honourable than to command a Skuller; and yet this latter will tack about more nimbly, then that cumbersome Argofie, because the Vessel is more Manageable.

§ 3. This X 4

§ 3. This uniformity which is so much driven at in all these arguments, as the great Reason of the Necessity of univerfal Determination, is a name much bandied in speculative discourses, rather then a thing practicable; if we may judge that not fecible, which never yet was attained. Let us look a little nearer home! And first we find no Punctual uniformity between the two Provinces: He that can fing Divine Service in the Province of York, may without new instruction be utterly to feek in the Pfalmodie of Canterbury; look upon the same Province, and compare the Parochial, with the Cathedral fervice, and there's less uniformity still. He that can fadge pretty well at a Country-Church, is quite lost in the uncouth usages of the Minster: Look into the Parochials, and some have their Conformity, Superconformity, statute Ceremonies, and Canon Ceremonies, fo that you would hardly judge them to be half-fifters: take a step nearer, and look in the fame Parish-Church, uniformity is not to be found there; there, the Minister is not conformable to himself. At one prayer he flands, at another he kneels, at one part of the publick Service he is all white, and then that colonr is most decent, by and by all Black, and then that is most decent; nor is there any uniformity between the Minister, and the people; He, at the Delivery of the confecrated Elements, praying in a posture of standing, and they in the Ast of Receiving, who pray not, yet confined to Geniculation. Nor is there less discrepancy between the several parts of worship; for whereas the grand Plea for Ceremonies is a certain Decency, which they conciliate to the fervice, and their usefulness to stir up the dull minds of men, yet only Baptism is adorned with the fign of the Crofs, and the rest left naked of so great an ornament, and yet the Apostles Rule is, let all things be done decently; and we have as much need to have our dull minds quickned in the other Sacraments, and all other parts of worship.

§ 4. Lastly, That power which belongs to the supream Civil Magistrate, as such belongs to all and every supream Magistrate; but this power of instituting, imposing Ceremonies belongs not to every Supream Civil Magistrate as such, that is, it belongs to none.

The Reason of the Major Proposition is taken from the Common Axiome. A quaterus ad omne valet consequentia: The proof of the miner is this: That which belonged not to the Supream Civil Magistrate for three hundred years after Christs time, belongs not to all Civil Magistrates; but this power of instituting, and imposing

imposing Ceremonies belonged not to the Civil Magistrate for 300 years after Christs time, therefore it belonged not to all. To enervate which Argument it must either be denyed that the Roman Emperours during that Period were supream Civil Magistrates, which St. Paul opposes, charging the Churches to obey them; or afferted that they had a power to determine of the Circumstantials of the Christian Religion, and prescribe what Ceremonies they saw in the Evangelical worship.

[2.] We now come to his second Thesis. If the Magistrate may Determine these Matters; then not onely Christian charity, and humility, but common Prudence requires us to presume of the wisdom and reasonableness of his

determinations, and much more to obey them.

I shall say little, but perhaps smile the more at the prettiness of the consequent. It's our duty to presume of the reasonableness, but much more to obey those Determinations; that is, it's much more

our duty to obey, than to be Rational.

That we are to presume very highly of the wisdom of our Superioursal ing within their porper Sphære, we readily admit: For when God calls them to a work, he will bestow competent wisdom for the discharge of it: but yet I am not to presume so unmeasurably of any ones wisdom, as to resign up my Faith and Conscience, with the disposal of Gods worship without more ado to it, If God had given him Authority to determine these matters, I should not have been concerned to Question his wisdom: Gods command had superseded my little scruples, and though he had miscarried in his prudential Decision, I should have received the praise of subjection, but till such Authority do appear, I shall set down on this side such presumtion, though somewhat beyond dispair.

If the Reader has any pity left, he may do charitably to beflow a little of it upon me, that must be obliged to answer all the Sentences and Apothegms in Wits-commonwealth, and yet to this drudgery I shall patiently submit till I am quite tyred, and

then Resign this Province.

(I.) It's enough (fayshe) to warrant and require our obedience, that the thing is the Command of our Superiour, and not beyond the Sphere of his

Authority.

That Religion is within the Magistrates Sphere, I have freely owned; but not to all intents and purposes; not to pluck up what God has planted, not to plant what God has pluckt up. Sub-

flantials,

fiantials, and Circumfiantials are all within his Sphære, but not

to do what he pleased withall.

As all Persons, with their Civil concerns are within the Magistrates Sphare, their Lives, Liberties, and Estates all come under his cognigance, and yet there are some great Lawyers, and Loyal Subjects, who think they are not within his Sphare to dispose of them at pleasure; so are all the concerns of Religion within his Sphære too, to preferve, not to defiroy; to propagate, not to alter; to encourage, not to innovate in the worship of God: for

All power is for Edification, not Destruction.

Every Christian has Religion within his Sphære, that is, he has a concern in it, but no concern over and above it; Tota Religio, but not Totum Religionis, as Totus Homo, yet not Totum Hominis are within the reach of Magistracy: He has a power to secure Religion; Religion is therefore within his Sphere; but he has none to make a new Religion, or a new part of Religion, that therefore is out of his Sphare, nor will it excuse me to God, his word and my own conscience blindly to obey in every thing, some whereof may be out of his Sphære, because he has power to command fome things which are within his Sphære.

The true ancient Protestants of this Church with no less zeal than fuccess defended the Princes power and Supremacy against all the claims of Rome, and yet never ascribed such a power to him asmight shackle Conscience, & dispose of Religion at pleafore. I shall give the Reader ataste from the learned Bishop Bilfon, who dedicates his book to Queen Elizabeth, and it came abroad Cum Privilegio. Dial. pag. 533, 534, 535, &c. The Difcourse is between a Papist, and a Protestant.

Philander. If the Queen establish any Religion, you are bound by your oath to obey it what soever it be. Theopilus. We must not rebel, nor take Armes against the Prince (as you affirm you may) but with reverence and humility serve God before the Prince: Phil. Then is not the Prince supream. Theo. Why so? Phil. Your selves are superiour, you will ferve whom you lift. Theo. As though to ferve God according to his will, where to ferve whom we lift, and not whom all Princes, and others ought to ferve. Phil. But you will be judges, when God is well served and when not: Theo. If you can excuse us before God, when you missead us, we will ferve whom you appoint us: otherwise if every man shall answer for himself, good Reason he be Master of his own Conscience in that which toucheth him fo near, and no man can excuse him for. Phil. This is to

make every private man supream Judge of Religion, Theo. The pooreft wretch that is may be supream governour of his own heart : Princes rule the publick and external actions of their Countries, but not the consciences of men. Phil. Would you have such confusion suffered in the Church, that every man should follow what he list? Theo. I would not have fuch prefumption and wickedness brought into the Church, that Chirst, and his Word should be fubjected to the wills, or voices of mortal men: For though the whole world should pronounce against him, or it, God will be true, and all men shall be lyars. Phil. No more would me. Theo. Why then refrain you Truth to the Assemblies, and Sentences of Popes, and Prælates, as though they must be gently entreated, and fairly offer'd by Christ, before he might attempt, or expect to recover his own. Phil. We would have things done orderly. Theo. Call you that Order, where Christ shall fland without doors, till your Clergy shall consent to bring him in? Phil. God is not the Author of confusion, but of peace. Theo. It's no confusion, for one family, yea, for one man to serve God, though all the families, and men of the same Realm will not. Joshua said to the people, If it seemevil to you to serve the Lord, chuse you whom you will serve, but I, and my House will serve the Lord. Elias was left alone, for any that he faw willing to ferve God in Israel, and yet abated not his zeal: Micheas alone opposed himself against 400 Prophets, with what judicial Authority, can you tell? Amos neither spared Jeroboam the King, nor Amaziab the Priest, and yet he was but a simple Herdsman, and not so much as the son of a Prophet. John Baptist had no competent Jurisdiction over the Scribes, and Pharifees that fate in Mofes his chair, and yet he condemned them for a generation of Vipers. The Councils, where Peter, Stephen, Paul, were convened, accused and punisht, lacked none of your Judicial formalities, and yet the Apostle stoutly both resisted, and condemned their deliberative and definitive sentences, Phil. The Apostles Commission we know, but yours we know not. Theo. You cannot be ignorant of ours, if you know theirs; so long as we preach the same Doctrine that they did, we have the fame Power and Authority, which they had; keep your competent Jurisdictions, Judicial Cognitions, and legal Decisions to your felf: The Son of God first founded, and still gathered his Church by the mouths of his Preachers, not by the funmons of Confifories: he that is fent to preach, may not

hold his tongue, and tarry, till my Lord the Pope, and his Mitred Fathers can intend to meet, and lift to confent to the ruine (as they think) of their dignities and liberties. Phil. Despise you Councils? Theo. By no means; fo long as they be Councils, that is, fober, and free Conferences of godly and learned Teachers; but if they wax wanton against Christ, and will not have the truth received, untill they have consented, we reject them as conspiracies of the wicked, which no Christian ought to reverence----But will you fuffer God to make Laws for his Church? Phil. What elfe? Theo. And may not every private man embrace those Laws which God hath made, whofoever fay nay? Phil. He must. Theo. What if some Bishops will not agree they shall? must the Prince, and People cease to serve God, till the Clergy be better minded. Pail. In matters of Faith the Prince and Lay lords have no voices. Theo, in making Laws they had. Phil. True! but laws for Religion they might not prescribe. Theo. No more might Bishops! It's only Gods Office to appoint how he will be ferved. Phil. Gods Will must be learned at the ansuths of the Bishops. Theo. They much teach, leaving always the liberty to the Prince, and People, to examin their Doctrine, and avoid their errour, and if they Teach not Truth, the Prince and People may expel them.

I shall now leave it to the determination of the impartial and unprejudiced Reader, whether he that was then the *Protestant*, would not now be the *Fanatick*; and whether he that makes our *Enquirers* Objections, would not have passed for a *Catholick* 

of the Roman Edition in those days?

(2.) Humility (fayshe) requiring that we think meanly and modefily of our own Reasons, Charity that we judge favourably of anothers, and Prudence that we think hest of the Magistrates, all these together make it our duty not onely to obey, but to do it with all chearfulness imaginable. Answ. 1. No humility teaches me in the matters of eternal Salvation, to put out my own eyes to see with anothers spectacles: my neighbours eyes may be clearer and stronger than mine, yet mine are mine own; he that has better eyes than I, has this happiness, that he may direct himself better, but yet he would direct me worse, without the use of my own: a Christians own Reason, informed from Gods Word, is the immediate guide of his steps in all acceptable obedience to, and walking with God. Blind obedience in this case is no obedience. Humility teaches me to think my self a man, and therefore may err;

but not a brute, which cannot but err: It neither teaches me to revere any Creature as my God, nor to despile my own intellectuals, as if I were a beaft. 2. Though charity command me to judge favourably of anothers spiritual estate for the present, his eternal state for the future, yet it commands me not to neglect making provision for my own; it commands me to love another as my felf, and therefore not above my felf; I cannot expect another should be true, if I prove false to my own foul. Its a blind charity, and only fit for the Hospital, that would make me of every mans Religion, of whom it teaches me to judge favourably, for, at this rate I must be oftwenty Religions, and perhaps, one half of them together by the ears with the other half: Charity will heal the evil eye, and make it good, but not put it out. 3. Charity teaches me to think best of the Magistrates Reason in common Kingdoms, but better of Scripture Reason in the territories of Conscience. And prudence will distate to me, that God, who has placed him in his Political Orb, will provide an intelligence to move that Sphære regularly, for, Que supra nos, nihil ad nos but no prudence will teach me to espouse a Religion because 'tisnis, but because it approves it felf to the Test, and Touch stone of all Religion, the Word of God.

I could learn better Divinity from an houest Heathen than this stuff; Pliny hath given us this Rule, Cantisimi cujusque præceptum, quod dubitas ne seceris. And Tully, Quocirca bene præcipiunt qui vetant quicquam agere, quod dubites æquum sit an iniquum: They preach true Dostrine who warn you not to do any thing, whereof you doubt whether it be good or evil. And though such a prudence as he has described, may contingently do me no great hurt at home, yet it will make me a Papist in Italy, a Mussulman at the Port, a Heathen in China, or rather every where just

nothing.

(3.) Its a common mistake to think Charity, and Compassion, only due from Governours to their Inferiours in the frame and composure of their Laws, for its due also from Inferiours towards them, and that they make a fair and candid construction of their injunctions. The duty is reciprocal without doubt, but with great difference we pitty and pray for our Superiours under their burthens of government, and expect onely pitty from them under our loads of subjection; and though we account subjection no fervile yoke, yet 'tis a yoke; as Bernard in another case, Dulce quidem conjugium est jugum, sed tamen jugum; and yet a compassionate tenderness towards inferiors in those things wherein God

has tyed up Conscience, is an abundant recompence for all that subjection: we would willingly part with all that is properly our own, to secure that which is properly Gods; and though Magistrates are strictly above our pitty and compassion, yet we hope we are not below theirs; If they call for our pitty in any case, 'tis to see them tormented with the importunate solicitations of one part of their subjects to destroy the other: As it must needs be an unspeakable affliction to a Father to be haraffed by fome of his Children to abnegate and difinherit the rest. As 'tis a great injury to the Sun to endeavour to monopolize his Beams, to the Fountain, to impropriate its freams, when the one would shine indifferently, the other flow impartially towards all; so is it a great trouble to a generous Prince to have his Favours intercepted, his Royal Grace under sequestration, that he cannot equally influence the whole Body, of which the Grace of God has made him Head.

Nor do we dare to judge our Superiours, but our felves; not their intentions, but our own actions; which if we may not do, better it were to be divested of all these cumbersom Reasons of ours, which therefore ferve to increase our misery, because

they teach us what it is to be bappy.

To make a candid interpretation of their Actions, we own our duty, and as we suppose they steer by the light of their own judgments, so we hope they will indulge us to act by ours; if we mistake, we wrong our selves, if our mistakes should wrong them, we submit to correction: if they mistake, we must wait under the inconveniences of the effects of that mistake, till the Father of Lights shall inspire other Counsels, only let us remember, that it is the Princes Glory to be

Rex hominum, non asinornm, nec Angelorum.

(4.) The Scripture (fayshe) calls the Magistrates Masters of restraint, Judg. 18.17. And its amongst their most glorious Titles, the least of which (if any man be called little) we revere; and it will be their immortal honour to restrain wicked men from doing evil, if they cannot restrain them from being evil: It was a flower in Jobs Coronet, Ch. 29. 17. 2. That he brake the jams of the wicked, and pluckt the spoil out of his teeth; Masters of restraint they are, not to restrain Religion, but Irreligion, and the insatiable thirst of those which nothing will quench but the bloud of their Brethren, or that which was earned with the sweat of their faces:

(5.) Nor

(5.) Nor would it be a foolish charity, or blind obedience to permit our selves to the conduct of our Superiors in those little things we speak of: To permit and refign up our felves to the conduct of others in Religious matters absolutely, is blind obedience, whether a fober Enquirer will call them little or no? Though the things may be fmall, the blindness of our obedience may be as great, as if the things were greater; blindness consists not in the object, but in the faculty; but, 1. The things we discourse of, (if we discourse ad idem) are not little, but the great things of the Gospel; Great I say, if we consider the greatness and danger of those Principles which they proceed upon, or the greatness and dangerousness of those consequences which they draw along with them; a little spark may kindle, if neglected, a great flame; They suppose either that Christ had not all power committed to him in heaven, and in earth, or that he has given it away by fome dormant warrant, and clandestine commission, or that he never exercised his power to settle the Regimen of his Church, or that his Edicts may be rescinded, and cassated by humane will. And they draw along with them a train of fatal Confequences, as that 'tis possible the condition of Christs Church may be irremediably more fervile than ever was that of the Jewish, if Religion should fall into bad mens hands. But no fin is little to him, that knows what bloud it cost to expiate it, what forrow it costs the true penitent to mourn for it, and what pains it cost the true Christian to resist it. 2. If the things be so little in the judgment of imposers, we hope we shall taste of their compassion in indulging such little things; it shall be no little praise we should return to the great God, no little returns of duty and exemplary obedience weshould make to his Vicegerent, that should permit us the indifferent use of indifferent things, and suppose them so, yet the faith of indifferent things is no indifferent thing: But I observe, that when our Enquirer would have Dissenters punisht for the neglect of these things, then they are not little! then they become the greatest, weightiest, most important things in the world; then Churches, Government, Religion cannot subsist without them, as accidents cannot sublift without their substances, so neither fubflances exist without their accidents, but when he comes to drole us into complyance, then they are little tri-fles, minutes, punctilioes, of Religion. 3. If to refign up our felves in matters of immediate Worship without a warrant

from God, to any but God, be not blind obedience, 'tis because we are blind, and cannot see what blind obedience is: We freely commit our selves to the Political conduct in all things temporal; a Ministerial conduct we own in our Pastors and Teachers; a Sovereign conduct we would gladly reserve for Christ. We would willingly go any whither but to Hell, do any thing but sin, lose any thing but the peace of our Consciences, and part with any thing, but what is none of our sto dispose of, rather than seem to tergiversate from the commands of our Governors.

And as we confess the Magistrate stands upon higher grounds than we; so we must, and without displeasing our Superiors may say, that Christ stands upon higher ground than he; and when we shall come to stand before his Tribunal, there to receive according to our works, we shall all stand upon even ground, as to any difference that external advantages in this present world.

shall then make.

13. - 2

(6.) We have reason to persuade our selves that we may as easily lie under prejudices, as they; and that we may be as much transported with considerations of ease and liberty, as they may probably be suspessed to be with ambition. Anfw. It's the duty of all to watch against those temptations, to which we lie most open from without; and to watch over those corruptions, to which we are most obnoxious from within; we dare not think it probable, that our Magistrates are transported with ambition; and we profess that we are not transported with any base lust, or pitifull considerations to suspend active Obedience, till we discover such transport by its proper fruits. But if we must still be reprefented by our fometimes Brethren, but now Perfecutors, as misguided by prejudices, we are forry for it, but cannot help it; and must place these secret aspersions in the number of those burthens, which by frequent use grow familiar, and less pinching, and fuch, as feeing they are not to be avoided, wifdom dictates they ought to be contemned. And yet we shall pray, that our Magistrates, like the highest Boughs of the goodliest trees being most fruitfull, may bow down themselves with abundance of precious fruits, and drop some of it into the laps of their despised, but loyal subjects.

(7.) There are no less different capacities of mind, than constitutions of body, and as great difference in mens outward circumstances, as in either of the former: The Magistrate will certainly thence judge, that there ought to be as great a diversity and latitude in

his impositions: He that has a larger swallow, let him have a larger cann: Let the best stomach have the largest Trencher : and fince one stomach will bear what would oppress another. why should one mans Conscience be compelled to digest what anothers can easily put over: Either we must practife whilst we think not the same things, which is a fordid piece of unworthy Hypecrifie, and no credit to uniformity to congregate such Heterogenous materials; or else tormented, because our Constitutions, Capacities, Consciences, Circumstances are not of one size, which is not our fault, for we had not the mingling of our temperatures, nor the putting together of our frames; or else (which we hope they who are wifer then us all will judge most eligible) that every one retaining his different sentiments which impede not Christianity, or disturb the Peace, may be indulged in a practife peaceably managed, fuitable to those innocent variations. And fince our Enquirer has quoted an old flory, Ishall onely repeat his words, and leave the Reader to his own thoughts for the application: Those that would have the Laws fitted to their humour, pithout respect to other men, do but imitate the Barbarous Custom of the Infamous Procrustes, who is said to have either Rackt all those Persons that fell into his hands, and stretch'd them out to his own size, if they were too short; or cut them off to his own proportions, if they were too long. And really if any of the Diffenters be of that Imperious and Tyrannical temper, I know not why they should expect that Mercy they will not show, or institute themselves in those priviledges, out of which they would eject all others.

I have heard that the Famous B. Andrews, Disputing with the great Cardinal Peronne about these Matters, urged very smartly, That Man ought not to add to Gods word, lest he lose his part in the Book of life. The Politick Cardinal asks, Why then do you retain the Cross in Baptism? The Bishop Answered: Because Authority enjoys it. And for the same Reason (replyed the Cardinal) we retain all the rest of the Ceremonies: What Rejoynder the Bishop

made, I do not remember.

It's the great duty, and will be the unspeakable comfort of all in Authority to preserve the whole Worship of Christ pure and undefiled, and all the Worshippers of Christ in peace and security, and when they have done this, they feem to me to have discharged their Commission, and may sue out their Quietus est, and easily receive, That buge, Well done good and faithfull Servant, Thou hast been faithful in a sem

things

things, I will make the Ruler over many things, enter thou into the joy of thy Lord. As for them who fear a Prince will have nothing to do, fince Necessaries are already determined, unlawfull things prohibited, if he may not Determine the rest: They are worse scared than hurt, God has cut him out work enough in his precept, and many times (for the sins of a people) cuts them out harder work by his Providence, and he is little beholden to those Over-officious Monitors, who prompt him to grasp more employment, whilst any lyes upon his hands. The Christian Religion was perfect and absolute at all points, as it came out of Christ's hands, and if we make it no worse when 'tis in ours, he will never complain, though we never make it better.

Alcibiades brings in the Athenians Complaining to the Oracle of Jupiter Ammon, that their Enimies the Lacedemonians prevailed against them; and yet (say they) we offer many and costly Sacrifices, when they present the Gods with few, cheap, or none. The Oracle Answers (and it might have become a better mouth) That the Evanusia, The plain simple Service of the Lacedemonians was more acceptable to the Gods, then all the splendid, pompous will worship of the

Athenians.

As the great God is exceedingly jealous in this particular, fo has he not left himself without a witness in the Consciences of those who had no other Notices of Gods Nature but what came in by the light of Nature, or some refracted beams of Revelation conveyed to them by Tradition. The Pythagoreans taught this Doctrin, that the Gods were to be worshipt, a well computer, according to their come good pleasure: And it was one of the Platonical Dictates, That all Divine worship must be because, measured by the Acceptation and appointment of God.

The Conference which Numa Pompilius, the great Roman Ritualist had, or pretended to have with the Goddess Ægeria, infures us, that he confided little in his Regal power, without a Divine Placaet to conciliate a due Reverence to those Ceremonies, which having in them no Moral goodness, depended wholly upon a positive institution, and that no Artissee will ever preserve a Religious Rite sacred and intemerate which

is not stamped with a jus Divinum.

If indeed an Old fragment, a trivial Sentence, or shred out of an Heathen Author, were to be the Canon of our Faith, or the Rubrick of our worship, I could easily comply with this

Enquirer,

Enquirer, who brings (and 'tis as fair a proof as any he brings) a faying of Emilius Paulus to his Souldiers, Vos Gladios acuite! Whet you your Swords, and be ready to execute what shall be Commanded you, but leave the Management of Affairs to your General. If Christians do really owe that Subjection in Religious matters to Superiours, which private Souldiers owe their General in the Field, this Controversie is at an end, and with it all instituted Religion in the World, that deferves that excellent Name. It may eafily be applied: Provide your knees to bow, and backs to bear, mouths to say what shall be put into them, Hands to subscribe what shall be tendered to you, and leave the Truth of Princpiles, the Composure of a Worship, the guidance of Conscience to wifer Heads. And he might have quoted us Cafars Commentaries to as much purpose, where that great Commander, upon the reluctancy of his Souldiers to engage, sharply chides them, Quod aut quam in partem, aut quo Consilio ducerentur, sibi quærendum aut cogitandum putarent: Who durst once surmise, or enquire, either whether, or upon what design they are drawn out. And thus at last we shall be sped both of a Directory for worship, and a Canon of Church Government; and may with the Traditores of old deliver up our Bibles for wast paper: unless we had rather imitate the famous Legio fulminatrix, who knew how to whet their Swords against the Common Enemy at the Command of the Emperour, and yet to refuse a Religious Ceremony, vouched by no other than Humane Authority.

ximes

ximes as these has Christianity been prostituted to will and pleafure: Regi aut civitati Imperium habenti nihil injustum quod utile: To a Prince or Conmon-wealth vested with Soveraign Power, nothing can be unjust, that is prostable. In summa fortuna, id æquius, quod validius; In the highest estate, that is ever most just, that has obtained the upper hand. And the Enquirer has approved himself a Person qualified with Carneades his Excellencies, Qui pro falso, non minus quam pro vero, vires eloquentiæ potest intendere; One that for time of need can strain his Wit, to set a fair gloss upon a foul matter, and with as little trouble can expose a Truth, as impose an Errour.

## CHAP. VII.

Wherein Christian Liberty consists? The Enquirers Reasonings examined, and Dissenters vindicated from that Infinuation, that they pretend by their Christian Charter to be discharged from Obedience to Laws.

I T is a Priviledge that has too much of Absolute Soveraignty in it for the Opponent, to impose what state of the Question he pleases upon the Respondent: The Enquirer has therefore got the poor Non-conformists upon a sure lock, If he can oblige them to maintain, That Christian liberty discharges them from Obedience to Laws.

There are indeed fome Laws from whose Obligation if Christian Liberty cannot discharge us, it can do very little: And there are other Laws, from which if Christian Liberty should pretend to give a discharge, it would assume too

much.

To Discharge from Obedience, is too Ambiguous a Term to be put into this Question. For, i. Christian Liberty in some cases does not discharge us from Obedience, but prevents the Obligation: It does not disolve the Bond, but prevents the Binding.

2. Christian Liberty may discharge from a Necessity of Obedience (in some cases) which is the formal Reason of Subjection to a Law, and yet not discharge from the Lawfulness of doing that which is the Material part of the Law: If a Law be made the Matter whereof is things purely indifferent in their Natures,

and these things appropriated to the immediate Service of God, Christian liberty will not make it unlawfull to de those things, but it

will ftill maintain its ground, that its lawfull not to do'them.

The Province of this Liberty whereof we now treat, lies between those things which are necessary, and sinfull; as it is frequently pleaded about the Magistrates power, since things forbidden cannot lawfully be done, nor things commanded, lawfully omitted, (in their proper seasons) the Magistrate must either have a power in these middle concerns, or he can have no legislative power at all: The same or some such thing I would affirm here, whatever is sinfull admits of no release, whatever is a duty admits of no indulgence; and therefore Christian liberty must have its appartment in these adiaphorous things, or be quite shut out of doors

Now, feeing the Magistrates power lies only in those things εν μόσω κόμωνα, such as stand in a posture of Neutrality, and side in neither, with good nor evil; and feeing also that Christian Liberty has for its sphere indifferent things, these two powers must needs strike fire, and their interests perpetually class, unless some expedient may be found out to reconcile

their feeming enterfeering motions.

Indifferent things may fall under a two-fold Confideration, either as they are applied to, or used in ordinary affairs of humane life; or as they are appropriated to Divine Worship, and preferred to serve in Religious Affairs: In the former respect they come unquestionably under the Magistrates cognizance, which our Blessed Saviour has put beyond the dye of controversie by his exemplary practice, Mat. 17. 24. Where though he might have pleaded a peculiar privilege, yethe rather chose to wave it, and recommend to us a singular pattern of due subjection; in this case then Christian Liberty ought not to interpose. In the other consideration Christian Liberty puts in a claim, and this also our Saviour has made indisputable by his Example, who though he would freely submit to a Tax of twenty pence, would not yield to their Ceremony of washing bands, though it was not worth a farthing, when Superstition had lifted it up above its place.

And yet as facred things in their external exercise may come under the inspection of the Civil Powers, in order to the securing of publick Peace, which is directly intrusted with him by the God of Order and Peace; so many things in their

Y 3 OWn

own nature fecular, come under the jurisdiction of Christian Liberty, when they shall be advanced above their pedigree, and made either parts of Worship, or conditions to the enjoyment of

Communion therein.

There are two forts of perfons, who as they are enemies to all Truth, fo they are but back-friends to Christian Liberty; fome there are who have made it such an Idol, that they have facrificed things facred and civil to its Deity; and given it such a boundless dominion, as if like Quicksilver, it were neither to be contained, suis aut alienis terminis. Against these I shall need to say the less, because every one has a stone to throw at, a cudgel to bestow upon them: Others there are who have adorned it with a Hogan Mogan title, and yet have cut assume, which either with Echo evaporates into air, or with Narcissus dissolves into water.

But that there is fuch a Charter our Enquirer grants: I suppose he has found it amongst some ancient Records, if it be not forfeited for want of Renewing, yet that it has some considerable immunities and privileges, he thus demonstrates.

1. From the gratitude we owe to him that purchased it; and therefore we may conclude, that Christians stand seized of a good and indeseazable estate therein, for it could hardly claim so much gratitude to be Tenants at the will of man.

2. From the price it cost him; which was no less than the precious blood of a Redeemer; and furely that which could not be purchased with silver and gold, should never be fold for the

highest offer of things corruptible.

3. From that strict injunction, Gal. 5. 1. To stand fast in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, and not to be intangled again with the yoke of bondage: from whence the Apostle instructs us, 1. That no force can wrest this sacred privilege out of our hands without our own consent; 'tis our sin if our Charter be lost. 2. That we are prohibited to submit our necks to any Religious yoke, as well as that from whence the Disciples were exempted; for as good have the back broken with an old burthen, as with a new one.

This Christian Liberty being so considerable in the purchase, must be also of great usefulness in the practice; which that we may the better understand and improve, I shall modestly give the Reader my thoughts in the ensuing Propositions.

( 1. ) Christian Liberty consists not in a meer liberty of Judement, because; 1. This had been too mean a purchase for the Bloud of Christ, to procure us that which never was, never could be denied to any Creature that had a judgment. For, 2. The Jews, when their most servile burthens, had ever a liberty to judge the things imposed, to be indifferent in their own nature, antecedent to the positive Law of God. 3. Such a liberty the poor bird in the cage may celebrate, and fancy her self a citizen of the woods, when she's confined within the grates of her little cloyster; such a one the prisoner may flatter himfelf with: and it reminds me what I observed th'other day pasfing in the fireets, this gilded Infcription invited my eye, Pray remember the poor Freemen, that are Prisoners in Ludgate: Poor Freemen indeed (thought I) who have only the freedom to tell how they have ferved an Apprentiship with a Master, and now must serve a double, perhaps a perpetual one, with the Goaler. 4. Nay, this would increase the bondage, to be alwaysharping upon our liberty in actu primo, and yet never taste the sweet in allu secondo. 5. This would render the Christian Church in a worse plight than that of the Jews, who though they bore more load than we at home, who breath in a freer air, yet had this countervailing advantage, that God himself was the Imposer; It is no such bargain to exchange a Divine for a Humane yoke, though somewhat lighter; and if it be so, no thanks to those officious Gentlemen, who would gratifie Magistrates with a power over all indifferent things, and therefore 'tis but contingently that ours is not much more insupportable.

(2.) Christian Liberty confists in something practical, that which tends to, and chiefly lies in the using, Gal. 5. 13. To have been called unto liberty, only use not your liberty for an occasion to the stells: where the caution that we do not missife it, strongly implies that it must be used. And if it lay only in a freedom of judgment, the caution had run, use it not at all; all external use had been an

abuse of it.

(3.) This liberty must hold in utranque parten, that we may ast or not ast, or determin this or that way, or it can be no liberty; and this will be granted by all those, who deny the will to be free, unless it have a powerfull freedom towards both the Terms; but as the self-determination of the will to one side prejudices not its liberty; so the determination of our christian liberty (by our choice, guided by prudence and reason) is

Y 4

no

no extinguishment of its radical freedom. And as external compulsion, and foreign force put upon the will, would be a violation of its liberty, fo all force put upon this *Christian liberty*.

berty, is an annihilation of it.

(4.) He that has enflated me in this great privilege, has also commanded me to restrain my self in the exercise of it; and that, (1.) By prudence, when the use of an indifferent thing would prejudice my neighbours Spiritual good, my own Salvation, or the Glory of God: in these cases I must not plead my Christian liberty to act, but exercise my Christian liberty to forbear what would oppose those commanding ends of all Religion. Qui faciunt quicquid licet, bac cito delabuntur ut faciant quod non licet; He that will always do all he may, shall easily slide into the doing of what he may not. The fame thing may be utefully done at another. 1 Cor. 6. 12. All things are lawfull for me, but all things are not expedient. And, Omne quod non expedit, in quantum non expedit, non licet; Whatever may not be done with expediency, cannot be done lawfully. 2. God has commanded me to restrain my self in the exercise of my Christian Liberty by charity to my weak Brother: To lay a stumbling-block in the way of the weak, or blind, is a gross abuse of my Christian liberty; because no use of an indifferent thing ought to weigh against my Neighbours Spiritual welfare; and it argues a very light effect of a Soul, when I am too proud to abridge my felf in a trifle, rather than hazard his seduction into sin, & exposing to the wrath of God; this Rule the Apostle was under, 1 cor. 10.23. All things are lawfull for me, but all things edifie not; and when they edifie not, Charity commands me to forbear them, in compaffion to my Brothers Soul.

(5.) Though christian liberty may in some cases be restrained, yet can it not in any lawfully be resigned: He that has commanded me to moderate it by the rules of prudence and charity, has yet commanded me to stand fast in it: He that resigns his Liberty in one case, by consequence gives it away in all; for though he has not actually resigned it in every case, yet has he virtually betray'd it, by yielding in one, for there is the same reason of all the rest; as an owner may forgo the use, and yet reserve the right, which right will reduce the use too in season; so may a Christian wave his Liberty in the use, still serving his right, that when opportunity shall invite, the use may

attend and wait upon the right.

The main violation of christian liberty lies in a fixed, stated, perpetual compulsion to do what God has permitted me to omit, or in a like fixed, stated prohibition to do what God has made lanfull: for indeed this is that which mainly diffinguishes between the restraint, and the infringement of this liberty; in the refiraint and moderation of my Liberty by prudence, charity, or whatever other methods God has commanded, though I fuspend my act for a time, yet when those circumstances which render'd fuch suspension a duty, go off from their places, I reassume the free exercise of my Liberty: the Stream for a while damm'd up, finds its old free Channel, and flides along in its wonted course; but if a Law be made, that I shall never all what I might lawfully do; or that I shall always act, or always in such a revolution, and at such stated periods, it's then an apparent encroachment upon my Liberty: This the Apostle notably teaches, 1 Cor. 6. 12. All things are lawfull for me, but I will not be brought under the power of any : in igna di mua voi-70 G. To be brought under the power of a thing indifferent, or under the power of any person in a thing indifferent, is that great violation of this Charter. For, 1. Such refignation of my felf to be refrained fixedly and flatedly, is to subscribe, engage, and make an implicit vow and oath against all opportunities of using my Liberty for the Spiritual good of another, which Providence may offer me. Gods Providence by mustring together all due circumstances, is the great Director when, and where to use, and employ my Christian liberty: If then such concurring circumstances should command my forbearance of an act, to prevent the fin of my brother; if now I have suffered my felf to be determined the other way, that I will constantly act, and never forbear; I have tyed my hands behind me from plucking him out of the snare of sin, and perhaps the pit of hell. And this is an evil, the utmost reach of whose mischievousness I cannot possibly foresee; for God may possibly place me in such a jun-Aure of circumstances, that I might reasonably hope, might win Souls to Chrift, and I have manacled my hands, and cannot act, or hung a padlock on my own mouth, and cannot speak; or an advantage may be put into my hands to prevent the finfull feandal of another by my forbearance, and I am not meijuris, but mustact. 2. By such predetermination of my self in things indifferent, in Submission to any man, I do as much as in me lies alter the nature of indifferent things. For things finful can

never be done; Duties must always be performed in due time and place, and indifferent things should be indifferently used, as present circumstances invite prudence and charity to determin; but when once they are predetermined, I can no more do an indifferent thing, than if it had been sinfull; or no more omit an indifferent at, than if it had been necessary. 3. By such a fixed predetermination of my liberty, I ascribe more to man in his positive precepts, than to God in his affirmative moral precepts; for the acts of fuch Commands may be suspended pro hic, to nunc, when they obstruct some great good, but in this case I must ast uniformly, without respect to circumstances, let thousands be offended, flumbled, wounded in conscience, and prejudiced against Religion. And in short, by such resignation of my Liberty in its exercise, I have reduced my self to that imaginary liberty of Opinion, that dreaming freedom which the Lollards enjoyed in their Tower, and the poor Protestants in Bonners Cole-hole.

(7.) When Christian Charity commands me to forbear the use of the thing, which otherwise is within the Charter of christian Liberty to use; and at the same time the Christian Magistrate shall command me to practice that very thing by a fixed Law; I humbly conceive, that Christian charity ought to restrain my liberty not to all, rather than the commands of the Magistrate inforce me to all: 1. Because the restraint which charity puts upon me, will soon determin and expire, but the Command of Magistrate is perpetual. 2. The restraint which charity puts upon me is internal, and so agreeable to, and confistent with the greatest freedom and liberty, but the restraint, put upon me by the Magistrate, is external and compulsory, which comports not with my inward Liberty: for if he deals meerly by his will and authority, that fuits not with my reason, and therefore has in it the nature of force; but if the Magistrate should deal by Argument, then when a firenger appears to act according to his precept than that drawn from the good of my Neighbour by Charity, Christian Liberty may be free, and yet obey, provided always that that Argument be taken from the nature of the thing commanded, and not from the naked commands. 3. The weak Christian for whose sake charity commands me to forbear acting, is one that cannot prevent his own weakness, his stumbling scruples, and aptness to be wounded; but he that commands me to act, may prevent, recal, or suspend his own Edict in that which in its own nature is indifferent. And God has commanded me

not to offend my weak Brother by the use of indifferent things. but he has no where commanded the Magistrate to impose indifferent things, which become not some way or other necessary. 4. It feems a most horrid thing to interpret Scriptures at this rate; that I should be commanded to malk charitably, till I am commanded to walk uncharitably; and forbidden to destroy bim for whom Christ died, by my indifferent things, till I am injoyned to destroy him. Not to wound weak Consciences, till I am commanded to wound them. Thus shall moral precepts be avoyded by human positive Laws, which cannot be superseded by the Divine positive Laws. And if one may be thus enervated, the whole Decalogue had no firm station: And thou shalt not make to thy self a graven image, may be eluded by this, till me are commanded by Authority, and I am somewhat confident the foundation laid by the Enquirer, will bear that super-Aructure.

It is therefore a most opprobrious, and inviduous charge with which he begins this Discourse. All that we may have hitherto discoursed about the power of the Magistrate, some think may be avoided by pleading the Magna Charta of Christian Liberty, for though it may be pleaded against some power that may possibly be assumed, yet against none, wherewith he stands endowed by the Law of Nature or Scripture; nor indeed against any usefull power for the attaining the great ends of Government, publick

Peace, and Tranquillity.

The Church of England in her avowed Doctrine afferts, that Christ has ordained in his Church two Sacraments, generally necessary to Salvation; now we conceive, that having a right as Christians, to all the Ordinances of Christ, necessary to Salvation; Christian Liberty may plead the enjoyment of all those Ordinances, upon those naked Terms Christ has offer'd them to Mankind. This is our Magna-Charta; and if any shall encumber that Communion with new clogs, provisions, restrictions and limitations, we plead our petition of Right, which if it

be denied us, our Christian liberty is so far violated.

Nor do we deny the Magistrate a power about our Christian liberty; If any shall turn this liberty into licenciousness, he may restrain them: nay, he may restrain the Liberty it self, where God has not preengaged us to restrain it; and he will eminently employ his power for Christ, when he exerts it, to assert and vindicate to all his loyal Subjects the free use of that great

Charter; and if encroaching violence shall make a forcibly entry upon that privilege, whereof we are in quiet and peaceable possession; we shall complain of the force to him, who will remove it, and reinvest us in our Christian freehold, whereof christ has made the purchase with his own bloud.

Two things there are which the Enquirer has luftily promifed us, and therefore we may confidently expect from him: first, that he will give us the true notion, and secondly, the due extent of Christian Liberty; and he has freed his name pretty well, for first he has made it a meer notion, and then laid an extent upon it, that is, he has seized it into his own hands, upon pre-

tence for the Magistrates use.

[1.] And first for his true Notion, (for none cry stinking Mackerel) there are two things also very considerable; the liberality of his Concessions, and the policy of his Retrastations. He makes us fair Large Deeds, but with a secret power of Revocation strussrates all; so that when we come to cast up our accounts, we must say, with that bewildred Clyent, in the Comadian, when he had advised with his brace of Advocates; Probè fecissis, incertior sum multo quam dudum.

(1.) For his Concessions, they are truly noble and generous,

and fuch as would heal us all.

§ 1. Concession, p. 88. When the Gospel was fully published, then the aforesaid inclosure is laid open, and all Nations invited into the Society of the Church upon equal terms, neither Party being bound to those mice laws of Moses, nor to any other, but those plain and reasonable ones contained in the Gospel: This is certainly the great year of Jubilee! and will he not deserve to be shut out for ever, that shall resuse so free an invitation? Is he a reasonable creature that resuses the plain and reasonable terms of Communion, contained in the Gospel? what a hideous monster would a Schismatick be, did Churches keep to these Terms? But his limitation retracts all this again.

— And such other (not contradictory to them) as publick wisdom, peace, and charity, shall dictate and recommend. Now you have it! Thus the Grane most curteously invited the Fox to dinner, but fitted him with such terms as communion, that unless he could stretch his neck as long ashers, he shall have his belly full of nothing but hunger: Esurire licet, gustare non

licet.

It minds me of the story of Santlius the King of Arragon's

Brother,

Brother, who marching against the Saracens, diverted himself a while at Rome; the bountifull Pope, who is always prodigal of what costs him nothing, causes him to be proclaimed, Sanctius by the Grace of God King of Egypt, &c. The noise of Trumpets calls him to the Belcony, and he asks what was the matter? he was answered, that his Holiness had presented him with the entire Kingdom of Egypt; presently he commands his own Trumpeters to go, and falute the Pope in requital. Caliph of Baldash: Thus has the Enquirer gratified us with an empty Concession, which by his retractation is a week "Adwege . I shall not need to observe to the Reader the egregious folly of such Propositions. We are not bound to the Laws of Moses (i. e. as Terms of Communion) nor any other, but fuch other - That is, we had been free, but that we are in bondage. Negatives are infinite; and under that one word [fuch others] we may be pefter'd with more than those nice Laws of Moses. For, 1. Who can tell what publick Wisdom may determin? the publick Wisdom of Italy, and Spain, has introduced fuch a lumber of those other Terms, as both eaten out almost all Religion, with the Divertisements of Judaical, Paganical, whimfical Constitutions: The publick Wisdom of Abafsa has introduced Circumcisson it self, and no thanks to these Principles, or the Discourses of Erastian Novellists, that the case is better with us.

2. Peace and Charity requires no other Terms then those plain. wes laid down in the Gospel; Charity teaches us not to lay stumbling blocks in the way of those that will come towards the Church; Peace requires us to unite upon Christs own Terms; but the name of Peace is often used to destroy the thing: so Austin of old, Ecclesia nomine armamini & contra Ecclesiam Domicatis. Thus are we gogled to part with our Christian Liberty for Peace, when as the parting with the Ceremonies would secure both Peace, Charity, and Christian Liberty. 3. It's very childish to put the determination of these other Terms of Communion upon the Tresviri, publick Wisdom, Peace, and Charity. For what if they accord not in their votes about the Terms? what if perhaps publick Wisdom should clash with Charity? Charity should say, I will have no Terms of Communion, that may exclude persons of honest hearts, though weaker intellectuals; but publick Wisdom should contend for some other intercalated conditions, which may render Divine Institutions more august and folenin.

folemn. 4. No publick Wisdom can possibly determinupon those other Terms, in a way that shall secure the interests of charity, nor in what cases I am bound for her sake to restrain my felf in the use of my Christian Liberty. For the prudent admeafurement between my Christian Liberty, and my Charity to my christian Neighbour, depends upon the view of the particular circumstances of time, place, person, which cannot come under the prospect of publick Wisdom: Suppose a Command were given forth from publick Wisdom, that I should at such a time, and in fuch a place, drive a Coach with violence down the high-way, and when I come to execute this command; I find multitudes of little Children playing in that high-way; the circumstance of these persons was not foreseen by publick Wifdom; must that therefore take place of my Charity to destroy the lives of these little ones, or my charity submit to publick Wildom, and fall pel-mel in amongst them? Our Saviour has commanded us not to offend any of his little ones, telling me that if I do, It were better that a milstone were hang'd about my neck, and I cast into the sea. Publick Wisdom may perhaps command me to do something no sinfull in it self, but when I come to obey, I find evidently it must scandalize them; I refer it to Charity, Peace, and Prudence to determin this case between them.

§. 2. His fecond generous Concession is. There lies now no more bonds upon the consciences of Christians, than did upon the ancient Patriarchs, saving those improvements our Saviour has made upon the law of Nature, and those sew positive Institutions of his expressly set down in the Gospel. And what a blessed day were it with the Christian world if we might see this made good! This would shut out of doors all those Janus Articles, penn'd by wise Reconcilers, to persuade the combating parties first to shake hands, and then to fall more furiously to cudgels. This would shut out of doors all human Impositions, forstalling our Communion with the

Christian Church.

But now mark the Retractation. ——And that men obeying these are at liberty to conform to whatsoever common Reason, Equity and publick Authority shall impose. Had he not turn'd wrong at the hedge corner, it should have follow'd thus. ——And that men obeying these, are at liberty to enjoy all the priviledges of the Gospel. But, 1. Is not this a broad Contradiction, that there's no more bonds upon our Consciences, than upon the Patriarchs, and yet we are bound to submit to those other Terms,

imposed by publick Authority? That is, we are at liberty upon their Terms: And we may serve God as cheap as they, but that we must serve him at dearer rates: Did Abraham receive the modes of worshipping God from Gerar, or the Terms of serving God from Egypt: and yet those Kings where he sojourned were friendly, and extended their Royal bounty to him. 2. We are at Liberty to conform to what soever common Reason, Equity and publick Authority shall impose: At Liberty to conform? but are we at Liberty not to conform, if common Reason oppose publick Determination? It's an idle thing to put the determination of my Liberty upon Reason, Equity and Authority, unless we were astured they should always agree, which yet in some countries may not be till the secular Games, or the Greek Calends. 3. This is in effect to fay, that if we obey what Christ commands us, we are at Liberty to give away our Liberty in all the rest: whereas our Liberty was given us, not to give it away at a clap, but to difpense it in parcels, as weak christians have occasion to borrow of us.

§ 3. He conceeds yet further, for Liberality grows upon his good Nature. Our Christian enfranchisement discharges we not onely from a necessity of observing the Law of Moses, and the Rites of Judaism, but further, and especially sets us at Liberty to pursue our own Reason. And can he pretend to be a Rational creature, that will not submit to it's Dictates? If ever Christian Liberty have scope enough, it must be when it expatiates in the fields of our own Reason, I am consident, the most streight-laced Precisian cannot pretend he is pincht: But now the Limitation!——And to obey any Laws of men that

shall not contradict the express Laws of Scriptnres.

Is suppose there are very sew or none in the world that form their Laws expressly contrary to Scripture Laws, and yet they make such as may as essectivally frustrate the design of Scripture Laws, as if they had in terms point blank contradicted them: a rare Liberty this is; and was the soundation of that Gentlemans humour, who professed he would Preach in a Fools coat, if the King should command him. For I do not remember that that contradicts any express Law: amongst all the crasty devices of the Devil to induce our Grand-mother Eve to eat of the tree of Knowledge; and of all the weak excuses of Eve for eating of that tree, I wonder: This was not thought on, that it was not contrary to any express Law of God. For, Gen. 1.16. God commanded the man saying, of every tree of the Garden thou may it freely eat: But of the Tree of knowledge of good and evil

thou shalt not eat: But it seems the Devil had not learnt the Sophistry to evade the precept, because the express Law was given to the man, and not to the woman: There are Consequential Laws which we have no liberty to contradict: That a Minister contradict not, destroy not the ends of his Ministry; a Christian the ends of his christianity: And it had been impossible that all Negatives should be expressed, Thou shalt not stand upon thy head: Thou shalt not wear a Fools coat: Thou shalt not play at Dice, or Cards, in the worship. of God: but thus he thinks he has made good provision for a safe conformity to the ceremonies, because it is not said; Thou shalt not use the Cross in Baptisin; Thou shalt not use Cream, Oyl, Spittle, Thou shall not conjure out the Devil. At which back-door came in all the superstitious fopperies of Rome. And with this passport we may travel all over the world, from Rome to the Port, from thence amongst the Tartars, and Chineses, and conform to all, for perhaps we shall not meet with one Constitution that con-

tradicts an express Law of Scripture.

4. Concession, p. 191. I have a power in utranque, and may do, or leave undone all those matters that are not defined in Scripture. This indeed make amends for all: for if it be part of my Liberty to leave undone what's not defined there, as well as to do what is not fo, I have no great Reason to complain for want of Liberty; but yet there is a restriction behind that recalls one half of this --- According as publick Laws and the ends of all Society, shall require. Thus all along the Reader will observe that he seems to Retreat from his Fort in the Concession, and when we are mounted he springs his Mine, and blows us all up, with his Retractation. The summe of this liberty then is thus much: we have a liberty in utranque, but you shall be determined to one: you may do which you will, provided you do which another commands you; you may pursue your own Reason, provide you do not Pursue it, but the publick wisdom; you are not tyed up to any other institutions, fave onely those plain ones of the Gospel, provided you be obliged to such other as Authority Commands. This is fuch an utrangue, that I have been studying what should be the Substantive to it, and I cannot imagine what, unless it be in utramque, either a good benefice, or a comfortable importance: I shall further offer these things.

1. Christian Liberty may be restrained by Publick Authority, and by private Reason, but if pullick Authority restrain it one way, and my private Reason, would restrain it another, and the publick

Authority

Authority shall carry it against my own Reason, not only

Christian, but Humane Liberty is violated.

2. The perpetual determining of my liberty to one part, that I may in no case act the other way, whether it be by an external compulsary power, or by my own Superstition, is a violation

and destruction of my Christian Liberty.

3. Authority, Peace, Charity prevailing with my Reason, to determine one way, will not violate Christian Liberty, for when the great ends of Peace and Charity shall cease to be obtained by fuch determination, it's supposed also that Reason will cease, and Authority ought to cease, to continue such determination.

4. If Peace and Charity shall cease to call for such a determination ad unum, and thereupon my Reason cease to put me upon such a determination, and yet Anthority shall continue its determination; my Christian Liberty will warrant me to follow my own Reasons

That which was the intolerable burthen of the Jews, which they were not able to bear, our Enquirer tells, p. 186. was especially this: That the Law of Moses enjoyn'd a great number of little Observances, which by their multitude were hard to be remembred, by their Nicety difficult to be observed, and by their meer positive Nature, and having no effential goodness in themselves, had less power upon the Consciences of Men, to awaken their care and diligence about them; and because it's hard for the mind of man to attend to many things at once, especially if also the things in which his care and obedience is required, be such as are not enacted in his Conscience, and when he can see no other Reason of, or advantage by his obedience but meerly his obedience, therefore was that Law called imvossible. This, fay the Dessenters, would be their case, should they submit to what the Enquirer pleads for, and therefore an infringement of their Christian Liberty.

There is one Text of Scripture by which he will prove that his is the true Notion of Christian Liberty: The Apostle (fays he) in several of his Epiflles, but especially that to the Romans, enjoyns the Christians in their scruples about eating of certain Meats, and in the Conduct of themselves to consult Charity towards their weak Brethren, the peace of the Church, and their own Edification: to which purpose he advises us to see Rom. 14. and chap. 15: Now if the Reader will be Ruled by me, we will venture for one quarter of an hour to look into those places, let the danger be what it will, and I am confident we shall not find one Christian Liberty determinable by any outward power: v. 3:

The Apostle lays down the only true way of maintaining Peace and Love among & Christians under their various apprehensions, and various practifes. Let not him that eateth, despise him that eateth not, and let not him that eateth not, judge him that eateth. And to this Rule the Canons of 1640. did attend, Can. 8. We recommending (not commanding) to the serious Consideration of all good People, The doing Reverence and Obeisance at their coming in, and going out of the Churches, Chancels, and Chappels, in the practife or omission of which Rite they desire that the Rule of Charity, prescribed by the Apostle, may be observed. That they which use this Rite dispise not them which use it not, and that they who use it not, condemn not those that use it. Which Rule was it applied to all other matters of the like Nature, would undoubtedly preserve what of Love is left, and recover that measure of Christian amity, which is lost. Peace may be had under differing Notions about indefferent things, and peace may be had under differing practifes suitable to those differing Notions, not by screwing up the weak to the latitude which the strong allows himself, nor by pulling down the strong to the narrow practifes, wherein the weak are confined, but by the strong Christians not despising the weak, and the weak Christians not judging his more grown, and stronger Brother; v. 4. The Apostle gives a Reason against this uncharitable judgment: who art thou that Judgest another mans Servant? Every Christian as to his Conscience, is Alieni fori, the Servant of God: And if he be summon'd before a Forreign Tribunal, may plead, It is Coram non Judice. To his own Master he standeth or falleth: v. 5. Let everyman be fully terswaded in his own mind: The things before may perhaps be indifferent in themselves, but yet if we have not a full affurance that they are for we are bound to suspend our act. For, as our rejoycing must be in our selves, and not in another, fo must our Satisfaction: 'Tis not the clearness of a practise in anothers mind that will warrant my afting, I must be fully satisfied in my own mind, v. 13. The Apostle lays down an excellent Rule for the prudent restraint of our Christian Liberty. Let us not therefore judge one another, but let every man judge this rather, that no man put a stumbling-block, or an occasion to fall in his Brothers way. If my Christian Liberty will warrant me to act, yet Christian Charity will teach me to moderate my self in the use of that liberty, when such afting would occasion the sin of him that is not so perswaded of the Lawfullness of my Fa&; which is to be limited to things of this Nature whereof he treats, namely, things inidfferent; for if my Brother

will be offended at what God has made my duty, there's no Remedy, but that he lay afide his unjust offence, and not that I lay afide my necessary duty: v. 15. The Apostle gives a Reason of his former Rule: If thy Brother be grieved with thy Meat, then walkest thou not Charitably; And much more if he be scandalized, and drawn into sin: Is it not a most unchrithan humour to infift fo peremptorily upon doing, because in it self Lamful; when Charity countermands that doing, and therefore its unlamful in the use. Destroy not him with thy Meat for whom Christ dyed: furely thou hast little value for a Soul Redeemed by the Blood of Christ, if thou wilt for a for-ry indefferent thing hazard its eternal damnation: Our liberty to act must stand out of the way, when a Brothers Soul comes in place; v. 19 Let us follow the things that make for Peace, and the things may edifie one another. Here we have another Rule for the refraint of our liberty in things indifferent: When the using my liberty would disturb the peace of the Church, I must cease to act: for the strong may forbear what he judges Lawful, and yet the weak cannot do what he judges sinful: And therefore to the strong he speaks thus, v. 22. Hast thou Faith have it to thy felf before God: Art thou perswaded such a thing is Lawful, notwithstanding the many violent presumptions of others of its finfulness, keep they judgment to thy felf; trouble not the Church with thy Orations, let thy disputing Talent yield to the weak judgment of others. But to the weak he speaks thus, v. 23. He that doubteth is damned if he eat; because he eateth not of Faith, for whatsoever is not of Faith is sin.

All this while here's not one fyllable of restraining Christian Liberty by the Authority of another by outward force and violence, all must be determined by a Christians own Prudence, as moved by the edification of anothers Charity to his Soul, and the peace of the Community: not a word to confult my own/fecular advantage and emolument; Not a letter that Conscience, Christian Liberty, Private Wisdom, my own Reason must be impressed to militate under the command and conduct of the publick wifdom,

the publick Reason, or the publick Conscience.

That is therefore the thing which he must bring about by some links of Consequences; some trains of deductions; And headvances towards his conclusion, by winding stairs, that we may be lead fension fine sensu to the top of his matter, to the height of his design without taking notice of our ascent, and the whole contrivance 2 2

of

of this Chap. lyes in the dexterous management of this one Engin.

(1.) His first Postulatum is this: That Christian liberty doth confist in a freedom in utramque: (pray do not mistake him) that is, that antecedently to the Considerations of Prudence, Peace and Charity, it's equally in the power of a Christian to do or not to do, any, or all those things that are not expressly forbidden by the holy Scriptures. Very good! Then I will assume. But to love God with all my Heart and Soul and Strongth

will assume: But to love God with all my Heart, and Soul, and Strength, is one of those things which God hath not expressly forbidden in the Holy Scripture: therefore antecedently to the Considerations of Prudence, Peace and Charity. It's in the power of a Christian to love, or not to love God with all his heart, and that Christian liberty consists in this free-

dom in utramque.

I am not so uncharitable as to think that the Enquirer owns this conclusion, or that any principle he holds will inser it: All I note it for is to evince to him, that he has worded his matters besides his own intention, and that he intended really to have said: That Antecedently to the Considerations of Prudence and Charity, it's equally in the power of a Christian to do or not to do, any or all those things that are not expressly forbidden by, nor contradist the expresslaws of the Scriptures. And taking the words according to the presumption of his meaning and not the letter, I say, 1. Here's something more than Truth. That Christian Liberty gives us a power to do what is not expressly forbidden: many things are forbidden by consequence, which are not expressly forbidden. I pray shew me an express prohibition to recognize the Popes Supremacy; To subscribe the Tridentine Decrees. And if this be part of the Enquirers Christian Liberty, to do what is not expressly forbidden, I hope he will not find himself agrieved if we judge that he, and some others of the same Latitude, are not at so irreconcileable a distance from Rome, as they would be thought.

2. As all is not Truth, so neither is this the whole Truth: For Christian liberty gives us a Power to do, or not do the things neither commanded, nor forbidden, not onely Antecedently to the considerations of Prudence, Peace and Charity, but also, First, Subsequently to those considerations: that is, as soon as ever those considerations shall cease; and, Secondly, Concomitantly with those considerations; that is, surther they shall reach: For though I suspend my act in charity to my weak Brother, yetam I impowred to Act at the same time out of the Cognizance of my weak Brother; and as soon as those considerations of Peace and Charity shall vanish, no other

confiderations separate from them, ought to keep the refraint

on foot, but my liberty recovers it's former luftre.

3. There is much ambiguity in the jumbling the confiderations of prudence, peace, and charity together; for the confiderations of peace and charity are objective, fuch as I am to confider as the motives and inducements to restrain my Liberty; but the consideration of prudence is subjective, that is, prudence is that vertue which is to make a judgment, when, and in what cases, those two, peace and charity, are considerations sufficient to inforce such a restraint; so that, would we speak plain English, the matter amounts to no more than this, that antecedently to my prudent consideration of the concerns of peace and charity, I may do, or not do, whatsoever is in it self indifferent; which truth will be too seeble a foundation to erect his intended superstructure upon.

(2.) His second Fostulatum is this; That it is no infringment, but an exercise of this liberty, actually to be determined to that side, towards which prudence or charity shall incline; though in the mean time the other side be in general as lawfull as that: It would ill become me to teach him how to word his own Conceptions, though I should rather have chosen to have express my self, not disjunctively, [to that side towards which prudence or charity shall incline] but rather conjunctively, [prudence and charity] or most properly [prudence at the invitation of charity] for prudence inclines me neither one way nor other, surther than as she is directed by the considerations of peace and charity, the good of my self and neighbour, nor can the interests of these two ever

be divided. But I answer,

I. That it is no infringement of Christian liberty, for prudence to determin upon mine own act; as that I will not do an indifferent thing, when Peace, and the Spiritual good of my Brother prohibit me; because the Scripture, which contains the Charter of Christian liberty, has also directed my prudence, thus, and in this case to restrain it: but yet (which is the thing he drives at) to be determined to one side by outward power, civil force, naked authority or will, when my own reason can see no prudence in such a determination, is an evident instringement of my liberty: so that to be determined to one side may be an instringement, when I am determined by violence or menaces; and yet to determin my self upon the considerations of Charity and Peace, may be none; for in the former case, I see no reason of my obedi-

obedience, but my obedience; but in the latter I am determined by my own election, upon rational grounds, becoming a rational

2. Though a prudential determination not to act, at the request or command of peace and charity, when otherwise I might have acted, be no infringement of my radical Liberty, yet it is a restraint of my liberty, which the Enquirer calls, p. 208. A denying himself some part of his liberty, and therefore it seems improperly called an exercise of liberty; 'tis indeed an exercise of my prudence, an exercise of my charity, but hardly an exercise of my liberty, further than as the will is the principle of such restraint and determination.

3. Though Prudence may teach me not to all, but to adhere to the fide of Charity, yet prudence must not take her measures for not asting from such peor, low, selfsh considerations as the faving or gaining those outward advantages to my self, which are the greatidols of Prudence salfely socialed, which is no better than carnal policy, and sinfull selfshness; but from the interest of Gods glory, the advancement of his Gospel, and all this bona side, for if Prudence mistakes in her reckonings, an imaginary good end will not indemnisse, and save her harmless.

(3.) Thefetwo points thus gained (as the Enquirer fancies) will give abundant foundation for a third to be inferred from them, viz. That whatfeever is fo free to me, that I may do it, or not do it, according as I shall be inclined by the considerations of brotherly charity and compassion, must of necessity be also, as free to me to obey the Magistrate,

and serve publick peace, and order in.

Let us but refolve this unwieldy Proposition into its distinct branches, and we shall need no more to expose its nakedness.

I. Whatsoever I may do at the command of Charity, I may do at the command of Authority in order to publick peace: Now to surnish him with a minor; but I-may practise the Ceremonies at the command of charity, therefore I may practise them at the command of authority in order to publick peace. And now for the proof of the minor we are as far to seek as ever.

This then is the Quintessence of his Reasonings, the utmost resort of all the wit and learning of this Chapter, which in other, and more advantagious words to his purpose he gives us thus: What sever I may do in compassion to my Brothers instrmity, surely that I may much more do in reverence to Gods Ordinance, the

Lawfull

Limfull Magistrate, which is the point I have all this while drove at. But this Conclusion, hower he feems cock-fure of it, will no ways follow from those premises; and the fallacy of it is so thin, that its transparent to the weakest eye. In the first postulatum he allows a freedom to act, in utramque, antecedently to the considerations of prudence, peace and charity. In the second he afferts, that its no infringement of this Liberty, to be determined to one fide by trudence or charity. In his third, that we may (and therefore may) act or not act, according as we shall be determined by Authority. I shall sum up my Answer in these particulars, and conclude.

§ 1. Though the lawfull Magistrate be Gods Ordinance, yet it remains a question undecided, whether he be Gods Ordinance fo far, and for that end, to determin of things indifferent, antecedently to the determination of Gods providence, by gathering together all due circumstances, which ought to determin the natural indifferency of the things aforesaid: Many things are true secundum quid, which are not so simpliciter. But if he shall judge it a prize worth all his labour to impose upon us with fo broad Sophism, much good may his own dream do him.

5 2. Though the lanfull Magistrate be Gods Ordinance, yet its a greater Question still whether he may determin my Liberty in utramque, to the one side, when Charity would determin it to the other? that is, whether he has power to command me to act, when Charity probibits me to act, or make it my duty to move,

when Charity commands me to fland still.

It's true, the concerns of a true and desirable peace and charity are inseparable; nothing can advance the design of the forme;, but what does really subserve the interest of the latter; for fo has the Apostle conjoyn'd them, Rom. 14. 19. Let us follow after the things that make for peace, and things wherewith me may

edifie one another.

Whatfoever advances the edification of my Brother, advances also Peace, unless imprudent men have made the terms of Peace fo desperate, that 'tis not attainable, without the ruine of Charity, which the Enquirer confesses an essential part of our Religion: but yet 'tis possible, that the command of a Magistrate may oppose the concerns of Charity, in which case, I think without offence I may fay, he is none of Gods Ordinance to that end and purpose, nor has God given me any li-berty to obey in that case: and as such a Command would be a direct

Z 4

direct invasion of my Christian Liberty, so obedience to such a Command would be a plain betraying of it: If therefore the freedom to act in utranque be determined to the one side, by Charity, I have no freedom (under that positure of circumstances) to submit to a determination to the other side at

the command of Authority.

§ 3. As the lawfull Magistrate is Gods Ordinance, so is it an express ordinance of the same God, not to use my Liberty in indifferent things, when by such use my Brother is made weak, or stumbled or offended, Rom. 14.21. And it is a hard way of concluding, That what one Ordinance of God countermands, that I may do at the command of another. God has often superfeded general commands by special ones, but I find not that he has instituted any general Ordinance to suspend the duties of a special command. He that says, If thy brother be offended, eat not, has given no power to any to say, Though thy brother be offended, yet eat: And if our own Prudence upon the view of all circumstances shall determin, notwithstanding a command to ast by the Magistrate, yet cannot this be interpreted irreverence to Gods Ordinance the lawfull Magistrate, unless we will suppose, that by shewing obedience to the one, we must be irreverent to the other of Gods Ordinances.

\$ 4. Christian Liberty is committed to every Christian to keep for the use and behoof of his meak Brother, and not to resign at the meer pleasure of another: publick Peace and Charity shall not need to weigh against one another, for their interests sweetly meet together, kiss each other. Christian liberty has intitled me to a right in things indifferent; Prudence will direct me how to use it for my own Spiritual benefit; Charity will oblige me to manage it for the advantage of my weak Brother, and the Command of God justisse me if I resign it not up to meer will and pleasure, or any thing that shal come in competition with it.

Officer and Minister of Jesus Christ, to secure and preserve the great Charter of Christian Liberty, sacred and inviolate, against all the encroachments of those, who would commit a rape upon a privilege in it self so innocent, to others so useful, and in the price paid for it so invaluably dear and precious.

The fum of which is thus much, that though Charity and Peace are always agreed when to use, when to refrain my liberty, yet if any power without reference to the concerns

of these shall endeavour to restrain my liberty, by commanding me to do what Reason and Prudence shall inform me, are repugnant to those ends; such command is a plain infringment of my liberty, and my obedience a plain abuse of my liberty, unless we can imagin, that its an exercise of my christian Liberty, to act against the great ends of Christianity, or an exercise of my freedom, to run against the dictates of my Judgment and Conscience.

To conclude therefore, if this be that great thing, he has all this while drove at, I must tell him, he drives at too furious a rate for me to keep pace with him; but thus would Phaeton drive, though he set the world on fire; such was Jehu's career, though he thought himself more than God; and so have I seen your hair-brain'd Drivers resolve to whistle cut their whistle.

though the cart turn over.

## CHAP. VIII.

Of a Tender Conscience, what it is, and its Privileges?

IT was a malicious artifice of Julian the Apostate, to erect the images of the Heathen gods in the Forum near his own statue, reducing hereby the Christians to this Dilemma, either to seem to worship the Images, whilst they reverenced his Statue, or contemn their Sovereign, by refusing to bow before the Images; into the same streights would the Masters of Ceremonies bring us; that either our Loyalty must argue us into a Conformity to their intentions; or Non-conformity shall be an interpretative contempt of Authority: Thus has it ever been a successfull Policy to twist their own Concerns with those of Majesty and Royalty, that they may not be separated. And when they have laid up their little knacks amongst the sacred remarkata, in his Majesties Jewel house, to touch one Ceremony, is to steal the Crown.

conscience has ever hitherto maintained a good repute amongst the more civiliz'd part of mankind, and he been adjudged a person unmeet for converse, that should once prositute and debauch it; It will therefore be expedient at least, first to represent it as an usurper, and then to execute it as a traytor.

I pittied that innocent person, who being fast asleep, and dreaming no harm, an assassinate puts into his hand the bloudy

knife wherewith he had committed an execrable murther; upon which violent prefumption being apprehended, he is ar-

raign'd, condemn'd, executed.

Thus the Jews found no better way to deliver our B. Saviour over to the fecular Powers, than to mifrepresent him as an enemy to the Temple and Casar, Church and State; and when they had arrayed him in a Mock-robe, put a Reed-scepter in his hand, and a Crown of Thorns on his head, lead him out with triumph to be crucified.

The Enquirer being very fensible, that this Conscience has been an old enemy to the dear concerns of Ceremony, tells us feelingly, That unless we can pull down this usurper, we must look for no Magistracy; and except we discover the weakness and absurdity of this Pretension, all endeavours of restoring Uniformity in the Church, will be

vain and useless.

The master-piece of Jezebels policy was, to make Naboth more than he desired to be, that he might be really less than he deserved to be. I Kin. 21. 9. Proclaim a fast, and set Naboth on high among the people; and set two men, sons of Belial to bear fasse witness against him, saying, Thou didst blaspheme God and the King, then carry

bim out, and stone bim that he may dy.

This Conscience (says he) is thought to have not only a privilege, but a kind of prerogative, to carrywith it an exemption from all bunnane Laws, but especially Ecclesistical; it pretends to be Gods peculiar, and exempt from any inserior Cognizance; nay, it looks like a Dictatorian Authority, and seems to be Legibus soluta. This (they would make us believe) can limit the Magistrate, null Laws, forbid Execution, and which is more, change the very nature of things, and make that good and holy, which was wicked and rebellious before: This can canonize any Opinion, legitimate any Action, warrant any extravagancy in the person that owns it; whatsoever he thinks, can be no Heresse, and whatsoever he does can be no sin.

In which charge he hath sprinkled here and there a word of Truth, for he that would be believed in a great fallwood, must be sure to intersperse some little verities. Conscience is a most absolute Monarch indeed, if it has all these prærogatives, of his own Conscience, to bear false witness against his neighbour; and if we call things by their proper names, by unworthy, scandalous infinuations, sinister reslexions, and false accusations against Dissenters, to provoke the Magistrate to

prescribe them as Out-laws. But I answer.

5. 1. The highest immunities I ever meet with, ascribed to Conscience are in Bishop Saundersen, a most zealous stickler for Ceremonies, Serm. on Rom. 14.23. There cannot (stays he) be imagined a higher contempt of God, than for a man to despise the power of his own Conscience, which is the highest under heaven, as being Gods most immediate Deputy for the ordering his life and ways; Beordis Lazar in sumessaus Ocios. Could the Heathen say, Conscience is a God to all man, which is somewhat a higher note than the Enquirer makes the Diffenters sing; that it pretends to be Gods peculiar,

and is exempt from any inferior cognizance.

§ 2. It's a most injurious charge, if applied to Non-conformists, that Conscience can alter the nature of things, make that good which was wicked and rebellious before, &c. All they say in this particular is with Ames. Thef. de Confe. 18. "Tanto vis est Consci-"entiæ, ut actionem tua natura mediam, efficiat bonam vel " malam; & fuâ naturâ bonam, reddat malam, quamvis illam "quæ sua natura mala est, non possit convertere in bonam. So great is the power of Conscience, that it can make an indifferent to become either good or evil (viz. to the person) and an action, in its own nature good, to become evil; although it cannot make that which is in its own nature evil, to become good. Which powers are clearly ascribed to Conscience by the Apostle. 1. The power to make an indifferent, or good action in it felf, to become evil to bim that judgeth it to be evil, and yet will venture upon it, Rom. 14. 14. To him that esteemeth any thing to be unclean, to him it is unclean. 2. Its power to make an indifferent thing good, using it to Gods Glory, with all other due circumstances, is clear also from Tit. 1. 15. Unto the pure all things are pure. But that it can alter the nature of things, that it can make an action evil in it felf to become good, that it can null Laws, are fuch powers, asno Casuists have ever attributed to it, but those whose Consciences carry an exemption from any necessity of speaking truth, when 'tis in order to the advancement of their Cause. But it is too common for men to charge others with the wickedness of their own thoughts and hearts, and what was once their own old Crime, to make other mens new accusations.

s 2. As to the power of Conscience to excuse error from Herese; we say, that there may be a material Herese, which is not formally so; what a man judges to be a real Truth, though possibly it may be a dangerous errour; yet unless there was prava dispositio, as the cause of that errour, or something of ob-

stinacy of the will in adhering to it; Errour and Heterodoxy it is, but Heresie it cannot be; that is, it will not denominate the person a Heretick: Thus the learned and judicious Mr. Hales, Heresie is an act of the will, not of the reason, and is indeed a lye, not a mistake; for else how could that saying of Austin be true. Errare possum, Hæreticus esse nolo, Imay possibly mistake, but am resolved never to be an Heretick, that is, by a tenacious and obstinate abetting any mistake after Conviction.

There are three things, which we are obliged to wait upon our Enquirer in. I. Whilfthe entertains us with his Difcourfe what Conscience is ? 3. What privi-

leges or exemptions it may claim to.

[1.] What Conscience is? now (says he) if men loved plain English, and to understand what they say, it is plainly this, and no more; a mans own mind, or understanding, under the distinct consideration of ressetting upon himself, his own actions and duty. He may call this plain English, if he pleases, define and describe his own Conscience how he will, but we poor people are edified much what as with the Rhemists Parasceve, Azymes and Farasclete, who seeing there was no remedy but they must translate, were resolved that sew should be the wifer for the Translation.

There are some things that look odly in this Description.

§ 1. It seems very improper to assign the mind or understanding for the genus of Conscience. For Conscience is not a faculty, but an all seated in a faculty; or the exercise of the faculty of the understanding, putting the will upon operation: Thus that fore-mentioned Bishop, ibid. The Will of man ( which is the fountain whence all our actions immediately flow ) should conform it self to the judgment of the practick understanding, as to its immediate rule, and yield it self to be guided by it. And indeed the office of Conscience, is not onely to judge what is good or evil, according to those notices it has of God from the light of Nature and Scripture, nor only to take cognizance whether the will has obey'd those dictates of the practick understanding, but to be a monitor and counsellor, nay a commander to the will to act according to its discoveries of good or evil. This is good, therefore I charge thee to do it; and this is evil, therefore I commandthee to avoid it.

§ 2. It feems very defective, in that he tells us of the mind reflecting upon actions, and yet mentions not with reference to whose authority it is so pragmatical, as to meddle with those acti-

ons; whereas all that Conscience dictates as a counsellor; all that Conscience determins as a Judge, is in the name of the Supream and Sovereign Jehovah. Jam. 4.12. There's one Law-giver who is able to save, and to destroy, and who art thou that judgest another? Rom. 14.4. Who art thouthat judgest another mans servant? to his own master he standard

or falleth.

§ 3. His Description seems very lame, in that he makes the whole employment of Conscience to be respection; whereas 'tis in its commission to distate before the fast, as well as to respect upon the fast. It teaches what we ought to do, as well as enquires and examins whether we have done well or no? and such a failure will expose us to great mistakes in this case; as that we are not bound to examin the lawfulness of our work before we act, but torush headlong upon the precipices of dubious and suspected things, and examin them afterwards at leasure. Whereas the Apostle condemns the Gentiles, especially that they knew before such and such things to be evil, and worthy of death, and yet not only did those things, but took pleasure in those that did them, Rom. 1. 32.

Of fuch a Conscience as this he will certainly make a proportionable improvement; For scarcely (says he) any man that says his Conscience is incontrollable, will say, his own Opinion or Reason is the ultimate rule of his actions. Truly I believe so, nor any man neither that says his Conscience is controllable, except he be out of his senses; for I would sain be informed what an ultimate rule signifies with him that pretends to speak plain English, to them that understand nothing else? I have heard of a subordinate and ultimate end: And I have heard also of a near, and a remote rule, but an ultimate rule, like that monster which was like a borse, and yet not a horse, is like sense, but in truth very non-sense.

All that we affirm of Conscience, as is a Rule, is no more but this; That it is the next and immediate guide and director of our actions; and that the mind of God, however notified to us, is the next and immediate governour or director of Conscience; that as nothing can possibly intervene between the command of Conscience, and the will and executive power in man; so nothing can possibly interpose between the Authority of God and the Conscience; and both these are expressy owned by the abovementioned learned person, Dr. S. 1. That God is the immediate Controller of Conscience by his Word and Will revealed to us. Conscience (says he) is Gods mest immediate deputy, for the or-

dering the life, and ways of men. 2. That Conscience is the immediate rule of our actions; the will of man (fays he) should conform it felf to the judgment of the practice understanding, as to its proper, and immediate Rule.

And fuch were once the notions of that great Man, when he is professedly pleading the cause of Consormity, which had never been waved, but that wise men are aware, the cause not to be tenable, if Conscience be not made a piece of non-sense, whose nature and office are therefore inconsiderable, because unintelligible.

a But some wise men or other it seems have formed a parcel of Objections (or else he has formed them to their hands) which he will answer, and then suppose himself victorious.

1. Objection. Allowing Conscience to be nothing but the mind of man, yet even so its subject to no human Laws; for a smuch as no man can force me to think otherwise then I do, nor compel me to be of his Opinion, in the inward sense of my mind; my mind therefore or conscience is only obnoxius to God.

Towhich he replies. [The Answer to this is easie; for since my mind is not infallible, I may and must have something to guide my mind,

and that is it which we call Law. ]

answer. For, 1. The remedy is not proportionable to the difease; for if the reason why my mind must have something else to guide it, be, because the mind is not infallible; the same Reason will inform us to have recourse to a better guide than that which he calls Law, because human laws are not infallible: It will mend the matter but sorrily to take me off from one fallible guide, and fend me to another. 2. Since the mind of man is thus fallible, and there is a necessity that it have something else to guide it in its determinations. God has provided an infallible directory in his Word, in all things concerning his immediate Worship, and that is it which we call the Law of God.

as buman Laws, it's fafer to be guided by that which God has made my next director though fallible, than by that which being also fallible, he has not made so. God has constituted Conference the next and immediate counsellour to my will, the next and immediate deputy under bimself, and therefore to err with buman Canon, against the voice of Conscience, is to despite and contemn the Authority of God, in whose name it speaks; whereas to err with my Conscience, against an buman Decree, is but a part

of that frailty, to which all imperfect creatures are obnoxious. 4 Nor is it universally true what he says, that the Law (of man) morally obliges (to follow its Directions) that is, it will be my sin if I do not; for if my sin if I do obey in some tases, as well my sin if I do not in other.; at least the Apostles were of this opinion, Ast. 4..19. Whether it be right in the sight of God to hearken to you more than God, judge ye. Whatever inconveniences therefore shall arise from an absolute resignation either to the laws of men, or to our own minds directed by natural light we have the infallible Word of God, which is a light to our feet, and a lamp to our paths, for the guidance of our particular actions.

2. Object. But we are bound to obey the dictates of our mind or conficience, before any Law or Command of any human Authority, if they hap-

pen to interfere.

He replies, It's true in things notoriously and plainly evil. —But where these (the Law of God or Reason) are silent, there the Law of the Magistrate is the immediate Rule of my Conscience, and then to contradist that, is to affront the publick Tribunal with a private Consistence.

ry, &c.

To which I rejoin. §. I. That he has given away his whole cause by this one Concession. That a higher Law of God or Reason may make a nullity in the Law of the Magistrate; for if Reason in any case may make such a nullity, it must either be the publick or the private Reason; if the former, then it amounts to no more than this goodly maxim, that the the Magistrates Reason may make a nullity in his law; but if it be the latter, that private Reason may make such a nullity, then Conscience guided and directed by that Reason, cannot transgress the Law, because Reason has already disanulled it, as to that particular Person: And if it be said, that it's only in things notoriously evil, that Reason has this sovereignty to make a nullity in the Law; it's easily answered, that whatever my Reason judges evil, is notoriously evil as to me, for I have no way to make out the notority of the evil of a thing, but my Reason informing it self from Gods Word.

§ 2. We are bound to obey the Dictates of our own Confciences, in not acting against them in those things which only appear notoriously evil; and God himself has tyed up Conscience from taking one step under those apprehensions, Rom. 14. 14. To him that essemble any thing unclean, to him it is unclean. And the Reasons are very evident. I. Because, Bonum oriturex integris, malum è quolibet desectu. The want of that single

Circumstance of the fatisfasti n of my Judgment that it is Lawful makes the action sin. 2. He that has a prepared mind to act contrary to what he takes to be the Law of God, would act accordingly, if it really were the Law of God. As he that dares to strike a private Person, whom he takes for a Magistrate, would no doubt have struck him though he had been a Magistrate: Every man takes the voice of Conscience to be the voice of God, and he that will disobey that Dictate which he supposes the voice of God, will disobey that dictate which really proves to be his voice.

3. The goodness or evil of an action, is much estimated by the will of the Doer. He that judges an action evil, and yet will do it; God sees that though the thing was not evil, yet he had an evil will. The Action was not materially evil, but it was so interpretative. I must once more quote the same Learned person: If a Man (says he) be fully perswaded in his Conscience, that a thing is unlawful, which yet in truth is not so, the thing by him so judged unlawful cannot be done without sin. Even an Erroneous Conscience bindeth thus far, that a Man cannot go against it and be guiltless; because his practise should then run cross to his judgment, and so the thing could not be done in faith: for if his reason judge the thing to be evil, and yet he will do it, it argueth manifestly, that he hath a will to do evill, and so becometh a Transgressour of Gods General Law, which bindeth all men to Eschew all evil.

§ 3. Nor is this to affront the publick Tribunal with a private Consssory, nor set up my own opinon against Gods institution: for it's Gods own institution; Rom. 14. 5. Let every man be fully persuaded in his own mind.

3. Objection. But if after all my Consideration of the Reason of publick Laws, I cannot satisfie my self of the Lawfulness of the thing Commanded, I must then Govern my self by my Conscience, and not

by the Law.

He replies. If the unlawfulness of the thing commanded is not as plain, and visible, as the Command of God for obeying Authority, my opinion, or Conscience, will be no excuse to me; Because I forsake a

Certain Rule, to follow an uncertain.

To which I Rejoin: That he has made a very unequal comparison: viz. Between the unlawfulness of the particular thing; and the general command of obeying: whereas the comparison ought to have been between; either Gods General command not to all against my Gonscience: and his General command to obey Authority; or else between the unlawfulness of that particular astion, and Gods command to obey in that particular: It is plain in the General, that I ought to obey Authority. And it's as plain in the General, that I ought not to sin against the distate of my Conscience. It may be doubtful, and not so plain, that this particular astion is sinful; but then it's doubtful, and no more plain, that in this case 'tis my duty to obey, compare the General Law of obeying the Laws, with the general Law of not sinning against my Conscience, and its much more plain, visible, or what he pleases, that I ought not to sin against my Conscience, than that I ought to obey the Laws of Humane appointment; for that I ought to obey the latter admits of many exceptions, but that I ought not to ast against the former admits of none. And if Conscience may deceive me in a particular instance; so also may the Law deceive me in the particular command.

This will more evidently appear, if we take the Ranverse of the case, thus: If the Lawfullness of the thing commanded by the Law, be not as plain and wishble as the Command of God not to ast against Conscience, no Command of the Magistrate will excuse me, because

I forsake a certain Rule, to follow an uncertain.

God commands me to Obey Authority, the fame God commands me not to fin against my Light. In some cases I am not bound to Obey Authority; but in no case am I allow'd to all against my Light: It's very clear that the Magistrate has a power to command, but not so clear that he has a power to determine things indifferent, and make these determinations the conditions of my enjoying the means of Salvation: But it's very certain, that Conscientia erroned light, licet non oblight, An erroneous Conscience though it oblige me not to act against what God has made a Duty; yet it binds me up from ever acting against its Convictions: And therefore it's safest to adhere to the clearer side, and not to act against the Decision of Conscience, in compliance with a Command which it's uncertain whether it oblige or no.

And in a word, if this way of our Enquirers Reasoning be solid; Then it will not excuse a Protestant from sin, who refuses to bow before an Image when the Magistrate commands it; Because it's plain in the general, that we ought to obey Authority, but not so plain that its sinful to bow before an Image, if things disputable

be les plain than indisputable.

4. Objection: But if after all endeavours of satisfying my self.

to Obey the Humane Law, yet the thing commanded by the Magifrate (however innocent in it self) seems to be as plainly unlawfull, as Obedience is plainly a duty? What now?

He replies, 'this case is pityable, and will make some abatement of the sin of Disobedience,' but it doth not totally excuse

' it, much less make it a nullity in the Law.

To which I Rejoyn. That he has now made a very noddy of his Objector. That can suppose the thing commanded innocent in it felf, and yet to feem to him as plainly unlawful as Obedience is a Duty: But to the thing. 1. He has put the thing very unfaithfully: For we suppose the things controverted, though Lawful in their abstracted natures, (and what actions are not fo?) yet to be really unlawful in their use, upon a just ballancing of all Circumstances: For we conceive many things Lawful out of Warship, which in Worship are not so: Many things Lawful when used without offence, which are otherwise when they give offence to the weak: Many things Lawful when Conscience is satisfied, which are not so under its real disatisfactions; many things Lawful to be used, under the power of which it is sinful to be brought: 2. We say not that Conscience makes a nullity in the Law, but that under present Circumstances it will not suffer us to act: But if we had fo, we might, perhaps, have drunk in the Delufion from his own words to lately quoted: 'Some higher Law of 'God, or Reason, by which my Conscience is guided, hath in "that case made a nul ity in the Law of the Magistrate. 3. His Reason. Because sin is a Transgression of the Law. Applyed to the Law of God is true; but when applyed to the Law of Man, is not of universal Truth: For neither is the transgression of a Humane Law always asin: Nor at any time is it the formal Reason of sin, but because such transgression of the Humane Law trans. gresses some particular Law of God, or at least that General Law, to obey where we ought to do.

(2. His second Enquiry is, What is a tender Conscience? And here that nothing Sacred might escape the petulancy of Priviledged Drollery, he is in a Rapture of facetiousness, and makes

fine sport with poor Tender Conscience.

When Josials, that great Pattern of all Royal Virtues, the great instance of ripe Grace in green years, had heard the Book of the Law read, with those dreadful comminations thandred out against prevarication in that Holy Law, and

had duly confidered how his People had incurred the menaces by violating the precepts thereof, he rent his cloaths, and went to Enquire of the Lord: Who gives him this Answer: 'Because' thy Heart was Tender, and thou hast humbled thy self, I also

have heard thee, faith the Lord, 2 Kings 22. 19.

Wisdom it self hath taught us: That the man is hapy that 'feareth always; but he that hardens himself, shall fall into mischief, Prov. 28. 14. They that know the World is thick fown with fnares, and those snares baited with suitable Temtations, will fee Reason to walk very cautelously towards the World; and to maintain a Godly Jealousie over themselves, lest they be surprized with the deceitfulness of sin: But there are a daring fort of Jolly Adventurers, that fear no Colours; that will come up to the mouth of a Canon, that neither regard Gods threatnings, or warnings, the Devils stratagems, or the Ambuscadoes of the flesh, but being Fool-hardy, make a mock of fin, and all preciseness about it; who think it a piece of Gallantry to dance on the brink of that præcipice, that hangs over the bottomless pit, and can find no fitter essays of their Valour and Skill, than how to come within a hairs breadth of Hell. and yet not tumble in. And these are the Men that fall into mifchief .:

This tenderness of heart being of so great price in the fight of God, we must expect it will not escape long the severe lashes of virulent spirits; but it will be difficult to perfecute a thing so innocent before it be exposed, and therefore they advise themselves from Amnon's Example, who first defiled, and then

reviled his Sister Tamar.

'A Tender Conscience (faith this Compassionate Enquirer) is 'nothing but either an ignorant or uninstructed mind, or a 'fickly, melancholy, and superstitious understanding. Which he might more conscissly have described without this vast expence of words. A tender Conscienced Person is one that hath a soft place in's Head: or had he but spoke in plain English, as he did in the definition of Conscience. He is a most prosound Coxcomb.

They who Preach this Doctrine to the World, might with the fame labour, and almost equal honesty Preach God out of the World: For whoever would dethrone God from the heart, discovers but an impotent Ambition to pluck him down from his

A a 2 Throne

Throne in Heaven: But when they have run through all their vain methods to excuss his Soveraignty, God will maintain to

himself an Authority in the Conscience.

Nay this will deftroy the Magistrates Power also in a while, for whose sake the Contrivance is pretended; for when Subjects are once instructed so far to debauch Conscience, that though we judge an Action sinsul, yet we may do it, it will lead to this easie inserence, that though we judge the Magistrates Commands a wful yet we may disobey them: for as we say, when men have got a hole in their hearts, one concern will drop through after another without regret.

When the Italians would call any one Fool with an Emphasis, they say, He is a Christian! Hence forwards when the Devil shames his modester Servants from Cowardise in sinning, he has a nick-name for them, these are your men of tender Consciences! And that which has been a Holy Engine of Gods wisdom to secure from sin, shall now become the Devils Machine to sless raw No.

vices in it.

That a tender Conscience is a good Conscience, has been hitherto prefumed by all our Divines; and I never met with a Collect in the Liturgy of any Church that taught us to pray, from the great Plague of a tender Conscience, Good Lord deliver us; which yet if it be so great a Judgment, we may presume they would have done. But the Enquirer is of another judgment, and

perhaps may profelite us with his Reasons.

1. Reason. 'Tenderness cannot be taken in the same Latitude 'with a good Conscience, every good man has such a tenderness 'as to be asraid of sin, and to decline the occasions of it: If this Argument has any strength in it, it must be because every good man is a Fool. But why I pray cannot a good, and a tender Conscience meet in every good man! Oh the Reason is this, 'It would be soo arrogant and presumptuous for those that plead the tenderness of their Consciences to suppose them-selves the only men that make Conscience of what they do. But if a tender Conscience be a good Conscience, it will be nevertheless good, because some unjustly pretend to it, or others unjustly revise it. Dissenters do not suppose themselves the only men that make a Conscience of what they do; It suffices them to enjoy the peace of their own without daring to judge other mens Consciences.

2. Reason: 'Because ( says he ) Then the contrary to it must be a brawny Conscience. Well! what hurt is there in that; Soft and hard; tender and callous; sensible and brawny, have been opposed before this Dispute began: A heart of stone is opposed to a heart of sless, and would it not be a way of Reasoning well becoming a Rhetorician, to argue, a heart of sless cannot possibly be a good heart, the contrary to it then will be a stony heart. The whole Argument stands thus: If a tender Conscience be a good Conscience, then the contrary to it must be a brawny or hard Conscience; now assume. But a brawny or hard Conscience cannot be contrary to a tender Conscience, ergo. &c. He that has nothing else to do with his time, let him abuse it in answering such Syllogisms.

Ay! 'but ( fays he ) this would be too contumelious to re'proach all men but themselves with: very admirable! As is
the notions of words, or things were to be taken from mens rash
applications of them to themselves or others. Atender Conscience would be a good Conscience, though the Enquirer had the
considence to Monopolize all Tenderness to himself: And a brawny Conscience will be an evil one, though he should be so conta-

melious as to afperse all others but himself with it.

This way of arguing is a shooe that will fit any mans foot, serve

any mans occasions, as well as the owners.

A Tender Conscience cannot be an ignorant mind, for it would be too presumptuous and arrogant for those that reproach it to account themselves the only wise men on earth: And then the contrary to it must be an enlightned mind; and it would be too contumelious to reproach all the World for Fools but their own precious selves.

Use is the grand Master of words: He that will speak as cthers speak, must either understand as they do, or be content to be m sinderstood: A tender Conscience amongst us of the Populace, is Conscience under that Office of warning us of the danger of sin; it Advises us to take the safer side in things dubious, and rather avoid the smooth for sear of the fire, than with Empedocles, rush into the smooth and sind our ruince.

But the best of our Entertainment is yet behind: for he will now read a very Learned Lecture to this Tender Conscience's which in my weak judgment is monitrously absord, seeing he supposes his Auditors to be all Fools, Ven of Ignorant minds, or sick.

Aa3

ly understandings: The business lyes here, how a tendr Consci-

ence must be qualified, that it may enjoy its Priveledges?

S. 1. 'He that pleads for compassion upon the account of his 'weakness (that is his tenderness) must be so ingenious as to sub'mit himself to instruction: And if the Enquirer must be the Instructor, He deserves to wear a Fools Coat for his pains. for I
would gladly learn that as the first Lecture; what is the nice and
critical difference between a Tender and a Compassionate Enquirer?

There are two points they fay of Wisdom, the first, that we are able to advise our selves: the second, that being conscious of our own inability, we be willing to submit to the advice of others wifer than our selves: But this tender Conscience being such a widgeon, it cannot be expected he should be guilty of such an Ingenuity.

S. 2. 'He that pretends to tenderness of Conscience, must make good his claim by being uniformly Consciencious. The plain meaning whereof is this: That he who pretends to be a Fool, must equally maintain the Humour, and carry on the Allegory of folly all the days of his life; otherwise (as he wise-

ly observes) it will be but Pharisaism!

To prove which deep point, he instances in David: whose 'Heart smore him when he cut off the Skirt of Sauls Garment, 'but it would never have been called Tenderness of Conscience 'in David, if at another time he should have attempted the life 'of Saul. And what he says is very true, if we take Tenderness of Conscience in its true and proper acceptation; but nothing nore Ridiculous, nay, more dangerous, should we according to his New Notion, take a tender Conscience for a weak under-

Standing.

lask, Did Davids heart sinite him when he cut off Saul's Garment? Yes! But was it out of Tenderness of Conscience that it sinote him? Why yes! The Enquirer has just now told you so, are you deas? Ay! But is a Tender Conscience nothing but an ignorant or uninstructed mind, a sickly melancholy, or superstitious understanding? Why should you be so importunate: it can be nothing else: The Enquirer has defined it so in plain English. Why then this is in plam English the Doctrine under which his Auditors must be prepared for their Priviledges, That David being a Tender beasted, that is, a Tender beased Person, though the Tenders.

ness that is, the slenderness of his crazed intellectuals, coming under the distinct consideration of Restecting upon his own action, in a melancholy and superstitious qualm, rebuked himself for cutting off

the Skirt of Sauls Garment.

But by his good favour this is too fevere a Cenfure of that Holy Act of David, whose Tender Conscience (after some surprizal) being recovered, and awakened, feverly schooled him that he durst make so neer an approach to the Destroying, by the touching of the Lords Anointed. But such glosses he might find in

some old pair of Bandaleers. Priscavestigia fraudis.

Thus the Jews out of Ignorance Crucified Christ, Alls, 3. 17. But when Confceince was well informed, and sprinkled with that Blood which they had once shed; when Grace had applied that to their Hearts, which they rashly imprecated upon their Heads, they were pricked in their Consciences, and cryed out, Men and Bretheren, what shall we do? It was Ignorance that lead to that Murder, but a Conscience made tender, and reflecting upon their own act, which cauled them to Repent of, and turn from their sin. The Rule indeed is a most excellent one in it felf, though wickedly applied in this particular; He that claims the Priviledges of a tender Conscience, must make good his claim by being uniformly Consciencious. For he that is Tender of eating a black-pudding, and yet not tender of the Blood of the Saints: He that fcruples the Omission of a ceremony, though his weak Brother perish by it, yet never scruples to bear false witness, nor to murder the Reputation of another; he that is tender of the ceremonial Law of Man, and yet makes noe bones of the Moral Law of God, must not be allowed to plead this Priviledge: Though any one may be allowed the Priviledge of our Authors tender Conscience, which is only this: That if he holds any Land in Capite, he shall be begg'd for a Fool.

S. 3. He that is truly Tender, if he cannot do all that is comnanded, yet will he do all he can. He that cannot bow f at the Name of Jesus, yet perhaps can stand up at the Creed. But what now if this instance of his tenderness be impertinent? I question whether bowing at the Name of Jesus be commanded! However Diffenters are excused by this Reason from conforming to what they can, unless it be matter of their Ambition to be admitted in

the Cliedge of all Fools.

To do all we can to no purpose, is small encouragement to the attempt: we are denied Communion with the Church in all Ordinances

A a 4

nances, unless we come up to all the Terms of Communion. Now fince it's our apparent duty to live in the practice of all Christs Institutions, If we cannot enjoy them in one place upon Christs Terms, his Command, and tenderness to our own Souls oblige us to seek out, where we may enjoy them better

cheap.

S. 4. 'He that cannot perform all that the Laws require of him, may forbear judging them that do, the man of a Tender 'Conscience finds it enough to judge his own actions. This is a most excellent Rule; and Dissenters desire no more liberty. Let them but judge of their own actions, and they leave all others to stand, or fall to their own Masters. And it seems hard, if they may not be indulged this Priviledge, since the silliest Creature that ever was is presumed to have so much wit, as to come

out of a shower of Rain, rather than to be wet to the skin.

§. 5. The truly tender Conscience (that is, the Fool all this while) will feely part with money, nay, of all the Men in the Worldthere's tione so free as he, for a Fool and his money are soon parted. Well! But if he cannot conform to the Laws, he can pay the Penalty. I promise you that's a great Question, whether he can or no. Where nothing is to be had, the King must lose his Right. But if this be the grand qualification of a tender Conscience to be made a begger, I wonder what his Priviledge can be, unless it be to succeed old Clause, the King of Beggars.

For his fatisfaction, it the Penalty be moderate, fuch as I can pay without ruin to my felf and Family, though I be not fatisfied in the justice of it, yet herein I may Lawfully depart from my own Right, and shall esteem it a great mercy if my coyn may

compound for my Conscience.

(3.) Readers, you have heard the qualifications of a Tender Conscience, be but now Masters of so much Patience as to set out the Priviledges; and that last Scene will make you ample satisfaction.

(1.) Every private Christian is bound in charity and compassion towards such a Man, to deny himself of some part of his liberty to gain him; that is, in those things that are matter of no Law, where you have first a Bit, and then a knock; or the fair Concession, and the wary revocation.

S 1. The Concession; 'Every private Christian is bound in Charity to such a Man, to part with some of his liberty to gain

him: wherein there are several things to be advised upon. 1. The Subject of the Proposition. Every private Person. 2. The Nature of the Obligation. Bound in charity and compassion. 3. The Matter of the duty, to deny himself of some part of his liberty.
4. The end to gain him. In few or none of which particulars

can I arrive at any clear fatisfaction.

(1.) Every private Person. And are not all publick Persons bound by the Law of God to walk charitably? not to destroy Souls? I dot by we forget that God is here the Legislatour, with whom is no respect of Persons. Charity is the fulfilling of the Moral Law: And if any Person be so publick as not to be obliged by it, we must leave those Commands, Thou shalt do no Murther; Thou shalt not commit Adultery to exercise the small fry, and ham-

per the vulgar.

The Apostle Paul was a Publick Person, and one as well qualified to differn, and impose things indifferent, as any that have made the fairest pretences that way, and yet he professes with more than ordinary feryency. 1 Cor. 8- 13. 'That he would eat no flesh whilest the world stands, lest he should make his Brother to offend. And who shall venture to make that the matter of an Ecclefiastical Canon, which the Apostle durst not venture to practile? They that assume a greater Authority, had need give greater proof of greater Charity. And yet greater was the importance of Flesh to the health and life of Paul, than a ceremony can possibly be to the peace of the Church, For, 1. Flesh is Disjunctively necessary to the health. and life of Man, that is, either flesh or some other food; but neither this, nor that, humane ceremony is necessary, either to the glory of God, the peace of the Church, or Decency, and order in the worship. The Church has served God decently, lived peaceably, and glorified God eminently without them, and in his time may do so again. 2. Flesh was a thing perfectly indifferent in it self, and owned so by all that were well instructed in their Manumission from the Mosaical servitude; but the more we are faithfully infructed in the Doctrine of Christian Liberty, the more we are fatisfied, that we are at liberty from all other ceremonies of men, as well as from those that were once of Divine Institution.

(2) Bound in compassion and, Charity. I am not well satisfied that a Debt of meer compassion, or free Charity is all we owe our

Brother

Brother in this case, However; we owe our God a Debt of Justice; Its he that fays, Destroy not him with thy meat for whom Christ dyed. Rom. 14 15, And that there is no comparison between the Law that enjoyns Ceremonies, and that Law that commands us not to offend our Brother, I thus prove: 1: The Law that forbids scandal, is Negative; but the Law that commands Ceremonies, is but affirmative. 'Now Gods own affirmative precepts may have their outward acts suspended in some cases for sometime, but Negatives admit of no relaxation; He that fays thou shalt not do, fays, thou shalt never do; unless dispensed with by a power equal to his that gave the Prohibition. 2. The command of not scandalizing is purely moral, the heart, and life of the fixth commandment; for he that fays thou shall not kill, primarily intends I shall not destroy the Soul: but the command of ceremonies, is but positive; And positives ought to give place to Morals. If there be any Truth in that Doctrine of the Enquirers: That God lays little stress upon circumstantials: that his own positive Laws give place to the Moral Law: much more ought Mans ceremonial Law give place to Gods Moral Law: Thou shall not kill. 3. The command of not giving offence, because Moral, is therefore perpetual, but the command of ceremonies is Temporary, and may be momentany, for the Church of England, 34. Art. Afferts a power in every National Church, not only to ordain, but to change, and abolish ceremonies. 4. The command of not scandalizing the weak, not destroying the souls is in Materia Necessaria, the thing it self is good in it self, and for it self, though no positive command had interposed in the case; but ceremonies have no other goodness, but what is breath'd in them by the breath of Man, which if it were measured by the good effect, would be found very little. 5. The Command not to offend, is unquestionably obligatory, but that Command for Ceremonies is at best questionable whether it be so much as lawful. 6. The Com-. mand to avoide offence, has a direct and natural tendency to beget and preserve Amity and Unity amongst Christians; but the Command of Ceremonies apparently has occasion'd Divisions between Protestants, and Papists, between Protestants rhemselves, between those of the same Nations, and all Humane. Terms of Church-communion necessarily produce the fame bitter feuit. 7. The power of ordering the smalless marter in the Church, must conform to the Sovereign end of edification. 2 Cor. 13. 10. The Power which the Lord hath given me for edification, and not destruction; But no power may suspend my duty of pleasing my Brother to his edification. 8. Supposing the worst: That it's only a debt of Charity which my Brother may challenge of me, not to scandalize him; and a Debt of Justice to Obey the Magistrate in this case, yet the Minims of Justice ough to vail to the Magnalia of Charity: As the Command of a Father, in lower instances, ought to yeeld to the preservation of my Neighbours life.

(3) Some would except against the matter of his concession: (to deny himself in some part of his Liberty) what a small some that may be none knows: perhaps there is no part of his Liberty.

which that duty may not Command.

(4) I except lastly against his propounded end: to please and gain him: as not adequate to that which the Command has in its

eye.

To Scandalize, or give Offence, may be taken either in a primary sence, and so it denotes a culpable giving occasion to a Brother to sin, or in a lower or secundary sence, for the angering, and displeasing of a Brother. This distinction well observed would unravel much consusion, which pesters our discourses.

1. If we compare the diffleating of a private person, with that of a publick, the latter is more sinful, and much more dangerous,

for the wrath of a King is like the roaring of a Lion.

2. To occasion culpably a publick person to sin, is more heinous, than to occasion the sin of a private Person, because the sins of those in eminent places have such a faral influence upon the peoples pollution, and the procurement of Gods displeasure.

3. But if we compare a scandal in the primary sence, with one in the secondary, then it's no measuring cast, whether it be more eligible to displease the one, or destroy the other: Nor can there be sin in displeasing one, when I cannot otherwise please, but by destroying the other: for though my own folly may possibly so ensure me; yet God never puts me under such Circumstances, that I shall be necessitated to sin.

§. 2. You have heard his fair concession, now take his Limitation along with you: 'That is (fays he) in those things that are 'matters of no Law, but lest free, and undeterminate, there the 'Rule of the Apostle takes place, Rom. 15.1, 2. We that are 'strong, ought to bear the infirmities of the weak and not to

'please

bour, for his good to edification, And we will add. Rom. 14. 13. Let no man put a stumbling block, or an occasion to fall in his Brothers way. v. 15. Destroy not him with thy meat for whom Christ dyed. v. 19. Let us follow after the things which make for peace, and things wherewith one may edify another. v. 20 For meat Destroy not the work of God.

This is the last retreat of these Gentlemen: hither they retire as to their Triarit, and strong reserves. You ought to bear the infirmities of the weak, to edify him heavenwards, not to murder his foul, till a Law be made to the contrary, you are bound in Charity, and compassion to such a one, till you receive further Orders, and then you must be savage and barbarous: But his

Reasons follow.

The finews of which Reason lye in a supposition: that to omit a Ceremony, is an evil thing, compared with the saving of a Soul. This General Rule may be applyed that other way: we must not do evil that good may come, and therefore may not draw a poor Brother into sin, that some good may come by it; and the rather, if we consider what good comes by it. As the saving my self a pecuniary mulct; or Recognizing the Magistrates power to command; which may be done, and is so in many ways, wherein the scandal of another is not concern'd; and if I should transgress a Ceremony, or so, for the saving of a soul, we may Lawfully presume upon the general will of the Legislator, that no positive Command of his should be so rigorously insisted on, when it would destroy a greater good.

2. Reason: We must not break the Laws of God or man out of an humour of complaisance to a Brother: Ans: To discharge a weight duty, to avoid the scandalizing of a Brother, to walk charitably: which the Enquirer p. 137. (when he had occation to magnific charity) tells us is an effential part of Religion: ought not to be put off with a frothy Droll, as if it were nothing

but the humour of Complaifance.

The Apostle whose head understood the speculation, and whose heart entertained the love of this Dostrine much better than himself, has taught usother things: That to sin against the Bretheren, is to sin against Christ, I Cor. 8. 12 'Tis to destroy with our meats (indifferent things) him for whom Christ died.

Rom. 14. 15. And if these be matters of bumour, and complaisance, and we should venture a ceremony for them, it would

be but to stake one complement against another.

3. Reason: 'In those times (fars be) the Magistrate being 'Pagan, took no care of the Church, nor had passed any Laws concerning the management of the Christian Religion: And 'so Christians had a great deal of scope, and room for mutual 'condescension. But the case is quite otherwise when there's a

'Law in being, &c.

Really, the Pagan Magistrate was very much overseen, unless perhaps he knew nothing less or more of his Authority over things indifferent; and then the Apostles must needs be to blame. who never inform'd him of that power over the Church, wherewith Christ had entrusted him! And above all St. Paul was uttelry unexcusable, having so inviting an opportunity to do it in: Being so long at Rome, having friends in Cafars Houshold, and this in Quinquennio Neronis, when the Lyon was treatable and approachable; besides this must have obliged him to entertain better thoughts of Christians, and Christianity, and engaged him to protect, and defend it, when it lay to entirely at his devoir The Enquirer instructed us, p. 144 'that such a Society as a 'Church, could never be conserved without some Rites or other. 'nor any publick Worship be performed, if all ceremonies, and circumstances such as of time, place, person, and the like, be 'left indefinite and undetermined. He has told us fince, that the power of Determining and Defining these things, lye's in our Governours who understand the Civil Policy, p. 151. And now he tells us, that in those (primitive) times the Magistrate had pussed no Laws concerning the manage of the Christian Religion: " fo that it was impossible that either Church Government should be Lawfully administred, or publick Worship duely performed because the Apostles were negligent in informing the Emperour of his power, or he cereless in performing his auty.

I wonder that amongst all the Apocryphal Epistles of Christ to Agobarus, or Paul to Seneca, we meet with none of the Apostles.

to Nero.

That whereas their Lord and Master had left them in great haste and either through the hurry of business had forgotten, or littleness of the things had neglected to settle his Churches, nor had pessed any Laws concerning the manage of Religion.

for want of which politick conflitutions they were in a lamenrable confusion, the worship of God lying at fixes, and sevens, the Government of the Church meer Anarchy, none had power to command, none were obliged to obey, every one did that which was right in his own eyes; none had power to impose, or compel the rest to submit to such Terms of communion as were necessary, besides those sew and plain ones appointed by Christ himself; and for as much as they were altogether by the ears about indifferent things, and they had no Rules in their

Law books to determine these intricate matters.

'They do therefore humbly beseech his Imperial Majesty, that he would Review and Revise their Religion, and add such other mystical ceremonies, significant of Gospel grace, wherewith his well known siety could not but be intimately acquainted; and that he would take speedy, and effectual care with these vexatious Tender Consciences, who scrupled eating of meats, because once prohibited by the Law of Moses, and straitly charge, and command that none should gratify them in their weakness; and take such other, and further order about their Religion, as he in his Royal wisdom, from time to time, and at all times hereafter should judge meet, and expedient.

And his Petitioners shall bumbly Pray, &c.

But to farisfy that Affertion, I shall offer further these particulars.

1. It cannot appear that the Roman Emperours had any such commission as is supposed to make that no duty, which God had made a duty, To make it no sin to give offence, which otherwise had been a sin: nor to add New Terms of communion, or to shutout of the Church those whom the fundamental Laws of Christ would receive.

2. This principle of his reflects most scandalously upon the greatest Temporal Mercy which God ever vouchfased his Churches; I mean the Christian Magistrate: for it implies, that the conditions of Christians was much more easy under the Pagan, then under the Christian Magistrate. 'Then (says be) the Christians had a great deal of scope, and room for mutual condescension: but now they are crowded up by restrictions. Then the Worship of God was not clogg'd with needless ceremonies, but now it's incumbred with New Terms of communion. I might then have releived a weak Conscience, But, the

Then I might have used my liberty in indifferent things, and only be restrained by Prudence, and Charity, but now I am debarrd of it, by the will of Authority: This I say is a scandalous restection: For God has promised Christian Princes as Nursing Fathers to the Gospel Church, to secure and protect them, and the Enquirer makes them Step Fathers, tempting us to think, that we have got no such bargain by the change.

3. It's clear that the Apostles had as much power to order the meer circumstances of Worship, and Church government, as was needful to their exercise, and actual performance, or else

all their determinations were finful.

(2) The next priviledge of this tender conscience is: That it becomes the Magistrate so far to consider the satisfaction of 'peoples minds, (as well as the fafety, and peace of his Domi-'nions) as not to make those things the matter of his Laws, 'which he forelees mens weakness will make them boggle ar. This is his Concession: wherein he needed not have been to Timorous. For when the Magistrate is settling the civil peace of his Dominions, he needs not concern himself, whether the people will skew, or no. But as if he had been affraid, he had conceded too far, he wisely limits the concession, As, unless there be weighty Reasons on the other hand to counterballance that consideration; And they must be weighty Reasons indeed, that will counterballance the Edification and Salvation of weak, yet fincere, Christians; that will counterballance the peace, and safety of his Dominions; indifferent things will hardly weigh against these: But what are those ponderous things that will make the scales even against these? why. 1. Such things, which (though some scruple) are necessary to Government: yes, by all means; when things necesfary to Government are put in the ballance, with the peace and fafety of bis Dominions, they ought to turn the beam : but this is freely granted, that if mens feruples would overturn Government, they must scruple on, at their own peril: But now we are ready to joyn iffue with him upon this point. That the things fcrupled are neither ne seffary, or any ways advantageous to the Being, well being, or Glorious being of this, or any Government: The Roman Empire was in its greatest Glory, at his highest pitch, when the Apostles baptized without the sign of the Cross, and preacht without the Holy Garment; the Christian Religion, naked,

and plain as Christ left it, had not the least evil, or malignant in fluence upon the peace of that Empire; Though it was the Policy of its enemies then to clap all the Commotions that arose upon other accounts, upon the back of the Christian Doctrine; It was the popular cry: 'These are the menthat have turned the world upfide down. And when the judgments of God broke out upon them for their persecutions, fill to clamour: Tollite Impios: Christianos ad Leones! Away with such Fellows, 'tis not fit they live a day! Nay its evident that many Nations have prospered both in war and peace by Land and Sea, who never knew the ceremonies and none the better for them; 2. Such things which are grateful to the greater or more confiderable part of the Subjects: Those are such things which counterballance tender \*Consciences, and the peace and safety of his Dominions, T suspect the Enquirer to be a raw Statesman as well as a crude Casuist: What would he have a Prince destroy one half of his Subjects to gratifie the other half? The Apostle has offered a rational expedient, that the one may be gratified, and yet the other not destroyed. Romans 14. 3. Let not him that eateth. 'despise him that eateth not: And let him that eateth not, judge. 'him that eateth. They to whom Ceremonies are so grateful fance, may have their fill of them, and must they needs compel squeamish stomachs to feed on the same Dish? The gratefulness of Ceremonies to some mens fancies is no solid Reason, why a confiderable though not the more confiderable part, should be undone, tempted to fin, deprived of Communion in what they, have a clear right by the Constitutions of the Gospel...

Nor are the measures of considerablness to be taken from outward advantages that such a one is Rich, a Dignitary, a Canon, a Prebend, a Dean, an Arch-Deacon, Chancellor, Bishop or Arch-Bishop, for he that is most inconsiderable in these respects, is too considerable to be ruined either in his temporal,

or Spiritual concerns for a Ceremony.

And when allis done, it's a question whether the Ceremonies are so grateful to the greater and more considerable part? many learned and pious Ministers, many sincere and sober christians make a rubbing shift to get them down, accounting them tollerable, though not eligible; to these they are not grateful; but as a burden of which they cannot fairly disharge themselves, may

be grateful; and leave but them at liberty, and it will foon be vilible, that the matters of our differences are not grateful either to the

greater, or more considerable part of the Nation.

(3) It becomes the Magistrate (fays he) who governs Men, not Beafts, to afford means of instruction, and competent time for ibose instructions to take place: I am glad however, to hear that Subjects are not Beafts, but Men: the rather, because he told us just before, That it is the duty of a good Magistrate to drive as the Cattle can go. But for answers 1. If there must be time afforded for instructions to take place, Then such competent time must be allowed to every generation, for New days will produce the same doubts. It was a true observation of a learned Person: that leave English Men to their English Bibles, and there will a new off-spring arise in every Age that will have the same apprehensions about these matters, that Nonconformists now have. 2. The time of these instructions, that it may be competent to work upon our minds, will be a considerable circumstance. I have read of one who being asked What God was > Defired a days time to consider of that important question: and when that was expired, he defired two, then four, still doubling his time, as he had doubled his diligence in his study of the Point. I am verily perswaded the more impartially, and fedulously any one shall examine the matters in Controversie, the more Reason he will find to crave more time for his satisfaction; and if he arrives not at a Plerophory that the things are finful, he shall never be able to reach a full assurance that they are Lawful: But yet time to consider will be acceptable; As he that undertook to make an Affe freak, in time; thought himself pretty secure, notwithstanding the impossibility of his undertaking; because he might dye, the Asse dye, or his Enemies dye in the interval. 3. The means of instruction are to be considered, that apt mediums be used for conviction; for if Scripture, and plain Deductions from thence, if Reason, and the practise of the Apostolical Churches are not produced for our satisfaction, a few scraps, and shreds, old ends, and fragments, out of Livie, Tacitus, and Cato, will never do it. 4. And the persons who are to instruct us, are no less material. They must be equal and unbyassed persons, who are not engaged by Preserments. Dignities and great Revenues to uphold an Interest; It must not be such whose Wit and Rhetorick make a flutter in the air without Reason, that will probably convince Gainfayers, and therefore we humbly beg that he may be instructed from the Word of God, the practise of

the Jurest and primo Primitive times, and the instruction managed from the Writings of the first Reformers of the Church of England.

Till his instruction be given, We hope, and pray that (as he ex-

presses it) there may be a suspension of Rigorous execution.]

What now if after all this the people will not be instructed? (And what if after all this, and a great deal more to as little purpose, they have no Reason to alter their judgment) Why then there's no way but one with our Enquirer.——I see no Obligation upon the May frate either to forbear to make, or execute such Laws as he apprehends for the good of kis Government. No more do I neither: only hope that he will not apprehend poenal Statutes made upon the account of Ceremonies with their execution (or rigorous execution), will be for the glory of God, the peace of the Church, or the good of his Government, though that was our Authors insinuation: And thus

we have the short and the long of the compassionate Enquirer.

I must for a conclusion entreat the Reader to Recollect himself, and remember whereabouts his lesson is. In Part 2. ch. 1. He had mentioned three ways for the cure of Church-Divisions. The first Toleration, the second Comprehension, but these were either imprasticable, or Remedies worse than the Disease, it seems something or other was amiss in them that turned his stomach at them. At last he fixed upon a third method, and that was this of Instruction: under which course of Physick we have been disciplined all this while: strange Chimærical inconveniencies we have heard to be in separation. How very little stress God lays upon his own positive institutions: what an imaginary Name Christian Liberty is; what a filly Ridiculous thing a tender Conscience is; what a transcendent Power Christ has entrusted the Magistrate with, to make what Terms of Christian Communion he pleases, if not expressly probibited in Gods Word. In these things we have been indoctrinated without reasonable proof, and we are, or have Reason to be as far from satisfactionas we were, and somewhat further: Well then, have amongst you my Masters! - I can see no Obligation - Well! It's no great matter whether you can or no! If Princes saw with your Iderical eyes, that can fee nothing but Ceremony; If they should handle Dissenters with your rough Esaus hands, lined with the down of Procuspine; did they hear with your ears which chime to the Musick of the Cathedral, I perceive it would go very hard with these poor wretches.

Many Clergy-men measure the Wisdom, Grace, Mercy, Tenderness, and Bounty of Princes to their Subjects, by their own narrow, and in-

capable hearts; Thus when one told Alexander, that his bounty was too large to bestow upon a Subject; He answer'd, Tell not me what's meet for him to receive, but what's sit for Alexander to give. Princes are called Gods, and they then most eminently answer their Names, when they imitate him who commands the Sun impartially to shed abroad his beams upon the habitable world, not taking the proportions of his Goodness to his Creatures, from the malice and envy of some, or the little merits of others.

The Story of Augustus Casar is as remarkable as common. Pedius Pollio had once invited this Emperour to a Treat; whilst they were in the Gallery, comes in a poor Slave, with Death in his face, and Hope in his haste, cast himself at the Emperours seet, and humbly begs, he might suffer a less cruel Death than his Patron Polio had doomed him to. Augustus was surprized, and upon enquiry in the matter, understands that this Boy had accidentally broken some of his Masters Chrysal Glasses, wherein he greatly delighted, for which fact his surious Master had inhumanely condemned him to be thrown into a Pond, there to be devoured by the Canibal Lampreys: And this was the Reason of his so consident Application, to the grace of Soveraign Majesty. Augustus transported with the novelty of so Barbarous punishment, commanded the rest of the Glasses to be broken, and the Fish-pond to be filled up; That there might either be no occasion for such passion, or not the means to execute it.

Let therefore the Enquirer know, who with his smooth Pralatical Charity has given up Diffenters to the Rods and Axes, that they will honour and obey the King, under all those penalties which it shall please his Wisdom to inslict; And if they cannot be so serviceable in well-doing, will indeavour to suffer for well-doing, wi h a heart so submissive, and a conversation so inosfensive, that shall make their enemies more repine at the Glory of their sufferings, than the Eye-

fore of their indulgence.

Nor yet dare they despair of the Clemency of their Soveraign, who having suffered hard things in his own sacred person, has learnt to pity them who do suffer. But if this Compassionate Enquiry be all the instruction they must expect for their suisfastion, the Nonconformists

may have Reason to say, they are ill fed, and worse taught

## CHAP. IX.

Wherein the Enquirers infinuated Detractions are refelled, fome little Artifices discovered. Calvin vindicated, and the whole concluded.

DEtraction differs from Contumely, just as These from Robbery by the Highway.

The Standerer is but a Pick-pocket, the Reviler a Padder; the one can dexteroully do the feat, and carry off his prize with a cast of cleanly conveyance, but the foulmouth'd Railer attacques the repute of the

innocent Vi & Armis, and pleads his commission to plunder.

The Serious Inquirer, in some of his former Chapters, has with downright Obloquy affaulted the credit of Diffenters, but in this he proceeds
more diffreetly, undermining their Reputations by oblique insinuations
and indirect suggestions: whereby he has gained this singular advantage, that whilst he lays before the Malicious sufficient matter to feed,
and furnish their malice, yet lyes close under the covert of this excuse, that he affirms nothing positively, at once taking from the
aggrieved party all possibility of just defence, and sheltring himself un-

der the Politick plea of not being Dogmatical.

The design of all which (as of his whole elaborate discourse) he could not more fully instruct us in, than in those sew words of his, Pag. 221. It was an effectual course Haman took, Esth. 3. S. when he designing to ruine the whole Church of the Jews, first undermines the Reputation of their Profession, delates their Religion as not sit for the Protession of the Prince, and that it did contain Laws contrary to all people, and that they would not obey the Kings Laws. So solemn and sacred is that practise, to love the Treason, and hate the Traytor, to immediate the Policy, and yet decry the Politician. But sure our Enquirer is quite out in one thing; for though Haman's Policy was subtile, yet it proved not effectual, unless he account a pair of Gallows such great success: and therefore I hope the Enquirer will accept it as a specimen of my charity, if I pray that he may never take an effectual course; Examples indeed are numerous, and obvious, which prove that wicked counsels have most perniciously recoiled upon their Authors. And Phaleris (in this one thing

most just) taught Perillus the sweetness of his own Brazen Bu", by giving the Artificer the first handsale of his ingenious contrivance.

Nec lex est justior ulla, Quam necio Artifices arte perire suâ.

Sect. 1. Now his first insinuation is, that the N. C. stand out only

upon a point of Honour.

I cannot see (says he) what should be able to perpetuate our distractions, unless it be a point of Honour, that some men think themselves oftiged to persevere, because they have begun: which fly Method of Detraction, as it may safely be contemned by the innocent, so as easily retorted by the confident; for others perhaps cannot see what should be able to perpetuate their persecutions, unless it be a point of Honour, that some men think themselves obliged to persevere in their rigorous impositions, because they have begun, and had rather justifie an errour, than have it thought they have been in one fo long. It's a most unrighteous procedure to charge and man with base and unworthy designs, further than pregnant overtures will justifie fuch a charge, and yet A. B. Whitgift has given the world too great occasion for such a jealousie, that it was a point of Hohostr that obstructed a Reformation, when his great argument, to defeat the Pious intentions of the Parliament, was, that it would tend to the slander of the Church, as baving hitherto maintained an errour. Nay I have read in the Grand Debate Sect. 6. That the Reverend Prelates authorized to reform the Liturgy, infilt upon this as one Reason of their non-condescension to more alterations: That it would be a virtual Concession, that the Liturgy was an intolerable burden to tender consciences.

But a few considerations would rout a Legion of such ungrounded

fuggestions.

As, I. That the applause of inconsiderable Persons must needs be very inconsiderable, and that credit small, which is gained amongst those who are of small credit in the world. And 2. that the Temptation lyes visibly on the other side. He that would drive a gainful Trade for Credit and Honour, must settle his Factory where such commodities are native and staple: He may gape for Dignities and Honour till he is Chap-fallen, whose conscience shall cast his lot amongst the Dissenters; and when he has Angled all day, may come home with a Diverb, I have fisht sair, and caught a Frog. And 3. Sure no wise man would purchase honourable scars, with mortal wounds; nor incur the displeasure of Armed Power, only to wear the Cognizance of soolish valour in Black, and Blew. 'Tis a slender alleviation of those loads of reproach which they feel, besides those greater severities which they may fear to wear

a Feather

a Fea ber in their Caps, and the aiery plume of popular applause. Nay. 4. let this Gentleman make it his own case, and learn to judg more moderately. Suppose it were enacted by Law; That to prassife the Ceremonies should be punisht with suspention ab officio & beneficio, would he upon a point of Honour espouse their quarrel? or would the waxen wings of Fame support his courage under those penalties? Why then should he judg that corruption to lodg in the breast of another, which he would not harbour in his own?

And yet I must needs say, that the good name, and moderate Repute of every Christian, much more of every Minister of the Gospel, ought to be of that value to him, as not to prositione it to every three-half-peny Temptation, not to tender it cheap by Levity and Inconstancy, when no more cogent Reasons are offer d for an alteration, than meer will and pleasure, nor has any one thing more debased the honour of Christianity, nor weakned the success of the Ministry, than that some of those who wear those glorious Liveries, have either sullied them in the dirt, or turned them wrong side outwards, wheeling about like Weather-cocks, with the smallest breath of slattering preferments, or however, with the more violent gusts of threatned persecutions.

A good man is reres you. , one of a cubical figure, that falls upon his Basis of Confcience, which way soever the Providence of God shall throw him; though some mens practite would make us believe, that the plain English of that hard word is, to fall on his legs like a Cat: which an old hyperbolical proverb tells us, will wriggle her self into

her true perpendicular, though thot out of a Cannons mouth.

Such persons, I confess, do not want their specious arguments, to prove themselves constant, under the greatest demonstrations of inconstancy. For (say they) we have settled our ultimate end, and fixed our main design, which is to assecurate our Revenues, our Ease, our Splendour; and if the Terms of enjoying them vary, yet we never vary from the ecliptick line of Preserments: let contingencies appear in multisorm shapes, we can press all Accidents into the service of our unum necessarium. Such was that Quadrangular Doctor, a reasons Protestant in Edward 6th days, a Papist in Queen Maries, and upon the advancement of Queen Elizabeth saced about, and read the Service in English: O come let us sing a new Song! Thus let the exteriour sace of Religion receive more shapes than Protess, yet he abides constant to his principles, and resolves to continue Vicar of Eray.

Yet that we may not prove sturdy Oaks, but slexible Willows, the Englisher has two weighty things to say to us.

(1) That

(1.) That it's no real disbonour, but a manly generosity, and a Christin virtue to change our minds upon mature deliberation, and the evilence of better Reason. Very Orthodox! I hope then he will allow it to be a manly generofity, and a Christian virtue to keep our minds unchanged; to affert our own convictions, till those evidences of better Reason thall appear which may change them, and that it would be a childish pusiblenimity to change our prastife, till better Reason shall oblige us to change our minds: But I am fearful, though upon mature deliberation we fix our minds according to the dictates of the best Reason we can get, yet shall we not avoid trouble till we conform them to the public's Reafon. But (fays he) the very temper which our Saviour requires of his Difciples, and which is the great preparatory disposition to the entertainment of Christianity, especially consists in a simplicity of mind, and an indifferency to comply with whatsoever shall best recommend it self to our facuities. Well! Diffenters say they have already complied with that which has best recommended it self to their faculties, shall they be secured from vexation upon fuch compliance? They resolve never to be Nanconformists to their own faculties ! Still I doubt that they who have invented a Publick Wisdom, a Publick Resson, a Publick tonscience, will fet their wits on work once more to contrive a Publich Faculty wherein those Publick Powers may reside, for it's but congruous that the Publick Treasure should be deposited in a Publick Treasury.

I am all this while at a loss where our Siviour has required this same Indifferency, unless perhaps where he says, He that will be my Disciple, let him deny himself, and take up his cross and follow me. An indifferency of Spirit towards every thing is an excellent preparatory towards suft nothing. And the best temper (it seems) to entertain the Christian Religion, is to be of no Religion. As the Eye is the bester prepared to receive the species of all colours, because it's of none and the imaginary first matter is therefore capable of all forms, because

it's tinctur'd with no form.

Such has been the Policy of our Romish Emissaries. To endeavour to reduce the dissenting World to Arbeism, that they might be prepared to receive the impressions of their Carbolicism; and upon this Maxim was the Counsel founded their great Boverius gave to a greater Person fortissed with more grace than to take it. Ut quonium wera Religio tibi iniquirenda est, antequam ad eam investigandam accedus, omnera priùs Religionem suspessam habeas, subeatque tamdiu à Protestantium Fide, & Religione animum, & voluntatem suspendere quamdiu in veri investigatione versure. That seeing your Majesty is now going to search for

G

the true Religion, before you fall upon the work, you would first fuspect every Religion: and that you would be pleased so long to fuspend your affent and consent to the Faith and Religion of the Pro estant, as you are engaged in the disquisition of the Truth.

(2.) The second thing he has to say in us; is, That the Hondur of our Religion is of more value than our Personal Reputation. Still Orthodox! but the Honour of Religion is very little secured by the Professors adapting themselves to that which has the vogue, and carries no other advantage but that of power to second, and back it: Christianity will shine with its own Native Beams, without suful compliances: Nor need we fear but that Christian Magistrates will cast a benign Aspect upon the Religious, though the Keligion was never of their Composure: They like it the better, because their Saviour made it, and hot they: And how high foever God has fet them above their Subjects, it's their greatest glory to stoop as low to a Redeemer, as the meanest Peasant. Christianity in its naked simplicity, and most modest attire diffurbs no forms of Government, but is admirably suited by the wisdom of Christ to all modes of Civil Policy: And if we may speak according to the best evidences of the heason, and own that which recommends it self to our faculties, a Monarch may be as absolute without the Ceremonics, and other unnecessary Additions, as with them.

self, 2. A second infinuation is, That Nonconformists are turbulent, unterswaled, ungovernable: That their principles have a malignane influence upon Government: And for the more dextrous management of this suspicion, he tells us, That it's a great blot in the Writings. of Calvin, that after he kad Discoursed rarely well of the Power of Princes, and the duty of Subjects, he undoes all again with an unhappy exception in thefe in ris: De private bomin bus semper loquor. I see if Calvin has but one blot, the Enquirer will hit it, so quick-fighted he is, that none must hope to take him with a why not? I meet indeed with an Eagleeyed Jesuit, who to palliate the villanies of their own Society, would or gladly pick a hole in Calvin's Coat; but the Reverend and Prot stant Bishop Billon roundly takes him up thus: Calvin is so well known to those that be learned and wife, for his great pains and good labours in the Church of God, that a few marling Friers cannot impe, ch his good Name, tlough they never so wretchedly pervert his words. And yet the Bishops Book came forth Cum Privilegio, and was Dedicated to Q. Elizabeth, and by one very tender in the point of Supremacy and Prerogative. Dialog. p. 509. But Calvin's greatest Crime was his Syncretism with the ord Deliring of the church, and not his enmity to the Civil Government. 91 Di role

And because a shred cut off from the whole piece of his Discourse may easily through ignorance be misconstrued, or through malice mifrepresented to his prejudice, I shall present his words at large to

the Reader, Institut. Lib.4. cap.20. parag. 31.

"Nobis autem interim summopere cavendum, ne illam plenam venerandæ Magestatis Magistratuum authoritatem, quam Deus gravissimis edictis sanxit, (Etiamsi apud indignissimos resideat, & qui "eam sua nequitia, quantum in se est polluant) spernamus, aut vioelemus: Neque enim, si ultio Domini, est effranata dominationis correctio, ideo protinùs nobis demandatam arbitremur; quibus " nullum alıud quam parendi, & patiendi, datum est Mandatum. De " privatis hominibus semper loquor; Nam siqui nunc sint populares " Magistratus ad moderandam Regum Libidinem constituti (quales " olim erant qui Lacedæmoniis Regibus oppositi erant, Ephori; aut "Romanis Consulibus, Tribuni plebis; auc Acheniensium Senatui, "Demarchi; & quâ etiam forte potestate, ut nunc res habent sun-"guntur in singulis regnis tres Ordines, quum primarios conventus peragunt) adeo illos, ferocienti Regum Licentia pro officio inter-"cedere non veto; ut si, Regibus impotenter grassantibus, & humili " plebeculæ insultantibus, conniveant, eorum dissimulationem nefaria re perfidià non carere, affirmem; quià populi Libertatem cujus se Dei re ordinatione tutores positos, norunt, fraudulenter produnt.

I think we may fafely venture to translate them: "In the mean "while we ought studiously to beware that we neither despise nor op-"pose the Authority of Magistrates, so full of Dread and Majesty, which God himself hath established by most severe Decrees, (although on possibly it should reside in Persons most unworthy; and such as by "their personal wickedness do defile ir, as much as in them lyes.) "For though the Divine vengeance be the Restrainer of unbridled "Empire, yet must we not thence imagin that the Management "thereof is committed to us. I speak always of private persons: "For if there should be any such popular Magistrates constituted to moderate the Arbitrariness of Princes, (such as of old were the " Ephori, who balanced the Lacedamonian Kings. The Tribunes of the e People, who moderated the Roman Consuls; or the Demarchi, who " were the same to the Senate of Athens: And which power (as things go now) the three Estates in each Kingdom, when they are "Convened in full Parliament, do peradventure enjoy, I am so far from forbidding them to intercede according to their duty, with the furious Licentiouiness of Kings, that if they shall connive at commonalty, I may affirm that their filence cannot be excused of finful breach of Trust, seeing they falsly betray the Peoples Liberty, whereof they knew themselves to be Guardians by Gods Infliction.

Reader! These are those dismal lines which have raised the clamour against poor calvin, wherein thou wilt observe these parti-

culars.

1. That private persons have no warrant from God to restrain the exorbitances of Governours, but are lest to the only Remedy parends, of submission and patience.

2. Yet he supposes that some Magistrates may possibly have a larger

power than bare suffering, or passive obedience.

3. This power which he supposes may possibly be intrusted with them, is but ferotienti Regum licentia pro officio intercedere: dutifully to intercede with the Prince to redress grievances, humbly to represent the Invasions made upon propriety, or perhaps (if called) to Counsel and Advise a Redress: which is a power far greater than that of bare suffering, and enduring the evil, and yet infinitely short of Rebellion against Deposing, Banishing, or Murdering Princes.

4. This is only upon a supposition that there be such Persons so qualified, and intrusted by the constitution of the Government, and known Laws of the Land, Si qui sint populares Magistrarus constituti. Such he supposes indeed the Ephori at Lacedamon, the Tribunes at Rome, the Demarchi at Athens to have been; but he's not fure, 'tis but a peradventure, there were any such in his time, quâ etiam forte protestate (ut nunc res habent) funguntur in singulis Regnis tres ordines. For he knew pretty well how their own little Commonwealth at Geneva was Governed, but the Models of France, Spain, and other Soveraign Monarchies he had but a guess at; he had heard, perhaps, of Les Etats Generaux in France, but he was not very certain whether he had any fuch interceding Authority or no; however or what soever it was, it could not be exerted but in full Convention of the Estates in a General Diet. Cum primarios conventus peragunt, and then was the time 20 Petition, to make Addresses in a submissive way for the redress of grievances.

And 5, the guilt he charges upon these popular Magistrates for betraying their trust, arises from this alone, that they connived at the miserable harrassings of the Commonalty, they took no notice at all of the Invasions upon the Subjects Rights and Proprieties: which they

might

might have done, and never have mingled Heaven and Earth together with Civil broils. And what can an ordinary Eye espy hence, that should justifie the Enquirers outcry, A passage (says he) of that ill Aspell upon Government, that it is suspelled by some, and not altogether without cause, that most of the confusions of Kingdoms, that have bappen'd since, and especially the troubles of this Nation, have received encourage—

If this Enquirer (or any other) has formerly contributed to the confusions and troubles of this, or any other Nation, and received encouragement from this place of Calvin, he ought first to be deeply humbled before God, that he should draw such desperate conclusions as those of Rebellion out of an innocent sentence, and give more essential proofs, and signal marks of Repentance, than accepting a Benefice of three or four hundred per Annum: and secondly, be ashamed that he ventured to read Calvin, before he could well construe a piece of plain Latin, for I cannot understand that Calvin, though he was bound to write true Latin, was also bound to help every one to a construing book; no, nor God obliged to prevent all the evil consequences which an evil heart and base lusts might draw out of the

most inostensive expressions.

ment, if not taken rise from bence.

He that will give scope to suspicions, may easily conjecture where the Enquirer might drink in those principles which have such an ill aspect upon Government; he needed not have travelled to the Lake Lemane; his own incomparable Hugo could have furnisht him with Maxims of that Tendency: Ignatius Lorola himself might have been Scholar to such a Master: I shall refer the Reader only to his famous piece de fure Belli, & Pacis. Lib. 1. cap. 4. Selt. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. A place containing many passages of that ill aspell upon Government, that it's suspected by some, and upon good grounds that most of the Civil Wars which have happen'd since in Europe, bave received encouragement, if not taken rife from thence: Let him try if he can find in Calvin, such a pasfage as this: Potest Rex etiam suam Imperii partem amittere: or this: Regi in partem non suam involanti, vis justa opponi potest. Or this other: Qui Principes sub populo sunt, sive ab initio talem acceperunt potestatem. sive postea ita convenit, ut Lacedamone, si peccent in Leges, ac Rempublicam, non tantum vi repelli possunt, sed si opus sit, morte puniri. But I shall spare Loyal Ears, and only leave this Caution, Quiescar porrò moneo, & desinat Lacessere, malefasta ne noscat sua.

I had almost forgot his creaking triumph, what glorious exploits he has atchieved in his former Adventures: But the Author of the Eccle-

fiastical Polity will doubtless be as civil to him, as he was to the Author of the Friendly Debate (not that between Sir Satan and Master Sherlock) and take some handsome occasion to claw him with a Congratulatory Epistle, and rejoice with him in his great successes. Hawing (says he) in I think (that was an useful and modest Parenthesis) demonstrated the sin and mischiefs of Separation, and evacuated all the Excuses and palliations of it from the plea of Christian Liberty, and pretence of tender Conscience, I cannot see what should remain able to perpetuate our Distractions, &c. Wherein I can thus far agree with him, that he has evacuated all the excuses of Schism from the presence of tender conscience; for none will be very forward to pretend a tender conscience (of his making) lest he be beg'd for an Idiot, and sent to the College of all Fools: But for his boast of evacuating excuses and palliations, it's but the Rodomintodo of Seignior di Medico Campo. He that fetcht Prester Fohns Head from china, after it had been a fortnight buried, and fet it on his shoulders again: He that cured Shirley in the Grand Sophies Court, when he had been twice shot through with Ordnance, and had two Cannon-bullets in each thigh: He that gathered up the vomited fragments of his Friend, eaten by the Canibals, placed them together, and restored him to a perfect Man again. But I shall leave the Enquirer to the pleasing Dream of his Heroick Victories.

His Argument from the danger of introducing Popery by our Divisions is seasonable, and his Counsel thereupon grateful, only we could wish he would prescribe it to those whose Circumstances enable them to remove our differences, by removing their true and proper causes. And let me remind him for a farewel; That whosoever shall deny us the Liberty to judg of the Lawfulness of our own Acts, or shall teach us the Art to rub on with a doubting Conscience, has paved a broad Causey for, and rear'd a Triumphant Arch to Entertain his Holiness, if the wisdom of our Superiours (not imposed upon by such trisling declamations) did not obstruct his entrance.

is and more observed into contrameer

## FINIS.

BOOKS Published by the same Author, and fold by Benj. Alsop at the Angel and Bible in the Poultry, over against the Counter.

The Mischief of Impositions: Or, an Antidote against a late Discourse, Intituled, The Mischief of Seperation, by Dr. Edw. Stillingsleet.

A Seasonable Warning to Protestants from the Treachery and Cruelty of the Massacre in Paris.

Divine Meditations upon several Subjects by the Excellent Pen of Sir William Waller.

based a based and the second and the

of the field by the principle of the party of the party of

Links The Hill For the TANK THE PARTY OF at the production of the state of the state







